A Damage Model for Concrete under Fatigue Loading
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Abstract: For concrete, fatigue is an essential mechanical behavior. Concrete structures subjected to fatigue loads usually experience a progressive degradation/damage process and even an abrupt failure. However, in the literature, certain essential damage behaviors are not well considered in the study of the mechanism for fatigue behaviors such as the development of irreversible/residual strains. In this work, a damage model with the concept of mode-II microcracks on the crack face and nearby areas contributing to the development of irreversible strains was proposed. By using the micromechanics method, a micro-cell-based damage model under multi-axial loading was introduced to understand the damage behaviors for concrete. By a thermodynamic interpretation of the damage behaviors, a novel fatigue damage variable (irreversible deformation fatigue damage variable) was defined. This variable is able to describe irreversible strains generated by both mode-II microcracks and irreversible frictional sliding. The proposed model considered both elastic and irreversible deformation fatigue damages. It is found that the prediction by the proposed model of cyclic creep, stiffness degradation and post-fatigue stress-strain relationship of concrete agrees well with experimental results.
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1. Introduction

Fatigue is an essential mechanical behavior of concrete. In real life, a large number of concrete structures are subjected to fatigue loads, e.g., off-shore structures and bridges. Although the subjected fatigue loads are lower than the relevant materials’ original strength, these structures experience a progressive degradation and subsequently an abrupt failure. In order to investigate these fatigue behaviors, several methods (e.g., fatigue life concepts [1–4] and phenomenological models [5–8]) were developed by researchers and were widely applied in structural engineering. However, during the designing and analysis of structures, these methods [1–8] are only limited to describing the fatigue behaviors at phenomenological and empirical levels without a comprehensive understanding and explanation of the internal mechanism for damage behaviors of concrete under fatigue loading.

The complex constitution of concrete results in a sophisticated damage evolution process during material under loading. Specifically, in the material, the arbitrary distribution of initial defects causes the localization of stresses, which further produce the complex evolution process of damage. Experimental studies [9–14] have been conducted to understand the damage mechanism referring to concrete under fatigue loading. In detail, some experimental results showed that local stresses near the defect cause the heterogeneous crack openings perpendicular to tensile loading, i.e., mode-I cracks. The mode-I cracks were well studied in a number of research papers [9–12]. In addition, [13] applied X-ray techniques to study the microcrack mechanism of concrete, and it was found that microcracks parallel to tensile loading (mode-II cracks) can occur even under pure global axial loading. Reference [14] found the mode-II cracks are able to create irreversible strains due to local stresses.
Furthermore, a series of relevant comprehensive works have been conducted by researchers in the solid mechanical field for more than a decade [15–23]. Moreover, the mechanism of the development for irreversible/residual strains in concrete under fatigue loading have been studied throughout several methods [6,12,24–49]. Concretely, on one hand, based on the micro-mechanics method [6,12,24–40], it is concluded that irreversible strains are produced by a series of types of cracking, which are distinguished as follows: (I) for a compressive case, the transversely propagating crushing band [24,25], the axial wedge-splitting cracks at hard inclusions in hardened cement paste [26], the interface cracks at inclusions [6], the pore-opening axial cracks [27,28], and the inclined wing-tipped frictional cracks (i.e., wing cracks) [29–33]; (II) for a tensile case, the irreversible opening of mode-I cracks due to the locking mechanisms of crack faces [34], the irreversible sliding-like openings of mode-II crack due to the toughness of crack faces [35,36], the irreversible frictional sliding over the crack surface [37,38], the irreversible cracking of the fracture process zone [12,39], and other cracking mechanisms [40]. On the other hand, based on the macro-mechanics method [41–49], researchers have rarely considered the comprehensive mechanism of concrete damage, since they are usually focused on the accurate characterization of macroscopic mechanical behaviors. However, among the above-mentioned literature, certain essential damage behaviors are not well considered in the study of the mechanism for the development of irreversible/residual strains in concrete. Specifically, one type of those damage behaviors is mode-II microcracks, which has attracted the attention of researchers in the field of solids mechanics for decades [15–23].

Therefore, it is necessary to develop a continuum damage model for concrete under fatigue loading with the consideration of this damage behavior. In detail, this damage model is able to be established based on the micro-mechanics and continuum damage mechanics. Micro-mechanics enables us to understand damage behavior under multi-axial loading, and the continuum damage mechanics (CDM) method (i.e., a macro-mechanics method) offers us a convenient way to characterize the macro behavior.

This work develops the above-mentioned contributions [6,12,24–49] in two aspects, the description of the micro-mechanism for mode-II microcracks in multi-axial conditions and the thermodynamics-based modeling of damage behaviors in concrete under fatigue loading.

2. Microcrack Mechanism in Concrete under Multi-Axial Loading

In this section, we briefly recall here the main steps of the methodology followed by the literature [22] for the micro-mechanical description of mode-II microcracks. In addition, the random distribution of initial defects in concrete under multi-axial loading was considered in this work.

2.1. The Definition of the Mode-II Microcracks

Mode-II microcracks are the local shear stress-caused by microcracks on the crack face and in the nearby area of the micro-defects and the mode-I crack. This type of crack is different from the mode-I crack and the mode-II crack. The differences can be concluded as follows, the mode-II microcracks are the result of local shear stresses, which is distinguished from tensile stress-caused by the mode-I crack. Additionally, unlike the mode-II crack, mode-II microcracks usually appear on the face and nearby area of the micro-defects and mode-I crack.

2.2. The Causes for the Mode-II Microcracks under Multi-Axial Loading

When the concrete is subjected to a biaxial tensile load, a micro-cell within a representative volume element (RVE) was introduced and is shown in Figure 1. In detail, the stress flow curve becomes concentrated when it approaches the crack tip, and the plane stress on the plane horizontal and vertical to the direction of the crack propagation is able to be described by the normal and shear stress as follows, \( \sigma_h \) and \( \tau_{hv} \), \( \sigma_v \) and \( \tau_{v} \), respectively (Figure 1c). Due to sufficient normal stress \( \sigma_v \) or stress intensity factor (SIF) \( K_I \) at the crack tip, the crack will initiate and grow through the direction where the maximum SIF exists (i.e., transverse to the direction of maximum principal tension, Figure 1d–e). Therefore, the crack
type, namely the mode-I crack, decreases the effective load area of the micro-cell in the maximum principal tension direction, resulting in the stiffness degradation of the specimen [33–36,50].

![Figure 1. Sketch of stress flow curve around the random selected micro-cell of the representative volume element (RVE) in the specimen under multi-axial tension, and the related coordinates and dimension, where $\sigma_1$ denotes the maximum principal tensile stress.](image)

However, mode-II microcracks have not been well considered in modeling concrete under multi-axial stresses in the literature [6,12,22–40]. Through different approaches, including experimental observations, mechanical analysis and atomic simulations [15–21], it is validated that the real crack (excepting some pre-existing cracks) in the material is blunt, caused by the appearance of mode-II microcracks.

Specifically, mode-II microcracks are produced by the local shear stresses (i.e., the shear stress $\tau_h$ in Figures 1c and 2a) on the face and nearby area of relevant cracks. In a biaxial tensile load case, the directions of local shear stresses are arbitrary due to the random location of initial defects. It is distinguished from that in a uniaxial tensile case [22]. Moreover, several researchers [34–40] observed that the mode-II microcracks, rather than the dislocation-induced plastic flow, appear in complex composite materials such as concrete. Further description and explanation can be found in the literature [6,51].
with consideration of stochastic properties in a multi-axial tension case, as follows.

A and B). The spring type A can be stretched vertically along the direction of the maximum principal (Figure 3).
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In summary, the elastic deformation damage in the micro-cell damage model corresponds to the stiffness degradation, and the irreversible deformation damage is responsible for a certain part of the irreversible strain. Specifically, the local shear stresses produce mode-II microcracks on the crack face and nearby areas, which generate the micro deformation and an irreversible strain \( \varepsilon_{I,f} \) in the material (Figure 3).

Note that it is speculated that the local constraint condition around the micro defect is stable during the crack initiation and/or propagation under multi-axial tension; otherwise, the mode-II dominant failure will appear. The development of the mode-II microcrack leads to certain energy dissipation, and it may also release the tip stress concentration of the mode-I cracks, since it causes a relatively blunter crack tip.

2.3. Influence of the Mode-II Microcracks on the Irreversible Strains in Concrete

It is revealed that the mode-II microcracks are attributed to crack blunting and the irreversible deformation (Figure 2) of material even in brittle material such as glass [21]. In this section, we briefly recall the methodology followed by [22] for the micro-mechanical description and further develop it with consideration of stochastic properties in a multi-axial tension case, as follows.

For simplicity, we introduce a micro-cell damage model (Figure 3) considering the mode-II microcracks to describe the damage behaviors in concrete under biaxial tension. In Figure 3, the region near a certain defect is firstly highlighted and further discretized by amounts of micro-cells (micro-cell \( i \), micro-cell \( i + 1 \), etc.). The behavior of each micro-cell is modeled by two sets of springs (spring type A and B). The spring type A can be stretched vertically along the direction of the maximum principal loading, and spring type B is attached to the middle of spring type A. Unlike spring type A, spring type B cannot be stretched but it can slip between two parallel sets of micro-cells. In such a micro-cell damage model, the elastic behavior and elastic deformation damage are described by spring type A, and the irreversible deformation damage is modeled by spring type B. After unloading, there is a micro deformation \( b \) and an irreversible strain \( \varepsilon_{I,f} \) left in the material. The micro irreversible fractural opening is caused by mode-II microcracks illustrated in the micro-cell damage model.

For simplicity, we introduce a micro-cell damage model (Figure 3) considering the mode-II microcracks to describe the damage behaviors in concrete under biaxial tension. In Figure 3, the region near a certain defect is firstly highlighted and further discretized by amounts of micro-cells (micro-cell \( i \), micro-cell \( i + 1 \), etc.). The behavior of each micro-cell is modeled by two sets of springs (spring type A and B). The spring type A can be stretched vertically along the direction of the maximum principal loading, and spring type B is attached to the middle of spring type A. Unlike spring type A, spring type B cannot be stretched but it can slip between two parallel sets of micro-cells. In such a micro-cell damage model, the elastic behavior and elastic deformation damage are described by spring type A, and the irreversible deformation damage is modeled by spring type B. After unloading, there is a micro deformation \( b \) and an irreversible strain \( \varepsilon_{I,f} \) left in the material. The micro irreversible fractural opening is caused by mode-II microcracks illustrated in the micro-cell damage model.

In summary, the elastic deformation damage in the micro-cell damage model corresponds to the stiffness degradation, and the irreversible deformation damage is responsible for a certain part of the irreversible strain. Specifically, the local shear stresses produce mode-II microcracks on the crack face and nearby areas, which generate the micro deformation \( b \) and an irreversible strain \( \varepsilon_{I,f} \) in the material (Figure 3).

Figure 2. Sketch of microcracks under multi-axial tension, leading to both the stiffness degrading and the irreversible strain developing, where \( \sigma_1 \) denotes the maximum principal tensile stress.
Macro behaviors

Figure 3. Macro behaviors and micro mechanism of a concrete cube under bi-axial tension from various loading statuses. This figure was developed based on [22] (Reproduced with permission from [John Wiley & Sons Ltd], 2016), however, the random distribution of initial defects was considered in this work.

2.4. Irreversible Strains in Concrete under Multi-Axial Loading

For the irreversible strains that are not induced by mode-II microcracks, a simplified frictional sliding model is developed in this work (Figure 4) for revealing the development of the irreversible strains in concrete under multi-axial tension based on the literature [37,38]. In detail, as illustrated in Figure 4, according to this model, the behavior of frictional sliding generally produces a new portion of irreversible deformation $b'$ in the micro-cell of RVE (Figure 4).
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It is noted that the other mechanisms [6,12,24–36,39,40] are not employed in the work for the sake of simplicity. In addition, the irreversible deformation damages are assumed to consist of both mode-II microcracks and irreversible frictional sliding (see Figure 4).

Figure 4. Sketch of tensile irreversible deformations due to both mode-II microcracks and irreversible frictional sliding, where \( \sigma_1 \) denotes the maximum principal tensile stress in multi-axial tension. This figure was developed based on [22] (Reproduced with permission from [John Wiley & Sons Ltd], 2016), however, the random distribution of initial defects was considered in this work.

Thus, in this work, the irreversible strains in concrete under multi-axial tension are produced by two mechanisms: the mode-II microcracks and irreversible frictional sliding. It is noted that the other mechanisms [6,12,24–36,39,40] are not employed in the work for the sake of simplicity. In addition, the irreversible deformation damages are assumed to consist of both mode-II microcracks and irreversible frictional sliding (see Figure 4).

For simplicity, the multi-axial stresses in the material are assumed to be classified into two stress spaces: the tension- and compression-dominant stress spaces (Figure 5). Precisely, the stress spaces are distinguished by the plane vertical to the stress line, which indicates the stresses on triaxis are equal to each other (see Figure 5). Figure 5 illustrates that the tension-dominant stress space consists of both the multi-axial tension space and a certain part of tension-compression space. The compression-dominant stress space represents the rest of the stress space. It is worth mentioning that the micro damage mechanisms are different when related to the above two dominant stresses. Concretely, for simplicity, the micro damage mechanism of concrete under tension-dominant stress is assumed to be similar to that under multi-axial tension developed in this work, and the micro damage mechanism of concrete under compression-dominant stress is assumed to be similar to that under multi-axial compression in [23].

Figure 5. Sketch of the tension- and compression-dominant stress space in two-dimensional.
The effect of the roughness and friction of crack faces on the progressive damage and irreversible strains under fatigue compression is able to be concluded as follows, the roughness and friction of the crack faces for the initial inclined frictional crack in wing cracks [23,29–33] and mode-II microcracks will influence the irreversible behaviors: higher roughness and friction results in the later initiation of the crack and further leads to a lower amount of the irreversible strains.

It is worth mentioning that the new development of micro-mechanical descriptions related to mode-II microcracks in this work has been obtained in the following ways. Firstly, this work extended the description of the micro damage behaviors in concrete under multi-axial tension with the consideration of both the stochastic properties of initial cracks and the influences of mode-II microcracks. It is distinguished from the work in [22], which focused on an idealized model of the initial uniformly and horizontally distributed cracks under uniaxial tension, and from that in [23], which involved a model of wing crack under multi-axial compression. Secondly, this work introduced a simplified description of damage behaviors in concrete under tension-compression, which was not considered in the literature [22,23].

3. Thermodynamics Based Continuum Damage Mechanics Model

Physically, the damage propagation including both the expanded crack length $2l'$ and the developed crack opening $b + b'$ in micro-scale in Figure 6 (discretely modeled by the micro damage model in Figure 6) is an irreversible thermodynamic process characterized in Figure 6. Both microscale behaviors are able to be idealized/unified and thermodynamics modeled by considering the stiffness degradation $E_d$ and the irreversible strains development $\varepsilon^I$ (Figure 6), respectively.

![Figure 6](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Thermodynamics interpretation of micro-scale damage behaviors. Specifically, the elastic deformation damage (mode-I cracks) produces the stiffness reduction $E_d$, and the irreversible deformation damage (both mode-II microcracks and irreversible friction sliding) causes the development of irreversible strains $\varepsilon^I$.

Thus, the complex microscale crack behaviors (Figure 6) are thermodynamically interpreted into a simple macroscale damage mechanics model (Figure 6), which obtained a thermodynamics based CDM model. In the following section, the definition of a new type of damage variable—the irreversible deformation fatigue damage variable—is firstly introduced and then the details for the model formulation are given.

3.1. Thermodynamics Interpretation

In this section, we briefly recall here the main steps of the methodology followed by [52] for the thermodynamics interpretation of the damage variable. This work developed the method from [52] for interpreting the damage variable under fatigue loading.

The infinite deformation behavior of concrete material with damage can be viewed within the framework of thermodynamics with internal state variables. The Helmholtz free energy per unit mass, 

$$
\Psi = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^I}{E_d} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^I}{E_d} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^I}{E_d}
$$
in an isothermal deformation process at the current state of the deformation and material damage, is assumed as follows:

\[ \Psi_n = \psi_n + \gamma_n \] (1)

where the subscript \( n \) denotes the cyclic number of the fatigue loading \( (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, N) \), \( \psi \) denotes the strain energy or a purely reversible stored energy, while \( \gamma \) represents the irreversible energy associated with specific micro structural changes produced by damage (i.e., elastic deformation damage induced by mode-I fractures, and irreversible deformation damage due to both mode-II micro-cracks and irreversible fictional sliding, see Figures 4 and 6). An explicit presentation of the irreversible energy and its rate is generally limited by the complexities of the internal micro structural changes discussed in the recent section; however, only one internal variable damage (contains two components) is considered in this work. The damage contains two components, that is, elastic deformation damage induced by mode-I fractures, and irreversible deformation damage due to both mode-II micro-cracks and irreversible fictional sliding, contribute to stiffness degradation and irreversible strains development, respectively (see Figure 6). For the purpose of developing a schematic description of the concepts based on the proposed micro damage model, the uniaxial stress-strain curves are used in Figure 7. In Figure 7a, \( E_0 \) denotes the initial undamaged stiffness (relates to loading line \( O A_0 \)). The strain and the stress at point \( A_0 \) are denoted by \( \varepsilon_{0,1} \) and \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \), respectively.

### 3.1.1. Interpretation in First and Second Loading Cycle

Firstly, considering the stress-strain response during the first loading cycle, the unloading curve \( A_1B_1 \) is simplified by the line \( A_1B_1 \) in Figure 7a,b in this work. At point \( A_1 \), the strain \( \varepsilon_1 \) and irreversible damage strain \( \varepsilon_1^{\text{de}} \) exist in the specimen. The initial stiffness changes from \( E_0 \) to \( E_1 \). Even though these notations are for the uniaxial case, they are able to be used in indicial tensor notation in the equations without loss of generality. The total strain (described by line \( OB_1G_1H_1 \) in Figure 7a) is given as follows:

\[ \varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1^E + \varepsilon_1^I = (\varepsilon_{0,1}^E + \varepsilon_1^{\text{de}}) + \varepsilon_1^{\text{di}} \] (2)

where the subscript 1 denotes the cyclic number of the first fatigue loading.

The strain energy is expressed as follows (see the area described by points \( B_1A_1H_1 \) in Figure 7a)

\[ \psi_1 = \frac{1}{2E_1}\left(\varepsilon_1^E\right)^2 = \frac{1}{2E_0}\varepsilon_{0,1}^E\varepsilon_1^E \] (3)

\[ \psi_1 = \psi_0^e + \psi_1^{\text{de}} \] (4)

where \( \psi_0^e \) denotes the initial strain energy (see the area \( G_1A_1H_1 \) in Figure 7a), and \( \psi_1^{\text{de}} \) denotes the elastic deformation damage strain energy during the first cycle (see the area \( B_1A_1G_1 \) in Figure 7a), that is,

\[ \psi_0^e = \frac{1}{2E_0}\left(\varepsilon_{0,1}^E\right)^2 \] (5)

\[ \psi_1^{\text{de}} = \frac{1}{2E_0}\varepsilon_{0,1}^E\varepsilon_1^{\text{de}} = \psi_1 - \psi_0^e = \frac{1}{2E_0}\varepsilon_{0,1}^E(\varepsilon_1^E - \varepsilon_{0,1}) \] (6)

And the irreversible energy is expressed as follows (see the area \( OA_0A_1B_1 \) in Figure 7a)

\[ \gamma_1 = \frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{\text{max}}\varepsilon_1^{\text{di}} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{\text{max}}\varepsilon_1^{\text{de}}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0^E\varepsilon_{0,1}^E\varepsilon_1^{\text{di}} \] (7)

\[ \gamma_1 = \gamma_1^{\text{di}} + \gamma_1^{\text{de}} \] (8)

where \( \gamma_1^{\text{di}} \) denotes the irreversible-damage irreversible energy (see the area \( OA_0A_1B_1 \) in Figure 7a), and \( \gamma_1^{\text{de}} \) denotes the elastic deformation damage irreversible energy (see the area \( B_1A_1A_1 \) in Figure 7a), that is,
\[ \gamma_{d1} = \frac{1}{\nu} \frac{\varepsilon_{d1}}{\varepsilon_{s1}} = \frac{1}{\nu} E_0 \varepsilon_{0,1} \varepsilon_{d1} \]  

(9)

\[ \gamma_{de1} = \frac{1}{2\nu} \frac{\varepsilon_{de1}}{\varepsilon_{s1}} = \frac{1}{2\nu} E_0 \varepsilon_{0,1} \varepsilon_{de1} \]  

(10)

In regard to stored energy \( \lambda \) (contains both the purely reversible stored energy \( \psi \) and the irreversible energy \( \gamma \)), one is able to obtain the formula as follows

\[ \lambda_n = \psi_n + \gamma_n \]  

(11)

Figure 7. Sketch of the mechanical parameter definition for concrete under fatigue loading. (a) Energy dissipation; (b) Energy dissipation; (c) Energy dissipation (\( \sigma_{\min} = 0 \)); (d) Energy dissipation (\( \sigma_{\min} \neq 0 \))
When the material is assumed to be a perfect elastic material, it undergoes a strain $\varepsilon_1$ and obtains a stored energy $\lambda_1^0 = 1/2(E_0\varepsilon_1^2) = \psi_1^0$ (i.e., the perfect material’s purely reversible stored energy or strain energy) due to external loads. However, the material focused on in this work is a quasi-brittle material assumed to undergo both elastic deformation damage and irreversible deformation damage. It reduces a certain part of stored energy (denoted by the area $A_0F_1A_1$ in Figure 7a) caused by the elastic deformation damage (i.e., mode-I fracture in the proposed micro-cell damage model, see Figures 4 and 6). Additionally, another part of the stored energy (described by the area $A_0C_1F_1$ in Figure 7a) is also decreased, as a result of the irreversible damage (due to both mode-II micro-cracks and irreversible fictional sliding, see Figures 4 and 6). Thus, the damaged material’s stored energy is derived as follows

$$\lambda_1 = \psi_1 + \gamma_1 = \lambda_1^0 - \lambda_1^d$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where

$$\lambda_1^d = \lambda_1^{de} + \lambda_1^{di}$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

$$\lambda_1^{de} = 1/2\sigma_0^{de} \varepsilon_1^{de}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$$\lambda_1^{di} = 1/2\sigma_0^{di} \varepsilon_1^{di}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

where $\lambda_1^d$ denotes the total damage caused reduction of stored energy, $\lambda_1^{de}$ denotes the elastic deformation damage (i.e., mode-I fracture) caused reduction of stored energy, $\lambda_1^{di}$ denotes the irreversible damage (due to both mode-II micro-cracks and irreversible fictional sliding) caused reduction of stored energy.

Secondly, considering the stress-strain response during the second loading cycle, the unloading curve $A_2B_2$ is also simplified by the line $A_2B_2$ in Figure 7a,b. At point $A_2$, the strain $\varepsilon_2$ and irreversible damage strain $\varepsilon_2^{di}$ exist in the specimen. The stiffness is changed from $E_1$ to $E_2$. Even though these notations are for the uniaxial case, they are able to be used in indicial tensor notation in the equations without loss of generality. The total strain (described by line $OB_2G_2H_2$ and $OB_1B_2G_{1,2}H_2$ in Figure 7b) is given as follows:

$$\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon_2^E + \varepsilon_2^I = (\varepsilon_{0,2}^{E} + \varepsilon_{2}^{de}) + \varepsilon_2^{di} = \varepsilon_1^{di} + \varepsilon_{1,2}^{de} + \varepsilon_2^{de} + (\varepsilon_{0,2}^{E} + \varepsilon_1^{de})$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where $\varepsilon_{0,2}^{E} = \varepsilon_{0,1}^{E}$ (see Figure 7a).

The strain energy is expressed as follows

$$\psi_2 = \psi_1 + \psi_{1,2}^{de} = \psi_0^E + \psi_1^{de} + \psi_{1,2}^{de}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where the subscript 2 denotes the cyclic number of the second fatigue loading, $\psi_{1,2}^{de}$ denotes the elastic deformation damage strain energy due to the additional elastic deformation damage during the second cycle (see the area $B_2A_2G_{1,2}$ in Figure 7b), that is,

$$\psi_{1,2}^{de} = 1/2\sigma_0^{E} \varepsilon_{0,1}^{E} \varepsilon_{1,2}^{de}$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

Thus, the strain energy is expressed as follows (see the area described by points $B_2A_2H_2$ in Figure 7a)

$$\psi_2 = 1/2\sigma_0^{E} \varepsilon_{0,1}^{E} (\varepsilon_2^E + \varepsilon_{1,2}^{de}) = 1/2\sigma_0^{E} \varepsilon_{0,1}^{E} \varepsilon_2^E$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

The irreversible energy $\gamma_2$ is expressed as follows

$$\gamma_2 = \gamma_1 + \gamma_{1,2} = \left(\gamma_1^{di} + \gamma_1^{de}\right) + \left(\gamma_{1,2}^{di} + \gamma_{1,2}^{de}\right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)
where $\gamma_{1,2}^{di}$ denotes the irreversible deformation damage irreversible energy due to the additional irreversible deformation damage during the second cycle (see the area $B_1 A_1 B_2$ in Figure 7b), and $\gamma_{1,2}^{de}$ denotes the elastic deformation damage irreversible energy due to the additional elastic deformation damage during the second cycle (see the area $B_2 A_2 G_{1,2}$ in Figure 7b), that is,

$$
\gamma_{1,2}^{di} = \frac{1}{V} \sigma_{\text{max}}^d \epsilon_{i,1,2}^{di} = \frac{1}{V} E_0 \epsilon_{0,1}^d \epsilon_{i,1,2}^{di}
$$

(21)

$$
\gamma_{1,2}^{de} = \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{\text{max}}^d \epsilon_{i,1,2}^{de} = \frac{1}{2V} E_0 \epsilon_{0,1}^e \epsilon_{i,1,2}^{de}
$$

(22)

Thus, the irreversible energy $\gamma_2$ is derived as follows (see the area $OA_0 A_1 B_2$ in Figure 7b)

$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{V} E_0 \epsilon_{0,1}^e \left( \epsilon_{1}^{di} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{1}^{de} + \epsilon_{1,2}^{di} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{1,2}^{de} \right) = \frac{1}{V} E_0 \epsilon_{0,1}^e \left( \epsilon_{1,2}^{di} + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{1,2}^{de} \right)
$$

(23)

The stored energy $\lambda_2$ is derived as follows

$$
\lambda_2 = \psi_2 + \gamma_2 = \lambda_2^0 - \lambda_2^d
$$

(24)

where $\lambda_2^d$ denotes the total damage caused reduction of stored energy, that is,

$$
\lambda_2^d = \lambda_1^d + \lambda_{1,2}^d = (\lambda_{1,1}^d + \lambda_{1,2}^d)
$$

(25)

where $\lambda_{1,2}^{di}$ denotes the irreversible deformation damage caused by the reduction of stored energy due to the additional irreversible deformation damage during the second cycle (see the composite areas $C_1 C_2 J_1 F_1, A_1 J_3 A_4$ and $A_1 J_5 A_2$ in Figure 7b), and $\lambda_{1,2}^{de}$ denotes the elastic deformation damage caused reduction of stored energy due to the additional elastic deformation damage during the second cycle (see the composite areas $F_1 J_2 A_1, A_1 J_2 J_3$ and $A_1 J_4 J_5$ in Figure 7b), that is,

$$
\lambda_{1,2}^{di} = \frac{1}{V} \sigma_{1,2}^d \left( \epsilon_{2}^{di} - \epsilon_{1}^{di} \right) + \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{1,2}^d \left( \epsilon_{2}^{di} - \epsilon_{1}^{di} \right) + \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{1,2}^d \left( \epsilon_{2}^{di} - \epsilon_{1}^{di} \right)
$$

(26)

$$
\lambda_{1,2}^{de} = \frac{1}{V} \sigma_{1,2}^e \left( \epsilon_{2}^{de} - \epsilon_{1}^{de} \right) + \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{1,2}^e \left( \epsilon_{2}^{de} - \epsilon_{1}^{de} \right) + \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{1,2}^e \left( \epsilon_{2}^{de} - \epsilon_{1}^{de} \right)
$$

(27)

Thus, the total damage caused reduction of stored energy $\lambda_2^d$ is derived as follows (see the area $A_0 C_2 A_2$ in Figure 7b)

$$
\lambda_2^d = \left( \lambda_{1,1}^d + \lambda_{1,2}^d \right) + \left( \lambda_{1,2}^{di} + \lambda_{1,2}^{de} \right) = \lambda_2^d + \lambda_2^d
$$

(28)

$$
\lambda_2^{d1} = \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{1,2}^d \epsilon_{1,2}^{de}
$$

(29)

$$
\lambda_2^{d2} = \frac{1}{2V} \sigma_{1,2}^d \epsilon_{1,2}^{de}
$$

(30)

where $\lambda_2^{d1}$ denotes the irreversible deformation damage (due to both mode-II micro-cracks and irreversible fictional sliding) caused by the reduction of stored energy, $\lambda_2^{de}$ denotes the elastic deformation damage (i.e., mode-I fracture) caused by the reduction of stored energy (see Figure 7a).
3.1.2. Interpretation in \( n \)th Loading Cycle

Based on the recent thermodynamics interpretation in this work (see Equations (3), (7), (12–15), (19), (23), (24), (28–30)), by comparing Equation (19) with Equation (3) and replacing the cycle number 2 by \( n \) in Equation (19), it is possible to derive the irreversible energy after \( n \)th loading cycle, as follows:

\[
\psi_n = \frac{1}{2}E_0 \varepsilon_0^n \varepsilon^E_0 = \frac{1}{2}E_0 \varepsilon_0^n (\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_{n-1,n}^{de})
\]

(31)

By comparing Equation (23) with Equation (7) and replacing the cycle number 2 by \( n \) in Equation (23), it is possible to derive the irreversible energy after \( n \)th loading cycle, as follows:

\[
\gamma_n = \frac{1}{2}E_0 \varepsilon_0^n (\varepsilon_{n}^{di} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{n}^{de}) = \frac{1}{2}E_0 \varepsilon_0^n \left[ (\varepsilon_{n-1,n}^{di} + \varepsilon_{n-1,n}^{de}) + \frac{1}{2}(\varepsilon_{n-1} + \varepsilon_{n-1,n}^{de}) \right]
\]

(32)

By comparing Equations (24), (28–30) with Equations (12–15) and replacing the cycle number 2 by \( n \) in Equations (24), (28–30), it is possible to derive the damage caused by the reduction of stored energy after \( n \)th loading cycle, as follows:

\[
\lambda_n = \lambda_0^n - \lambda_n^{d} = \lambda_0^n - (\lambda_n^{di} + \lambda_n^{de})
\]

(33)

\[
\lambda_n^{di} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_0^n} \varepsilon_n^{di}
\]

(34)

\[
\lambda_n^{de} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\varepsilon_0^n} \varepsilon_n^{de}
\]

(35)

Note that, considering both the micro structural changes (based on the proposed micro damage model, see Figures 3, 4 and 6) and the macro irreversible energy (see Equation (1)), this work is ruled by second thermodynamics law, that is,

\[
\sigma \dot{\varepsilon} - n \dot{\psi} \geq 0
\]

(36)

3.1.3. Damage Variable Definition and Its Thermodynamics Interpretation

Based on the above thermodynamics interpretation and the damage variable definition method in Appendix A, the elastic deformation fatigue damage variable in the elastic strain space and total strain space (\( D_n^E \) and \( D_n^e \)), and the irreversible deformation fatigue damage variable (\( D_n^i \)) are defined, respectively, as follows (see Figure 7):

\[
D_n^E = \frac{\psi_n^{de}}{\psi_n^e + \chi_n} = \frac{\psi_n^{de} + \gamma_n^{de}}{\psi_n^{de} + \gamma_n^{e}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n^{de}}{\varepsilon_n^e}
\]

(37)

\[
D_n^e = \frac{\psi_n^{de}}{\psi_n^e + \chi_n} = \frac{\psi_n^{de} + \gamma_n^{de}}{\psi_n^{de} + \gamma_n^{e}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n^{de}}{\varepsilon_n^e}
\]

(38)

\[
D_n^i = \frac{\psi_n^{di}}{\psi_n^e + \chi_n} = \frac{\gamma_n^{di}}{\gamma_n^{e}} + \frac{\varepsilon_n^{di}}{\varepsilon_n^e}
\]

(39)

\[
D_n = \frac{\psi_n^{di}}{\psi_n^e + \chi_n} = D_n^e + D_n^i = \frac{\varepsilon_n^{de} + \varepsilon_n^{di}}{\varepsilon_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n^{de}}{\varepsilon_n} + \frac{\varepsilon_n^{di}}{\varepsilon_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{0,n}}{\varepsilon_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{0,n}}{\varepsilon_n}
\]

(40)

where \( \varepsilon_n^{d}, \varepsilon_n^{de}, \) and \( \varepsilon_n^{di} \) denote the strain development caused by the total damage, the elastic deformation damage and the irreversible deformation damage, respectively (related to the total cracking, the mode-I cracking and the irreversible deformation cracking discussed in micro-mechanical description in this work, respectively), when the material is subjected to the \( n \)th cyclic loading (Figure 7); \( \varepsilon_n^E \) denotes the elastic strain, \( \varepsilon_n^E = \varepsilon_{0,n} + \varepsilon_n^{de} (\varepsilon_{0,n} = \varepsilon_0) \); \( \varepsilon_n \) denotes the total strain, \( \varepsilon_n = \varepsilon_{0,n} + \varepsilon_n^{de} + \frac{\varepsilon_n^{di}}{\varepsilon_n} \).
\( \varepsilon_{\text{di}} \) and \( \chi \) denotes the energy dissipation exists as a typical characteristics of the elastic behaviors, it accompanies and equals the initial strain energy \( \psi_{0,n} \) (see Figures 7 and A1), that is,

\[
\chi_n = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\text{max}}^n \varepsilon_{0,n} = \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{\text{max}}^n \varepsilon_{0,n} = \psi_{0,n} = \psi^e \tag{41}
\]

Note that Equation (40) illustrates that the damage evolution \( D_n \) depending on the total strain \( \varepsilon_n \) will be varied, when \( \sigma_{\text{max}} \) is changed during different fatigue loading processes.

The nonlinear stress-strain relation is described as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma &= (1 - D_n^E)E_0(\varepsilon_n - \varepsilon_{\text{di}}) = (1 - D_n^E)(1 - D_n^i)E_0\varepsilon_n \\
&= \left[1 - (D_n^E + D_n^i)\right]E_0\varepsilon_n = (1 - D_n)E_0\varepsilon_n \tag{42}
\end{align*}
\]

The damage variables \( D_n^E \) (or \( D_n^e \)) and \( D_n^i \) are able to be used to characterize the stiffness degradation and irreversible strain development of concrete under fatigue loading, respectively, as follows (see Figure 7a–c),

\[
E_n = (1 - D_n^E)E_0 = \left[1 - \frac{D_n^E}{1 - D_n^i}\right]E_0 = \frac{1 - D_n}{1 - D_n^i}E_0 \tag{43}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{di}} = D_n^i \varepsilon_n \tag{44}
\]

Given that in the case of general engineering the value of the minimum stress \( \sigma_{\text{min}} \) is not equal to zero in concrete (Figure 7d), the residual strain \( \varepsilon_{\text{r}} \) related to the fatigue behaviors is distinguished from the irreversible strain \( \varepsilon_{\text{di}} \) by the following definition:

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{r}} = \varepsilon_n - \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}}{E_n} \tag{45}
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{di}} = \varepsilon_n - \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{E_n} \tag{46}
\]

which are obtained by the equations (Figure 7), respectively, as follows,

\[
\varepsilon_{\text{r}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}}{E_n} \tag{47}
\]

\[
E_n = \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}}}{E_n} - \varepsilon_{\text{r}} \tag{48}
\]

Note that little research [6,10–12,41–49] has considered the difference between the residual strains and the irreversible strains, however, this difference is essential for characterizing the fatigue behaviors of concrete. Specifically, Equations (45) and (46) and Figure 7 illustrate that the value of the residual strains is usually higher than that of the irreversible strains.

Additionally, with Equations (9), (10), (14), (15), (17)–(19), (21), (22), (29), (30), (38) and (39) and Figure 7, it is able to correlate the mechanical parameters and damage variables to the thermodynamics parameters as follows:

\[
\frac{\varepsilon_{\text{di}}}{\varepsilon_{\text{de}}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{di}}}{\sigma_{\text{de}}} = \frac{D_n^i}{D_n^e} = \frac{\psi_{\text{de}} + \psi_{\text{di}}}{\psi_{\text{de}}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{de}}}{\lambda_{\text{di}}} \tag{49}
\]

Equation (49) shows that the two components of fatigue damage variable \( (D_n^e, D_n^i) \) are able to be correlated to the strain and stress decomposition and the energy dissipation, i.e., the energy \( \gamma_{\text{de}} + \psi_{\text{de}} \) and \( \gamma_{\text{di}}, \lambda_{\text{de}} \) and \( \lambda_{\text{di}} \), respectively. Therefore, Equation (49) illustrates the thermodynamics interpretation of the newly defined damage variables.
3.2. Continuum Damage Mechanics Model

The damage evolution is the variation process of the micro structure in material under external load, i.e., the process of the crack initiation, development and converge, thus, it reveals the physical nature of certain material and it does not impact the stress status [53]. Hence, the fatigue damage evolution of concrete in uniaxial case is presumed to be applied on multi-axis case without loss of generality. Therefore, the constitutive model in scalar form (Equation (42)) is able to be extended into the tensor form, as follows:

$$\sigma_n = [I - D_n] : E_0 : \varepsilon_n$$ (50)

where $D_n$ denotes the tensor fatigue damage variable, which is used to model the nonlinearity of stress-strain response and can be expressed as follows:

$$D_n = D_{n,0}^+ P^+ = D_{1,n}^+ P_{1}^+ + D_{2,n}^+ P_{2}^+ + D_{3,n}^+ P_{3}^+ + D_{n}^+ P_{n}^+ + D_{n}^- P_{n}^-$$ (51)

$$P_i^+ = H[\sigma_i(n)] m^{(i)} \otimes m^{(i)} \otimes m^{(i)}$$

$$P_i^- = [1 - H[\sigma_i(n)]] m^{(i)} \otimes m^{(i)} \otimes m^{(i)}$$

where $i$ denotes the number of the principal stress direction, $i = 1, 2, 3$, for simplicity, in the uniaxial case, it can be omitted; $H(\cdot)$ denotes the Heaviside function, if $\varepsilon > 0$, its value is 1, otherwise 0; $+$ and $-$ denote the tensile and compressive loading condition, respectively.

In the biaxial stress condition, the fatigue damage constitutive model in the principal stress direction is able to be described as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma_{1,n} = \frac{1}{1 - \mu^2} \left[ \alpha_1 (1 - D_{1,n}^+) \right] \left[ E_0 \begin{array}{ccc}
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 \\
E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 \\
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 
\end{array} \right]
\varepsilon_{1,n} \\
\sigma_{2,n} = \frac{1}{1 - \mu^2} \left[ \alpha_2 (1 - D_{2,n}^+) \right] \left[ E_0 \begin{array}{ccc}
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 \\
E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 \\
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 
\end{array} \right]
\varepsilon_{2,n} \\
\tau_{12,n} = \frac{1}{1 - \mu^2} \left[ \alpha_3 (1 - D_{3,n}^+) \right] \left[ E_0 \begin{array}{ccc}
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 \\
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 \\
\mu E_0 & \mu E_0 & \mu E_0 
\end{array} \right]
\varepsilon_{12,n}
\end{array} \right.$$ (53)

where $\alpha$ denotes the parameter considering the bia-compressive effects [22,53], its value can be obtained from [22]; $\mu$ denotes the Poisson ratio; $\tau$ denotes the shear stress; $\zeta$ denotes the shear strain.

The cyclic creep and stiffness degradation in a three-stage process are characterized by the tensor fatigue irreversible deformation damage variable $D_n^i$ and the tensor fatigue elastic deformation damage variable $D_n^e$, respectively, and the post-fatigue stress-strain response is also described by the recently defined damage variables. As a result, the current stiffness and the irreversible/residual strain are able to be described as follows, respectively:

$$E_n = \frac{1 - D_n^e}{1 - D_n^i} E_0$$ (54)

$$\varepsilon_n^i = D_n^i \varepsilon_n$$ (55)

$$\varepsilon_n^f = \varepsilon_n - \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}}{E_n}$$ (56)

The post-fatigue stress-strain response of concrete under monotonic uniaxial loading is assumed to be expressed as follows:

$$\sigma_{n}^{\pm} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
E_n \cdot (\varepsilon - \varepsilon_n^i) & \varepsilon_n^i \leq \varepsilon \leq \sigma_{\text{max}} / E_n \\
1 - k_n D_{\text{fcu}}^e (1 - D_n^e) \cdot E_0 \cdot \varepsilon & \sigma_{\text{max}} / E_n < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_N \\
(1 - D_n^e) \cdot E_0 \cdot \varepsilon & \varepsilon > \varepsilon_N
\end{array} \right.$$ (57)

where $D_{\text{fcu}}^e$ denotes a simplified parameter related to strength reduction, $D_{n,0}^{\text{fcu}} = (1 - S^e)\cdot D_{n,0}^e$, $D_{n}^{0}$ denotes a newly introduced damage variable, which will be defined in Section 4.1; $k_n$ denotes a modifying parameter considering the bound condition of fatigue failure surface [4], if $\varepsilon_N \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_{\text{fcu}}$,
\[ k_N = (\varepsilon_N - \varepsilon)/(\varepsilon_N - \varepsilon_{f_{cu}}), \] 
otherwise, \( k_N = 1 \), and \( \varepsilon_{f_{cu}} \) denotes the strain corresponding to the peak stress \( f_{cu} \) under monotonic uniaxial loading. Furthermore, the residual strength is described as follows:

\[ f'_{n}^{\pm} = f_{cu}^{\pm} \cdot \left[ 1 - D_n f_{cu}^{\pm} \right] \] 

(58)

4. Verification and Discussions

4.1. Solution Procedure of CDM Model for Concrete under Fatigue Loading

In order to characterize the three-stage behaviors of concrete under fatigue loading considering different stress level \( S \), based on the concept of the fatigue failure surface [4], a normalized fatigue damage variable \( D_n^{0} \) is defined in this work as follows:

\[ D_n^{0} = D_n^\pm - D_n^1 \] 

(59)

where \( D_1 \) and \( D_N \) denote the damage variable of the joint points of the static stress-strain curve and the level line \( \sigma = \sigma_{max} \), respectively, and they are able to be calculated by the model in [22] as follows:

\[ \sigma^\pm = (1 - D_s^\pm)E_0 \varepsilon^\pm \] 

(60)

where \( \sigma = \sigma_{max}, \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \) or \( \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{N}, \varepsilon_1 \) and \( \varepsilon_{N} \) denote the strain of the joint points of the static stress-strain curve and the level line \( \sigma = \sigma_{max} \), respectively, \( D_s^\pm \) denotes the damage variable of concrete under monotonic uniaxial loading, and it is able to be predicted by the model in [22] as follows:

\[ D_s^\pm = A_1^\pm - A_2^\pm \left( \frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_0^\pm}{p_{\pm}} \right) + A_3^\pm \] 

(61)

where \( A_1, A_2, \varepsilon_0, \) and \( p \) denote the parameters related to the damage evolution in concrete under monotonic uniaxial loading, and they are able to be calibrated by experimental results [22].

Thus, the fatigue damage variable of concrete is derived as follows:

\[ D_n^\pm = D_n^1 + D_n^{0\pm} \cdot (D_n^\pm - D_n^1) \] 

(62)

By a set of trial and error procedures, the normalized fatigue damage variable is assumed to be modeled by the equation:

\[ D_n^{0\pm} = A_3^\pm \cdot \left( - \frac{n/N}{n/N - A_4^\pm} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \] 

(63)

where \( A_3, A_4, \) and \( A_5 \) denote the parameters related to the damage in concrete during fatigue loading, and they are able to be calibrated by the experimental results.

The literature [54,55] presumed that the reciprocal of irreversible deformation damage variable \( 1/D^i \) is linearly dependent on the total damage variable \( D \). However, by applying the above linearly analysis, the resulted value of irreversible deformation damage variable \( D^i \) is overestimated in certain cases. For instance, it is found that the calculated irreversible deformation damage \( D^i \) is greater than the total damage \( D \) when the total damage \( D \) is approximately lower than 0.2, which is unreasonable (see Equation (40) and Figure 7). Hence, the relation between \( 1/D^i \) and \( D \) is assumed to be corrected as follows,

\[ D_n^i = 1/(B_1 + B_2 \cdot D_n + B_3 \cdot D_n^2) \] 

(64)

where \( B_1, B_2 \) and \( B_3 \) denote the parameters related to the coupling of irreversible and elastic deformation damage in concrete under loading, and they are able to be calibrated by the experimental results of concrete under cyclic loading [54,55].
The fatigue life is estimated by the convenient method [56], such that,

$$\log N = 14.7 - 13.5 \frac{\sigma_{\text{max}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}}{f_{c_{u}} - \sigma_{\text{min}}}$$

(65)

Therefore, this CDM model is able to characterize the mechanical behaviors of concrete under fatigue loading including following characteristics: the progressive stiffness degradation, development of cyclic creep and residual strain in a three-stage process, and the post-fatigue stress-strain response under monotonic loading.

### 4.2. Behaviors of Concrete under Fatigue Compression with Constant Amplitude

In order to verify the effectiveness of the proposed model, the predictions are obtained using a calibration method similar to that in the literature [45] and compared with the experimental results. A series of experiments of concrete under fatigue compression with a constant amplitude are conducted and the results are reported in [57]. In this section, a typical result is selected for model verification. By using the definition in Equations (38–40), the calibrated parameters are obtained and listed as follows, $E = 21.8$ GPa, $f_{c_{u}} = 26.0$ MPa, $A_{1} = 0$, $A_{2} = 1$, $A_{3} = 2306.5 \mu e$, $A_{4} = 2.464$, $A_{5} = 0.713$, $A_{6} = 1.160$, $A_{7} = 5.439$. The cyclic creep and the fatigue strain [4] (defined as the fatigue strain = the total strain $\varepsilon_{\text{ff}}$—the initial strain (i.e., the total strain in the first cycle $\varepsilon_{1}$)) are predicted and compared with experimental results in Figure 8.

#### Figure 8. Calibration of parameters in the model, and comparison between experimental [57] (The graphs are completely redrawn by authors).and predicted results.

(a) Cyclic creep

(b) Stiffness degradation

4.3. Behaviors of Concrete under Fatigue Compression with Various Stress Levels

In order to model the behaviors of concrete under fatigue compression with various stress levels of constant amplitudes, a typical result [4] is used for analysis in this section. The parameters are calibrated as follows, $E = 21.8$ GPa, $f_{c_{u}} = 26.0$ MPa, $A_{1} = 0$, $A_{2} = 1$, $A_{3} = 2306.5 \mu e$, $A_{4} = 2.464$, $A_{5} = 0.713$, $A_{6} = 1.160$, $A_{7} = 5.439$. The cyclic creep and the fatigue strain [4] are predicted and compared with experimental results in Figure 9.
A proposed model is applicable in the analysis of concrete under biaxial fatigue loading. The parameters are calibrated as follows, where the fatigue strain = the total strain $\epsilon_n$—the initial strain (i.e., the total strain in the first cycle $\epsilon_1$).

Figure 9 illustrates that the predictions agree with the experimental results. In detail, initially, both the total fatigue strains and the fatigue strains in predictions agree with the experimental results. Additionally, the proposed model is able to reproduce the three stages evolution of total fatigue strains and fatigue strains during fatigue life. It is noted that the tail results in predictions are slightly higher than the experimental results, since the strain due to static stress-strain response in concrete is developing faster than the total fatigue strains in experiments [4], which leads to the higher strains predictions (Figure 9) by using Equations (40) and (60) based on fatigue failure surface concept [4]. Therefore, the proposed model is able to characterize the behaviors of concrete under fatigue compression with various stress levels with constant amplitudes.

4.4. Behaviors of Concrete under Biaxial Fatigue Compression

In order to model the behaviors of concrete under biaxial fatigue compression with constant amplitude, a typical result [58] (Figure 10) is applied for analysis in this section. The parameters are calibrated as follows, $E_0 = 26.3$ GPa, $f_{cu} = 20.47$ MPa, $A_1 = 0$, $A_2 = 1$, $\mu_0 = 1568.1$, $\mu = 2.218$, $A_3 = 0.713$, $A_4 = 1.160$, $A_5 = 5.439$ and $\alpha_1 = 1.254$. Figure 10 illustrates that the predicted results of the proposed model agree well with the experimental results. Therefore, it can be concluded that the proposed model is applicable in the analysis of concrete under biaxial fatigue loading.
4.5. Post-Fatigue Constitutive Behaviors of Concrete under Monotonic Loading

To verify the effectiveness of the proposed model in predicting the post-fatigue stress–strain response of concrete, the literature [59] is applied to calibrate the parameters, as follows, $E_0^{-} = 36.0$ GPa, $f_{cu}^{-} = 41.4$ MPa, $A_1^{-} = 0$, $A_2^{-} = 1$, $\epsilon_0^{-} = 2153.8 \mu e$, $p^{-} = 3.186$, $A_3^{-} = 0.713$, $A_4^{-} = 1.160$, $A_5^{-} = 5.439$. The predicted post-fatigue stress-strain responses are obtained in Figure 11a. Figure 11a illustrates that the post-fatigue stress–strain responses predicted by the model varies in a typical three stages way depending on the increasing of cycle ratio. In detail, the development of the initial strains (i.e., the residual strains) of the responses grows in a three stages way, and the variation of the initial (post-fatigue) stiffness and the residual strength experience in a similar way. Therefore, the proposed model is able to reproduce the post-fatigue constitutive behaviors.

4.6. Comparison among Proposed Model and Other Models

The proposed model is compared with the typical damage evolution models [60,61]. The parameters are calibrated by using the experimental results in the literature [61], such that, $E_0^{-} = 54.5$ GPa, $A_3^{-} = 0.6$, $A_4^{-} = 1.01$, $A_5^{-} = 9$, $B_1 = 2.29$, $B_2 = -1.23$, and $B_3 = 0$. Thus, the predictions are obtained in Figure 11b–d. Figures 10 and 11b illustrate that the predictions of the proposed model are more accurate than those of other damage evolution models [60,61]. In addition, the proposed model is able to reproduce the other behavior variations under fatigue loading (e.g., the development of cyclic creep (Figures 10 and 11c), stiffness degradation (Figure 11d) and post-fatigue constitutive behavior (Figure 11a), which are not well considered in the other models [60,61].

Figure 11. Post-fatigue stress–strain response predicted by the proposed model ($n/N = 0.02–0.98$, $S = 0.66$), and comparison of $D^i$, cyclic creep and stiffness degradation among predicted and experimental results [61] (The graphs are redrawn by authors).
Furthermore, the proposed models are capable of predicting the variations of cyclic creep, stiffness degradation, residual strength, and the stress–strain relationship under both fatigue loading and post-fatigue loading. However, few damage models [6,41–49] took all the characteristics above into account for relevant characterizing.

Additionally, the proposed model obtains a clear physical consideration based on the micro mechanical description of damage behaviors in concrete under multi-axial loading, and proposed a behavior characterizing method based on both the above-mentioned description and a thermodynamics-based CDM method. However, in the classical damage models [44,45], the yield concept cannot coexist with the loading/unloading irreversible strain concept [62] introduced in their framework. In detail, in the yield concept [44,45], there is only one yield surface for determining the plastic strains in the material. However, in the loading/unloading irreversible strain concept [62], each loading/unloading process (i.e., a loading cycle) obtains a corresponding irreversible strain surface for the development of irreversible strains.

5. Conclusions

In this work, a damage model with the concept of mode-II microcracks using thermodynamic interpretation of damage behaviors for concrete under fatigue loading was developed.

In detail, by applying the micromechanics method, a micro-cell-based damage model was introduced to understand the damage behavior. The mode-II microcracks were further introduced as a contributing part of irreversible/residual strains.

Additionally, by introducing the physical interpretation of the damage variable based on the thermodynamic method, a novel fatigue damage variable (irreversible deformation fatigue damage variable) was proposed to describe the irreversible strains. With this methodology, a continuum damage mechanics model considered both the elastic and irreversible deformation fatigue damages was developed.

It is found that the predictions of this model highly agreed with experimental results. This model is able to characterize the variations of cyclic creep, stiffness degradation, residual strength, and the post-fatigue stress-strain relationship of concrete. The model can also be used to analyze the behaviors of concrete under complex fatigue loads such as a multi-axial case.
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Appendix A. Damage Variable Definition Based on Thermodynamics

(1) Perfect elastic materials

The Helmholtz free energy of a perfect elastic material per unit mass is obtained as follows (see Figure A1a):

\[ \Psi = \psi + \gamma = \psi^e \]  

(A1)
And the energy dissipation \( \chi \) is introduced in this work (see Figure A1), that is,

\[
\chi = \frac{1}{2\nu} \sigma \varepsilon_0 = \frac{1}{2\nu} \sigma \varepsilon = \psi_0^e
\]  

(A2)

where \( \chi \) denotes that energy dissipation exists as a typical property of the elastic behaviors, it accompanies the initial strain energy \( \psi_0^e \), and both are equal to each other like the twins, see Figure A1.

There is no damage appearing in the material, thus, it is not necessary to define a damage variable. And the stress–strain relation is described as follows:

\[
\sigma = E_0 \varepsilon
\]  

(A3)

(2) Elastic deformation damage materials

The Helmholtz free energy of an elastic deformation damage material is obtained as follows (see Figure A1b):

\[
\Psi = \psi + \gamma = (\psi_0^e + \psi_{de}) + \gamma_{de}
\]  

(A4)

where

\[
\psi_{de} = \gamma_{de} = \frac{1}{2\nu} \sigma \varepsilon_{de}
\]  

(A5)

And the energy dissipation \( \chi \) is derived in this work (see Figure A1), that is,

\[
\chi = \frac{1}{2\nu} \sigma \varepsilon_0 = \psi_0^e
\]  

(A6)

The elastic deformation damage variable in the elastic strain space is defined in this work by two methods considering two different energy dissipation aspects, respectively, as follows:

\[
D^E = \frac{\psi_{de}}{\psi} = \frac{\psi_{de}}{\psi_0^e + \psi_{de}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{de}}{\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_{de}} = \frac{\varepsilon_{de}}{\varepsilon^E}
\]  

(A7)

\[
D^E = \frac{\psi_{de}}{\Psi + \chi} = \frac{\psi_{de} + \gamma_{de}}{\psi_0^e + \psi_{de} + \gamma_{de} + \chi} = \frac{\varepsilon_{de}}{\varepsilon^E}
\]  

(A8)

where \( \varepsilon^E \) denotes the elastic strains, \( \varepsilon^E = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_{de} \).

The nonlinear stress–strain relation is described as follows:

\[
\sigma = (1 - D^E) E_0 \varepsilon
\]  

(A9)

The damage variable \( D^E \) is able to be used to describe the stiffness degradation, that is (Figure A1b),

\[
E = \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon_{de}}{\varepsilon} \right) E_0 = (1 - D^E) E_0
\]  

(A10)
(3) Irreversible deformation damage materials

The Helmholtz free energy of an irreversible deformation damage material is obtained as follows (see Figure A1c):

$$\Psi = \psi + \gamma = \psi_0^e + \gamma_{di}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A11)

And the energy dissipation $\chi$ is derived in this work (see Figure A1), that is,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \sigma \varepsilon_0 = \psi_0^e$$  \hspace{1cm} (A12)

The irreversible deformation damage variable is defined in this work by the method considering energy dissipation, as follows:

$$D_{di} = \frac{\psi_{di}}{\psi + \chi} = \frac{\gamma_{di}}{\psi_0^e + \gamma_{di} + \chi} = \frac{\varepsilon_{di}}{\varepsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A13)

where $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_{di}$.

The nonlinear stress–strain relation is described as follows:

$$\sigma = E_0 \left( \varepsilon - \varepsilon_{di} \right) = \left( 1 - D_{di} \right) E_0 \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (A14)

The damage variable $D_{di}$ is able to be used to describe the irreversible strain development, that is (see Figure A1c),

$$\varepsilon_{di} = D_{di} \varepsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (A15)

Additionally, when the initial stiffness approaches an infinite value $\infty$, the material exhibits a perfect brittle-plastic behavior (see Figure A1d). Thus, the Helmholtz free energy is derived as follows,

$$\Psi = \psi + \gamma = \gamma_{di}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A16)
due to the energy dissipation $\chi = \psi_0^e = 0$. The irreversible deformation damage variable is obtained, as follows:

$$D^i = \frac{\psi^d_i}{\psi + \chi} = \frac{\gamma^d_i}{\gamma^d_i} = 1$$  \hspace{1cm} (A17)

And the irreversible strain is derived, as follows:

$$\epsilon^d_i = D^i \epsilon = \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (A18)

Furthermore, the nonlinear stress-strain relation is described as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\sigma = \sigma \\
\epsilon = \epsilon^d_i
\end{array} \right.$$  \hspace{1cm} (A19)

(4) Quasi-brittle materials (Elastic-irreversible deformation damage materials)

The Helmholtz free energy of a quasi-brittle material is obtained as follows (see Figure A1e):

$$\Psi = \psi + \gamma = \left( \psi_0^e + \psi^{de} \right) + \left( \gamma^{de} + \gamma^d_i \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (A20)

where

$$\psi^{de} = \frac{1}{2b} \sigma \epsilon^{de}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A21)

And the energy dissipation $\chi$ is derived in this work (see Figure A1), that is,

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2b} \sigma \epsilon_0 = \psi_0^e$$  \hspace{1cm} (A22)

The elastic deformation damage variable in the elastic strain space is defined in this work by two methods considering two different energy dissipation aspects, respectively, as follows:

$$D^E = \frac{\psi^{de}}{\psi} = \frac{\psi^{de}}{\psi_0^e + \psi^{de}} = \frac{\epsilon^{de}}{\epsilon_0 + \epsilon^{de}} = \frac{\epsilon^{de}}{\epsilon^E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A23)

$$D^E = \frac{\psi^{de}}{\psi + \chi} = \frac{\psi^{de} + \gamma^{de}}{\left( \psi_0^e + \psi^{de} + \gamma^{de} + \gamma^d_i \right) + \chi} = \frac{\epsilon^{de}}{\epsilon^E}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A24)

The elastic deformation damage variable in the total strain space is also defined in this work by the method considering energy dissipation, as follows:

$$D^E = \frac{\psi^{de}}{\psi + \chi} = \frac{\psi^{de} + \gamma^{de}}{\left( \psi_0^e + \psi^{de} + \gamma^{de} + \gamma^d_i \right) + \chi} = \frac{\epsilon^{de}}{\epsilon}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A25)

where the total strain $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 + \epsilon^{de} + \epsilon^d_i$, and the elastic strain $\epsilon^E = \epsilon_0 + \epsilon^{de}$.

The irreversible deformation damage variable is defined in this work by the method considering energy dissipation, as follows:

$$D^i = \frac{\psi^d_i}{\psi + \chi} = \frac{\gamma^d_i}{\gamma^d_i} = \frac{\epsilon^d_i}{\epsilon^d_i}$$  \hspace{1cm} (A26)

The nonlinear stress–strain relation is described as follows:

$$\sigma = \left( 1 - D^E \right) E_0 (\epsilon - \epsilon^d_i) = \left( 1 - D^E \right) \left( 1 - D^i \right) E_0 \epsilon$$

$$= \left[ 1 - (D^E + D^i) \right] E_0 \epsilon = (1 - D) E_0 \epsilon$$  \hspace{1cm} (A27)
The damage variable $D_E$ (or $D_e$) and $D_i$ is able to be employed to characterize the stiffness degradation and irreversible strain development, respectively, as follows (see Figure A1e),

$$E = \left(1 - D_E\right)E_0 = \left(1 - \frac{D_E}{1 - D_i}\right)E_0 = \frac{1 - D}{1 - D_i}E_0$$

(A28)

$$\varepsilon^{di} = D_i\varepsilon$$

(A29)

Note that the energy dissipation $\chi$ presents a typical property of materials’ elastic behaviors. Figure A1 and Equations (A2), (A6), (A12) and (A22) show that the energy dissipation $\chi$ is equal to the initial strain energy $\psi_0$, i.e., $\chi = \psi_0$, and in a limit case without elastic behaviors in Figure A1d, $\chi = \psi_0 = 0$. Additionally, the damage variable definition method considering the energy dissipation $\chi$ in Equations (A8) and (A24) is as effective as that in Equations (A7) and (A23), respectively. Therefore, it is reasonable to apply the damage variable definition method considering the energy dissipation $\chi$ in this work.
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