Anthropogenic and Natural Factors Affecting Trends in Atmospheric Methane in Barrow, Alaska

Christopher Lawrence 1 and Huiting Mao 2*

1 Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York University at Albany, Albany, NY 12203; USA; celawrence@albany.edu
2 Department of Chemistry, State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY 13210, USA
* Corresponding author: hmao@esf.edu

Received: 5 February 2019; Accepted: 29 March 2019; Published: 5 April 2019

Abstract: This study examined the long-term trends in Arctic ambient methane (CH4) mixing ratios over 1986–2014 and investigated their potential causes. Significant correlations between carbon monoxide (CO) and CH4 in Barrow, Alaska (r = −0.59, p = 0.007) and Alert, Canada (r = −0.62, p = 0.004) with the strongest correlations occurring in April (r = −0.81, p = 0.000, and r = −0.80, p = 0.000) suggest local to global anthropogenic contributions to ambient CH4 during the cold months. Backward trajectories indicate a significant influence (27% of total trajectories) of local emissions from the Prudhoe Bay Oil Field on ambient CH4 in Barrow in winter, and this influence was dominated by other factors in summer. The mean CH4 wetland emission flux in Barrow over 1986–2014 was estimated to be 0.008 ± 0.002 µg m⁻² s⁻¹ while in Tiksi, Russia it was 0.010 µg m⁻² s⁻¹ over 2012–2016, which is comparable to the lower end of measurements in the literature. Note that in Barrow, there was a decrease in wetland flux from 0.0083 ± 0.002 µg m⁻² s⁻¹ over 1986–1998 to 0.0077 ± 0.002 µg m⁻² s⁻¹ from 1999–2006 followed by an increase to 0.0081 ± 0.002 µg m⁻² s⁻¹ over 2007–2014. Although the difference between the three values is not statistically significant due to small sample size, it is indicative of possible warm season wetland emissions contributing to the zero-growth period. Strong support for this hypothesis is that these changes are consistent with a concurrent drop in summertime temperature possibly causing a decrease in wetland emissions over 1998–2006 based on the statistically significant correlations between temperature and CH4 during August through November (r = −0.36–0.56, p ≤ 0.05). In a warming climate, permafrost thawing can increase CH4 wetland emissions and also decrease wetlands making it a complex problem, and, hence, further study is needed to better understand the mechanisms driving long-term trends in Arctic CH4.
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1. Introduction

Methane (CH4) is the second most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas contributing to about 20% of radiative forcing since the pre-industrial era due to long-lived greenhouse gases [1]. While global mixing ratios have increased at varying rates during this period, the mechanisms behind such trend variation are not fully understood. For instance, there was approximately a decade (1998–2006) of near-zero growth in global CH4 mixing ratios [2] with steady increases before and after [1]. Theories for this near-zero growth period include decreased precipitation in tropical wetlands during positive phases of El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO) [3] and reduction in microbial activity in the Northern Hemisphere [4]. The microbial reductions could be from a number of biogenic sources, such as wetlands, cow ruminants, and rice paddies, with potentially half of the emissions reductions in
the Northern Hemisphere due to reduced emissions from rice agriculture in Asia [4]. A better understanding is needed for the mechanisms driving the long term trends in atmospheric CH4 mixing ratios.

There is very little literature study on long-term trends in CH4 in the Arctic. CH4 sources are poorly constrained, particularly natural sources in the Arctic regions [5]. The largest natural source is wetlands, comprising about one-third of global CH4 emissions [5]. Methane emissions from boreal and Arctic wetlands make up about 34% of the world’s total wetland emissions, leading to significant contributions in CH4 emissions during the warm seasons [6] and potentially into the cold season [7]. A handful of short-term studies have estimated wetland CH4 fluxes in several locations throughout the Arctic, such as Lena River Delta in Russia, Northeast Greenland, Bethel, Alaska, and the Alaska Range, with fluxes ranging from 0.05 to 1.4 µg m−2 s−1 [8–12]. The wetland emissions are thought to be the dominant source of CH4 in the Arctic during the summer with gas fields contributing to a greater fraction during the spring as indicated by research conducted between the late summer of 2008 to the late summer of 2009 [13]. Very few studies have been conducted to quantify the contribution of wetland emissions to ambient CH4 levels over the long term, which becomes more important in a warming climate.

In these northern regions, permafrost plays a critical role in wetland emissions. Permafrost is defined by soil, rock or sediment that is permanently exposed to below freezing temperatures. Permafrost exists in 24% of the soils in the northern hemisphere [14] and is estimated to contain twice the amount of carbon currently in the atmosphere [15]. A warming climate has the potential to lead to increased permafrost thawing and, subsequently, increased carbon emissions producing positive feedback. On the other hand, permafrost thaw may diminishes Arctic wetlands. During the warm months in the Arctic, there is significant snow melt on the surface. The permafrost prevents drainage of the water from the melted snow creating the Arctic wetlands [16]. Permafrost thaw could potentially impede the creation of Arctic wetlands. Therefore, the impact of permafrost thaw on Arctic wetland emissions is a complicated problem, and an examination of long term data of atmospheric CH4 can maybe shed light on changes in Arctic wetland emissions of CH4 linked to potential permafrost thaw in the past decades.

There is some evidence of increased CH4 release in Arctic wetlands. For instance, reductions in sea ice due to a warming climate may also contribute to increased CH4 releases due to warmer temperatures from a decreased albedo [17]. However, there is little research on trends in atmospheric CH4 in the Arctic, especially as it pertains to the wetlands and other natural sources due likely to the difficulties in constraining emissions for wetlands and the lack of observational data in these areas.

Local to global emissions could contribute to ambient CH4 mixing ratios in a given area. Local anthropogenic sources are scarce in Polar Regions with the exception of oil drilling, such as the Prudhoe Bay oil field [18]. Global transport of CH4 from lower latitudes to the Arctic is facilitated by atmospheric circulation, which is controlled by different climatic patterns, such as the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) and El Niño/Southern Oscillation (ENSO). These climate variations can result in anthropogenic influence in the Arctic [2]. Typically, the majority of lower tropospheric transport of pollutants to the Arctic comes during the winter from the Eurasian continent, whereas transport from North America and East Asia is mainly from atmospheric uplift outside the Arctic [19]. This is due to an “Arctic Dome” that surrounds the Arctic created from constant surface level potential temperature. During the summer transport pathways are moved from the North Atlantic Ocean to the North Pacific Ocean, with transport only being half as fast [20]. The seasonal variation in these transport pathways can greatly affect the significance of anthropogenic emissions to Arctic CH4 budgets.

There is a positive latitudinal gradient of CH4 mixing ratios from 90° S to 90° N (Figure S1) thought to be caused by larger sources in the Northern Hemisphere for the latitudinal range of the South Pole to northern hemispheric midlatitudes, and by differences in rates of oxidation and the reductions in available OH further north [21]. Oxidation of CH4 by the hydroxyl radical (OH) is a main sink for atmospheric CH4 [5]. This chemical sink fluctuates throughout the year, highly sensitive to temperature and solar radiation. However, Barrow, Alaska has higher mixing ratios of CH4 than
Alert, Canada, against the trend of the gradient. These differences suggest that different sources, sinks, and transport in various environments within the Arctic are playing a role in controlling ambient CH$_4$ mixing ratios.

In this study, long-term trends in sources of CH$_4$ emissions were examined using mixing ratios in one primary North American Arctic site, along with three comparison sites where availability of other measurement data concurrent with CH$_4$ was limited. Local anthropogenic sources were investigated using carbon monoxide (CO) mixing ratios as a proxy for anthropogenic activity. Transport of CH$_4$ was studied using backward trajectory simulations. Lastly, CH$_4$ emissions from wetlands were estimated using a first order of magnitude mass balance method.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. General Characteristics

Figure 1 exhibits annual average CH$_4$ mixing ratios from monthly flask measurements for Barrow, Alert, Summit, and Tiksi during their respective time periods of data availability. CH$_4$ has increased at a rate of $4.1 \pm 0.2$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ at Barrow over 1986–2014, $4.0 \pm 0.2$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ at Alert over 1986–2014, $3.8 \pm 0.3$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ at Summit over 1997–2014, and $4.4$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ at Tiksi over 2011–2014 (Table 1). Both Barrow and Alert saw a decrease in CH$_4$ growth rate from $11.8 \pm 1.1$ and $10.9 \pm 0.7$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively, over 1986–1990 to $4.22 \pm 0.8$ and $3.40 \pm 0.6$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively, over 1991–1997. Global CH$_4$ growth rates have seen similar changes and were believed to be due to decreases in emissions from fossil fuels [22]. Over 1998–2006, Barrow, Alert, and Summit all saw virtually zero growth in CH$_4$ mixing ratios. Similar results were observed globally, with causes remaining unclear. It was speculated to be associated with higher frequency of occurrence of El Niño leading to decreased precipitation in tropical wetlands reducing their CH$_4$ emissions [2] or reductions in fossil fuel emissions [23]. After 2007, CH$_4$ growth at Barrow and Alert resumed at a rate of $5.4 \pm 0.5$ and $4.9 \pm 0.5$ nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, respectively. To confirm these growth rates, a Mann–Kendall statistical test was used for the sites in Barrow, Alert, and Summit. A test was not run on Tiksi due to the lack of sample size. Barrow, Alert, and Summit all experienced statistically significant positive trends with correlation coefficient of 0.94 ($p = 0.00$), 0.93 ($p = 0.00$), and 0.88 ($p = 0.00$), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Coordinates, Elevation (MSL)</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>Slope (nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean (nmol mol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Mean (nmol mol$^{-1}$ 2011–2014)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrow, Alaska</td>
<td>71.32° N, 156.61° W, 11 m</td>
<td>1986–2014</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1854 ± 39</td>
<td>1906 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alert, Canada</td>
<td>82.451° N, 62.51° W, 190 m</td>
<td>1986–2014</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1844 ± 39</td>
<td>1895 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summit, Greenland</td>
<td>72.596° N, 38.42° W, 3200 m</td>
<td>1997–2014</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1860 ± 23</td>
<td>1887 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiksi, Russia</td>
<td>71.64° N, 128.86° E, 19 m</td>
<td>2011–2014</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1921 ± 17</td>
<td>1921 ± 17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Annual mean methane (CH$_4$) mixing ratios (nmol mol$^{-1}$) for Barrow, Alert, Summit, and Tiksi.

Since the lengths of the data records at the four sites are very different (Table 1), the time period 2011–2014, where the four data records overlapped, was used for comparison. Based on a Tukey Pairwise Comparison Test for this period, Tiksi saw the highest average CH$_4$ mixing ratios with a mean of 1921 nmol mol$^{-1}$, followed in descending order by Barrow, Alert, and Summit with mean values of 1906, 1896, and 1887 nmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively (Table 1). The differences among the four sites, except between Alert and Summit, were statistically significant (Table S1). These differences suggest that sources and/or processes controlling the CH$_4$ mixing ratios at these Arctic sites could be quite different.

The 29-year average annual cycle in Barrow shows that CH$_4$ peaked at 1873 nmol mol$^{-1}$ in February through March and was lowest at 1830 nmol mol$^{-1}$ in July (Figure 2a). The pattern and amplitude of annual variation in CH$_4$ was similar at Alert, Summit, and Tiksi (Figures 2b–d) with annual peaks in the winter months (on average February) and minimums in summer (on average June and July). These consistent patterns of long-term average annual cycles indicate similar processes controlling the annual cycle in all four sites. Alert experienced the highest average annual amplitude of 48 nmol mol$^{-1}$, followed by Barrow, Tiksi, and Summit (43 nmol mol$^{-1}$, 41 nmol mol$^{-1}$, and 34 nmol mol$^{-1}$, respectively) in descending order.

Figure 3 exhibits the annual maximum and minimum CH$_4$ mixing ratios as well as the annual amplitude (= annual maximum - minimum mixing ratios of CH$_4$) for each year in Barrow. The annual maximum CH$_4$ ranged between 1799 nmol mol$^{-1}$ and 1932 nmol mol$^{-1}$, while the annual minimum CH$_4$ ranged between 1743 nmol mol$^{-1}$ and 1887 nmol mol$^{-1}$ (Figure 3a,b). Annual maximum CH$_4$ has been increasing at a rate of 3.76 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ while the minimum at 4.21 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$. Annual amplitude has been decreasing at a rate of 0.45 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($r = -0.40$, $p = 0.03$) from 71 nmol mol$^{-1}$ to 45 nmol mol$^{-1}$ in Barrow (Figure 3c). In Alert, the annual maximum increased at 3.81 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ while the minimum increased at 3.99 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ with a statistically insignificant decrease in the annual amplitude of 0.18 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($r = -0.21$, $p = 0.28$) (Figure S2). In Summit, the annual maximum increased by 3.67 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ while the annual minimum increased by 3.42 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ with a statistically insignificant increase in the annual amplitude of 0.34 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ ($r = 0.30$, $p = 0.23$) (Figure S3). Due to a lack of data, trends were not calculated for Tiksi. Trends in annual maximums and minimums at the three Arctic sites appeared to be close in patterns, but only Barrow saw a statistically significant trend in annual amplitude. The statistically significant decreasing trends in the annual amplitude at Barrow could be due to the increasing rates of annual minimums overtaking those of annual maximums. This seems to allude to some particular processes affecting CH$_4$ levels in Barrow in the summer, which is discussed in Section 2.4.
Figure 2. The annual cycle of CH₄ mixing ratios in Barrow (a), Alert (b), Summit (c), and Tiksi (d), averaged over their respective time periods with standard deviation.
2.2. Regional Anthropogenic Influences

CO mixing ratios were used to identify the influence of anthropogenic sources, because CO is a common by-product of incomplete combustion of oil, making it a useful indicator of anthropogenic emissions. CO data were available in Barrow and Alert only, which limited the analysis of anthropogenic influence to these two sites. There is a statistically significant negative correlation between the annual averaged CH₄ and CO mixing ratios in both Barrow and Alert, with $r = -0.59$ (p = .007) and $-0.62$ (p = 0.004), respectively (Figure 4). An examination of the long-term trend in CO in Barrow showed a rate of $-1.1 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and in Alert of $-1.1 \text{ nmol mol}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Figure S4). These
declining trends are consistent with decreasing CO emissions during the past decades from 204 thousand tons in 1970 to 60 thousand in 2017 in the United States [24] and from 12 thousand tons in 1990 to 5.6 thousand tons in 2015 in Canada [25] which had supposedly the largest immediate influence on the two sites. This relationship implies a predominant anthropogenic influence on ambient CH₄ mixing ratios.

**Figure 4.** Annual average CH₄ vs carbon monoxide (CO) in Barrow (a) and Alert (b) over 1986–2014.

Correlations between CH₄ and CO were also examined by month (Table 2). In Barrow, there is a negative correlation over January–May with the highest correlation (r = −0.81, p = 0.00) occurring in April with no significant correlations for the remaining months. Similarly, in Alert, there is a significant correlation between CH₄ and CO in December through June, with the strongest correlation occurring in April (r = −0.80, p = 0.00). In summer there is a combination of seasonal reductions in CH₄ due to oxidation and dilution due to increased planetary boundary layer (PBL) height, and a seasonal increase in wetland emissions, especially in Barrow, which could confound the negative correlation [26]. In Barrow, in addition to global anthropogenic influence, there are most likely local anthropogenic influences due to the ~4000 residents in the town [27] as well as emissions from the Prudhoe Bay Oil Field [18]. With only 62 residents and no other local emission sources in Alert, it is very unlikely that local anthropogenic sources played a significant role [28]. Instead, it is more likely the result of long-range transport of anthropogenic CH₄ and CO, which is suggested by the negative correlation (Figure 4b).

**Table 2.** Correlations (r) between CH₄ and carbon monoxide (CO) for each month in Barrow and Alert and sample size (n) at significance level of 0.05. The boldfaced values represent statistically significant correlations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Barrow (n = 29)</th>
<th>Alert (n = 29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>r</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>February</td>
<td>-0.57</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3. Transport

To understand transport pathways for air masses reaching the Arctic, atmospheric circulation patterns were examined using the mean composite sea level pressure for the months of January and July averaged over 1992–2014 (Figure 5). During the month of January, the Aleutian low-pressure system was situated over Alaska and the Bering Strait [29]. There was also a high-pressure system situated over the Eastern Asian continent. These systems formed southeasterly flow, which could potentially transport air masses rich in CH₄ among other pollutants from the West Coast of the U.S. or the Eurasian Continent, potentially contributing to ambient CH₄ mixing ratios in Barrow, which is suggested by the negative CO–CH₄ correlation. In July, the Aleutian low-pressure system disappears, replaced by two high-pressure systems indicating less dynamic circulation in the region that significantly reduced transport of anthropogenic emissions from distant source regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>March</th>
<th>April</th>
<th>May</th>
<th>June</th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.64</td>
<td>-0.81</td>
<td>-0.72</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.21</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-0.68</td>
<td>-0.80</td>
<td>-0.77</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>-0.25</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 5.** The sea level pressure composite for Barrow from 1992–2014 for the months of January (a) and July (b). The star represents the study site, Barrow. H (L) represents a high (low) pressure system. (Courtesy of National Oceanic and Aeronautics Administration’s (NOAA)).
a total of 46% and 13% of the trajectories arrive from east and west, with respect to Barrow. These clusters were likely coming from the Eurasian continent and the Northern Coastlines of Alaska Peninsula and adjacent Canada’s Yukon and Northwest Territories, potentially bringing anthropogenic CH\textsubscript{4} into Barrow. Note that 27% of these trajectories, in green, flowed near the Prudhoe Bay Oil Field, directly east of Barrow [18]. This cluster also had relatively low altitude, likely bringing CH\textsubscript{4} released from the oil field. In July, a larger percentage (13% in yellow) of trajectories arrived from the south of Barrow. The trajectories from the north moved further into the ocean and decreased in percentage, decreasing the chances of CH\textsubscript{4} coming from continental anthropogenic sources. The high-pressure systems in the summer likely reduced transport from the Eurasian Continent, but possibly enhanced transport from across Alaska and further south including the U.S. West Coast (Figures 5b,6) as well as vertical downward transport from aloft. Overall, the trajectories indicate that transport of anthropogenic CH\textsubscript{4} from Eurasia may have decreased significantly, but the transport from the North American continent and upper air may have increased during the summer months. Therefore, the overall influence of global transport remains unclear.

![Figure 6. Backward Trajectory clusters for Barrow, Alaska for the months of January and July over 1992–2014.](image)

It was unlikely that CH\textsubscript{4} emissions were directly transported near the surface from North America or East Asia [19]. The Arctic region is surrounded by a dome that is created from constant potential temperatures. This dome forces air parcels outside of it to rise. In the winter, this polar dome reaches around 65° N over Alaska, placing Barrow well within the dome, and can drop to 40° N over the Eurasian Continent [30]. This dome prevents transport near the surface coming from lower latitudes of North America and Asia, making Eurasia the primary source of long-range transport near the surface. On the North American continent, the Prudhoe Bay Oil Field is inside of this dome, continuously contributing a large source of CH\textsubscript{4}, especially during the winter. This is manifested in the nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions, a strong indicator of anthropogenic emissions, from Prudhoe Bay oil fields, ranging over 34,000 to 36,000 tons per year during 2008–2014 [31]. These large NOx emissions over the years suggest that Prudhoe Bay oil fields are a significant local CH\textsubscript{4} emission source. To corroborate these results, individual trajectories were simulated using Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) for each CH\textsubscript{4} data point. To better illustrate the potential sources of air masses with high and low CH\textsubscript{4} mixing ratios, mixing ratios below their 25th percentile were highlighted in blue, between the 25th and 75th percentile in green, and above the
75th percentile in red for the month of January between the years 1992–2012 (Figure S5). There seem to be a significant number of trajectories (46%) for the data points less than the 25th percentile mixing ratio coming from the East and Northeast (Figure 6). More importantly, the number of trajectories below the 25th percentile decrease in frequency closer to Alaska’s coast, along with an increased number of trajectories greater than the 75th percentile value, implying increased influence from anthropogenic sources of CH$_4$. There are also a significant number of trajectories for data points greater than the 75th percentile value arriving West of Barrow. It is likely that these trajectories transport anthropogenic CH$_4$ from the Eurasian continent within the Arctic Dome as aforementioned ([19],[30]).

2.4. Influence of Wetland Emissions During Warm Seasons

Further investigation of the annual minimum mixing ratios at Barrow (Figure 3b) uncovered a very similar pattern to the annual mean values at the site (Figure 1), with a decreased growth rate after 1992 from 10.9 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ to 4.93 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$, a plateau in growth between 1998 and 2006 and resumed growth rate of 6.17 nmol mol$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ after 2006. This pattern is largely missing from annual maximums (Figure 3a). The most distinct difference between summertime and wintertime sources and sinks in the Arctic is increased CH$_4$ oxidation, reduced long-range transport, and wetland emissions in the summer. No literature has reported long-term trends in OH mixing ratios and long-range transport of CH$_4$ for Barrow. However, permafrost thaw has been reportedly occurring and expanding in the Arctic increasing CH$_4$ oxidation, reduced long-range transport, and wetland emissions in the summer. No literature has reported long-term trends in OH mixing ratios and long-range transport of CH$_4$ for Barrow. However, permafrost thaw has been reportedly occurring and expanding in the Arctic increasing warm season wetland emissions [32]. On the other hand, [33] suggested that thawing permafrost could potentially lead to a decrease in Arctic wetland areas and, therefore, CH$_4$ emissions. In this study, it was hypothesized that the similarity in patterns of long term variations in annual minimum and annual mean CH$_4$ mixing ratios was caused by the long-term variation in Arctic summer sources of CH$_4$ emissions.

To corroborate the hypothesis that wetland emissions drove the increasing trend in summertime minimum of CH$_4$ mixing ratios in Barrow, the relationship between temperature and CH$_4$ mixing ratios was examined. It was found that there was a positive correlation of 0.49 (p = 0.007) between annual average temperature and annual average CH$_4$ mixing ratios (Figure 7). This linear correlation suggests that enhanced emission sources were temperature dependent, pointing to emission sources from thawing permafrost. Studies have shown that in addition to warming temperature, the growing season has been lengthened [34], allowing for a longer period of wetland emissions. These findings indicate a need for estimations of wetland emissions.

![Figure 7](image-url)  
**Figure 7.** Annual average temperature versus annual average CH$_4$ mixing ratios in Barrow during 1986–2014.

During the summer months, the influence of Arctic wetlands increases dramatically. However, the annual lowest mixing ratios of CH$_4$ occur during the summer (Figure 2). On average, the drop
from the annual peak mixing ratios in February to minimum mixing ratio in July is 42.32 nmol mol\(^{-1}\) averaged over 1986–2014. This is mainly due to oxidation of CH\(_4\) [26] along with dilution from increasing PBL height and likely reduced long-range transport.

To estimate the emission rate of Arctic wetlands, Equation 2 in Section 3 “Data and Method” was used to estimate the flux of CH\(_4\) in Barrow between the months of May and July, and June and July over a 29-year period. The same was done for Tiksi over the 5-year period when data were available. The May–July and June–July time periods were used as these are the periods that include the growing season start and peak, respectively, in the Arctic. It was assumed that the overall change in mixing ratios between the cold and warm season was determined by oxidation, dilution (due to increasing PBL height), wetland emissions, and the contribution of seasonal changes in long-range transport and anthropogenic emissions. Due to the very long lifetime of CH\(_4\), it is reasonable to assume relatively constant impacts of global transport of CH\(_4\) to Barrow, and local anthropogenic emissions were assumed to be constant throughout the year. Thus, the seasonal change in anthropogenic emissions and transport was considered to be negligible. These assumptions can be corroborated by the seasonal changes in the CO–CH\(_4\) correlation seen in Table 2. There was a strong correlation between CO and CH\(_4\) during the winter months, indicating predominant anthropogenic influence on CH\(_4\) mixing ratios. During the summer, this correlation became insignificant, implying a change in sources or sinks of CO and/or CH\(_4\). These results imply that natural sources of CH\(_4\), and losses of CH\(_4\) through oxidation become important in the summer. Therefore, Equation 3 was simplified to Equation 4 as follows,

\[
\Delta CH_4(1 - f_{\text{dilution}}) \approx E_{\text{Wetlands}} - Oxidation \quad \text{(Equation 1)}
\]

Using the change in CH\(_4\) from May to July and June to July subtracting the influence of dilution (\(f_{\text{dilution}} = 0.2\), the justification for this value can be found in Section 3 Data and Methods) due to increased PBL height together with the calculated oxidation, wetland emissions can be estimated for these periods. Table 3 shows the wetland emission fluxes for Barrow and Tiksi, along with other flux estimates at other sites from previous studies.

Table 3. Calculated and literature wetland emission fluxes (\(\mu g \text{ m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}\)) for various sites. Bold highlights potential changes in CH\(_4\) emission fluxes before, during, and after the plateau period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site/Source</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Flux</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>1986–2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–July</td>
<td>0.0052 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.008 ± 0.002</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June–July</td>
<td>0.0110 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012–2016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tiksi</td>
<td>May–July</td>
<td>0.006 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.010 ± 0.003</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June–July</td>
<td>0.014 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>1986–1998</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–July</td>
<td>0.0055 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.0083 ± 0.002</td>
<td>This Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June–July</td>
<td>0.0111 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-CH(_4) Plateau</td>
<td>1999–2006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–July</td>
<td>0.0042 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.0077 ± 0.002</td>
<td>This Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June–July</td>
<td>0.0113 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barrow</td>
<td>2007–2014</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>May–July</td>
<td>0.0052 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.0081 ± 0.002</td>
<td>This Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June–July</td>
<td>0.011 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lena River Delta, Russia</td>
<td>Mid-Summer to Early Winter 2003; Early Spring to Mid-Summer 2004</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>Willie et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Slope, Alaska</td>
<td>August 13–27, 2013</td>
<td>0.5–1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>Sayres et al. (2016)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bethel, Alaska,</td>
<td>July–August 1988</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>Fan et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Barrow saw a maximum flux of 0.011 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ during 1986–2014 while Tiksi saw a maximum flux of 0.014 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ over 2012–2016. There were statistically significant differences between May–July and June–July fluxes, but no statistically significant differences between the time intervals before (<1998), during (1998–2006), and after (>2006) the plateau period (p = 0.00).

The range of the literature sources for Arctic wetland emissions was 0.05–1.5 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ (Table 3). Our estimated fluxes are near the lower end of this range. This discrepancy may be caused by several reasons. First, the method used in this study is a first order of magnitude method with reasoned approximations, whereas the cited studies employed measurement approaches. Second, previous wetland studies focused on areas of wetlands, while our study averages over a large area of mixed land surface types including surfaces of low CH$_4$ emissions, potentially leading to lower CH$_4$ emission estimates in our study. The Carbon Arctic Reservoirs Vulnerability Experiment (CARVE) found mean flux average over the State of Alaska to be 0.093 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ putting this study’s June–July fluxes within the same order of magnitude [12]. Third, the time periods of the calculation in this study and the measurement periods in the literature were very different. The data from the literature fluxes were instantaneous fluxes measured over short periods, whereas the calculated fluxes presented here were averages between May–July and June–July over the period of nearly 2–3 decades at Barrow, Alert, and Summit, and 5 years over Tiksi. Fourth, there is significant uncertainty in the PBL height that was used, which determined the dilution factor (0.2) used in the estimation. A mean value of 1.5 km was used for calculations, but this height can fluctuate, bringing uncertainty into the calculation [35]. Fifth, there was great uncertainty in estimation of oxidation. There is very little literature on OH concentrations in the Arctic, and model estimations used in this study [36] seem to underestimate OH concentrations compared to measured values [37].

In Barrow, there appears to be a decrease in flux from 0.0083 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ before the plateau period to 0.0077 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ during the plateau period, and then increase to 0.0081 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ after (Table 4). Admittedly these changes are not statistically significant due to limited sample size. However, this pattern in the fluxes is consistent with the pattern of temperatures in July during the three time periods, where the average July temperature during 1998–2006 dropped by 0.41 °C compared to the mean of all of July over 1986–2014, 0.37 °C compared to the mean over 1986–1997, and 0.94 °C compared to the mean over 2007–2014 (Figure 8). This indicates that wetlands saw a decrease in emissions which possibly contributed to this plateau. Nisbet et al. (2016) suggested that this plateau was largely due to a drop in emissions from tropical wetlands [3]. Our finding suggest that this decrease in fluxes from Arctic wetlands could also have played a role. More measurement data from the Arctic are needed to obtain more rigorous estimates of summertime wetland emission flux and to validate our hypothesis.
Arctic wetland emissions have the potential to increase over time, specifically in the fall. Table S2 summarizes correlations between temperature and CH4 mixing ratios in Barrow for each month over 1986–2014. Statistically significant correlations occurred in months of August (r = 0.37, p = 0.05), September (r = 0.44, p = 0.02), October (r = 0.50, p = 0.01), November (r = 0.56, p = 0.00), apparently with the strongest correlation in late fall.

During the fall, OH mixing ratios are reduced, and oxidation is subsequently weakened [36]. With this reduced oxidation and a warming climate, more days above freezing could extend the period of wetlands emissions. A study in 2012 found that 21–25% of CH4 emissions in Barrow occurred during the fall [38]. In a warming climate, these autumn influences could increase. Another study found that fall emissions could be significant [7]. In this study, a “zero curtain” was proposed in soils during the fall when permafrost is still relatively low in the soil profile, and colder air temperatures freeze the surface layer of soil. These conditions insulate an unfrozen layer of the soil profile that can continue microbial activity. The CH4 produced in this manner can diffuse through the upper frozen soil in which minimal consumption CH4 occurs, thereby leading to elevated CH4 emissions through the fall [7]. However, Sweeney. (2016) showed only an increase of 1.5% global CH4 emissions attributed to natural sources in the Arctic, smaller than the increase estimated from simply using the linear relationship between temperature and CH4 mixing ratios, and further suggested other processes are affecting the long-term CH4 emissions [39]. These results suggest that the mechanisms controlling CH4 are complicated and require further study in a variety of ecosystems to better understand how they change under a warming climate.

3. Data and Methods

3.1. Site Locations

Figure 9 and Table 1 show the observation sites used in this study. The Barrow Observatory (71.32°N, 156.61°W, 3 m ASL), the primary site studied, is located 8 km east of the city of Barrow on the North Slope of Alaska, with an elevation of 3 meters above sea-level (ASL). Barrow, Alaska is a small city on the North Slope of Alaska, with ~ 4,000 residents [27]. A substantial amount of oil drilling is done on the North Slope, which includes the Prudhoe Bay oil field, one of the largest in North America. Barrow is surrounded by wetlands that are classified as “permafrost affected”, indicating that permafrost plays a significant role in the formation of the wetlands there [40]. Alert (82.451°N, 62.507°W, 190 m ASL) is a high latitude Arctic site in Northern Canada, remote from local anthropogenic sources, with only 62 inhabitants as of 2016 [28]. Alert is covered with snow almost 10 months of the year, with very sparse arctic vegetation appearing during the brief summer, which limits the influence of warm season wetland emissions. The site is surrounded by hills and plateaus (Hopper and Hart, 1994). Summit, Greenland (72.596, 38.422°W, 3209 m ASL) is a high elevation site on the summit of the Greenland Ice Sheet [41]. Tiksi, Russia (71.64°N, 128.86°E) is an Arctic site at a similar latitude to Barrow, in an area surrounded by permafrost affected wetlands. CH4 venting from sediments in shallow waters in the East Siberian Shelf could be contributing to CH4 [42]. There is also significant oil drilling occurring in Siberia within the Tiksi region [43].
3.2. Data

The atmospheric CH$_4$ and carbon monoxide (CO) data used in this study for Barrow and Alert were from the National Oceanic and Aeronautics Administration’s (NOAA) Earth System Research Laboratory Global Monitoring Division (ESLR) ([44,45], accessed by ftp://aftp.cmdl.noaa.gov/data/trace_gases). The data included monthly averaged data spanning the time period of 1986–2014 that were determined with flask measurements. CH$_4$ and CO were both analyzed at NOAA ESRL in Boulder, Colorado. CH$_4$ was measured using gas chromatography with flame ionization. CO from 1988 to 2008 was analyzed using instruments based on gas chromatography and HgO reduction detection. After 2008, CO fluorescence in the vacuum ultraviolet replaced one of the two gas chromatography on the instrument. Data availability limited time ranges for Summit, Greenland to 1997–2014, and 2011–2017 for Tiksi, Russia. The repeatability of the data was estimated at 2 nmol mol$^{-1}$ [44].

Meteorological data, including precipitation and temperature, were taken from two sources: NOAA’s National Climatic Data Center (NCDC) [46], and the Environment and Climate Change Canada [47]. Composite mean sea surface pressure distributions between the years of 1992 and 2012 were created using NOAA’s ESRL Seasonal/Monthly Mean Composites [48].

Concentrations of hydroxyl radicals (OH) were estimated using a combination of field measurements [37] and a modeling study [36]. OH concentrations were used to estimate the loss rate of atmospheric CH$_4$ via oxidation by OH radicals (Section 2.4).

3.3. Trajectory Simulations

To determine the influence of atmospheric transport on CH$_4$ concentrations, NOAA’s Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) Model [49] was used to investigate past trajectories of air masses. Cluster analysis was used to identify the origin and path of air masses reaching Barrow, Alaska for the months of January and July. The meteorological data used to drive HYSPLIT were acquired from the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) with a spatial resolution of 32 x 32 km [50]. Five-day backward trajectories were simulated for each day starting at 12 pm at a height of 500 meters above ground level for the period of 1992–2012.

3.4. Rate of Oxidation, Dilution Factor, and Wetland Flux Estimation
The oxidation reaction for atmospheric CH$_4$ is:

$$
\text{CH}_4 + \cdot \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{CH}_3 \cdot + \text{H}_2\text{O}
$$

(R1)

The rate of oxidation of CH$_4$ was determined as follows:

$$
\frac{d[\text{CH}_4]}{dt} = -k \times [\text{OH}] \times [\text{CH}_4]
$$

(Equation 2)

where [OH] is the concentration of OH radicals, and [CH$_4$] represents concentrations of CH$_4$ molecules. The concentrations of CH$_4$ and OH were in units of molecules cm$^{-3}$. K represents a temperature dependent rate constant and is calculated as follows:

$$
k = A e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}}
$$

(Equation 3)

where A is a frequency factor of 2.45 $\times$ 10$^{-12}$ s$^{-1}$, $E_a$ is the activation energy, R is the gas constant with an $E_a/R$ value of 1775 K, and T is the temperature in K. Arrhenius equation values were acquired from NOAA’s Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies [51].

The rate of oxidation was calculated and then converted into moles m$^{-3}$. The planetary boundary layer (PBL) height was 1.5 km, a median value with a range of 1.1–1.8 km and variations of 30%–40% in Barrow, Alaska, between April and the summer months [52]. A previous study using the Constellation Observing System for the Meteorology, Ionosphere, and Climate (COSMIC) satellite mission found an approximate change of 20% in PBL height between May and July, in St Paul Alaska [53]. As a result, 30%–40% of the changes in CH$_4$ between the winter and summer months can be explained by dilution due to the rise in the PBL in warm seasons, and ~20% between the summer months. Therefore, the dilution factor $f_{\text{dilution}}$ used in Equation 1 is 0.2.

The wetland fluxes were estimated, based on a long-term average annual cycle, using a mass balance method as follows [54]:

$$
\Delta\text{CH}_4(1 - f_{\text{dilution}}) = E_{\text{Anthrop}} + \text{Transport} + E_{\text{Wetlands}} - \text{Oxidation}
$$

(Equation 4)

where $\Delta$CH$_4$ represents the seasonal change in CH$_4$ concentrations from the cold to warm season, $f_{\text{dilution}}$ is the dilution factor due to change of PBL height and the value of 0.2 was used for May–July and June–July, $E_{\text{Anthrop}}$ is the seasonal change in emissions of CH$_4$ from local anthropogenic sources, Transport is the seasonal change in transport of CH$_4$ from other locations, $E_{\text{Wetlands}}$ are the CH$_4$ emissions from wetlands, and oxidation is the removal of CH$_4$ represented by Equation 1. $E_{\text{Wetlands}}$ and oxidation occur in the warm season only.

4. Summary

This study investigated factors affecting Arctic atmospheric CH$_4$ in Barrow, Alaska along with comparison sites in Alert, Canada, Summit, Greenland, and Tiksi, Russia. During the winter, Barrow and Alert see a significant correlation between CO and CH$_4$ concentrations, indicative of anthropogenic influences. Cluster Analysis of backward trajectories during the winter suggested transport of CH$_4$ from the Eurasian Continent and significant contribution from the Prudhoe Bay Oil Field. The amplitude of the annual cycle of CH$_4$ has decreased over time due to increasing summertime annual minimums indicating increasing wetland emissions of CH$_4$. Wetland fluxes between May and July in Barrow and Tiksi were estimated to be 0.005 µg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ and 0.006 µg m$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$, respectively, while fluxes between June and July were 0.011µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and 0.014µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$. These fluxes are near the lower end of the range of ones from in situ field measurement studies. Wetland emission fluxes were estimated to be 0.0083 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ before, 0.0077 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ during, and 0.0081 µg m$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ after the CH$_4$ growth rate plateau (1998–2006). Although the difference between these three periods is not statistically significant, it indicates that reduced wetland emissions in the Arctic linked to cooler temperature may have played a role in the CH$_4$ plateau during 1998–2006. This hypothesis was
supported by a correlation ($r = 0.37–0.56$, $p = 0.05–0.00$) between temperature and CH$_4$ over August–November. As the planet continues to warm, global wetlands, including Arctic wetlands, are likely to play a growing role in increasing CH$_4$ concentrations. It has been estimated that global wetland CH$_4$ emissions could increase by 33–60% [55] or as high as 80–110% [56] by the year 2100. However, with the potential decrease of Arctic wetlands due to permafrost loss, CH$_4$ emissions may decrease in the Arctic long term [33]. The complexity of how Arctic wetland emissions of CH$_4$ would respond to a warming climate warrants further study.
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