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Reactive Extraction of Lactic Acid, Formic Acid and Acetic Acid from Aqueous Solutions with Tri-\textit{n}-octylamine/1-Octanol/\textit{n}-Undecane
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Abstract: The present work develops the basics for the isolation of lactic acid, acetic acid and formic acid from a single as well as a mixed feed stream, as is present, for example, in fermentation broth for lactic acid production. Modelling of the phase equilibria data is performed using the law of mass action and shows that the acids are extracted according to their \( \text{pK}_a \) value, where formic acid is preferably extracted in comparison to lactic and acetic acid. Back-extraction was performed by 1 M \text{NaHCO}_3 solution and shows the same tendency regarding the \( \text{pK}_a \) value. Based on lactic acid, the solvent phase composition, consisting of tri-\textit{n}-octylamine/1-octanol/\textit{n}-undecane, was optimized in terms of the distribution coefficient. The data clearly indicate that, compared to physical extraction, mass transfer can be massively enhanced by reactive extraction. With increasing tri-\textit{n}-octylamine and 1-octanol concentration, the equilibrium constant increases. However, even when mass transfer increases, tri-\textit{n}-octylamine concentrations above 40 wt%, lead to third phase formation, which needs to be prevented for technical application. The presented data are the basis for the transfer to liquid membrane permeation, which enables the handling of emulsion tending systems.
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1. Introduction

The change from fossil fuel sources to renewables not only needs to be discussed in terms of energy, but also in terms of fuel and chemicals. In this context, the replacement of bulk chemicals derived from fossil fuels with bulk chemicals from renewable sources needs to be targeted. Lactic acid is already obtained today from renewable resources, but its downstream processing is costly and the process intensification, together with the use of different sources for lactic acid, may be targeted in the future. Lactic acid is of particular interest as a growth rate of 20% per year is expected due to the use as the monomer for poly lactic acid (PLA) production. [1,2].

Poly lactic acid production requires lactic acid with a purity >95% [3], which can be obtained by fermentation. Lactic acid is produced either by chemical synthesis or by fermentation of carbon-based resources. Currently, approximately 90\% of lactic acid is produced by microbial fermentation. Sugars and carbohydrate sources for pentose, hexoses and lignocellulosic materials are used as a substrate [4]. The benefits of lactic acid synthesis by microbial fermentation are the low environmental impact, low operation temperature, low energy requirements and high product purity [5]. However, downstream processing requires massive quantities of neutralizing agents, as lactic acid is precipitated by neutralization with lime and is then re-dissolved by strong acids, mostly sulfuric acid, which consequently generates huge quantities of calcium sulfate as a byproduct to be disposed of [6,7]. Separation and purification of lactic acid from fermentation broth accounts for approximately 50% of
the total production costs [8]. Depending on the microorganism used for the fermentation, acetic acid and formic acid are produced as by-products during fermentation [9].

Much attention has been focused on the process intensification of the isolation process to reduce the production costs. Several techniques have been developed and reported, such as fluidized bed fermentation with immobilized cells making use of in situ weak anion exchange resin separation with Amberlite IRA-67 [10], nanofiltration [11] or electrodialysis for the isolation of lactic acid [12].

In situ liquid-liquid extraction is expected to be an efficient technology for the recovery of carboxylic acids from fermentation broth [13]. The acid is transferred from the fermentation broth into a solvent phase, thus demanding bio-compatibility of the solvent [14]. Liquid-liquid extraction of carboxylic acids is well established, and reactive extraction is a promising approach in terms of both, selective separation and process intensification. Depending on the solvent, two extraction mechanisms can be distinguished. Generally, an ion exchange, in which the solvent acts as a liquid ion-exchanger, is performed, and the second mechanism uses chelating and/or solvating solvents [15]. During a solvating or chelating reaction, one or more molecules of water are replaced by the extractant, forming a complex that has an increased solubility in the solvent phase [16]. However, in situ extraction of valuables from a fermentation broth is in general accompanied with third phase or crud formation, which prevents the use of a conventional extraction process [17]. Therefore, supported liquid membrane permeation was shown to be effective for the purpose of emulsion prevention in highly emulsion tending systems and can help to overcome the drawback of emulsion crud formation when bio-based process streams are targeted [18].

Tertiary amines with C$_7$ to C$_{12}$ alkyl groups have been reported to be the best ion exchange-type extractants for carboxylic acids [19]. Due to their high viscosity and the low polarity of the tertiary amine, a diluent is generally used. The diluent influences the solvation by improving its physicochemical properties. Diluents may be categorized by their polarity as active (polar) and inert (non-polar) diluent. Because of the functional groups, an active diluent is polar, examples for active diluents are chlorinated hydrocarbons, alcohols and ketones [20]. Research for carboxylic acid extraction by tertiary amines uses generally long-chained alcohols, since they provide a good solvation media for an ion-pair such as an acid-amine salt and low water miscibility [21]. Several studies dealing with the reactive extraction of lactic acids using tri-n-octylamine (TOA) with active or inert diluents have been reported in the literature [22–24]. The acid extraction efficiency was found to increase with increasing active diluent. The highest extraction efficiency was reported using 97% TOA diluted in 1-octanol [22]. However, its viscosity is expected to hinder the mass transfer at the interface. Inert diluents (non-polar) like alkanes and benzene, on the other hand, provide lower viscosity, but lead to a poor transfer into the organic phase of the polar salt [25].

To optimize a reactive extraction process, the extraction equilibrium constant is required. The present work aims to study the phase equilibrium behavior of the reactive liquid-liquid extraction of lactic acid, formic acid and acetic acid from a simulated fermentation broth by using mixtures of tri-n-octylamine, 1-octanol and n-undecane. To ensure that no crud formation occurs, and hence that the phase equilibria data are measured correctly, pure substances are used in the present study. Phase equilibrium of the single acids is measured in dependence on the composition of the solvent phase and the acid concentration. Furthermore, the selective separation from a multi-acid feed is investigated and discussed. An equilibrium model based on the law of mass action is applied to describe the data.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

For the preparation of the aqueous feed phase, de-ionized water and either a single acid or all three acids were mixed at room temperature. Acetic acid (CAS 64-19-7) and formic acid (CAS 64-18-16) with a purity of $\geq 99\%$ were purchased from Chem-Lab. Lactic acid (CAS 79-33-4) with a purity of 80%, purchased from Carl Roth, was used. The single acid solution contained the respective acid with a
concentration between 0.2–1.0 mol/L. The multi acid solution contained lactic acid with a concentration of 0.7 mol/L, formic acid with a concentration of 0.04 mol/L and acetic acid with a concentration of 0.07 mol/L with respect to the acid concentration occurring during the fermentation process [9,26].

The solvent phase contained tri-\(n\)-octylamine (CAS 1116-76-3) with a purity of 97%, purchased from Acros organics, \(n\)-undecane (CAS 1120-21-4) with a purity >95% and 1-octanol (CAS 111-87-5) with a purity of >99%, both purchased from Sigma Aldrich. The solvent phase was prepared by mixing the components at room temperature. The stripping solution contained de-ionized water and sodium hydrogen carbonate with a purity of 99.5% (CAS 144-55-8), purchased from Carl Roth. All chemicals were used as received.

2.2. Analytics

The concentration of the respective acid in the aqueous phases was determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC, Dionex, Frankfurt, Germany). The HPLC systems consisted of a REZEX-ROA column and an UV/VIS detector, detecting at a wavelength of 210 nm. As mobile phase, 0.005 M H\(_2\)SO\(_4\) in ultrapure water with a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min was used. All samples were analyzed at room temperature. The concentration of the extracted acid in the solvent phase was calculated by determining the mass balance.

2.3. Experimental Setup and Procedure

The phase equilibrium measurements were performed in a temperature-controlled separation funnel at 25 °C. The ratio between the aqueous and the solvent phase was kept constant at 1 by weight. After transferring the solvent phase and the aqueous phase in the separation funnel, the funnels were shaken with the automatic shaker (Janke & Kunkel HS-500, Janke & Kunkel, Staufen im Breisgau, Germany) at 200 rpm for 30 min, this procedure ensures intensive phase contact. Preliminary experiments showed that phase equilibrium is reached after 30 min; however, to ensure phase equilibrium and especially phase separation, the mixture was settled for 3 hours. Within this time period, phase separation was completed for most of the experiments. Some experiments lead to an optically visible third phase. After phase separation, the mass of both phases was recorded and the acid concentrations in the aqueous phase were analyzed with HPLC.

After extraction, the laden solvent phase was contacted with a sodium hydrogen carbonate solution with a concentration of 1 mol/L to strip the acid into the stripping phase. The procedure used for the back-extraction process was the same as was used for the extraction step.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physical Extraction

For physical extraction, two different categories of solvent—a non-polar solvent (\(n\)-undecane) and a polar solvent (1-octanol)—were used to perform the experiments in order to quantify the effect of physicochemical properties on physical extraction. Since the pH < pK\(_a\), the distribution coefficient \((K_{D,\text{diluent}})\) is a function of the partition coefficient \((P)\) and the dimerization constant \((D)\), and is expressed by Equation (1) [25].

\[
K_{D,\text{diluent}} = P + 2D^2D \left[\text{c}_{\text{HA,aq}}\right]
\]  

(1)

The distribution coefficient \((K_{D,\text{diluent}})\) is defined as the ratio of acid in the solvent phase \((\text{c}_{\text{HA,sol}})\) and in the aqueous phase \((\text{c}_{\text{HA,aq}})\) as given in Equation (2):

\[
K_{D,\text{diluent}} = \frac{\text{c}_{\text{HA,sol}}}{\text{c}_{\text{HA,aq}}}
\]  

(2)

Phase equilibria data of both solvents were measured by varying the initial acid concentration in the feed phase in a range of 0.2–1 mol/L. Table 1 summarizes the partition coefficient and the
dimerization factor of lactic acid, acetic acid and formic acid in dependence on the respective solvent. Physical extraction was found to be very poor in both solvents used. Due to its donor and acceptor characteristics, 1-octanol interacts with the acid by hydrogen bonding and hence provides higher partition coefficients compared to n-undecane, for which only nonspecific directional, induction and dispersion forces are employed [25]. In n-undecane, formic acid has a dimerization constant of 35.65, while for acetic acid and lactic acid, $D$ was found to be 6.62 and 10.42, respectively. The dimerization constant of formic acid decreases by a factor of 10 when changing the solvent from n-undecane to 1-octanol. It can be concluded that in n-undecane, the acid-acid bond is preferred, while acid-solvent hydrogen bonds are observed when 1-octanol was used. These results fit well to the data from the literature. Maurer [27] describes the dimerization by intermolecular hydrogen bonding of acetic acid in low polar solvents.

Table 1. Summary of the calculated partitioning coefficient and dimerization factor for lactic acid, acetic acid and formic acid using n-undecane and 1-octanol; $c_{\text{acid, initial}} = 0.2–1.0 \text{ mol/L}; T = 25 \degree C; \text{ambient pressure.}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acid</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>$P$</th>
<th>$D$ (l/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lactic acid</td>
<td>n-undecane</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-octanol</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetic acid</td>
<td>n-undecane</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>10.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-octanol</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formic acid</td>
<td>n-undecane</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>35.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-octanol</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Reactive Extraction

Since physical extraction provided low distribution coefficients for carboxylic acids, reactive extraction was applied. Reactive extraction provides high mass transfer and shall provide selective separation by chemical reaction of the constituent with the reactive component of the solvent. Due to its high Bronsted basicity tri-n-octylamine (TOA), a tertiary long-chain aliphatic amine, has been reported to provide high distribution coefficients for carboxylic acids [28]. In this work, therefore, TOA was chosen as reactive extractant. Usually, the solvent phase in reactive extraction consists of three components, the reactive extractant, the modifier and the diluent. The purpose of the reactive extractant is the reaction with the targeted substances, the diluent decreases the density and the viscosity, while the modifier increases the solubility of the complex or salt formed in the solvent phase [22,29,30]. The influence of the diluent n-undecane and the modifier 1-octanol in the solvent phase on the extraction efficiency of lactic acid was investigated further.

3.2.1. Influence of the Solvent Composition on the Distribution Coefficient

The TOA concentration was varied between 15–40 wt% in the presence of 1-octanol diluted in n-undecane. The ratio between the modifier, 1-octanol and TOA were kept constant at one by weight for all experiments. This ratio was chosen because at the maximum content of amine and modifier showed to be an optimized solvent composition [31]. However, the concentration of TOA was limited at a maximum of 40 wt% according to the outcome of a previous study, we found that above that concentration third phase formation occurs. Table 2 summarizes the distribution coefficient and the extraction efficiency of lactic acid with different solvent compositions. The extraction efficiency ($E$) is expressed in Equation (3).

$$E = \frac{K_D}{(1 + K_D)} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $K_D$ is defined as the ratio of acid concentration in the solvent phase to the acid concentration in the aqueous phase, as described in Equation (2).
Table 2. Summary of the distribution coefficient, the extraction efficiency and the pH value in equilibrium for lactic acid with different solvent compositions; $c_{\text{acid,initial}} = 0.2–1 \text{ mol/L}; T = 25 ^\circ \text{C};$ ambient pressure; solvent:water = 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOA:1-octanol:n-undecane (wt%)</th>
<th>$m_{\text{HA,in}}$ (g)</th>
<th>$m_{\text{HA,aq}}$ (g)</th>
<th>$c_{\text{HA,aq}}$ (mol/L)</th>
<th>$c_{\text{HA,sol}}$ (mol/L)</th>
<th>$K_D$</th>
<th>$E$ (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15:15:70</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>0.092</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.326</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>9.06</td>
<td>0.599</td>
<td>0.408</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25:25:50</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>4.89</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>9.42</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.372</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>78.9</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>9.29</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.551</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>74.4</td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>8.85</td>
<td>0.324</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>2.11</td>
<td>67.8</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40:40:20</td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>9.23</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>34.79</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>3.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>8.90</td>
<td>0.020</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td>22.18</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>3.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>8.31</td>
<td>0.045</td>
<td>0.695</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>94.0</td>
<td>2.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9.99</td>
<td>8.39</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.923</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>2.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For all solvent compositions, the distribution coefficient decreased with increasing initial acid concentration. This result is beneficial for the application to a fermentation broth, as the acid concentration is generally not higher than 10 wt%. The distribution coefficient for the solvent consisting of 15:15 TOA:1-octanol, diluted in n-undecane was in the range between 0.69–1.35, and for a solvent composition of 25:25 TOA:1-octanol by weight, diluted in n-undecane, the distribution coefficient varied between 2.11–4.89. The highest distribution coefficient, which was between 11.71 and 34.79, was achieved with a solvent, consisting of 40:40 TOA:1-octanol by weight, diluted in n-undecane, Kyuchoukov et al. obtained comparable results when a similar solvent composition consisting of TOA:1-octanol diluted in dodecane was used for the extraction of lactic acid [32]. As expected from results gained in the physical extraction, the diluent does not influence the phase equilibrium when reactive extraction is concerned.

Yamamoto [33] et al. reported the improvement of the extraction ability of lactic acid by adding decanol as modifier into di-n-octylamine (DOA). They reported the tendency of third phase formation when a non-polar diluent is used. Prevention of the third phase formation was ensured by setting the volume fraction of the modifier > 10% when using a solvent composition of di-n-octylamine (DOA). Secondary and tertiary amines behave differently in terms of emulsion formation, also in the present work we saw the formation of a stable third phase for all experiments having a TOA concentration ≥ 40 wt%. This undesired third phase is impossible to operate with; the emulsion split neither due to gravity nor due to centrifugal forces. Measurement of phase equilibrium is hence not possible. The formation of a third phase was further observed in experiments using 25 wt% TOA and an acid concentration above 0.8 mol/L. By increasing the acid concentration, the formation of ring structures and hence emulsions because of the substitution of solvent molecules with water is most likely [34]. Emulsion formation between formic acid and terpenyl amine was also observed by Schulz [35] et al. This could be because of the hydrophilic and lipophilic structure of the structure formed. Figure 1 shows the stable third phase in the solvent phase.
where $n$ represents the degree of association of acid per one molecule of TOA.

Since the solvent composition, as well as the acid influence the equilibrium constant [29], the quantitative interpretation of the extraction equilibrium constant can be represented as

$$K_E = c_{(TOA(LA))_{n,sol}}/\left(c_{HA,aq}^\alpha n \cdot c_{TOA,sol}^\beta\right)$$

Equation (5) becomes:

$$K_E = c_{HA,sol}^\gamma /\left(n \cdot c_{HA,aq,nondiss}^\gamma \cdot c_{TOA,sol}^\delta - c_{HA,aq,sol}^\delta\right)$$

Equation (6)

LUX et al. [37] reported the degree of dissociation decreases with increasing acid concentration. Therefore, at low acid concentration, this term cannot be neglected. The non-dissociated form of the mono-carboxylic acid is expressed by

$$c_{HA,aq,nondiss} = c_{HA,aq}(1 - \alpha)$$

Equation (7)

where $\alpha$ is the degree of dissociation defined as

$$\alpha = (-K_{diss} \pm \sqrt{(K_{diss}^2 + 4 \cdot c_{HA,0} \cdot K_{diss})})/(2 \cdot c_{HA,0})$$

Equation (8)

subsequently, Equations (9) and (10) represent the reaction equation.

$$c_{HA,sol} = \left(n \cdot K_{st}^\gamma \cdot c_{HA,aq,nondiss}^\gamma \cdot c_{TOA,0}^\delta\right)/(1 + K_{st}^\gamma \cdot c_{HA,aq,nondiss}^\gamma \cdot c_{TOA,0}^\delta)$$

Equation (9)

$$1/c_{HA,sol} = 1/(n \cdot K_{st}^\gamma \cdot c_{HA,aq,nondiss}^\gamma \cdot c_{TOA,0}^\delta) + (1/c_{TOA,0}^\delta)$$

Equation (10)

With a specified initial concentration of TOA and varying the degree of association, a linear fitted curve can be plot using professional graphics software to estimate the equilibrium constants.
The graphical representation is proceeded to determine the values of $K_E$ and $n$ for the different solvent system as depicted in Figures 2–4. As can be seen, the results fit well to the calculation in Equation (10) and give access to the calculation of the equilibrium constant in both, the binary and the ternary solvent system.

Table 3 summarizes the equilibrium constant, the degree of association and the loading ration ($Z$) in dependence on the solvent composition. The modeled data point out a significant difference in the degree of association for a solvent composed of 1-octanol and $n$-undecane. The value of $n \approx 1$ estimated from TOA with 1-octanol suggests the 1:1 adduction of lactic acid to TOA, whereas $n > 1$ was found for the solvent composed with $n$-undecane.
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**Figure 2.** Determination of the extraction equilibrium constant and the degree of acid association of lactic acid (solvent with 20 wt% TOA in $n$-undecane; $T = 25 ^\circ C$; ambient pressure) according to Equation (10).
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**Figure 3.** Determination of the extraction equilibrium constant and the degree of acid association of lactic acid (solvent with 20 wt% TOA in 1-octanol; $T = 25 ^\circ C$; ambient pressure) according to Equation (10).
Figure 3. Determination of the extraction equilibrium constant and the degree of acid association of lactic acid (solvent with 20 wt% TOA in 1-octanol; T = 25 °C; ambient pressure) according to Equation (10).

Figure 4. Determination of the extraction equilibrium constant and the degree of acid association of lactic acid (T = 25 °C; ambient pressure) according to Equation (10).

Table 3 summarizes the equilibrium constant, the degree of association and the loading ration \( Z \) in dependence on the solvent composition. The modeled data point out a significant difference in the degree of association for a solvent composed of 1-octanol and \( n \)-undecane. The value of \( n \approx 1 \) estimated from TOA with 1-octanol suggests the 1:1 adduction of lactic acid to TOA, whereas \( n > 1 \) was found for the solvent composed with \( n \)-undecane.

The loading ratio expresses the ratio of acid concentration in the solvent phase to the solvent concentration, the data show that the loading ratio increases with decreasing TOA concentration and increases with increasing acid concentration.

As expected from physical extraction, the stoichiometric equilibrium constant of lactic acid increases with increasing 1-octanol concentration. The maximum \( K_E \) was found for the solvent composition of 40 wt%TOA:40 wt% 1-octanol in \( n \)-undecane at 24 L/mol due to a high solvation ability. It is obvious that the polarity of 1-octanol improves the solubility of the acid-amine salt in both, the binary and the ternary solvent system. 1-octanol contributes to the solubility of the acid-amine salt by forming H-bonds, and thus influences the stoichiometric equilibrium constant [38]. Similar
equilibrium concentrations were obtained for the solvent mixtures containing 20 wt% TOA in 1-octanol ($\mu = 9.56 \text{ mPa-s}$) and 40 wt%:40 wt% TOA:1-octanol diluted in $n$-undecane ($\mu = 4.85 \text{ mPa-s}$). This result signifies the role of the solvent in viscosity control.

3.3. Selectivity

The selective separation of lactic acid from a multiple acid feed solution containing formic acid, acetic acid and lactic acid was investigated based on the results for the single acids. To determine the selectivity of the separation, the selectivity was calculated according to Equation (11):

$$\alpha_{HA1/HA2} = \frac{c_{HA1,\text{Sol}} / c_{HA1,aq}}{c_{HA2,\text{Sol}} / c_{HA2,aq}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Figure 5 shows the selectivity of lactic acid for the multiple acid feed solutions with different solvent compositions. The initial acid concentrations are in the same range as found in a fermentation broth [9]. The selectivity of lactic acid increases with increasing of TOA and 1-octanol concentration. However, the results show that lactic acid cannot be separated selectively from the other two acids. This result is consistent with the study of Morales et al. [39]. They investigated the extraction of the single mono-carboxylic acids by using TOA in decanol and dodecane and suggested a more step process for the selective acid extraction with an appropriate solvent composition. Nevertheless, in terms of selective separation of acids from a fermentation broth, the results are of interest. Fermentation produces formic acid with a concentration of 0.04 mol/L as a by-product. Formic acid could be extracted prior to lactic acid by the solvent system TOA/1-octanol/$n$-undecane. Thus, lactic and acetic acid remains in the solution and may then be selectively separated using multi-stage extraction. By varying the solvent phase composition in terms of concentration or/and reactive extractant from the first to the second extraction step, the selectivity can be influenced.

![Figure 5. Selectivity of lactic acid against formic acid and acetic acid for different solvent compositions of TOA:1-octanol/$n$-undecane in percentage by weight. $c_{\text{lactic,initial}} = 0.7 \text{ mol/L}$; $c_{\text{formic,initial}} = 0.04 \text{ mol/L}$; $c_{\text{acetic,initial}} = 0.07 \text{ mol/L}$; $T = 25 ^\circ \text{C}$; ambient pressure.](image)

3.4. Acids Back-Extraction

Liquid-liquid extraction of carboxylic acids requires a second separation step for the recovery of the acid from the solvent phase. A stripping solution containing NaHCO$_3$ has been reported to be effective for the back-extraction of lactic acid from laden tri-$n$-octylamine [40]. Hydrogen carbonates do not charge into the solvent phase after back-extraction; hence, the extraction capability of the solvent remains stable.

In this work, back-extraction uses NaHCO$_3$ to liberate the extracted acids from the solvent phase. The phase ratio between the laden solvent and the back-extraction phase was kept constant at 1 by
weight. Figure 6 shows the back-extraction efficiency of a multiple acid feed containing lactic acid, formic acid and acetic acid. Evaluation of the efficiency follows the same methodology as described in Section 2.3. Similar to the extraction step, the back-extraction relates to the pKₐ value and follows the order formic acid > lactic acid > acetic acid. The degree of back-extraction by means of basic property depends on the equilibrium pH of the aqueous solution [40]. The present study used a concentration of 1 mol/L NaHCO₃, which leads to a pH value of 12.2, the pH remained the same also in equilibrium. However, for the back-extraction from multiple acids-laden solvent, this topic needs to be further studied.

![Back-extraction efficiency of lactic acid, formic acid and acetic acid from a multiple feed for different solvent compositions of TOA:1-octanol:n-undecane in percent by weight, stripping phase = 1 mol/L NaHCO₃; T = 25 °C; ambient pressure.](image)

**Figure 6.** Back-extraction efficiency of lactic acid, formic acid and acetic acid from a multiple feed for different solvent compositions of TOA:1-octanol:n-undecane in percent by weight, stripping phase = 1 mol/L NaHCO₃; T = 25 °C; ambient pressure.

### 4. Conclusions

Liquid-liquid extraction is a potential unitary operation for the recovery of carboxylic acids from a fermentation broth. In this work, the extraction of lactic acid, formic acid and acetic acid by using single, binary and ternary solvent compositions of TOA; 1-octanol and n-undecane were investigated. Physical extraction by 1-octanol and n-undecane shows disadvantages in terms of extraction efficiency and selectivity compared to reactive extraction. The phase equilibria data showed an increasing acid affinity toward the solvent when increasing the TOA and 1-octanol concentration. With decreasing initial acid concentration an increase in the extraction efficiency, which indicates an applicability for reactive extraction for the isolation of acids from dilute aqueous solutions was observed. The stoichiometric extraction equilibrium constant was evaluated by applying the law of mass action. The calculated equilibrium constant data fit well to the experimental data for binary and ternary solvent systems.

The behavior of 1-octanol and n-undecane was found to be similar in both binary and ternary solvent systems. 1-octanol influences the extraction equilibrium by its polarity and enhances the solubility of the acid-amine salt in the solvent phase, whereas, n-undecane decreases the solvent viscosity without an increase in the extraction efficiency.

The highest equilibrium constant of lactic acid was found at 40:40 TOA:1-octanol by weight diluted in n-undecane. However, the selective separation of lactic acid from a mixture of lactic acid, formic acid and acetic acid is not possible. Third phase formation was found for solvents having a TOA beyond 40 wt%. The tendency to form a third phase increases with increasing acid concentration in the feed phase. Back-extraction from the laden solvent phase was performed with sodium hydrogen carbonate, and it was found to depend, in the same way as the extraction, on the acid pKₐ.
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Nomenclature

- aq : aqueous phase
- $c$ : concentration
- $D$ : dimerization constant
- $E$ : extraction efficiency
- HA : carboxylic acid
- $K_D$ : distribution coefficient
- $k_{diss}$ : Dissociation constant
- $K_E$ : extraction equilibrium constant
- LA : Lactic acid
- $m$ : mass
- $n$ : degree of association
- nondiss : non-dissociated
- $p$ : partition coefficient
- sol : solvent phase
- $Z$ : loading
- $0$, $in$ : initial concentration
- $\alpha$ : Degree of dissociation
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