Kinetic and Equilibrium Study of the Adsorption of CO$_2$ in Ultramicropores of Resorcinol-Formaldehyde Aerogels Obtained in Acidic and Basic Medium
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Abstract: In this work, aerogels were prepared using resorcinol-formaldehyde as a precursor in two synthetic routes, one basic and one acidic, to perform the adsorption of CO$_2$ at 0 °C and atmospheric pressure. Aerogels were characterized by N$_2$ and CO$_2$ physisorption, Raman Spectroscopy, Scanning Electron Microscopy, and Infrared Spectroscopy. In general, was found that aerogels have a polymeric, disordered, three-dimensional structure and have a microporous surface. Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips and Toth equilibrium models present a good data fit of CO$_2$ adsorption at relative pressure ranging between $1 \times 10^{-4}$ and $3 \times 10^{-2}$. The diffusion intra-particle kinetic model explains the setps of this process; the Elovich model also showed a good fit, therefore, there are an energetic heterogeneity of the CO$_2$ superficial adsorption sites. The aerogel carbonized in basic medium at 1050 °C (ACB 1050) material was the best adsorbent of this pollutant, reaching an adsorption capacity of 6.43 mmol g$^{-1}$.
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1. Introduction

Global warming caused by greenhouse gases is leading to the destabilization of marine ecosystems, the rise in sea levels, and the acidification of the oceans [1]. CO$_2$ is the main contributor to these phenomena due to industrialization processes [2]. During the last several decades, CO$_2$ concentration has increased considerably [3] due to the combustion of fossil fuels [4] and other human activities, such as the cement industry [5]. Currently, fossil-fueled power stations are the most potential sources of CO$_2$ emission, releasing more than a third of global emissions [4], and in the future humans will continue to depend on this source of energy, thus continue to emit CO$_2$ if corrective measures are not taken [6].

Eight-five percent of world energy is fueled using fossil fuels, due to its inherent availability, energy density, and our modern economic society [7]. About 60% of the effects of global warming are due to CO$_2$, even though methane and chlorofluorocarbons have a much greater greenhouse effect in relation to the mass of the gases. CO$_2$ emission has increased in recent years [2], and the international panel on climate change (IPCC) stated that the average concentration of CO$_2$ could rise to 570 ppm by 2100, causing a 1.9 °C increase in the average global temperature and a 38 cm increase in sea level [8]. For this reason, CO$_2$ has become a threat to the environment [2].

Recently, the Paris agreement was signed by the Parties of the United Nations with the aim of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, where an agreement was made to maintain a global temperature increase below 2 °C in comparison to pre-industrial levels [9].
Achieving economic and favorable separation of CO$_2$ from gas mixtures represents one of the main technological and environmental problems facing our society today [10]. The most studied post-combustion technology is chemical adsorption. Monoethanol amine (MEA) has been the chemical most used for this purpose. Alternative solvents, such as piperazine and ammonia, have also been proposed to achieve this objective [6]. The advantage of this method is the low partial pressure that is used because the CO$_2$ molecules are easily absorbed over liquids in flue gas streams [11]. The disadvantage is that the use of amine alkoxysilanes is very expensive [12], and the method requires high regeneration energy due to the large emission of combustion gases and the costs of repairing the process equipment [4]. Other common methods to achieve CO$_2$ sequestration include separation in membranes, cryogenic and biological methods, and physical adsorption [13].

Capture and storage technology has been considered the best option to reduce carbon dioxide emissions from large sources, and particularly adsorption is considered a promising process to separate gas mixtures [14]. This process is inexpensive, requires less regeneration energy, is easy to handle, has fast kinetics, and has a high capacity of CO$_2$ adsorption and selectivity [4]. The adsorbents provide a high surface area, which is a fundamental parameter in this process. Among the different adsorbents, 13X zeolite has been investigated to adsorb CO$_2$ by oscillating the pressure [6]. It is generally recognized that CO$_2$ is physically adsorbed to activated carbon, mainly by condensation or liquefaction in pores with widths that are less than 1 nm [15]. The adsorption of this greenhouse gas in nanopore materials has also been investigated in zeolites exchanged with alkali metals, amino-modified and modified alkaline, mesoporous silicas, microporous polymers, carbons, and metalorganic structures [10]. It has also been established that the chemical properties of activated carbon play a relevant role in this process [15]. Porous composite carbon materials are especially promising because they are economical, easy to synthesize and regenerate, and, according to the parameters used during the synthesis, a porous structure with great chemical, thermal, and mechanical stability can be obtained [16].

In view of the growing exponential demand for energy in various applications worldwide and to meet the long-term goal of reducing CO$_2$ emissions, it is necessary to develop more efficient technologies and profitable adsorbents for the capture and sequestration of CO$_2$. In the present work, carbon aerogels were synthesized using resorcinol-formaldehyde precursors with two different synthesis routes (basic and acidic) and using suitable synthesis conditions to obtain materials with a high adsorption capacity of this pollutant.

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis

Aerogels were synthesized by the sol-gel method [17]. In this process, the starting precursors resorcinol and formaldehyde were mixed with a resorcinol/formaldehyde (R/F) ratio of 0.5, and deionized water was added as a solvent in a resorcinol/water (R/H$_2$O) ratio of 0.0757. The catalysts used in basic and acidic media were Na$_2$CO$_3$ and HCl, respectively, in a 1500 resorcinol/catalyst (R/C) ratio. The resulting solutions were agitated for 30 min and placed in polypropylene molds. Then, the thermal treatment stage was carried out, during which hydrogels were left at 25°C for one day, 50°C for two days, and finally 80°C for three days. After the hydrogels were cooled to room temperature, the solvent was allowed to exchange with acetone for three days. For supercritical drying with CO$_2$, a high-pressure reactor was used at 40°C with a pressure of 120 bar.

2.2. Carbonization

The obtained resorcinol/formaldehyde (RF) organic aerogels were carbonized [17] in a tubular furnace with a quartz tube under N$_2$ flow at 80 mL min$^{-1}$ using the following ramp cycle: 25–250°C in 60 min, held at 250°C for 30 min, 250–600°C in 300 min, held at 600°C for 30 min, 600–1050°C in 180 min, and kept at 1050°C for 240 min. Then, the furnace was allowed to cool down to room temperature under N$_2$ flow. The obtained RF carbon aerogel samples in basic and acidic medium
were labeled as ACB 1050 (Aerogel Carbonized in Basic medium at 1050 °C) and ACA 1050 (Aerogel Carbonized in Acid medium at 1050 °C), respectively.

2.3. Activation with CO_2

Once the carbonization process was carried out, the activation process was done on the samples (ACB 1050 and ACA 1050). For this, a certain amount of solid was weighed and placed inside the tube of a carbolite MFT (Mini Tube Furnace) furnace. Throughout the process, constant CO_2 flow was maintained, and the heating temperature was increased at 5 °C/min from room temperature to 850 °C, and the final temperature was maintained for 2 h. It is important to mention that the temperature and time employed in this process were determined by performing Thermogravimetric-Differential Thermogravimetric (TG-DTG) tests (Hitachi STA7000 Series, Schaumburg, IL, USA) to determine the conditions under which CO_2 modifies the carbon aerogel. The samples obtained were named AAB 850 and AAA 850 (Aerogel Basic prepared to 850 °C and Aerogel Acid obtained to 850 °C).

2.4. Characterization

After being prepared each of the samples it is necessary to perform a characterization of these in order to set each of the parameters that will allow subsequently analyze its effect on the application that will be given to the samples. The procedures are presented to set each of these parameters.

2.4.1. Physisorption of N_2 at −196 °C and CO_2 at 0 °C

A sample of 0.1000 g of the synthesized aerogels was degassed at 250 °C and a vacuum of 1 × 10^{-5} mbar for a period of 3 h was used to remove all the adsorbed species that could intervene in the adsorption processes (automatic IQ2 sortometer (Quantachrome Inc., Boynton Beach, FL, USA). The corresponding N_2 and CO_2 adsorption isotherms at −196 °C and 0 °C were obtained in the above equipment with a relative pressure range between 1 × 10^{-4} and 3 × 10^{-2} for CO_2 and 4 × 10^{-5} and 1 for N_2. The specific surface area was evaluated from the Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) method [18–21] with the data obtained for N_2 relative pressures (P/P_0) in a range that meets the requirements for micropore materials (IUPAC 2015) [22]. To determine the volume of ultramicropores of the aerogels, the data obtained from the CO_2 adsorption isotherms were analyzed by applying the Dubinin-Radushkevich (DR) model [23]. Additionally, ultramicropore size distribution was determined using the non-local density functional theory (NLDFT) model [24,25]. This was done using the software implemented on the computer. This software was developed by the engineers of Quantachrome instruments (Boynton Beach, FL, USA) and is based on the thermodynamic model between the interface’s liquid-liquid, solid-liquid, gas-gas-gas and solid-gas. The software allows the user to model the results of the isotherms considering, for example, the type of pore that the solid has.

2.4.2. Raman Spectroscopy

Raman spectra were taken on a HORIBA Scientific instrument (Newark, NJ, USA) in a range of 500–2500 cm^{-1} using a 532 nm laser and a 10× (Near Infrared) (NIR) target. For this analysis, no additional preparation of the samples was performed. This technique was used to demonstrate that the carbonized samples had a disordered structure composed of layers of graphene.

2.4.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

This analysis was performed on a JEOL JSM 6490-LV microscope (Peabody, MA, USA). For this, a certain quantity of aerogel was triturated. Before carrying out this analysis, the aerogel surface was coated with gold using the sputtering method to obtain a conductive surface. This analysis was carried out to observe the material surface and to confirm that the aerogels had a polymeric structure.
2.4.4. Infrared Spectroscopy

Fourier Transform Infrared Spectra (FTIR) of the aerogels were obtained in a Shimazu (IRT racer-100, Columbia, SC, USA) using a reflectance cell. The pressed granules were prepared by grinding the carbon aerogels and mixing them with KBr in an agate mortar. The spectral data were recorded at wavenumber values of 4000–500 cm$^{-1}$.

2.5. Kinetic Study of the CO$_2$ Adsorption Process at 0 °C and Atmospheric Pressure

Rate laws are essential in any adsorption study because they provide an exact expression during the duration of the reaction [26]. The models used in the present study are described below.

2.5.1. Pseudo First Order and Pseudo Second Order Models

There are several models of kinetics, but in general, the most used and compared are the pseudo first order and pseudo second order kinetic models. The Pseudo first order kinetic model was proposed for the first time at the end of the 19th century by Lagergren. The speed constant when using this model is denoted as $K_1$ [27]. The Pseudo second order kinetic model was introduced for the first time in the mid-1980s, but its recognition increased in 1999 when Ho and McKay [28] took data from experiments reported in the literature and determined that the best fit to the data from all the systems studied was given by applying the Pseudo second order model. After this publication came to light, the speed constant $K_2$ become more popular since in most studies it was found that the data obtained fit this model. The equations of these two models are presented below. Equation (1) describes the Pseudo first order model, as follows:

$$\log \left(1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e}\right) = \frac{K_1}{2.303} t$$

where $q_t$ is the concentration of the adsorbed phase at a certain time of the adsorption process, $q_e$ is the concentration of the adsorbed phase at the end of equilibrium with the solid present in suspension, $t$ is the time that has elapsed since the process of adsorption began, and $k_1$ is the Lagergreen constant (s$^{-1}$). The pollutant adsorption rate is proportional to the time that has elapsed since the adsorption process started: for $t = 0$, the value of $q_t = 0$, and, once equilibrium has been reached, the value of $q_t \approx q_e$ [29]. Equation (2) describes the Pseudo second order model, as follows:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t$$

When $t$ approaches zero, the adsorption rate $t/q_t$ becomes the initial adsorption rate. The data obtained in the kinetics study were adapted to the Pseudo second order model when obtaining the graph of $t/q_t$ vs. $t$. The linear relationship obtained allowed for the calculation of $q_e$, $k_2$, and $k_2q_e^2$ without previously knowing any parameter [30].

2.5.2. Modified Pseudo First Order

This kinetic model is useful when the experimentally obtained data do not conform to the Pseudo first order kinetic model, Pseudo second order kinetic model, or the intraparticle diffusion model. In this new model, the Pseudo first order equation is modified by the velocity constant, as observed in Equation (3) [31], as follows:

$$\ln \left(1 - \frac{q_t}{q_e}\right) + \frac{q_t}{q_e} = -k_m t$$

Since $q_t < q_e$, this equation implies that the rate constant $k_1$ is minimal when equilibrium has been reached [31].
2.5.3. Elovich Model

It has been found that the Elovich empirical adsorption model has wide applicability for numerous adsorption systems. This model is based on the assumption of energetic heterogeneity of the adsorption sites in the form of a rectangular distribution [32]. The Elovich kinetic model is reported in Equation (4), as follows:

\[ q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln(\alpha \beta) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t \]  

(4)

where \( \alpha \) is the initial adsorption rate of the Elovich equation (mmol g\(^{-1}\) s\(^{-1}\)) and \( \beta \) is the model adsorption constant (g mmol\(^{-1}\)) [26], which is related to the adsorption energy [33]. The Elovich equation can be linearized assuming that \( \alpha \beta t \gg 1 \) and that \( q = 0 \) at \( t = 0 \) [34] and that \( q = q_t \) for time \( t = t_t \) [35]. Under these conditions, a graph of \( q_t \) versus \( \ln t \) shows a linear relationship with a slope of \( (1/\beta) \) and intercept of \( (1/\beta) \ln(\alpha \beta) \) [26]. This equation was used by Inyang et al. and Onwawoma et al., to describe the kinetics of adsorption of pollutants in natural adsorbents, prepared or modified, in which they found that the best behavior of the obtained data is given when applying this model [26]. This equation is also used to describe reaction mechanisms, such as solute diffusion in the solution or interface phase, surface activation, and deactivation. It is adequate to elucidate processes with significant changes of activation energy [34].

2.5.4. Intra-Particle Diffusion Model

According to the fundamental theory of chemical adsorption, intraparticle diffusion becomes a limiting factor for the rate of adsorption when the particle size is too large and/or the intrinsic reaction rate is too fast compared to the diffusion rate of the particles adsorbed inside the pores. The degree of inhibition resulting from the overall velocity depends on the combination of particle size and shape, the intrinsic reaction rate, and the diffusion rate [36].

According to Weber and Morris (1963) [37], the plot of \( q_t \) versus \( t^{1/2} \) gives a straight line; therefore, the adsorption process is controlled by intraparticle diffusion only. However, if the data exhibit multilinear plots, two or more steps influence the adsorption process. The intra-particle diffusion model is reported in Equation (5), as follows:

\[ q_t = K_iP^{0.5} + C \]  

(5)

where \( K_i \) is the intra-particle diffusion rate constant (mmol g\(^{-1}\) min\(^{-0.5}\)) [38].

2.6. Adsorption Studies

Various adsorption isotherm models have been formulated to describe the experimental adsorption data. The most important ones are mentioned below.

2.6.1. Langmuir Model

The Langmuir model (1916) [39] is the simplest theoretical model to describe the adsorption of a monolayer on a homogeneous surface [40]. This model considers the following assumptions: (i) the molecules are adsorbed to a fixed number of active sites, which are well defined; (ii) the adsorption forms a single monolayer; (iii) each site can contain a single molecule of adsorbate and adsorbed grindings will not change the adsorption site; (iv) each adsorption site possesses the same energy, therefore, there are definite and energetically equivalent numbers of adsorption sites, and thus the surface of the adsorbent is homogeneous; (v) there is no interaction between the adsorbed molecules in nearby sites and (vi) the adsorption system is in equilibrium. Therefore, the adsorption constant is equal to the desorption constant [8]. The model of the Langmuir isotherm is reported in Equation (6), as follows:

\[ Q_e = \frac{q_LK_LP_{CO_2}}{1 + K_LP_{CO_2}} \]
where $q_L$ is the maximum capacity of adsorption in the monolayer, $P_{CO_2}$ (atm) is the pressure at the equilibrium of the adsorbed gas, and $K_L$ (atm$^{-1}$) is the Langmuir adsorption constant or the affinity constant related to the energy of adsorption [40].

2.6.2. Freundlich Model

The adsorption of molecules on a surface that has a constant interaction energy is not common since most solids have a heterogeneous surface [8]. The Freundlich model [41] is described by an empirical equation used for systems with a high degree of heterogeneity. In this model, it is assumed that adsorption occurs at different sites and the formation of multilayers occurs with different adsorption energies. This leads to an exponential decrease in energy as the coverage of the surface originates [42].

The strength of the bonds is not homogeneous due to the physicochemical characteristics of the adsorption sites or the number of molecules already adsorbed. In particular, as more molecules join to a site and it is less likely that another molecule will join the same site because it requires a higher (exponentially) energy [8]. The model of the Freundlich isotherm is reported in Equation (7), as follows:

$$Q_e = K_f P_{CO_2}^{1/n}$$

(7)

where $Q_e$ (mmol g$^{-1}$) is the capacity of adsorption of CO$_2$ by the adsorbents (in this case, the carbon aerogels) in equilibrium, $K_f$ is the constant of the Freundlich isotherm (mmol g$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1/n}$), and $n$ is the heterogeneity factor that represents the deviation of the linearity of the adsorption. The Freundlich constant ($n$) depends on the adsorption capacity and is used to evaluate the adsorption favorability. The value of $n_f$ is between 2 and 10, indicating a high adsorption capacity, while values between 1 and 2 indicate moderate adsorption capacity and values less than 1 indicate a small adsorption capacity [43].

To obtain a better understanding of the stages carried out during the process of adsorption of CO$_2$ in the ultramicropores of resorcinol-formaldehyde aerogels obtained in basic and acid medium. The data obtained in the equilibrium isotherms were also adjusted to adsorption models of three parameters, which are less common than the traditional Langmuir and Freundlich models.

2.6.3. Sips Model

The two models of the previous isotherms have been widely used to analyze the adsorption of various pollutants. The Sips model simultaneously involves the Freundlich and Langmuir models, has three parameters taken from the theory of these models [44], and has a greater capacity to describe equilibrium. The Sips isotherm model is reported in Equation (8), as follows:

$$Q_e = \frac{q_s (K_s P_{CO_2})^{1/m_s}}{1 + (K_s P_{CO_2})^{1/m_s}}$$

(8)

where $K_s$ (atm$^{-1}$) is the constant of the Sips isotherm, $q_s$ (mmol g$^{-1}$) reflects the maximum adsorption constant, and $m_s$ is the heterogeneity factor that represents the deviation of the linearity of adsorption [8]. A value of $m_s$ close to or equal to 1 is given in adsorbents with homogeneous active sites [45], while a value of $m_s$ close to 0 occurs in biosorbents that possess the heterogeneously distributed active sites [46].

2.6.4. Toth Model

In the Toth model, an asymmetric quasi-gaussian energy distribution is assumed, where most sites have a lower adsorption energy than the energy peak of maximum adsorption [42]. Toth modifies the Langmuir equation to reduce the error between the experimental and predicted values of adsorption equilibrium. This model is best suited for heterogeneous systems in which multiple adsorption layers occur. The exponent of the Toth isotherm ($m_T$) is related to the heterogeneity of the surface. If $m_T$ is
equal to the unit, the Toth model is reduced to the Langmuir model. This correlation is applied for liquid-solid adsorption [47]; therefore, it typically describes the adsorption isotherms at all pressures since it has the potential to combine the advantages of the Langmuir and Freundlich models, that is, it is valid at high partial pressures and possesses the correct behavior of Henry’s law at low pressures [8]. The Toth isotherm model is reported in Equation (9), as follows:

\[
Q_e = \frac{q_T K_T P_{CO_2}}{1 + \left( (K_T P_{CO_2})^{m_T} \right)^{1/m_T}}
\]  

(9)

where \( K_T \) is the constant of the Toth isotherm (atm\(^{-1}\)), \( q_T \) (mmol g\(^{-1}\)) is the maximum capacity of adsorption, and \( m_T \) is the factor of heterogeneity. Deviations of \( m_T \) from the unit correspond to heterogeneous systems and can be derived from the nature of the solid, from the adsorbed contaminant, or from a combination of both. When \( m_T \) equals 1, the Toth isotherm is reduced to the Langmuir model, which represents a homogeneous system. The parameter \( m_T \) is usually smaller than the unit and, therefore, the smaller this parameter, the more heterogeneous the system [8].

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physisorption

Below is the analysis of each of the results obtained in this research according to the technique used.

3.1.1. Physisorption of N\(_2\) to \(-196 \, ^\circ\)C

The carbon aerogel synthesized by basic catalysis at 1050 \(^\circ\)C presents the best adsorption capacity. Isotherm type I is characteristic of micropores (width < 2 nm) [48,49] and corresponds to adsorption in a single layer called a monolayer [50]. Figure 1A shows the adsorption isotherms of N\(_2\) at \(-196 \, ^\circ\)C of the carbon aerogels synthesized by the basic route (ACB 1050 and AAB 850), where the right number refers to the activation temperature in Celsius degree (\(^\circ\)C). The isotherms, according to the International Union of Pure and Applied chemistry (IUPAC) classification, are of type Ib for sample ACB 1050 and type of Ia for sample AAB 850. Relatively high N\(_2\) adsorption reaches a plateau at a \( P/P^0 \) of 0.1.

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Physisorption isotherms of N\(_2\) at \(-196 \, ^\circ\)C of the carbon aerogels obtained in: (A) basic medium; and (B) acidic medium.

From the type Ib isotherm of the ACB 1050 aerogel, it can be deduced that the solid has a heterogeneous distribution with a pore width < 2 nm. The isotherm of the aerogel AAB 850 type Ia indicates that most of these pores have a homogeneous distribution and a size that is <2 nm.

The isotherms have a hysteresis cycle at a relative pressure > 0.4, indicating the presence of mesopores [49–51]. This behavior is present in the two samples obtained in basic medium (ACB
It is important to mention that the value of the quantity of adsorbed N$_2$ in the carbonized sample decreased after activation, probably due to the increase in pore size during this process, in addition, micropores and mesopores were produced [48], besides the high temperature of 850 °C leads to an important destruction of the porosity, associated with pore deformation, cracking or blockage phenomena [52].

Figure 1B corresponds to the physisorption isotherms of N$_2$ for the samples obtained in acidic medium (ACA 1050 and AAA 850). The experimental isotherms are of type Ib, characteristic of materials with a heterogeneous pore distribution and a size with width < 2 nm. The characteristic hysteresis of mesopores was small.

In Table 1, the surface area value of the synthesized materials is reported, this parameter is high in the carbonized materials (ACB 1050 and ACA 1050) due to the generation of porosity by the removal of the organic groups during thermal treatment [53]. A high value of CO$_2$ activation temperature increases the coal burning reducing the yield of the synthesis, the value of the BET area decreased after of this process, this effect has been attributed to the accumulation of carbon in the pores, the increase in the size of the pores, the fusion of pores, and the deformation of some walls [54].

**Table 1. BET area of carbon aerogels.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$ (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACB 1050</td>
<td>1927</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAB 850</td>
<td>1491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA 1050</td>
<td>893</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AAA 850</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.1.2. Physisorption of CO$_2$ at 0 °C

In the present work, the size distribution of the ultramicropores from the CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms was carried out using the NLDFT model. The physisorption isotherm of CO$_2$ was done to determine the textural characterization of the materials and was carried out at 0 °C. This molecule has a critical dimension of 0.33 nm. Its relatively high temperature of adsorption confers a high speed of diffusion; therefore, it can access very narrow pores in relatively short periods. Under these temperature and pressure conditions, this gas only fills the ultramicropores (width < 0.7 nm) [55].

In Figure 2, the size distribution of these pores is presented, applying the theoretical NLDFT model. This type of porosity is present in all synthesized aerogels. Its volume in the different materials is given in the following order from highest to lowest: ACB 1050, ACA 1050, AAB 850, and AAA 850. Activation with CO$_2$ decreased the ultramicropore content of the carbonized aerogels. The ACB 1050 solid presented the highest amount of ultramicropores (<0.7 nm). This can be related to the size of the interparticle pores observed by SEM since these are smaller in the samples obtained in basic media with respect to that obtained by the acidic route. In addition, carbonization has a greater effect on the surface of the material and develops more porosity.

Small values of temperature are not efficient in the physical activation of the coals [52]; therefore, when using 850 °C, the interaction between CO$_2$ and the samples is assured. The decrease in the number of ultramicropores after activation with CO$_2$ in the samples obtained in basic medium (ACB 1050) and acidic medium (ACA 1050) has been attributed to the destruction of the porosity, associated with the deformation of the pores, the cracking, and the obstruction phenomena [52].

Table 2 shows the ultramicropore volume of aerogels, which were determined by physisorption of CO$_2$ at 0 °C using the Dubinin-Radushkevich equation. The decrease in the pore volume with a width < 0.7 nm in the carbonized samples (ACB 1050 and ACA 1050) after the activation process (AAB 850 and AAA 850) was due to the plugging of the ultramicropores.
The presence of these two peaks is due to the D and G bands, respectively. The D band corresponds to the breathing mode of carbon atoms, which is also called disorder-induced, and is commonly attributed to the lack of symmetry present in disordered carbon atoms. The Lorentzian signal, called G, is the band assigned to the E_g mode, resulting from the displacements in the plane of the strongly coupled carbons in hexagonal sheets. The presence of these two peaks is due to the existence of sp^2 hybridizations due to the high polarizability of the conjugated π bonds at the sp^2 sites [56,57].
3.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

In the SEM micrographs (Figure 4), all R/F aerogels have a disordered, porous, three-dimensional network structure [58] characteristic of a typical colloidal gel formed by interconnected [59] spherical particles [60]. The series of micrographs show that supercritical drying avoids that reduction of superficial surface tension being too strong, which prevents the amount of micro- and mesopores being reduced while the particle area fuses into more compact structures, also increasing the density of the material [59]. It also shows that the particles of the aerogels, having a micrometric size, are joined in larger dense groups, and, in their interconnection network, wide necks and a superposition of aggregate material on their surface are observed [59].

![SEM micrographs](image)

Figure 4. SEM micrographs of: (A) ACB 1050; (B) AAB 850; (C) ACA 1050 and (D) AAA 850.

The aerogel particles synthesized in basic medium (Figure 4A,B) had a smaller size than the particles obtained in acidic medium (Figure 4C,D). This indicates that the change in the catalyst and the route of synthesis results in aerogels with different average particle sizes. In the micrographs, the presence of open interparticle pores was also observed [61] due to the agglomeration of the particles that make up the clusters. There was a small variation in the distribution of the particle size in the same material, but when comparing the aerogels obtained in basic and acidic medium it was observed that the size of the particles changed drastically.

It has been reported that, in gel synthesis, the samples prepared with the highest catalyst content have a compact cross-linked structure and the particles are smaller. A reduction in the amount of catalyst results in an increase in particle size, reaching a size on the micrometer scale [62]. This was also observed in the synthesis of these aerogels since the R/C ratio was 1500 and the size of the polymer particles was given on the micrometer scale due to prolonged condensation during synthesis [63]. The interparticle pores observed by this technique (SEM) have a scale of micrometre’s, therefore, they are not efficient in the adsorption of CO$_2$, this process occurs in the intra-particular pores studied in Section 3.1.2.

3.4. Infrared Spectroscopy

This technique was used to perform a qualitative analysis of the chemical composition of the aerogels. Figure 5 shows the results obtained in the analysis of the materials (aerogel obtained in basic and dry medium (AB) and aerogel obtained in acidic and dry medium (AA)). The two aerogels
have the same chemical composition, independent of the catalyst used in the synthesis (Na₂CO₃ and HCl). The chemistry is equal, considering the results of Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR). In these samples, the presence of ether bonds characteristic of the bands between 1000 cm⁻¹ and 1250 cm⁻¹ is also observed. The peaks located at 1091 cm⁻¹ and 1215 cm⁻¹ correspond to the stretching of the ether bond (CO) and the presence of dimethyl ether bridges (–CH₂–O–CH₂–) between the aromatic rings, respectively [64]. The peak located at 1473 cm⁻¹ is associated with the stretching and flexion vibrations of CH₂. The band located at 1608 cm⁻¹ belongs to the stretching of the aromatic rings [65].

Figure 5. Infrared spectroscopy (IR) spectra of dry aerogels.

From this qualitative analysis, it was determined that the obtained aerogel in acidic medium presents the same composition as the material obtained in basic medium [66]. The synthesis method did not change the composition of the material.

3.5. Adsorption of CO₂ at 0 °C

Figure 6 shows the CO₂ adsorption isotherms at 0 °C and atmospheric pressure. The best adsorption of this contaminant under these conditions occurred in the carbonized materials. As shown in Session 3.1, it was determined that activation with CO₂ produces a plugging of the ultramicropores, which is associated with the decrease in the capacity of adsorption of this greenhouse gas under these conditions after the activation of the carbonized materials. The textural parameters of surface area and micropore volume have the highest values in the aerogel obtained in basic and carbonized medium (ACB 1050); therefore, the capacity of CO₂ adsorption is higher in this material.

Figure 6. Isotherms of adsorption of CO₂ at 0 °C.
The CO$_2$ physisorption isotherms were carried out at 0 °C. Although CO$_2$ has a critical dimension similar to that of N$_2$ (0.33 nm and 0.364 nm, respectively), the relatively high adsorption temperature confers a speed of high diffusion to CO$_2$ molecules; therefore, under these conditions, this gas only fills the ultramicropores (width < 0.7 nm) [35]. Therefore, there is no relationship between the BET area determined by the N$_2$ adsorption isotherms (Section 3.1) and the CO$_2$ adsorption in ultramicropores, since nitrogen only has access to pores with a width > 0.7 nm.

3.5.1. Kinetic Study of the CO$_2$ Adsorption Process at 0 °C

Figure 7 shows the relationship between time and quantity adsorbed of CO$_2$. The adsorption rate decreases as the process time increases, due to it reaching equilibrium.

![Figure 7. Adsorption of CO$_2$ vs. time at 0 °C.](image)

Under the experimental conditions of adsorption, the data obtained from relative pressure vs. the number of mmol adsorbed per gram of sample were adjusted to the Pseudo first order, Pseudo first modified order, Pseudo second order, Elovich, and intra-particle (Figure 8) statistical models to determine the stages and mechanism of CO$_2$ adsorption. The Pseudo first order model can describe the initial phase and the progress of adsorption and the Pseudo second order model describes the control of the chemisorption in the speed of progress. According to the model of intra-particle diffusion, different mechanisms are involved in this process of adsorption. The process can be described in the following three steps: (1) superficial external adsorption; (2) intra-particle diffusion corresponding to the limiting step and (3) the final equilibrium, which is very fast [67].

![Figure 8. Cont.](image)
When adjusting the experimental CO$_2$ adsorption data at 0 °C and atmospheric pressure to the kinetic models, the values of their respective parameters were obtained, as presented in Table 3.

**Table 3.** Obtained parameters for the kinetics of adsorption of CO$_2$ on the surface of aerogels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kinetic Model</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>ACB 1050</th>
<th>AAB 850</th>
<th>ACA 1050</th>
<th>AAA 850</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo first order</td>
<td>$K_1$</td>
<td>0.0233</td>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>0.0283</td>
<td>0.02395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.952</td>
<td>0.947</td>
<td>0.941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo Second order</td>
<td>$K_2$</td>
<td>0.0000866</td>
<td>0.0000903</td>
<td>0.000121</td>
<td>0.000230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.6521</td>
<td>0.6615</td>
<td>0.6734</td>
<td>0.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Pseudo first order</td>
<td>$K_{sp}$</td>
<td>0.0164</td>
<td>0.0154</td>
<td>0.0221</td>
<td>0.0181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.869</td>
<td>0.8872</td>
<td>0.895</td>
<td>0.8771</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elovich</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>0.0618</td>
<td>0.0368</td>
<td>0.0490</td>
<td>0.0239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>0.0838</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>0.0921</td>
<td>0.197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.985</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-particle diffusion</td>
<td>$k_{d1}$</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.888</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$k_{d2}$</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.876</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.487</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>0.995</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The order in which the kinetic models were adjusted to the experimental data is as follows: Intra-particle diffusion > Elovich > pseudo first order > modified pseudo first order > pseudo second order.

The best fit to the experimental data was obtained by applying the intra-particle diffusion model. It has been reported that the following three main stages are carried out in the adsorption processes: superficial external adsorption, intra-particle diffusion, and the final equilibrium [67]. In Figure 8E, two linear stages can be observed due to CO$_2$ adsorption process in the aerogels, corresponding to the
intraparticle diffusion and the equilibrium plateau, while external diffusion is absent. The intra-particle diffusion constants ($K_{di1}$ and $K_{di2}$) are presented in Table 3 as expressions of the speed of diffusion in different states of the adsorption process. It can be observed that adsorption speed in the first stage ($K_{di1}$) is greater than in the second stage ($K_{di2}$). In principle, intra-particle diffusion is carried out in the ultramicropores; therefore, the adsorption rate was very high. The equilibrium plateau between CO$_2$ and the aerogels was reached, and the adsorption rate at this stage was slower.

The second-best fit to the experimental data was obtained by applying the Elovich model; therefore, there was an energetic heterogeneity of the superficial adsorption sites. The other models studied had a smaller correlation coefficient; therefore, they do not explain the adsorption processes that occurred with the same reliability. However, it is important to mention that the Pseudo second order model presented the worst adjustment, confirming that chemisorption was not involved in this process [68], that is, the interactions between the adsorbate and the adsorbent were physical.

The $\alpha$ and $\beta$ constants obtained by applying the Elovich model have units of mmol g$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ and g mmol$^{-1}$, respectively. The values of $\alpha$ determine the initial adsorption speed. Their values were 0.0618, 0.0368, 0.0490 and 0.0239 mmol g$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$ for the materials ACB 1050, AAB 850, ACA 1050, and AAA 850, respectively, indicating that the initial adsorption rate is related to the capacity of CO$_2$ adsorption under these conditions. The value of the constant $\beta$ presents the smallest value in the material ACB 1050 (0.0838 g mmol$^{-1}$); therefore, this material had the highest capacity for adsorption of CO$_2$ because it had the highest volume of ultramicropores.

In another work, it has also been reported that the Pseudo first order or Pseudo second order models have not been the best fit to the data obtained from the kinetics of CO$_2$ adsorption at the surface of solids [15].

3.5.2. Study of the CO$_2$ Adsorption Isotherms

Figure 9 shows the isotherms obtained by adjusting the experimental data to the adsorption models of Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth. All models showed significant adjustment to the experimental data because the process was carried out in a range of low pressures where all behaviors are linear.

Table 4 shows that, in all studied models, the correlation factor $R^2$ was greater than 0.99 because the parameters reported in this table are reliable and the applied models presented a good prediction of the results. This is consistent with reports in the literature, in which it is mentioned that the Langmuir and Freundlich models conform to the CO$_2$ adsorption isotherms (at least from a macroscopic point of view) in certain partial pressure ranges. This is also given by the low-pressure range used and the adsorption capacity obtained, so that the shape of the theoretical isotherms fit the experimental data very well [8].

It has been reported that, at low adsorption temperatures, the Freundlich model presents the best fit, while, at high temperatures, the Langmuir model is best [8]. This is given by the amount of CO$_2$ adsorbed, because this is an exothermic process, at lower temperatures, where the adsorbed amount of this pollutant increases, the competition for the adsorption sites is more intense and multilayers begin to form. Therefore, in the present work, 0 °C was used because at higher temperatures desorption is promoted. It should also be mentioned that the good fit of the Sips and Toth models is given since these two models are composed of the Langmuir and Freundlich equations.

Table 4 also shows the parameters obtained when adjusting the models of Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth to the experimental data. The deviations observed in the correlation of these parameters are given because the Langmuir and Freundlich models are from two parameters and are applicable to surfaces with a homogeneous and heterogeneous energy distribution. The Sips and Toth models have three variables and were created from the variables of the Langmuir and Freundlich models. In addition, the studied solids have a different distribution in the size of the ultramicropores (Figure 2).
Absorption capacity of ACA 1050 was greater than AAB 850, where it was observed that the adsorption capacity of ACA 1050 was greater than AAB 850. This agrees with the capacity of adsorption of the materials observed in Figure 6, except for samples AAA 850, which had low adsorption capacity. The value of its textural properties, which were developed during the carbonization process, especially due to the high surface area (1927 m² g⁻¹) and ultramicro pores (0.698 cm³ g⁻¹).

Table 4. Parameters of the Langmuir, Freundlich, Sips, and Toth isotherms for the adsorption of CO₂ at atmospheric pressure in prepared aerogels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Langmuir</td>
<td>q_L</td>
<td>12.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K_L</td>
<td>38.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freundlich</td>
<td>K_F</td>
<td>62.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>1.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sips</td>
<td>q_S</td>
<td>36.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K_S</td>
<td>18.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m_S</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toth</td>
<td>q_T</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K_T</td>
<td>4.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m_T</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 9. Adjustment of the experimental data of the CO₂ adsorption isotherms to the different theoretical models in the samples: (A) ACB 1050; (B) AAB 850; (C) ACA 1050 and (D) AAA 850.

With respect to the different adsorbents, it can be observed that the values of the constants (q_L and q_S) predict that the maximum capacity of adsorption of CO₂ is higher in material ACB 1050; therefore, this solid possesses the best adsorption capacity of this pollutant, which has been attributed to the high value of its textural properties, which were developed during the carbonization process, especially due to the high surface area (1927 m² g⁻¹) and ultramicro pores volume (0.698 cm³ g⁻¹).

In the Freundlich model, the following order is presented for the parameter K_F: ACB 1050 > AAB 850 > ACA 1050 > AAA 850, with values corresponding to 62.88, 36.95, 26.50, and 17.41, respectively. This agrees with the capacity of adsorption of the materials observed in Figure 6, except for samples ACA 1050 and AAB 850, where it was observed that the adsorption capacity of ACA 1050 was greater.
than that of AAB 850. It should be noted that the shape of the isotherms is different, and the equilibrium of the adsorption capacity has not been reached (that is, when increasing the pressure, an increase in the amount of CO$_2$ is still achieved). On the other hand, in Figure 2B, it is observed that material AAB 850 presents a greater distribution of the width of the ultramicropores compared to material ACA 1050 (Figure 2C); therefore, the Freundlich model predicts that AAB 850 can be a better adsorbent than ACA 1050.

The value of the constant $n$ in the Freundlich model is greater than 1 in all samples; therefore, the samples had a good capacity for CO$_2$ adsorption. The parameter $m_0$ of the Sips model was 0.99 and 0.66 for carbonized samples ACB 1050 and ACA 1050, respectively. After the activation process to obtain samples AAB 850 and AAA 850, the value of this parameter decreased in the two carbonized materials to values of 0.58 and 0.51 (farther away from 1) because the activation processes increased the heterogeneity of the CO$_2$ adsorption sites. The value of the parameter $m_T$ in all materials was close to zero. This agrees with the theory that the Toth equation behaves according to Henry’s law when using low pressures.

4. Conclusions

The conditions under which the carbonization of the organic aerogels was carried out produced materials with a large volume of ultramicropores; therefore, they are efficient in the adsorption of this atmospheric pollutant.

The intraparticle diffusion model presents the best fit to the experimental data. This model was used to analyze the rate data from the experiments. Both the intraparticle diffusion and the equilibrium plateau models resulted in estimations that agreed with the experimental data.

The kinetic study showed that the Elovich model presents the second-best fit to the obtained data, so that on the surface of the materials an energy distribution is presented during the CO$_2$ adsorption process, attributed to the distribution of the ultramicropores determined by the theoretical model NLDFT.

ACB 1050 had the best capacity of adsorption of CO$_2$. This was attributed to the large volume of its ultramicropores and large surface area corresponding to 0.698 cm$^3$ g$^{-1}$ and 1927 m$^2$ g$^{-1}$, respectively, generated during the carbonization process in the presence of N$_2$.
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