Probabilistic Fatigue Life Prediction of Bridge Cables Based on Multiscaling and Mesoscopic Fracture Mechanics
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Abstract: Fatigue fracture of bridge stay-cables is usually a multiscale process as the crack grows from micro-scale to macro-scale. Such a process, however, is highly uncertain. In order to make a rational prediction of the residual life of bridge cables, a probabilistic fatigue approach is proposed, based on a comprehensive vehicle load model, finite element analysis and multiscaling and mesoscopic fracture mechanics. Uncertainties in both material properties and external loads are considered. The proposed method is demonstrated through the fatigue life prediction of cables of the Runyang Cable-Stayed Bridge in China, and it is found that cables along the bridge spans may have significantly different fatigue lives, and due to the variability, some of them may have shorter lives than those as expected from the design.
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1. Introduction

Cable supported bridges, particularly the cable-stayed bridges and suspension bridges, have been widely used owing to their appealing aesthetics, strong ability to reduce bend moment of the cross section and high spanning capacity [1]. Among the most important components, cables are usually designed with a relatively high safety factor (i.e., ranging from 2.2 to 4.2). Nevertheless, subjected to fatigue, corrosion or their coupled effects, etc., many cables showed premature damage only a few years after the bridges were open to traffic, resulting in traffic interruption, maintenance costs and even collapse [2–4]. As the stock of aging cable supported bridges is steadily increasing, accurate assessment of fatigue lives of cables is both important and urgent to secure the operation and safety of bridges.

The difficulty in accurate prediction of cable life is partly due to the highly uncertain nature in fatigue analysis, existing in material properties, external loads and prediction models, etc. Previously, numerous experimental studies have been made on the fatigue performance of cables, which showed different kinds and degrees of uncertainty [5,6]. For example, laboratory tests [5] on a group of degraded cables showed that the Young’s modulus and the ultimate strain follow the normal distributions, with the mean values of 199.5 GPa and 44.4 m ε and the coefficients of variance (COVs) of 0.27 and 0.0181, respectively, whereas the yield and ultimate stresses follow the Weibull distributions.

The uncertainties in external loads, on the other hand, are often learned from field inspection or monitoring, and vehicle loads usually contribute mostly to the randomness. Some proposed that vehicle load models showed that the vehicles passing across the bridge are not only probabilistic but also site-specific [7–9]. In order to tackle the randomness in vehicle/train load effects, Weibull
distribution [10], Gamma distribution [11] and Lognormal distribution [12], etc., were often used to give the best fit of the monitored or simulated stress ranges or stress amplitudes.

While the uncertainties in material properties and external loads can be depicted through laboratory tests and inspection/monitoring, the life prediction models remain uncertain and are more complex to develop. In general, existing fatigue prediction models can be classified into two groups: (1) S-N curve-based models [9–11] and (2) the fracture mechanics models. In the former, the Palmgren–Miner rule is often used, and the effectiveness of such methods depends on the classification of concerned details and the fatigue parameters of S-N curves. As to the fatigue fracture models, their early forms adopt the linear elastic or nonlinear fracture approaches, etc. [13–15]; the continuum damage model is also a useful tool to analyze the engineering failure problems [16,17]; unfortunately, damage variables in the continuum damage mechanics lack of explicit physical meaning and are not easily measured through experiments. Furthermore, probabilistic fatigue models [18–20], such as the combined probabilistic physics-of-failure-based method, the probabilistic time-dependent method, etc., have been proposed and applied to take the uncertainties and time-varying features into consideration. However, these methods were mainly developed for engineering problems at macroscale, whereas recent investigations showed that fracture failure may start from the microscopic scale and gradually spread to the macroscopic scale [21]. Therefore, better understanding of the multiscaling fatigue mechanism is crucial for the rational life prediction.

In this paper, a probabilistic fatigue approach is proposed, which is based on a comprehensive and site-specific vehicle load model, finite element (FE) analysis and the fracture based damage model (i.e., multiscaling and mesoscopic fracture mechanics), and the cable life is consumed when the fatigue crack grows to a critical level. In the analyses, the influence of the mean stress level on the fatigue life [10] is also considered. A case study on the stay cables of the Runyang Cable-Stayed Bridge (RCB) in China, is made for demonstration.

2. Trans-Scale Formulation for Fatigue Crack Growth of Steel Wire and Cable

2.1. Macro/Micro Dual Scale Crack Model

In this study, the cracking process is depicted using the macro/micro dual scale crack model, which is based on the concept of restraining stress zone that reflects the material damage. Assuming that a region with the size of \( a \) is cut at the fatigue source point, a macro/micro dual scale crack model can be established as shown in Figure 1 [22], where \( r \) is a distance measured from the crack tip, and related to the crack propagation segment size at each time. The front of the zone is a V-shape notch which is simplified from the intergranular and transgranular defects with different grain boundary conditions. The restraining stresses would prevail on the cut surfaces denoted by \( \sigma_0 \). The damage degree can be expressed by the ratio of restraining stress to applied stress \( \sigma_8 \). Initially, the stress ratio \( \sigma_0 / \sigma_8 = 1 \) when the value of crack size \( a \) is very small. As the damage development and the crack size \( a \) increase with the cycle number of local load, the stress ratio gradually drops from 1 to 0, indicating the development of a fatigue crack from micro-scale to macro-scale [18]. Hence, the macro/micro dual crack model can describe the total fatigue process from micro to macro scales in a consistent way instead of dividing a continuous fatigue process into two different stages [23].

As wires and cables only bear tension, the tension crack (mode I) is the most common pattern, and, in this study, the stress along the wire (one dimensional) is analyzed for simplicity [22–27], though the stress distribution is much more complex with three-dimensional stresses. Furthermore, at the micro scale, the material is anisotropy, while in the analysis at the macro scale, the isotropic elasticity assumption is often used [24]. The material properties may change as the damage develops from micro to macro scale [17,28]. Therefore, different values for the Poisson’s ratio and shear modulus are used at micro and macro scale, respectively. In the macro/micro dual scale crack model, the expression of the macro/micro dual scale strain energy density factor for the tension mode crack can be obtained using

\[ E^{(\text{macro/micro})} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-a}^{a} \sigma(x) \varepsilon(x) \, dx \]
Equation (1) [24], where the superscript “macro” and subscript “micro” indicate that $\Delta S_{\text{macro}}^{\text{micro}}$ is related to both microscopic and macroscopic factors.

$$\Delta S_{\text{macro}}^{\text{micro}} = a \frac{2(1 - 2v_{\text{micro}})(1 - v_{\text{macro}})^2 \sigma_{\Delta} \sigma_{\text{m}} G^* (1 - \sigma^*)^2}{G_{\text{macro}}} \sqrt{\frac{d}{r}},$$

(1)

$$G^* = \frac{G_{\text{micro}}}{G_{\text{macro}}}, \sigma^* = \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_0} = d^* = d_0, \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{\Delta} = \sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}, \quad \sigma_{\text{m}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} + \sigma_{\text{min}}}{2}. \quad (3)$$

$v_{\text{micro}}$ and $v_{\text{macro}}$ are the microscopic and macroscopic Poisson’s ratios, while $G_{\text{micro}}$ and $G_{\text{macro}}$ are the microscopic and macroscopic shear module, respectively. $d^*$ is the micro/macro characteristic size ratio, and $d$ is the characteristic size of the local region, which can distinguish the regions of microscopic and macroscopic effects. $d_0$ is the grain characteristic size of the material, for steel, it can be taken as $10^{-3}$ mm [25]. $\sigma_{\Delta}$ and $\sigma_{\text{m}}$ are the stress range and the mean stress caused by cycle loading, respectively, which can be obtained according to $\sigma_{\text{max}}$ and $\sigma_{\text{min}}$ of the stress time-history along the axis of the cable.

**Figure 1.** Macro/micro dual scale crack model. Adapted with permission from [22], Copyright 2006, Elsevier Ltd.

### 2.2. Trans-Scale Formulation for Fatigue Crack Growth of Steel Wire

Previous studies [23,29,30] showed that the fatigue crack in steel wires initially has a circular front and then gradually changes to a straight line crack front and finally fractures without necking effect, exhibiting the brittle characteristics. In spite of the surface effect, a simplified crack model with an equivalent straight front in instead of clam-shell configuration shown in Figure 2 is adopted, according to the assumption that the crack depths in the direction of crack propagation are the same. The equivalent edge crack depth $a_c$ will remain the same as the crack size $a$. Hence, according to the
dual scale fatigue edge crack model, the fatigue crack growth rate \( \frac{da}{dN} \) from micro to macro can be described through the following Equation [24]:

\[
\frac{da}{dN} = B (\Delta S_{\text{macro}}^{\text{micro}})^m,
\]

where \( N \) stands for the number of load cycle. \( B \) and \( m \) are two material fatigue parameters, which can be obtained from laboratory tests. Figure 3 shows the relation between \( \frac{da}{dN} \) and \( \Delta K \) (the amplitude of stress intensity factor) for high strength galvanized steel wires in logarithmic coordinate system, ignoring the mean stress effect, where trans-dimension effect is represented through a straight line [29]. Based on the method in [30], the crack growth rate \( \frac{da}{dN} \) can be substituted into the logarithmic form of Equation (4), and \( B \) can be determined according to the slope in Figure 3. For the high strength steel wire, \( m \) is approximately equal to 1 [30].

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** Crack and equivalent depth of steel wire. Adapted with permission from [30], Copyright 2008, Springer.

![Figure 3](image3.png)

**Figure 3.** \( \frac{da}{dN} \) versus \( \Delta K \) in logarithmic coordinate system. Reproduced with permission from [30], Copyright 2008, Springer.

2.3. **Fatigue Crack Growth of Cable**

A cable is comprised of strands of steel wires wrapping in polymeric tubes with spacing filled by polymers and matrix. Since the cable is no longer homogeneous, the crack growth should be distinguished from that in the steel wire; therefore, extension of the crack growth model in steel wires
is needed. In this study, the differences in material properties and strengths between cables and wires are considered. The fatigue crack growth with depth $a$ in a cable can be depicted by Equation (4), while the values of $B$ and $m$ are different from those for steel wires. In addition, $\sigma_a$ and $\sigma_m$ should be obtained using the rain-flow counting [31], based on the stress time-histories of cables due to random vehicle loads.

Assuming that the material and geometric parameters are fixed [32], as shown in Equation (5), the crack profile is simplified with an equivalent straight front shown in Figure 2. The relationship between $a$ and $N$ can be computed for $m = 1$ by integrating Equation (4), as shown in Equation (6).

$$G^* = \frac{G_{macro}}{G_{micro}} = 2, \sigma^* = \sigma_0, d^* = \frac{d_0}{d_c} = 1, \frac{d_0}{r} = 1, \nu_{micro} = 0.4,$$

Equation (5)

$$\ln[a(N)] = B \frac{0.392 (1 - \nu_{macro})^2 \sigma_a \sigma_m}{G_{macro}} (N - N_0).$$

Equation (6)

As a result, $a$ can be expressed as follows [33],

$$a(N) = a_0 e^{0.392 (1 - \nu_{macro})^2 \sigma_a \sigma_m (N - N_0)}.$$

Equation (7)

where $a_0$ and $N_0$ are the depth and cycle number of the initial crack, and the initial value follows the normal distributions, with a mean value and deviation of 0.01 mm and 0.012 mm [29,34], respectively, and $N_r = N - N_0$. $B$ and $\nu_{micro}$ can be taken as 1.06 $\times$ $10^{-6}$ and 0.3, respectively [35]. According to the elastic mechanics, the following relationship presented in Equation (8) is adopted between shear modulus and elastic modulus:

$$G_{macro} = \frac{E_c}{2 (1 + \nu_{macro})}.$$

Equation (8)

To consider the influence of composite construction on the elastic modulus of the cable, the following Equation (9) is adopted [36]:

$$E_c = \beta E, \beta \leq 1.0,$$

Equation (9)

where $E$ is the elastic modulus of steel wire and $\beta$ can be taken as 0.81 [37].

Consequently, Equation (10) can be obtained as follows

$$a(N_r) = a_0 e^{0.355 \sigma_a \sigma_m (N - N_r)}.$$

Equation (10)

3. FE Modeling of the Runyang Cable-Stayed Bridge

3.1. Bridge Description

The Runyang Bridge, open to traffic in 2005, consists of a suspension bridge (with a main span of 1490 m) and a cable-stayed bridge (175.4 m + 406 m + 175.4 m), as shown in Figure 4. The RCB has a streamlined, closed, flat, steel-box girder supported by 52 cables on each side. The cables consist of unbounded high-strength parallel strands coated by double synchronous extrusion high-density polyethylene (HDPE) protection tubes, as shown in Figure 5. Each cable has a nominal diameter of 80 mm, consisting of 37 steel strands, and the section area of the cable is 5.02 $\times$ $10^{-3}$ m$^2$. The nominal fracture strength of the steel strand is no less than 1860 MPa consisting of seven steel wires, whose nominal diameter is 5 mm and the elastic modulus is 1.998 $\times$ $10^5$ MPa.
3.2. FE Modeling

In order to investigate the fatigue behavior of cables of the bridge, a FE model of the RCB is developed using the FE program ANSYS (Version 12.0, ANSYS, Inc.: Drive Canonsburg, PA, USA, 2009) [13], as shown in Figure 6. The towers are modeled using the 3D iso-parametric beam elements (i.e., the Beam4 element in ANSYS) having six degrees of freedom (DOFs) at each node. The stayed-cables are modeled using the 3D linear elastic link elements (i.e., the Link10 element) with three DOFs for each node. Those link elements are defined to bear tension only. The cable stresses in the equilibrium configuration are input in terms of initial strains. The material properties and real constants (i.e., areas of cross-section, moments of inertia, etc.) are strictly calculated and assigned to the corresponding elements. The box girders are modeled using shell elements (i.e., the Shell181 element). To reduce the number of elements, the orthotropic decks and bottom plates of the girder are modeled respectively using a layer of plates without U-ribs, and these plates are assigned with orthotropic material properties. However, the decks near the mid-span are refined, so as to facilitate the application of moving loads at the mid-span. The beam188 elements are used simulating the braced truss diaphragms. The concrete and steel blocks, placed inside the box-girders at two side spans to adjust the configuration of the bridge, are modeled using the Mass21 element. Each end of the girder is coupled with one tower cross-beams, except that the longitudinal displacement is free. During the analysis, the large-deflection effect option is selected.
3.3. Validation of FE Model

First, the cable forces under gravity load are calculated and are compared with the test results (half bridge), as shown in Figure 7, where good agreement is observed, showing the effectiveness of the FE model. It is worth noting that the forces of cables near piers, pylons and mid-span are relatively larger. Note that the cables in Figure 4 are labeled from “Zhenjiang” to the south tower as A13, A12, ..., and A1, from the south tower to mid-span as J1, J2, ..., and J13, from mid-span to the north tower as J1′, J2′, ..., and J13′, from the north tower to “Yangzhou” as A1′, A2′, ..., and A13′, respectively.

![Figure 6. FE model of the Runyang Cable-stayed Bridge.](image)

![Figure 7. Comparison between calculated and measured cable forces (under gravity load).](image)

In addition, one static load case (i.e., load case 1) in the completion test of the bridge, as shown in Table 1, is randomly selected to further validate the force increments under vehicle loads. As shown in Figure 8, the calculated force increments, especially for the long cables near piers and mid-span are in good agreement with the measured ones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Test</th>
<th>Truck Positions</th>
<th>Illustration</th>
<th>Truck Configuration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>Sixteen trucks, symmetrically loaded at the mid-span in 4 lines and 4 rows</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Illustration" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="Configuration" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Description of load case 1.
3.4. Force Analysis in Cables

Figure 9 further shows the force time-histories of the cable J13 (randomly selected), when a truck in case 1 is traveling in different lanes. It is observed that the cable force is influenced by the passing truck within a distance of about 52.5 m, especially within 15 m. Transversally, though in general the force responses are similar, the peak values are larger when the truck is in outer and middle lanes than in the inner lane. Therefore, for accurate evaluation of cable life, transversal and longitudinal positions of the cable should be considered.

4. Fatigue Life Prediction of Bridge Cables

4.1. Vehicle Load Model

According to the records from toll stations of the RCB [13], there were a total of 371,167 vehicles passing through the bridge during 28 July 2011 to 31 August 2011. Information including the types of vehicles, number of vehicles in each type, number of axles, and axle weights, etc., is obtained and analyzed. Taking the probabilistic properties of axle weights for example, as summarized in Table 2, there are mainly six types of vehicles crossing the bridge, and the axle weights of most types of vehicles are described by a single-peak probability density function (PDF); however, for the last three types, multi-peaks exist in the PDFs, and therefore, a weighted sum of PDFs are used to describe such distributions.
Using the video camera, the transversal positions (i.e., outer lane, middle lane or inner lane) are also identified, as shown in Table 3. It is observed that 36.65 percent and 42.03 percent vehicles ran in the middle lane and inner lane (i.e., the fast lane), respectively; whereas 21.32 percent vehicles ran in the outer lane (i.e., the slow lane). For the vehicle type 1 for light weight, most of them (i.e., 41.23 percent) were in the fast lane, and only 9.7 percent were in the slow lane. However, for the vehicle type 6 with heavy weight, their lane occupation was just opposite to that of vehicle type 1. Most heavy trucks were in the middle lane and the slow lane, while only a small portion of them were in the fast lane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Percentage in Traffic Volume (%)</th>
<th>Percentage in Each Lane (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Outer Lane</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76.66</td>
<td>9.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.54</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>8.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Probabilistic properties of axle weights (dimensions in kN).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vehicle Type</th>
<th>Graphic Illustration</th>
<th>Variable Designation</th>
<th>Distribution Type</th>
<th>Mean Value</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>[Illustration]</td>
<td>$AW_{11}$</td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{12}$</td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>8.55</td>
<td>3.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>[Illustration]</td>
<td>$AW_{21}$</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>48.29</td>
<td>14.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{22} = AW_{23}$</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>78.54</td>
<td>36.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>[Illustration]</td>
<td>$AW_{31}$</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>38.16</td>
<td>10.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{32}$</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>35.66</td>
<td>12.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{33}$</td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>115.10</td>
<td>65.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>[Illustration]</td>
<td>$AW_{41}$</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>46.26</td>
<td>10.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{42}$</td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>52.40</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{43} = AW_{44}$</td>
<td>Normal (0.18)</td>
<td>33.83</td>
<td>5.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (0.82)</td>
<td>82.30</td>
<td>29.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>[Illustration]</td>
<td>$AW_{51}$</td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>48.46</td>
<td>6.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{52}$</td>
<td>Normal (0.23)</td>
<td>43.56</td>
<td>3.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{53} = AW_{54} =$</td>
<td>Normal (0.37)</td>
<td>74.01</td>
<td>24.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (0.4)</td>
<td>132.12</td>
<td>18.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{55}$</td>
<td>Normal (0.27)</td>
<td>22.96</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{56} = AW_{57} =$</td>
<td>Normal (0.30)</td>
<td>52.23</td>
<td>13.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (0.43)</td>
<td>83.35</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>[Illustration]</td>
<td>$AW_{61}$</td>
<td>Lognormal</td>
<td>53.56</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{62} = AW_{63}$</td>
<td>Normal (0.13)</td>
<td>35.35</td>
<td>4.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (0.87)</td>
<td>82.17</td>
<td>15.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$AW_{64} = AW_{65} =$</td>
<td>Normal (0.07)</td>
<td>22.68</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (0.16)</td>
<td>38.11</td>
<td>13.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Normal (0.77)</td>
<td>80.94</td>
<td>13.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Constitution of vehicles.
4.2. Fatigue Analysis Approach

To obtain probabilistic distributions of $\sigma_m$, $\sigma_\Delta$ and $N_c$, an analysis approach is developed in the MATLAB (Version R2009a, MathWorks, Inc.: Apple Hill Drive Natick, MA, USA, 2009) environment and is outlined as follows:

(1) According to the vehicle load model in Tables 2 and 3 a series of vehicles are generated according to the distribution of the vehicle type, the transversal position of vehicle loads, axle loads and the axle spacing by using the truncated Latin Hypercube sampling (LHS) [38] or random sampling.

(2) According to the vehicle parameters determined in the above step, loads of a given vehicle are applied on the FE model in ANSYS. Each load step corresponds to a static FE analysis, and after each load step, the loads move forward to simulate the movement of the vehicle. Thereafter, the stress time-histories of a particular vehicle can be obtained.

(3) Rain-flow counting [31] is conducted to obtain the mean stresses, the stress ranges and the corresponding number of cycles; after that, regression analysis is performed to obtain the PDFs of $\sigma_m$, $\sigma_\Delta$.

(4) According to Equations (9) and (10), the fatigue life can be calculated as follows:

$$T = \frac{N_c}{365 \times ADV \times N_c} = \frac{1.805Eln (a_{cr}/a_0)}{B (1 + DLA)^2 \sigma_m \sigma_\Delta} / (365 \times ADV \times N_c),$$

where $T$ is the fatigue life (in year) and $ADV$ represents the number of average daily vehicles. $DLA$ is the coefficient of dynamic load amplification, which has a mean value of 0.057 and the COV of 0.8 [39]. The critical depth of crack, $a_{cr}$, is calculated according to the critical wire broken rate of a cable, which follows a normal distribution with the mean value of 4mm in this study [40]. Considering the high level of uncertainty of material properties, $B$ and $E$ are treated as random variables, with their probabilistic properties listed in Table 4.

4.3. Results and Discussion

According to the above analysis method, the probabilistic distributions of $\sigma_m$, $\sigma_\Delta$ and $\sigma_m \sigma_\Delta$ of cables J13, J12 and J11 are obtained, as shown in Figure 10, where it is observed that these distributions can be represented through normal or lognormal PDFs. Compared with cables J11 and J12, the longer cable J13 may have a slightly smaller mean stress, which is consistent with the calculated and measured results in Figure 7, while it is subjected to larger stress range. Based on the PDFs of $\sigma_m \sigma_\Delta$ and the probabilistic distributions of $N_c$, the probabilistic fatigue lives of the cables J13, J12 and J11 are obtained using Equation (12) from Table 5, and it is observed that the mean lives of cables are 29.11, 34.85 and 44.54 years, respectively. However, the standard deviation of fatigue lives of the three cables are considerable, being 10.32, 13.15 and 17.47 years, respectively, indicating that there are high possibilities for the cables to have a shorter life than designed (i.e., 30 years). It is also observed from Figure 10 that longer cables show shorter lifetime, and one reason is that in the Runyang Cable-Stayed Bridge, longer cables are subjected to larger loads, resulting in larger stress amplitudes, as shown in Figure 10d–f. It is
also worth noting that in material engineering, a specimen with longer length has a shorter lifetime due to the higher probability of having a weaker link [43].

Table 5. Predicted fatigue lives of cables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Serial Number of Cables</th>
<th>$\sigma_m\sigma_\Delta$ (MPa$^2$)</th>
<th>$T$ (year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>J13</td>
<td>4858.193</td>
<td>29.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J12</td>
<td>4059.391</td>
<td>34.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J11</td>
<td>3175.808</td>
<td>44.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusions

This paper presents the probabilistic fatigue life prediction of bridge cables based on multiscale and mesoscopic fracture mechanics. According to the methodologies and assumptions adopted in this paper, the following conclusions are drawn.

![Figure 10](image-url)
1. Fatigue crack growth in stay cables is a multiscale process, influenced not only by the initial defects, material properties, and also by some global parameters, such as cable length, mean stress, longitudinal position and vehicle loads, etc. According to the FE analysis, long cables near bridge piers, pylons and mid-span may be more prone to fatigue than the others, and transversal positions of vehicles may influence the cable force, which calls for a more comprehensive vehicle load model including lane occupation.

2. The fatigue crack growth, on the other hand, abounds with uncertainties, so that a probabilistic analysis approach is proposed, which is based on a probabilistic vehicle load model, finite element analysis and multiscaling and mesoscopic fracture mechanics. The proposed uncertain parameters, with their probabilistic properties, are defined and a demonstration study is made.

3. According to the probabilistic FE analyses, the mean lives of the three cables are ranging from 29.11 to 44.54 years; however, the standard deviation of fatigue lives of the three cables are considerable, indicating that there are high possibilities for the cables to have a shorter life than designed.
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