Research Progress of Gas Sensor Based on Graphene and Its Derivatives: A Review
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Featured Application: gas sensors based on graphene and its derivatives have brilliant development prospects on innovating composite material and designing appropriate structure.

Abstract: Gas sensors are devices that convert a gas volume fraction into electrical signals, and they are widely used in many fields such as environmental monitoring. Graphene is a new type of two-dimensional crystal material that has many excellent properties including large specific surface area, high conductivity, and high Young’s modulus. These features make it ideally suitable for application for gas sensors. In this paper, the main characteristics of gas sensor are firstly introduced, followed by the preparation methods and properties of graphene. In addition, the development process and the state of graphene gas sensors are introduced emphatically in terms of structure and performance of the sensor. The emergence of new candidates including graphene, polymer and metal/metal oxide composite enhances the performance of gas detection significantly. Finally, the clear direction of graphene gas sensors for the future is provided according to the latest research results and trends. It provides direction and ideas for future research.

Keywords: graphene; gas sensor; sensitive; response time; composite; synthesis

1. Introduction

With the improvement of industry, living standards and the emphasis on the environment, the detection of toxic and hazardous gases is facing higher challenges. In addition, sensors sensing the surrounding gas environment play an important role in this field. Gas sensors are devices that convert the gas volume fraction into corresponding electrical signal [1]. They are of crucial importance in environmental monitoring, industrial chemical processing, public safety, agriculture and medicine [2]. With the development of science and technology, the development of gas sensors towards high sensitivity, high selectivity, fast response, low cost, low power consumption, stability and portability has led to the search for new and superior gas-sensing materials [3].

Metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) gas sensors are the most wildly used gas sensors in the world for production and use due to its high sensitivity and fast response time [4]. The sensing mechanism of the MOS gas sensors is attributed to changes of electric charge carriers caused by oxidation or reduction reactions occurring at the surface of the metal oxide [5]. However, they still have the disadvantages of short life, poor selectivity and high operating temperature. Sensitivity is not sufficient for the application of precision measurement. The key indicator of material gas sensitivity is the specific surface area, which is the total surface area of a material per unit of mass [6]. The large specific surface area of nanomaterials facilitates the adsorption of gas molecules, thereby enhancing the sensitivity of gas detection. Theoretical and experimental results showed that [7] graphene and its derivatives, such as graphene oxide (GO) and reduced graphene oxide (rGO), exhibit large specific surface area,
excellent conductivity, and easy adsorption of gas molecules, and the surface can easily be modified by functional groups, so it has good gas sensing properties.

Graphene, a monolayer of graphite sheet consisting of sp² hybridized carbon atoms covalently bonded to three other atoms which was first isolated by Geim and Novoselov using micro-mechanical peeling of graphite in 2004, so they won the 2010 Nobel Prize in Physics [8]. Graphene is the thinnest and highest strength material in nature at present and has the advantages of strong electric conductivity and heat conductivity, and is almost transparent and dense, thus attracts people’s attention [9].

Nowadays, there are many studies about graphene gas sensors focused on the performance improvement in the field of composite materials, computational chemistry and Micro-Electro-Mechanical System (MEMS). Considering the practical application of graphene gas sensor, we need to find the most potential direction. In this article, the development process and the state of art of graphene gas sensors are introduced. The direction of graphene gas sensors for the future is also provided. The review provides important reference for follow-up research work.

2. Gas Sensor

2.1. Key Parameters of Gas Sensor

Gas sensors are the crucial components to detect the type and concentration of gas. The components can transform gas composition, gas concentration and other information from non-electricity to electricity to achieve the measurement of gas [10].

The key parameters of gas sensor measuring performance include the following aspects [11]:

1. Sensitivity

The sensitivity represents the change degree in response [12]. Sensitivity is usually expressed as the ratio of the resistance of the gas sensor in the gas under test to the resistance in normal air (or N₂) and is denoted by \( S = \frac{R_g}{R_a} \), where \( R_g \) means the resistance of gas sensing materials at a certain concentration of target gas, while \( R_a \) means the resistance of gas sensing materials at ambient air atmosphere).

2. Selectivity

Selectivity is the ability of gas sensors to differentiate gas species in the presence of multiple gases. The sensitivity of a good sensor to target gas should be higher than that of the interfering gas. Response time (\( t_{\text{res}} \))/Recovery Time (\( t_{\text{rec}} \)).

The response time and recovery time reflect the response and desorb speed of the gas sensor to the detected gas. The faster is the speed, the better is the performance. When the gas-sensitive material is in contact with the gas to be measured or the gas concentration changes, it takes a certain time for the material resistance to change and reach a steady state. We define the response time, expressed as \( t_{\text{res}} \), as the time it takes for the resistance to reach 90% change at this concentration when the sensor contacts the detected gas. Similarly, the recovery time is defined and expressed as \( t_{\text{rec}} \).

3. Stability

Stability is the ability of gas sensors to maintain the same output characteristics over a specified period when the measured gas concentration remains unchanged but other conditions change. If the fluctuation is fierce, the accurate information of the detected gas cannot be reflected.

4. Repeatability

Repeatability is the degree to which test results are consistent when gas sensors are continuously tested in the same test environment. Repeatability can affect the working life of the sensor.
5. Limit of detection (LOD)

The LOD is the minimum gas concentration that gas sensors can detect. The concentration requirements of the detection limit will be different for different application areas. LOD is usually defined on the basis of signal to noise ratio (S/N), that is, the signal measured by the low level sample is compared with the signal measured by the blank sample to calculate the minimum concentration that can be reliably detected. The detection limit is generally determined by a S/N of 3:1.

6. Working temperature

The working temperature is the temperature that can give the gas sensor its highest sensitivity. The adsorption and desorption rate of gas depends on the reaction temperature, and different sensing characteristics are obtained at different operating temperatures.

2.2. Graphene Gas Sensors with Different Working Principles

Graphene has excellent electron mobility and large specific surface area, and exhibits good gas sensing properties. Graphene material as a p-type semiconductor contains many holes [13], and has pull electron effect in gas atmosphere. After gas molecules are adsorbed by graphene, the gas molecules will undergo weak hybridization and coupling with the electron on the surface, and the fermi level to move up and down in small increments. The state of electron doping or hole doping will change the fermi level, thus lead to changes in graphene conductivity. Thus, graphene is particularly sensitive to the detection of adsorbed small molecule gases. The donor and acceptor depend on the relative position of the electron energy level orbit of the system. If the valence band of the adsorbed gas is higher than the fermi surface of graphene, the gas molecules act as donor for the electrons; on the contrary, if the valence band is lower than the fermi surface of graphene, the gas molecules act as acceptor.

The working principles of graphene sensors mainly include the following: resistive, Field Effect Transistor (FET), quality sensitive and MEMS.

2.2.1. Resistive Gas Sensor

Most gas sensors reported so far are operated in a resistive mode. The gas concentration is analyzed by direct test sensor with the change of resistance under the interaction with the detection gas [14]. Its structure is shown in Figure 1. The substrate is made of insulating material such as ceramic or silicon dioxide, and the graphene material or various graphene composite materials is coated or grown on the surface of the substrate as gas-sensing materials. The electrodes are drawn at both ends of the gas-sensitive material. When the detected gas and gas-sensitive materials in contact, gas molecules adsorbed on the surface of gas-sensitive materials resulting in resistance changes. The gas can be measured qualitatively and quantitatively according to the change of resistance [15].

![Figure 1. Resistive gas sensor structure.](image-url)
2.2.2. FET Gas Sensor

FET gas sensors detect the gas using the dependency of the current on the field strength. FET devices have three electrodes: the source (S), the gate (G), and the drain (D). The source–drain current ($I_{DS}$) of the FET has a significant relationship with the gate voltage ($V_g$), and there is a significant change in the gas detection. As for p-type semiconductor, an induced charge is generated in the semiconductor near the gate insulating layer to form a conductive channel under the influence of a negative gate bias ($V_G$) [16]. Graphene exhibits a strong field effect [17]. Thus, graphene based FET sensors have become promising sensors. When a gas molecule is adsorbed on the surface of graphene, the local concentration of carriers changes, resulting in a change in $I_{DS}$ [18].

2.2.3. Quality Sensitive Gas Sensor

The principle of quality sensitive sensor is that the operating frequency of the device changes with the type and concentration of the gas being detected. The change in frequency is proportional to the mass of gas molecules absorbed [19]. Quality sensitive sensors can be divided into surface acoustic wave (SAW) sensors and quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) sensors. Among them, the SAW gas sensors are more common than the QCM gas sensor. The SAW device is composed of a delay line, and graphene film is wrapped on the signal propagation path of the delay line to adsorb the gas molecules. When the gas concentration and species change in the sensitive region, the information of the sound speed and phase at the delay line will change, and leads to the change of electrical signal.

2.2.4. MEMS Gas Sensor

To achieve device miniaturization, low power consumption, fast sensor response, and mass production, micro-electromechanical systems based on new semiconductor processes are used in sensor technology. Lee et al. (2017), from Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute, prepared a MEMS-based NO$_2$ gas sensor ZnO nano-rods for the Internet of Things (IoT) monitoring system. The sensor has a sensitivity of 0.36 at 0.5 ppm and a power consumption of 15 mW, showing that it can be used in IoT operating at low power [20]. IoT refers to a vast network of information sensing devices such as radio frequency identification devices, infrared sensors, global positioning systems, laser scanners, gas sensors and other devices combined with the Internet. All items connect as a network, and are easy to identify and manage. The new type gas sensors with good sensitivity and low power micro-heater are more practical in IoT than commercial semiconductor gas sensors considering they need heaters with a power of a few hundreds of milliwatts. To achieve device miniaturization, low power consumption, fast sensor response, and mass production, microelectromechanical systems based on new semiconductor processes are used in sensor technology. Gas sensor arrays and flexible gas sensors with superior performance have been prepared [21].

3. Preparation and Properties of Graphene

3.1. Preparation of Graphene

Micro-mechanical exfoliation is the earliest method for preparing graphene. Andre Geim et al. (2004), from the University of Manchester, obtained the single-layer, high-purity graphene for the first time by repeatedly peeling flakes of graphite off the platform which were fixed onto a silicon dioxide/silicon (SiO$_2$/Si) substrate using scotch tapes [22]. Single-layer graphite can be torn down by pasting the graphite tape repeatedly due to the weak van der Waals forces between graphite sheets.

With the progress of research on graphene, it is found that the production of graphene by mechanical peeling is low in yield, poor in repeatability and difficult to precisely control, so it can only be used in laboratories [23]. To make graphene practical, how to rapidly prepare large-area, high-quality monolayers and few layers of graphene films has become a subject of extensive research. Thus far, several fabrication routes for the production of graphene have been established, such as chemical vapor deposition, silicon carbide epitaxial growth method, oxidation–reduction method, etc.
Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) method is controllable and can be widely used in the preparation of graphene to increase the contact area with the test substance, and thus easier to use in the field of sensors [24,25]. In high-temperature decomposable gases (CH\textsubscript{4} and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}), carbon atoms are deposited on the surface of the substrate (such as Cu, Pt, Ni, Ru and Ir) by high-temperature annealing to form single-layer graphene [26–31]. In 2006, Somani et al. first made about 35 layers of graphene using thermal chemical vapor deposition [32]. This method has the advantages of low cost, simple steps, potential for mass production and environmental friendliness, providing a completely new direction for future research. Early in 2009, the B.H. Hong research group at Sungkyunkwan University in Korea used a silicon wafer deposited with a polycrystalline Ni film as a substrate to prepare large-area and small-layer graphene, and graphene successfully transferred from the substrate, which set off the upsurge of CVD graphene preparation [33]. Subsequently, Xuesong Li et al. from the University of Texas at Austin grew graphene on copper foil by a carbon atom chemical vapor deposition method at a temperature of 1000 °C using a mixed atmosphere of methane and hydrogen (H\textsubscript{2}), as shown in Figure 2 [34]. The CVD method is considered to be the most promising method for the preparation of high quality, large area graphene, but there are still some disadvantages: high cost; complex production process; breakage, wrinkle and pollution of graphene and material waste during transfer; and inability to control the layer number of graphene produced due to the uncontrollable carbon source.

Figure 2. SEM image of graphene on a copper foil with a growth time of 30 min [34].

Epitaxial growth refers to the growth of a layer of monocrystalline layer with the same orientation as the substrate on a single crystal substrate, as if the original crystal had been extended outward [35]. The raw material used for epitaxial growth was silicon carbide (SiC). The crystal was heated at 1200–1600 °C, Si atoms evaporate and C atoms remained on the surface, leading to the formation of graphene. Substrate-based epitaxial graphene can be obtained in this way [36]. Berger et al. (2004), from Georgia Institute of Technology, produced a graphene thin layer have similar area as the original SiC on the (0001) crystal plane by heating large area single crystal SiC to high [37]. The epitaxial growth method is highly attractive for the preparation of high-quality graphene. However, there are still some problems in controlling the number of graphene layers and the repeated preparation of large-area, and researchers need to continue deep research.

The oxidation–reduction method is currently the most widely applied way to achieve large-scale industrial preparation of graphene. The raw materials used in this method are cheap and the preparation process is simple. The method firstly prepares the graphene oxide, which is easier to prepare, and then removes the oxygen-containing groups on the surface of the graphene oxide by thermal reduction or redox reaction [38]. There are three dominant methods preparing GO: Brodie method, Staudenmaier method and Hummers method [39–41]. At present, the wildly used method is the Hummers method due to its mild reaction conditions, little environment pollution, simple and safe operation and less time-consuming, which is the result of more than sixty years of research by
Hummers et al. The oxidant is a mixture of potassium permanganate and concentrated sulfuric acid. Although the oxidation–reduction method can produce a large amount of graphene at a relatively low cost, the original structure of graphene cannot be completely recovered even after being reduced by a strong reducing agent. Its electronic structure and crystal integrity have been seriously damaged, limiting its application in certain areas such as microelectronics.

Above all, methods and characteristics of preparing graphene are summarized in Table 1, as shown below.

### Table 1. Different methods for graphene synthesis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Carbon Source</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Micro-mechanical exfoliation</td>
<td>graphite</td>
<td>SiO$_2$/Si</td>
<td>Room temperature</td>
<td>[13]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical Vapor Deposition</td>
<td>CH$_4$, C$_2$H$_2$</td>
<td>Cu, Pt, Ni, Ru, Ir</td>
<td>&gt;1000</td>
<td>[15–23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epitaxial growth</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>1200–1600</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The oxidation–reduction method</td>
<td>graphite</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>&lt;500</td>
<td>[27]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 3.2. The Property of Graphene

Graphene, a single, one-atom-thick sheet of carbon atoms arranged in a honeycomb lattice and the two-dimensional building block for carbon materials, as shown in Figure 3. It has attracted great interest for a wide range of applications. It is also the thinnest material in the world [42]. The thickness of graphene is about 0.335 nm, the bond length is 0.142 nm, the bond angle is 120°.

![Figure 3. Ideal monolayer graphene structure diagram.](image)

The unique structure of graphene determines its unique properties. Graphene has high strength, excellent electrical conductivity and high thermal conductivity, and quantum Hall effect can be observed at normal temperature [43]. It also has a huge surface area, good adsorption and transmission, and optical absorption of exactly $\alpha \approx 2.3\%$ (in the infrared limit, where $\alpha$ is the fine structure constant), and is almost transparent [44]. The strong interaction between single carbon-carbon bonds leads to its high mechanical strength, the Young’s modulus of graphene is up to 1 Tera Pascal (TPa), about five times that of steel [45], and its breaking strength is up to 130 GPa [46].

Graphene has excellent electrical conductivity. The carrier velocity in graphene reaches 1/300 of the speed of light, far exceeding the movement speed of the carrier in the general conductor [47]. Conductivity is up to 106 S/m [48]. In view of the above advantages, graphene is expected to become the core material of the new generation of electronic components in the future.

Graphene also has excellent thermal conductivity. The thermal conductivity of graphene at room temperature is up to 5300 W/m·K, which is higher than that of diamond (2000 W/(m·K)) and carbon nanotube (3500 W/(m·K)) [49]. Graphene is the material with the highest thermal conductivity known at present. It has a good application prospect in the field of integrated circuits.

Graphene has huge surface area. The theoretical calculation showed that the surface area of the monolayer graphene was 2630 m$^2$/g, three times as much as activated carbon [50]. The transmittance of monolayer graphene is 97.7%, almost transparent [51]. Graphene has an important application...
prospect in different fields due to its excellent properties, such as nanomaterials, transparent conductive films, composite materials and sensors [52].

The study of the presence of graphene and its derivatives in aqueous environments is critical to the stability of graphene. Jiang et al. from Washington University in St. Louis studied aqueous aggregation processes of the GO and crumpled GOs (CGO, with different degrees of thermal reduction, and thus oxygen functionality) [53]. The results showed that the more oxidized CGO materials (with more functional groups such as carboxyl and phenolic) are relatively more stable in water. They also studied the interactions of GO and CGO with natural organic matter (NOM) in aquatic environments. The presence of NOM was proven to considerably increase the critical coagulation concentrations (CCC) for all GO materials evaluated, and the effect on CGO was more obvious. The stability is primarily due to enhanced steric repulsion via adsorbed NOM [54]. The research by Jiang et al. provides new information of GO behavior in water and leads people to conduct more in-depth research.

4. Graphene Gas Sensor

4.1. Gas Sensors Based on Pristine Graphene

In 2007, Schedin et al. used mechanically stripped graphene for the detection of individual gas molecules [55]. In the experiment, the response of graphene to 1 ppm ammonia (NH₃), carbon monoxide (CO), nitric oxide (NO) and water vapor was measured and the change of resistance was recorded. Figure 4 shows that the resistance of graphene increases after access NH₃ and CO. The electron transferred to the graphene material as these two gas molecules adsorbed on the surface of graphene, resulting in reduced conductivity, which increased resistance. The opposite happened after access to water vapor and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂).

![Figure 4. Chemical sensitivity of graphene [55].](image)

In 2012, Hwang et al. from Yonsei University studied the response of graphene to NH₃ with different layer number and length-to-width (L/w) ratio [56]. The graphene was prepared from highly oriented pyrolytic graphite (HOPG) through mechanical cleavage. Figure 5a shows the different layers of graphene have similar responses to NH₃, indicating that the graphene layer (mono-, bi- and tri-layer) has no obvious influence on the sensitivity of gas sensing. Figure 5b indicates that the response time and response intensity obviously changes with the change of L/w. To sum up, the key factor that affects the sensing of NH₃ by graphene is the aspect ratio rather than the layers.
The conductivity decreased in NH\textsubscript{3} performed well, thus having broad application prospects. The GF sensor achieved a high response prepared patterned vertical graphene nanosheets using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. Yanyan Wang et al. from Jiangsu University proposed a vertical responsive gas sensor based on three-dimensional porous graphene modulated by varying the geometry of the device exposure area. The latter one, having a sensing area reduced by half with respect to the former one, showed a higher sensitivity upon exposure towards both gases, indicating that the sensitivity can be modulated by varying the geometry of the device exposure area.

Shuanglong Feng et al. from Nanyang Technological University by inexpensive homebuilt microwave plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. The conductivity decreased in NH\textsubscript{3} atmosphere, and increased in NO\textsubscript{2} atmosphere. In 2012, Fazel Yavari et al. from Renssleear Polytechnic Institute detected trace amounts of NO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3} in air at room temperature and atmospheric pressure using graphene films synthesized by CVD. The LOD were 100 parts-per-billion (ppb) for NO\textsubscript{2} and 500 ppb for NH\textsubscript{3}, which were markedly superior to commercially available NO\textsubscript{2} and NH\textsubscript{3} detectors. The sensor also had great recovery characteristic that it took as little as 2 s to reach 90% signal recovery and only 20 s to achieve 100% recovery.

Small size wearable electronic devices are a major trend in the development of science and technology, and demands for scalable and flexible electronics are increasing. In 2016, Junyeong Yun et al. from Korea University adhered stretchable patterned graphene gas sensor driven by integrated micro-super capacitor (MSC) array on the same deformable substrate, as shown in Figure 6a. The sensor used a stretchable micro-capacitor as a substrate; patterned graphene was placed thereon as a gas-sensitive material. The sensor worked without electricity; was soft, wearable, and small; and performed well, thus having broad application prospects.

In 2017, Ricciardella F from Delft University of Technology prepared the graphene sensing layer by chemical vapor deposition on pre-patterned catalyst and then it was eased onto the underlying SiO\textsubscript{2} through a completely transfer-free process. The gas sensing materials had different line width: 5 and 10 µm. The latter one, having a sensing area reduced by half with respect to the former one, showed a higher sensitivity upon exposure towards both gases, indicating that the sensitivity can be modulated by varying the geometry of the device exposure area. Yanyan Wang et al. from Jiangsu University proposed a vertical responsive gas sensor based on three-dimensional porous graphene.
A monolayer of graphene was coated onto the Ag film surface of the LPFG-SPR sensor, which increased the sensitivity of the graphene-based LPFG SPR sensor for high-sensitivity gas sensing and its preparation process, as shown in Figure 8 [65].

The experimental results demonstrated that the sensitivity of the sensor to 50 ppm dimethyl methylphosphonate (DMMP) molecule can be over 10%.

Figure 6. (a) Design concept of biaxially stretchable patterned-graphene sensor integrated with a MSC array; and (b) Current flow, induced by periodic exposure of 200 ppm NO₂, through the graphene sensor operated by an external power supply of 1 V [62].

Figure 7. Vertical responsive gas sensor based on 3D porous graphene ultrathin film [64].

In 2017, Wei Wei et al. from Key Laboratory of Optoelectronic Technology & Systems, Chongqing University proposed a graphene-based long-period fiber grating surface plasmon resonance (LPFG SPR) sensor for high-sensitivity gas sensing and its preparation process, as shown in Figure 8 [65]. A monolayer of graphene was coated onto the Ag film surface of the LPFG-SPR sensor, which increased the intensity of the evanescent field on the surface of the fiber, thereby enhancing the interaction between the SPR wave and molecules. Such features significantly improved the sensitivity of the sensor. The experimental results demonstrated that the sensitivity of the graphene-based LPFG SPR sensor can reach 0.344 nm%⁻¹ for methane, which was improved 2.96 and 1.31 times with respect to the traditional LPFG sensor and Ag-coated LPFG SPR sensor, respectively.

Figure 8. Schematic of the graphene-based LPFG SPR sensor [65].
In 2017, Jong-Hyun Kim et al. from University of Utah presented NH$_3$ sensing with ultra-low energy consumption for fast recovery and a graphene sheet based on a suspended micro-heater, as shown in Figure 9 [66]. The silicon micro-heater periodically heated the sensor at a frequency of 1 Hz, which increased the sensor’s response time, recovery time, and sensitivity. The sensor showed rapid recovery through heating of the silicon heater. As the temperature increased, the desorption gradually increased by reducing hydrogen bonding. The new oscillation method of heating improved the sensitivity to 0.049 (ΔR/ΔR$_0$) measured at a flow rate of 18.8 sccm NH$_3$ (g) for 70 s. The experimental tests showed that a 60% duty cycle does not sacrifice sensitivity or recovery, while dropping the total power consumption from 1.76 mW to 1.05 mW.

**Figure 9.** Schematic view of connection with microheater and power wave generator: (a) 0% duty cycle means non-heating; (b) 60% duty cycle of oscillation with 2 V shows 1.05 mW of energy consumption; and (c) full duty cycle (continuous heating) generates 1.76 mW of energy consumption [66].

It is observed that the pristine graphene has rapid response and low detection limit on NO$_2$, NH$_3$ and some organic gas, and even single atom changes can be detected. However, the sensitivity is not high, and it must be accurately detected by the instrument to have obvious changes. There are a few structures of gas sensor being proposed, such as wearable gas sensor, LPFG-SPR sensor, gas sensor based on micro-heater and so on, which brings some limitations to the application of graphene in the field of gas sensing. Above all, shortening the adsorption process is difficult and this is also one direction to enhance gas sensor performance in the future.

4.2. Gas Sensors Based on Defective and Functionalized Graphene Materials

In 2007, Yonghui Zhang et al. from Lanzhou University simulated the adsorption effect of graphene, boron-doped graphene, nitrogen-doped graphene and defective graphene on different gas molecules, including CO, NO, NO$_2$ and NH$_3$, by first principle calculation [67]. The results showed that graphene had weak adsorption capacity for CO and NH$_3$, and better adsorption effect for NO and NO$_2$. Boron doping seemed to enhance the interaction between graphene and NO, NO$_2$ or NH$_3$. Defective graphene had strong interactions with CO, NO and NO$_2$, but weak interacts with NH$_3$. In summary, the introduction of appropriate doping elements and defects can improve the response of graphene materials to gas molecules.

In 2009, the adsorption capacity and response of gas molecules to aluminum and sulfur doped graphene were further investigated by Dai et al. from National University of Defense Technology [68]. Al-doped graphene was not suitable for the preparation of sensor materials due to its strong reaction to NO and NO$_2$. N-doped graphene was possibly not reactive enough, while B-doped and S-doped graphene were able to chemically bind NO$_2$ and possibly NO as well.

In 2012, Masel et al. grown polycrystalline graphene on the surface of polycrystalline copper foil and researched the influence of graphene line defect on the sensitivity of organic gas [69]. Figure 10 compares the response of the original graphene, graphene microstrip, and defective graphene to a 100 ms pulse of 300 ppb toluene (electron donor) and 1,2-dichlorobenzene (electron acceptor). The original graphene basically did not respond, but the graphene microspheres and defective graphene response is obvious. The linear defects of graphene can improve the gas sensing properties.
In 2012, Pingan Hu et al. proposed a method for preparing graphene gas sensors by printing CVD graphene on a silicon wafer to form a regular-shaped graphene silicon wafer and modifying palladium particles on the surface. The sensor produced by this method has good sensing performance [70]. Sun Jinghua et al. (2014) proposed a patent about the preparation method of CO gas sensor based on nitrogen-doped graphene. This method was used to heat the mixture of urea and graphene powder under vacuum conditions, and the Gr-N/Pt electrode with electrocatalytic activity could be prepared at one time. The prepared sensor had significant electrochemical catalytic effect, short response time and good repeatability [71].

Sulfur hexafluoride (SF₆) is widely used in gas-insulated electrical equipment due to its excellent insulating and arc extinguishing properties. However, partial discharge (PD), which is a common phenomenon during the equipment running processes, causes SF₆ to decompose into various products (e.g., H₂S, SO₂, SOF₂ and SO₂F₂). These products may cause equipment failure. Researchers from the University of Texas at Austin investigated the decomposed gaseous components of SF₆, namely, H₂S, SO₂, SOF₂, and SO₂F₂, adsorbed on pristine and Au-embedded graphene based on first-principles calculations [72]. Au-graphene showed a more obvious adsorption effect on gas molecules than pristine graphene. The doping of Au increased the gas sensitivity of graphene because the metal properties of new structure were altered from the zero-gap semiconductor. A large-area monolayer fluorinated graphene (FG) was synthesized using a controllable SF₆ plasma treatment by Hui Zhang et al. from Soochow University. It is observed by the Raman spectroscopy that the defects are introduced into the monolayer graphene [73]. The FG based gas sensor exhibited fast response/recovery behavior and high sensitivity that the detection limit of NH₃ can reach 2 ppm and the response of 100 ppm NH₃ can reach 3.8% in 30 s at room temperature.

In 2017, F. Ricciardella et al. from Delft University of Technology contrasted the response of mechanical peel graphene (ME-Gr), CVD prepared graphene (CVD-Gr), and liquid peeling graphene (LPE-Gr) toward NO₂ [74], as shown in Figure 11. LPE-Gr (green line) showed no obvious recovery. ME-Gr (black line) showed significant growth after passing gas, and significant decline in the current recovery process. CVD-Gr (red line) trend between the first two. The studies showed that CVD-Gr and LPE-Gr had more obvious defects than ME-Gr. CVD-Gr had many sites on the plane that are prone to gas molecule binding due to its structural diversity. At the same time, LPE-Gr had high energy binding sites, such as defect sites, resulting in its corresponding slow rate.

Figure 10. (a) Ratio of conductance to initial conductance response of CVD-grown defective graphene, CVD graphene microstrip, and 5 µm wide pristine (exfoliated) graphene sensors to 10¹⁴ molecules of toluene; and (b) CVD-defective graphene, CVD graphene microstrip and a 5 µm conductivity change of 10¹⁵ 1,2-Dichlorobenzene molecules [69].
were chemically doped with graphene, and could be used to concentrate gas to improve gas sensing. Researchers [58] have found that defects can enhance the graphene adsorption effect on gas, which aroused widespread concern of researchers. Subsequent experiments also verify this conclusion [60]. Inspired by this, researchers artificially introduced defects into graphene and doped graphene with other elements. The resulting graphene has a very good gas sensitivity and opens up a new gas sensing gap for graphene [63–65].

4.3. Gas Sensors Based on Graphene/Polymer Composites

In 2009, Yaping Dan found that photolithography fabrication of graphene devices would inevitably leave polymer photoresist on the graphene surface at a thickness of about 1 nm [75]. The residue has a significant impact on the device’s transmission performance and gas sensing. Figure 12 shows the response of the graphene sensor with polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) residues to gas with a strong electrical response to ppm-level nonanal vapor before and after the device is washed. These polymers were chemically doped with graphene, and could be used to concentrate gas to improve gas sensing performance. The discovery opened the new dimension of graphene gas sensing field.

Figure 11. Real-time current behavior of ME-Gr (black line), CVD-Gr (red line) and LPE-Gr (green line) based chemi-resistors upon exposure to sequential NO₂ pulses (blue rectangles) at decreasing concentrations from 1.5 down to 0.12 ppm. Each exposure step lasts 4 min, preceded and followed by 20 min long baseline and recovery phases, respectively, in N₂ atmosphere. The baseline preceding the first pulse lasts 30 min in order to allow the device to better stabilize in the test chamber. The current is normalized at the value I₀ during the gas inlet of the first pulse exposure [74].

In the process of preparing graphene, defects are inevitable. The initial theoretical study found that defects can enhance the graphene adsorption effect on gas, which aroused widespread concern of researchers [58]. Subsequent experiments also verify this conclusion [60]. Inspired by this, researchers artificially introduced defects into graphene and doped graphene with other elements. The resulting graphene has a very good gas sensitivity and opens up a new gas sensing gap for graphene [63–65].
Subsequently, many research groups started the preparation of graphene/polymer composite gas sensors. In 2012, Zhang Li et al. from Tsinghua University prepared a graphene oxide/polypyrrole (GO/PPr) polymer and tested gas sensing [76]. The composite exhibited good sensing properties due to its unique structure. The toluene gas showed a fast, linear and reversible response with a sensitivity of $9.87 \times 10^{-4}$.

At the same time, Xiaolu Huang et al. from Shanghai Jiao Tong University demonstrated a practical NH$_3$ gas sensor based on graphene–polyaniline (PANI) hybrids for the first time in 2012 [77]. The combination of graphene and PANI showed positive synergistic effect on ammonia detection that the response was 59.2% to 50 ppm NH$_3$. The material also showed great response to hydrogen gas, which is reported by Zou Y et al. from Guilin University of Electronic Technology [78]. A $t_{\text{res}}$ of 20 s and $t_{\text{sec}}$ 50 s were obtained when the sensor was exposed to 1 vol % hydrogen at 25 °C. The large specific surface area of the PANI-GO composite and the catalytic activity of the Pd nanoparticles were the key factors of enhancement of the interaction between the hydrogen molecules and sensing surface led to the improvement in hydrogen-gas-sensing performance.

In 2013, Yanyan Wang et al. from Suzhou University put forward a highly sensitive gas sensor based on graphene/polyaniline hybrid materials [79]. Polyaniline is an organic semiconductor molecule with excellent performance. Its preparation cost is low; the film making process is simple; it is easily compatible with other technologies; and it can work at normal temperature. It has become a hot spot in the research of gas sensors. The combination of graphene and polyaniline can exert the advantages of two performance materials and is of great significance for improving the performance of the sensor. Researchers dispersed graphene/polyaniline hybrid materials in organic solvents; the dispersion was added dropwise Pt electrode surface and dried to obtain the gas sensor. It can be seen in Figure 13 that the response of this composite to NH$_3$ is greatly increased compared to pure graphene, and the resistance change rate can reach 30%.

![Figure 13](image-url)

**Figure 13.** (a) Response curves of gas sensors based on graphene/polyaniline hybrid materials to different concentrations of NH$_3$; and (b) response curves of graphene/polyaniline hybrid materials and conventional redox graphene to 50 ppm NH$_3$ [79].

During the preparation of graphene devices by photolithography, polymer photoresists inevitably remain on the surface of graphene. The composite material has been shown to have important synergistic effects on certain special gases because of its unique selectivity and sensitivity due to the electrical properties. The graphene/polymer composites has been prepared by complexing graphene with polymers and found that these composites have very good gas sensing properties compared to pure graphene, and their sensitivity can reach as high as 30%.

In 2014, Huiling Tai et al. from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China proposed a graphene-based ternary composite film gas sensor and a preparation method thereof [80], as shown in Figure 14. The gas-sensitive material is a composite of graphene, metal or metal oxide nanoparticles and conductive polymer compound.
4.4. Gas Sensors Based on Graphene/Metal or Metal Oxide Composites

One-dimensional metal oxide nanowires (NWs) or nanorods (NRs), such as ZnO, SnO$_2$, and Cu$_2$O, are widely used in the field of sensing due to their high specific surface area, large aspect ratio, and good flexibility [81]. However, these nanostructures have poor electrical conductivity. The combination between metal oxide and two-dimensional graphene can effectively improve electrical conductivity and improve sensing performance.

Doping has been widely used as an effective way to improve the performance of gas sensors. Doping semiconductor oxides with carbon-based materials results in new physical and chemical properties of the resulting composite, while also increasing the sensitivity and selectivity of graphene sensing. At the same time, the metal oxide and graphene doping can also prevent the graphene sheet in the drying process of aggregation, graphene yield and quality will be greatly enhanced.

In 2011, Jaeseok Yi from Hanyang University, South Korea employed CVD-graphene sheets along with thin metal layers as the top electrodes of vertically aligned ZnO NRs (ZnO NRs-Gr/M), and studied the performance of this composite sensor [82]. The ZnO NRs-Gr/M hybrid structure could keep sufficient space between the whole nanowires to ensure rapid delivery of gas, as shown in Figure 15. Moving gas molecules could maximize exposure to the NR surface, making highly sensitive gas sensor devices, so that the test results more accurate. In addition, ZnO NRs-Gr/M had unique mechanical properties and good light transmittance in the visible spectrum, making it possible to find new applications in the field of flexible machinery or transparent sensors. The device showed rapid response to different concentrations of ethanol vapor, and the response to 10–50 ppm ethanol vapor could reach 9–90.

![Figure 15. Schematic illustrating of the key steps for fabricating the ZnO NRs–Gr/M hybrid architectures [82].](image-url)
In 2014, Sen Liu et al. from Jilin University synthesized reduced graphene oxide-zinc oxide (rGO-ZnO) nanoparticle composites by redox method [83]. Gas sensitivity tests showed that the response of rGO-ZnO nanoparticle composites gas sensor to 5 ppm of NO₂ reaching 25.6%, the response time was 165 s and the recovery time was 499 s.

In 2014, Wang et al. from Nanjing University of Science and Technology synthesized cupric oxide-reduced graphene oxide (CuO/rGO) ternary complex by one-step hydrothermal method [84]. Characterization analysis showed that nanoscale p-n junctions were formed between the CuO and ZnO nanoparticles on the rGO. The obtained CuO-ZnO/rGO ternary composite had excellent acetone response (9.4 to 10 ppm), as shown in Figure 16, almost 1.5 and 2.0 times that of CuO-ZnO and ZnO/rGO, respectively. In addition, ternary composites showed weaker sensing performance on ethanol, which can effectively distinguish between acetone and ethanol. These advantages were attributed to the excellent substrate properties of rGO lamellae and the effective p-n junction of CuO-ZnO.

![Figure 16. The resistance curves of CuO/rGO and pure rGO sensors to 10 ppm of acetone [84].](image)

In 2015, Huiling Tai et al. from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China proposed a patented graphene/metal oxide composite membrane gas sensor [86]. Metal oxide particles, as a catalytic medium, can reduce the chemical barrier of the electron transfer when the gas molecules are in contact with the graphene, so that enhances the gas sensing properties of the single graphene gas sensing film. Experiments showed that the material’s response to 0.5 ppm formaldehyde gas increased from 27.5% to nearly 40% compared with a single graphene gas sensor. Gas sensitivity greatly improved.

In 2016, Liu Sen et al. from Jilin University proposed a graphene gas sensor based on G/SnO₂/ZnO composite and a preparation method thereof [87]. The gas sensitive material was a three-dimensional porous structure material made of graphene, tin oxide and zinc oxide. The material had a pore size of 3 to 10 nm and a BET specific surface area of 100 to 230 m²/g, and
had good adsorbability to gas molecules. The prepared graphene gas sensor had high and fast response to different concentrations of NO\textsubscript{2}, the response time was less than one minute, and had good repeatability.

In 2017, Yang Qu et al. from Huazhong Agricultural University successfully prepared SnO\textsubscript{2} nanoparticles coated with graphene oxide nanoplate by hydrothermal method and tested its response to ethanol, acetone and toluene gas [88]. In Table 2, the prepared GO-SnO\textsubscript{2} composite had more porosity and higher specific surface area than the pure SnO\textsubscript{2}. The increase of specific surface area may be due to the aggregation and ablation of GO particles by GO to form pores, favors gas sensing applications and showed relatively high sensitivity and hence better adsorption of gas molecules. The average pore size of the material was about 10 nm, with small variations in the proportion of doped SnO\textsubscript{2}. Gas detection showed that GO as a dopant can significantly increase the gas sensitivity to gases. According to the experimental results, 0.3 wt % GO sensor had the best gas sensitivity to ethanol, acetone and formaldehyde. For toluene gas, 1.0 wt % GO-SnO\textsubscript{2} had the greatest sensitivity.

### Table 2. Material data analysis of GO-SnO\textsubscript{2} with different mass fraction [88].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>GO Mass Fraction (wt %)</th>
<th>Crystallite Size (nm)</th>
<th>BET (m\textsuperscript{2}·g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
<th>Pore Size (nm)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cm\textsuperscript{3}·g\textsuperscript{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pristine-SnO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>34.87</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>0.0101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5GO-SnO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>59.02</td>
<td>10.54</td>
<td>0.0177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0GO-SnO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>61.36</td>
<td>10.18</td>
<td>0.0192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Recently, Karaduman I et al. from Gazi University synthesized ammonia gas sensors based on rGO decorated by Ag, Au and Pt nanoparticles (NPs) using a single-step chemical reduction process in 2017 [89]. The responses for 1 ppm NH\textsubscript{3} were calculated as 6.52%, 2.87% and 0.5% for AgNPs-rGO, PtNPs-rGO and AuNPs-rGO, respectively. Considering sensitivity, response and recovery time, and LOD, it was observed that AgNPs-rGO sensor exhibited a significant sensing performance for ammonia gas with a low detection at room temperature.

Microwave (MW)-assisted irradiation is an original way for the synthesis of nanomaterials due to its volumetric heating that can eliminate temperature gradient. In 2017, ZnO/graphene nanocomposites was successfully synthesized by Hyoun Woo Kim et al. from Hanyang University using MW irradiation [90]. The response of MW irradiated ZnO/graphene nanocomposite sensor to 1 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} were 12.57%, and for 10 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} were 46.42%, which were higher than pristine ZnO sensor and ZnO/graphene nanocomposite sensor. It is mainly because the graphene sheets had high surface areas, and active defects at the graphene-ZnO heterointerfaces and graphene-ZnO heterojunctions exist on the graphene-ZnO. ZnO NW-rGO nanocomposites also showed excellent response (about 19.2%), fast response time and full recovery to NH\textsubscript{3} at room temperature, which was synthesized by Tao Wang et al. from Shanghai Jiao Tong University in the same year [91]. rGO-ZnO bilayer thin films prepared by facile sol-airbrush technology had 30% enhancement of R/R\textsubscript{0} to chloroform vapor compared to pure rGO film due to the improvement of film structure, which was synthesized by Tao Wang et al. from Shanghai Jiao Tong University in 2017 [92]. Bhati V S et al. (2018), from Indian Institute of Technology Jodhpur prepared rGO-loaded Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures by dropping rGO with different concentration (0–1.5 wt %) on Ni-doped ZnO nanostructures. The sensor with the best rGO concentration of 0.75 wt % showed the maximum sensing response of 63.8% for 100 ppm hydrogen at 150 °C [93].

Titanium dioxide (TiO\textsubscript{2}) is very promising due to its high specific surface, low cost and robustness in chemical/corrosive atmosphere. The hybrids of graphene and TiO\textsubscript{2} improved the surface structure and enriched the active adsorption site, which improved the sensing performance to NH\textsubscript{3} due to the supporting function of TiO\textsubscript{2}, as reported by Ye Z et al. from University of Electronic Science and Technology of China in 2017 [94].
5. Conclusions

Improving the sensitivity of the sensor is the main goal of studying gas sensing. The excellent conductivity of graphene as well as the surface-rich and easily modifiable functional groups gives it great advantage as a resistance sensor. Through summarizing the performance parameters of the gas sensor, it can be seen in Table 3 that the main gases detected by the graphene gas sensor are NO₂, NH₃ and other important industrial gases as well as organic gases such as ethanol and acetone. Pure graphene prepared by various methods generally responds well to gases with concentration below 5%. In recent years, graphene, polymer, metal and metal oxide composite obtained by the new composite materials emerge in the field of gas detection, and the response is up to 30%, which greatly enhances the performance, indicating that graphene composites in the gas sensitive material has a very good potential for development. At the same time, researchers have proposed many different sensors and reduced the production cost, such as vertical structure [55], graphene as a vertical electrode [73] and so on, which is the foundation of the graphene sensor scale production.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Target Gas</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>LOD</th>
<th>Response Time</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CVD Gr</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>11.8 s</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MPCVD Gr</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>1141%</td>
<td>785 ppt</td>
<td>2 s</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CVD Gr</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>ΔI ≈ 26 nA</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>67 s</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>CVD Gr</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>9.3 × 10⁻³ ppm⁻¹</td>
<td>17 ppm</td>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>r-GO</td>
<td>DMMP</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ &gt; 10%</td>
<td>50 ppm</td>
<td>150 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>CVD Gr</td>
<td>methane</td>
<td>0.344 nm%⁻¹</td>
<td>3.5 vol %</td>
<td>50 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[65]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>CVD Gr</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 4.9%</td>
<td>18.8 sccm</td>
<td>70 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F-Gr</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 3.8%</td>
<td>2 ppm</td>
<td>30 s</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>[73]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>CVD-Gr</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>ΔI ≈ 0.0025 A</td>
<td>0.12 ppm</td>
<td>4 min</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[74]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>LPE-Gr</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>ΔI ≈ 0.0020 A</td>
<td>0.45 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Pd-PANI-rGO</td>
<td>H₂</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 25%</td>
<td>1 vol %</td>
<td>20 s</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>rGO-ZnO</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 25.6%</td>
<td>5 ppm</td>
<td>165 s</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>CuO-ZnO/rGO</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>Rₓ/Rₐ = 1.5</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Ni-doped SnO₂/GO</td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>ΔG/G₀ = 27.5%</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td>5.4 s</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[85]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>Rₓ/Rₐ = 160</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>acetone</td>
<td>Rₓ/Rₐ = 200</td>
<td>200 ppm</td>
<td></td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>formaldehyde</td>
<td>Rₓ/Rₐ = 91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>GO-SnO₂</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 6.52%</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>70 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AgNPs-rGO</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 2.87%</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>80 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[89]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PtNPs-rGO</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 0.5%</td>
<td>100 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>ZnO-Gr</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 12.57%</td>
<td>1 ppm</td>
<td>250 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>ZnO NW-rGO</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 19.2%</td>
<td>50 ppm</td>
<td>100 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[91]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>ZnO-rGO</td>
<td>chloroform vapor</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 1.7%</td>
<td>20 ppm</td>
<td>10 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[92]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>TiO₂-rGO</td>
<td>NH₃</td>
<td>ΔR/R₀ = 1.7</td>
<td>10 ppm</td>
<td>114 s</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Future Perspective

The future of graphene in the field of gas detection is undoubtedly very broad. Due to the advantages in sensitivity, selectivity and small-size, graphene gas sensors have a good application prospect in industrial and agricultural production, and environmental monitoring. For example, graphene gas sensors can detect the breakdown products of SF₆, which is meaningful for gas insulating
of electrical equipment [63]. However, the current large-scale application of graphene still has difficulties. There are two main bottlenecks. Firstly, there is no method for large-scale preparation of graphene gas sensors. Secondly, graphene needs to be further treated to improve its response sensitivity to specific gases. Judging from the current development trend, the improvement of response time may be from the following aspects: (1) increasing specific surface area by modifying surface and compositing with other nanomaterials specifically; and (2) designing appropriate structure. Improved graphene-based sensitive materials will occupy an important position in the future of gas-sensitive materials and show greater advantages as the research progresses.
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