1. Introduction

With a variety of merits including high energy density, excellent portability and low operation temperature, proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) hold great promises as commercially-viable electric power sources toward various applications, especially for automotive vehicles [1,2]. To achieve the large-scale commercialization of PEMFCs, the biggest challenge arises from the sluggish oxygen reduction reaction occurring at the cathode [3–6]. The current state-of-the-art electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) is Pt nanoparticles (5–10 nm in diameter) dispersed in porous carbon materials (denoted as Pt/C) [7–10]. Even if Pt is considered as the best choice as the catalyst, there are several significant limitation factors that hinder its widespread utilizations [11,12]. First of all, the scant availability of Pt and resulting high price comprise one of the major drawbacks of such a catalyst. Note that the price of the Pt/C catalyst accounts for 50% of the cost of a fuel-cell stack [13]. Secondly, such a Pt/C catalyst still suffers from slow reaction kinetics, resulting in undesirable performance [14]. The final challenging problem comes from the technical issue regarding the poor long-term stability of Pt under operational conditions. The Pt/C catalyst is
susceptible to dissolution, sintering and agglomeration, resulting in loss of performance [15]. Note that, Pt-based catalysts exhibited a poor tolerance to methanol, hence generating CO, leading to the blocking of Pt active sites and diminishing of catalytic activity.

Noble metal clusters, also named monolayer protected clusters (MPCs), include a metal core and surrounding protecting ligands, which can be phosphate molecules, thiolate organic nonmetallic compounds, polymers, as well as biological macromolecules [16–18]. MPCs normally consist of about ten to a few hundred atoms, with an ultrasmall size usually less than 2 nm in diameter. As a special class of nanomaterials, MPCs show strong quantum confinement effects due to their ultrasmall size. For instance, Au MPCs exhibited size-dependent optical and electrochemical properties [19], which are quite different from their counterparts of Au nanoparticles with relatively larger dimensions. Particularly, with kinetic control or rationally-designed synthetic methodologies, MPCs with molecular purity of atomic precision can be acquired, as a definitive formula of \( M_x L_y \), where \( M, L \) denote metal and ligand while \( x \) and \( y \) are the number of atoms, respectively. Molecule-like characteristics and physicochemical properties can be observed for these molecular noble metal clusters. A perfect example is molecular Au clusters, as manifested by the discrete energy levels of electrons, multiple absorbance bands, enhanced photoluminescence, non-linear optical behaviors and other characteristic physicochemical properties.

Regarding the fabrication of the noble metal clusters, seminal work was done by Brust et al. [20,21]. Through the biphasic synthetic approach, polydisperse Au nanoclusters with a size ranging from 1–5 nm were acquired. Note that most bimetallic clusters are still fabricated by this wet-chemical strategy. As the Brust method cannot achieve atomic precision and molecular purity, post-synthetic purification such as electrophoretic isolation coupled with mass spectrometry has been conducted to obtain molecular Au clusters, such as \( \mathrm{Au}_{18}(\mathrm{SG})_{13} \) (SG-glutathione thiolate), \( \mathrm{Au}_{18}(\mathrm{SG})_{14}, \mathrm{Au}_{22}(\mathrm{SG})_{16}, \mathrm{Au}_{22}(\mathrm{SG})_{17}, \mathrm{Au}_{25}(\mathrm{SG})_{18}, \mathrm{Au}_{29}(\mathrm{SG})_{20}, \mathrm{Au}_{33}(\mathrm{SG})_{22} \) and \( \mathrm{Au}_{39}(\mathrm{SG})_{24} \) [22,23]. To achieve molecular Au clusters during the synthesis, one effective strategy is the kinetic control approach, in which the reaction conditions including temperature, reaction rate and other parameters are optimized. A perfect example is \( \mathrm{Au}_{25}(\mathrm{SC}_{2}H_{4}Ph)_{18} \), and the kinetic control approach developed by Jin’s group can offer ultra-high purity with high yield [24]. Besides that, ligand-induced etching or annealing has also been extensively employed. Qian et al. reported the synthesis of molecular \( \mathrm{Au}_{38}(\mathrm{SC}_{2}H_{4}Ph)_{24} \) clusters, in which polydisperse Au clusters protected by glutathione were first made, and subsequently, the size-mixed \( \mathrm{Au}_{n} \) clusters reacted with excess PhC\(_2\)H\(_4\)SH for ~40 h at 80 °C, leading to \( \mathrm{Au}_{38}(\mathrm{SC}_{2}H_{4}Ph)_{24} \) clusters of molecular purity [25]. In the past decade, with the efforts from multiple research groups, a series of molecular Au clusters including \( \mathrm{Au}_{4} \) [26], \( \mathrm{Au}_{11} \) [27], \( \mathrm{Au}_{18} \) [28,29], \( \mathrm{Au}_{25} \) [30], \( \mathrm{Au}_{38} \) [25], \( \mathrm{Au}_{55} \) [31], \( \mathrm{Au}_{102} \) [32], \( \mathrm{Au}_{130} \) [33] and \( \mathrm{Au}_{144} \) [34] molecules with atomic precision has been successfully achieved by the kinetic control approach, size-focusing strategy and ligand-induced transformation. We have also witnessed the successful crystallization of several molecular Au clusters, such as \( \mathrm{Au}_{11}(\mathrm{PPh}_{3})_{7}\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \) (PPh\(_3\)-Triphenylphosphine) and \( \mathrm{Au}_{11}(\mathrm{PPh}_{3})_{8}\mathrm{Cl}_{3} \) [27], \( \mathrm{Au}_{18}(\mathrm{SR})_{14} \) (where \( R = \mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{6}H_{5}, \mathrm{C}_{6}H_{11} \)) [28,29], \( \mathrm{Au}_{25}(\mathrm{SC}_{2}H_{4}Ph)_{18} \) [35,36], \( \mathrm{Au}_{38}(\mathrm{SC}_{2}H_{4}Ph)_{24} \) [37], \( \mathrm{Au}_{102}(p\text{-MBA})_{40} \) [38] (\( p\text{-MBA} = para\text{-mercaptobenzoic acid} \)), and so on.

Enhanced and unusual catalytic performance can be also achieved for the noble metal clusters regardless of the sample dispersity. Notably, the emergence and rapid development of noble metal clusters provide great opportunities to potentially resolve the activity and durability issues for ORR in the electrocatalytic process. First of all, the ORR activity highly relies on the catalytic active sites of the exposed surface, and the high-surface-to-volume ratio, as well as ultra-small dimension impart MPCs with unique advantages. Secondly, with the surface capping agents as the ligand or stabilizer, MPCs can resist agglomeration, sintering and decomposition, and the resulting robust stability is favorable for long-term cycling of the potential scan during the electrocatalytic process.

This review mainly focuses on the recent progresses regarding employing noble metal clusters as high-efficiency catalysts for ORR. The general mechanism regarding ORR in acid media and alkaline solution will be firstly discussed. Then, we will provide a comprehensive overview of current efforts
by using noble metal clusters especially Pt, Au, Ag, Pd, Ru and alloyed bi-metallic clusters for ORR toward fuel cell applications. The review will be concluded with the summarized current challenges and the outlook for the further research directions.

2. The General ORR Mechanism

In acidic electrolyte or basic electrolyte, different mechanisms have been well documented for ORR [3,6,39]. However, multiple electron transfer occurs in the ORR process regardless of the electrolyte. Such a process can be illustrated by the following equations [40]:

In acidic electrolytes:

\[
O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O
\]  
(1)

\[
O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow H_2O_2
\]  
(2)

\[
H_2O_2 + 2H^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow 2H_2O
\]  
(3)

In alkaline electrolytes:

\[
O_2 + H_2O + 4e^- \rightarrow 4OH^- 
\]  
(4)

\[
O_2 + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow HO_2^- + OH^- 
\]  
(5)

\[
HO_2^- + H_2O + 2e^- \rightarrow 3OH^- 
\]  
(6)

It can be observed that, in either electrolyte, two possible pathways can be adopted for ORR. One is the direct 4e\(^-\) reduction to form H\(_2\)O in acid solution or OH\(^-\) in basic solution, while in the other pathway, a 2e\(^-\) reduction occurs to generate H\(_2\)O\(_2\) or HO\(_2\)^\(-\) first, followed by the sequent further reduction to H\(_2\)O or OH\(^-\) with another 2e\(^-\) transfer.

A direct 4e\(^-\) pathway is usually favorable for Pt-based catalysts especially the benchmark commercial Pt/C catalyst in both electrolytes, but the intrinsic ORR process on a Pt surface is complicated and not well understood [41]. For the four-electron process, there are two possible pathways (dissociative and associative mechanisms) in both media solutions [41]. The related mechanisms in alkaline solution are illustrated as follows:

Dissociative mechanism:

\[
O_2 + 2^* \rightarrow 2O^* 
\]  
(7)

\[
2O^* + 2e^- + 2H_2O \rightarrow 2OH^* + 2OH^- 
\]  
(8)

\[
2OH^* + 2e^- \rightarrow 2OH^- + 2^* 
\]  
(9)

where * denotes the catalytic active site on a certain surface. In this mechanism, O\(_2\) is adsorbed firstly, then the O–O bond is cleaved to form two adsorbed atomic O* species. The O* species further gains two protons and two electrons to form OH* and eventually forms OH\(^-\) by gaining two electrons.

Associative mechanism: For the associative mechanism, there are two possible pathways (4e\(^-\) or 2e\(^-\)) involved as follows:

The 4e\(^-\) pathway:

\[
O_2 + ^* \rightarrow O_2^* 
\]  
(10)

\[
O_2^* + H_2O + e^- \rightarrow OOH^* + OH^- 
\]  
(11)

\[
OOH^* + e^- \rightarrow O^* + OH^- 
\]  
(12)

\[
O^* + H_2O + e^- \rightarrow OH^* + OH^- 
\]  
(13)

\[
OH^* + e^- \rightarrow OH^- + ^* 
\]  
(14)

or the 2e\(^-\) pathway:

\[
O_2 + ^* \rightarrow O_2^* 
\]  
(15)

\[
O_2^* + H_2O + e^- \rightarrow OOH^* + OH^- 
\]  
(16)
Whether the reaction takes a dissociative or an associative pathway mainly depends on the initial O₂ dissociating energy barrier on a provided surface [42]. Previous first-principle calculations have shown that the adsorbed oxygen species including OOH*, OH* and HOOH* might be involved during the ORR process [43]. For other noble metal nanoparticle- or nanocluster-based catalysts, either the direct 4e⁻ pathway or the 2e⁻ + 2e⁻ pathway has been extensively reported, depending on the physicochemical properties of the catalyst [14,39].

Furthermore, the binding affinity to the oxygenated species plays an important role in determining the ORR catalytic activity. The volcano-shaped relationship between the ORR activity and the OOH*, OH* and HOOH* binding energy have been recognized on a great deal of metal surfaces [44,45]. Such variation of the binding energy to the oxygenated species from different metal surfaces is actually highly dependent on the electronic structure of the catalyst. The optimal electronic structure with appropriate binding energy of the intermediates will eventually lead to optimized catalytic performance for ORR.

3. Engineered Noble Metal Clusters for ORR

The ORR performance of all the noble metal clusters mentioned in this review is summarized in Table 1. The size of these metal clusters, the electrolyte employed in ORR, the ORR activity parameter such as onset potential, half-wave potential, diffusion-limited current density at specific rotating rate, as well as the corresponding reference are included. Subsequently, we will discuss the details regarding ORR catalyzed by single noble metal clusters (Pt clusters, Au clusters, Ag clusters, Pd and Ru clusters) and alloyed bimetallic clusters, separately.

Table 1. The ORR performance summary catalyzed by noble metal clusters listed in this review. The catalyst, electrolyte, onset potential, half-wave potential, diffusion-limited current density, rotating rate, number of electron transfer, size and corresponding reference are included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>( E_{\text{onset}} ) (V vs. RHE)</th>
<th>( E_{\text{1/2}} ) (V vs. RHE)</th>
<th>Current Density (mA cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Rotating Rate (rpm)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt₁₂@3</td>
<td>0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>300 / 0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>[46]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6-OH (Pt₃₂₆)</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>900 / 1.9 ± 0.29</td>
<td>[47]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pt₃₂₆-DENs)</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3.7 / 1–2</td>
<td>[48]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Pt₃₂₆-Sn) complex</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>[49]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-GC</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>[50]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-C (Mo₂C) 800 °C</td>
<td>0.5 M H₂SO₄</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>3000 / 3.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>[51,52]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt-on-Pd/C</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>[53]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/FeCo-OMPC (L)</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2–3</td>
<td>[54]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au clusters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au₁₁/GC</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1600 / 3.9 ± 0.38</td>
<td>[55]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/Pt/C</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO₄</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1600 / 3</td>
<td>[56]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/3 nm cluster</td>
<td>0.5 M KOH</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>2500 / 4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[57]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/rGO</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1600 / 3.7–3.9</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>[58]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>s-Graphene/PyPBI/Au₁₁₆</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1600 / 1.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>[59]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{OOH}^* + e^- \rightarrow \text{OOH}^- + ^* \] (17)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Electrolyte</th>
<th>$E_{\text{onset}}$ (V vs. RHE)</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ (V vs. RHE)</th>
<th>j (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Rotating Rate (rpm)</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Size (nm)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BOD-AuNC/SWNT</td>
<td>0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7.5)</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>[60]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuNCs: RGO = 1:1</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>[61]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuCNs-30%</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>3.5-3.86</td>
<td>2.59 ± 0.7</td>
<td>[62]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPC-1</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>3.6-3.92</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.9</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag clusters</td>
<td>Ag NCs/GC</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[64]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ag NCs</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3.47</td>
<td>0.5-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ag NC/CNs</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>2.88 ± 0.95</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ag NCs</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>[67]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd clusters</td>
<td>Pd/C cubes</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3-5</td>
<td>[68]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd/C Ligand off</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd/CNs-20%</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3.86-3.98</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ru NG-750</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.8 ± 1.5</td>
<td>[71]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&amp;Ru cluster</td>
<td>s(Pt-Ru)$_{33}$/GC</td>
<td>0.1 M HClO$_4$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>[72]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alloys clusters</td>
<td>Au$<em>{40}$/Pd$</em>{33}$/CNs</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>3.92-3.99</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pd modified GNCs</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SL Pt$_3$Rh</td>
<td>0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>/</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PtAu PNCs</td>
<td>0.1 M KOH</td>
<td>0.953</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>3.62-3.87</td>
<td>3-6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pt$_{12}$@3: 12-the number of platinum atoms in the cluster, 3-the dendrimer generation number; G6-OH: the six generation dendrimer tailed by hydroxyl groups; DENs: dendrimer-encapsulated nanoparticles; Pt:Sn: a Pt: Sn ratio of 1:3; GC: glassy carbon; OMPC: ordered mesoporous porphyrinic carbon; rGO: reduced graphene oxide; PyPBI: poly[2,2’-(pyridine-2,6-diyl)[bibenzimidazole-5,5’-diyl]]; BOD-AuNC/SWNT: BOD-bilirubin oxidase, AuNCs—gold nanoclusters, SWNT—single walled carbon nanotubes; AuPC: porous carbon, 1—the first sample; CNs—carbon nanosheets; NG—nitrogen-doped graphene; GNCs—gold nanoclusters; SL—supportless; PNCs—porous nanoclusters oxide.

3.1. Pt Clusters for ORR

Previous investigations have illustrated that Pt nanoparticles with a size ranging from a few to hundreds of nanometers are normally generated by the conventional synthetic approaches. To obtain Pt clusters, the macromolecule template such as dendrimer plays an essential role. Seminal and pioneering work has been conducted by Crooks’ group, who prepared a series of dendrimer encapsulated Pt nanoclusters. In 2005, his group fabricated Pt nanoclusters of 1.4 nm in diameter embedded within fourth-generation, hydroxyl-terminated, poly(amidoamine) dendrimer for oxygen electrocatalytic reduction, and the resulting films can survive up to 50 consecutive cyclic voltammetric scans [77]. Subsequently, the size effects of Pt dendrimer-encapsulated nanoparticles on the kinetics toward ORR has also been probed by his group. Interestingly, with a series of Pt nanoparticles containing an average of 55, 100, 147, 200 and 240 Pt atoms, they found that the largest particles exhibited the highest specific activities [47]. In a following research effort, the effect of mass transfer on ORR catalyzed by Pt$_{55}$ and Pt$_{147}$ dendrimer encapsulated particles was also investigated by them [48].
In 2009, by using a phenylazomethine dendrimer, Yamamoto et al. successfully prepared a series of platinum clusters with a defined number of atoms [46]. The ORR activity of the Pt$_{12}@3$, Pt$_{28}@3$ and Pt$_{60}@3$ (3 = phenylazomethine) clusters is shown in Table 2. The highest catalytic performance was achieved for the smallest clusters, with a catalytic current approximately 13-times higher than that of commercially available Pt/C catalyst. Such performance variation between the Pt nanoparticles and ultra-small Pt clusters was further verified by Angelopoulos’ group, who observed mass activities of about 48 A/g for the single crystals and 74 A/g for the atomic clusters with less than 20 Pt atoms [49].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>$N^*$ ($N_{\text{surf}}^+$)</th>
<th>Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>$j_k/W_{Pt}$ ($\mu A \text{cm}^{-2} \text{ng}_{Pt}^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_{12}@3$</td>
<td>12 (12)</td>
<td>0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td>46 ± 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_{28}@3$</td>
<td>28 (24)</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.1</td>
<td>23 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt$_{60}@3$</td>
<td>60 (46)</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>18 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/C (TEC10E50E)</td>
<td>~300</td>
<td>2.5 ± 0.5</td>
<td>3.6 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It’s the product name. TEC: Product category name; 10: Alloy category, such as Pt, PtRu, PtCo; E: Carbon type; 50: Pt Loading percentage; E: Treatment type. * Total number of platinum atoms in one cluster. † Number of platinum atoms appearing at the surface of the cluster. The cluster model was constructed to minimize the number of surface atoms based on face-centered cubic packing. ‡ Specific kinetic current density.

In 2013, a particle proximity effect for ORR was discovered by Arenz’s group [78]. In this study, three different Pt nanocluster sizes were investigated, and the specific activity (SA) and mass activity are presented in Figure 1a,b. Surprisingly, no apparent correlation between the SA and nanocluster size is observed, and Pt$_{>46}$, but not Pt$_{20}$, exhibits the highest activity. This is because even Pt$_{20}$ is extremely small, so the number of adsorption sites is relatively low [78]. Figure 1c shows that the measured SA value depends on the edge-to-edge distance. When the average edge-to-edge distance diminishes below 1 nm, the SA of the various samples increased significantly, regardless of the Pt nanocluster size. That is, when the edge-to-edge interparticle distance is sufficiently small, ORR activities approaching the bulk Pt surface can be achieved for Pt clusters (Pt$_{>46}$). As a result, mass activity on Pt clusters up to six-times that of Pt/C catalysts was obtained [78]. Interestingly, such performance variation is also reflected in the change of the reaction mechanism, which can be experimentally extrapolated from the Tafel plots. The Tafel slope changed from 120 mV dec$^{-1}$ for the Pt crystals to 220 mV dec$^{-1}$ for the Pt clusters at high current density. Toyoda et al. found that the catalytic activity is actually correlated with the $d$-band center of the Pt nanoclusters. With the decreasing of cluster size, the $d$-band center moves forward to the Fermi level, which enhances the oxygen adsorption energy (stronger oxygen chemisorption) [50]. The first-principle calculations indicate that the enhancement was attributed to the electronic effects and geometric effects.

In addition to being directly applied as catalysts for ORR, Pt clusters can serve as modifiers or enhancers to promote the ORR activity. Note that coupling Pt with cost-effective and widely-available carbon materials can not only significantly improve the activity, but also lower the costs substantially. For instance, Vaarmets et al. prepared Pt-nanocluster-activated molybdenum carbide-derived carbon electrodes for oxygen electroreduction in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ [51]. The influence of specific surface area and microporosity-mesoporosity of Pt-nanocluster-modified carbide-derived carbon toward ORR was examined by Lust and coworkers [52]. In another study, Pt nanoclusters were decorated and highly distributed on Pd nanorods, and the Pt-on-Pd catalysts displayed a mass activity as high as 105.3 mA mg$^{-1}$ at 0.9 V with a Pt content as low as 1.5 wt% [53]. Park’s group casted a trace amount of Pt nanoclusters on N-doped mesoporous porphyrinic carbon (OMPC) to enhance the intrinsic ORR activity, and 5 wt% Pt/FeCo–OMPC electrocatalyst was discovered to possess a factor of two improvement in mass activity compared to Pt/C [54].
work was done by Adzic’s group, who found that the Pt ORR catalysts can be stabilized against oxygen chemisorption on the alumina-supported Pt clusters is slightly weaker with elongated Pt-O bonds and ~8–10% lower reaction enthalpy compared with free Pt clusters.

Despite these progresses achieved regarding Au clusters for organic catalytic processes, Au clusters as electrocatalysts for ORR have not been paid attention to for quite a long time. Seminal work was done by Adzic’s group, who found that the Pt ORR catalysts can be stabilized against dissolution under potential cycling regimes by modifying Pt nanoparticles with Au clusters [56]. In 2009, Chen et al. prepared a series of Au nanoclusters with 11–140 gold atoms in the cores and carried out detailed electrochemical studies in alkaline media to evaluate the size effect on the electrocatalytic activity in oxygen reduction [55]. The detailed structures of Au11, Au25, Au55 and Au144 clusters were elucidated and confirmed by MALDI-TOF (matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight) analysis. The cyclic voltammograms and rotating disk electrode (RDE) voltammograms are presented in Figure 2. Figure 2a shows that the overall limiting current density (e.g., at -1.0 V) increases with

Figure 1. Specific activities (SAs) (a) and mass specific activities (MAs) (b) at 0.85 $V_{RHE}$ for different Pt nanocluster samples. For comparison, the activities of two standard high-surface-area carbon-supported Pt catalysts (TKK, Tanda Kikinzoku Kogyo) are included, as well. Both standard catalysts have a Pt loading of 50 wt%; sample Pt/C$^a$ has an average particle size of 5 nm, whereas the average particle size of sample Pt/C$^b$ is 3 nm. (c) Specific activity versus edge-to-edge distance. The dashed line serves as a guide for the eye to highlight the observed trend. The measurements are performed at room temperature in 0.1 M HClO$_4$ electrolyte. Reproduced with permission [78]. Copyright 2013, the Nature Publishing Group.

It is worth mentioning that theoretical calculations also advanced the understanding of fundamental problems and underlying mechanisms in this aspect. The ORR activity of truncated octahedral Pt$_{38}$ clusters as a catalyst was investigated via first-principles simulations by Fortunelli’s group. For Pt$_{38}$ clusters, the rate-determining step is the H$_2$O formation, while for an extended surface such as the Pt (111) crystal plane, the O hydration is actually the overall reaction barrier [79]. Recently, Nigam et al. carried out density function theory (DFT) calculations to examine the viability of alumina-supported Pt clusters (Pt$_n$@Al$_2$O$_3$, $n = 1–7$, 10) as ORR electrocatalysts [80]. It was found that the oxygen chemisorption on the alumina-supported Pt clusters is slightly weaker with elongated Pt-O bonds and ~8–10% lower reaction enthalpy compared with free Pt clusters.

3.2. Au Clusters for ORR

Bulk Au has attracted little attention in electrocatalysis, largely because of its poor catalytic performance. However, when it reaches nanoscale dimensions, the physicochemical properties of nanostructured Au exhibit a significant variation. Nanometer-sized Au nanoparticles especially Au clusters have been found to possess unusual catalytic activities toward a variety of reactions, such as CO oxidation [81], selective oxidation of styrene [31], aerobic oxidation of alcohol [82], selective hydrogenation of unsaturated ketones and aldehyde [83], as well as other reactions. Compared to their counterparts of gold nanocrystals or nanoparticles, the enhanced catalytic activity of Au nanoclusters is probably due to the high fraction of surface atoms with low coordination numbers. As the dimension decreases, such a fraction increases, featuring the advantages of employing Au clusters as catalysts.
decreasing particle core size: \( \text{Au}_{11}/\text{GC} \ (−11.2 \text{ mA cm}^{{−2}}) > \text{Au}_{25}/\text{GC} \ (−10.1 \text{ mA cm}^{{−2}}) > \text{Au}_{55}/\text{GC} \ (−10.4 \text{ mA cm}^{{−2}}) > \text{Au}_{140}/\text{GC} \ (−4.2 \text{ mA cm}^{{−2}}) \), while Figure 2b illustrates that the onset potential of oxygen reduction shifts negatively: \( \text{Au}_{11}/\text{GC} \ (−0.10 \text{V}) > \text{Au}_{25}/\text{GC} \ (−0.16 \text{V}) > \text{Au}_{55}/\text{GC} \ (−0.20 \text{V}) > \text{Au}_{140}/\text{GC} \ (−0.25 \text{V}) \). One can conclude that a strong core-size effect was observed, and as the size decreased, the ORR activity increased [55]. This is due to the larger fraction of surface Au atoms with low coordination numbers in small-sized clusters. Meanwhile, with the decreasing of cluster size, the \( d \)-bands become narrowed and move toward the Fermi level [57,84], which is favorable for oxygen adsorption. Subsequently, molecule-like atomic Au5 clusters were fabricated through a cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB)-assisted electrodeposition method by Phani’s group, and a direct four-electron transfer pathway was observed in acid solution for these Au5 clusters [85]. Interestingly, during the ORR catalytic process, a transition from a four-electron to a two-electron reduction was also shown, reflecting the transformation of Au clusters to Au nanoparticles. In 2012, Yin and Tang developed a novel and clean strategy to grow ultrafine Au clusters on reduced graphene oxide (RGO) nanosheets for electrochemical reduction of oxygen [58]. Recently, Fujigaya et al. described the growth and deposition of Au nanoclusters (diameter = 1.6 nm) on polymer-wrapped graphene, and an onset potential of \( −0.09 \text{V} \) (vs. AgCl/Ag) was achieved [59]. Another important recent contribution was made by Atanassov and Martinez’ group, who prepared DNA templated Au nanoclusters of \( \sim 7 \) Au atoms as an enhancer of electron transfer and lowered the overpotential of ORR by \( \sim 150 \text{mV} \) compared to enzyme alone [60].

Figure 2. (a) Cyclic voltammograms of the \( \text{Au}_x/\text{GC} \) electrodes \( (x = 11, 25, 55 \) and 140) in 0.1 M KOH saturated with oxygen and of the \( \text{Au}_{11}/\text{GC} \) electrode in \( \text{N}_2 \)-saturated 0.1 M KOH solution (thin solid curve). Current density was calculated by normalizing the voltammetric currents to the effective Au active surface areas. Potential scan rate 0.1 V s\(^{-1}\). (b) RDE voltammograms of varied \( \text{Au}_x/\text{GC} \) electrodes in 0.1 M KOH aqueous solution saturated by oxygen. Rotation rate 3600 rpm. Reproduced with permission [55]. Copyright 2009, Wiley-VCH.

Recently, our group has also conducted some work regarding employing Au clusters based nanocomposite for ORR. We integrated polyvinyl pyrrolidone (PVP)-protected Au nanoclusters with reduced graphene oxide (RGO) as the catalyst [61] and found that the nanocomposite possessed markedly higher long-term stability in ORR than Pt/C. Subsequently, we fabricated nanocomposite based on \( p \)-mercaptobenzoic acid-functionalized Au nanoclusters, \( \text{Au}_{102}(p\text{-MBA})_{44} \), and porous carbon nanosheets [62]. The best sample in the series composite exhibited slightly positive onset potential, but much higher long-term durability than Pt/C. We also synthesized a series of molecular Au clusters of \( \text{Au}_{25}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{18}, \text{Au}_{38}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24} \) and \( \text{Au}_{144}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{60} \) and employed them as precursors to porous carbon-supported Au nanoparticles with different sizes toward oxygen reduction [63]. The ORR performances of \( \text{Au}_{25}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{18}, \text{Au}_{38}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{24}, \text{Au}_{144}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{60} \) and the resulting AuPC-1, AuPC-2 and AuPC-3 samples are summarized in Table 3. One can see that, due to restraint of the surface thiolate ligand, the three molecular Au clusters exhibited relatively low ORR activity with \( \text{Au}_{25}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{18} \) being the best. However, upon the calcination and integration with porous carbon, significant enhancement can be observed. The optimal performance arose from
the smallest $\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}_4\text{Ph})_{18}$ cluster-derived AuPC-1 sample, corresponding well with the previously well-established size effects [55]. Its ORR activity was also close to that of Pt/C, evidenced by comparable specific activity and more robust durability in chronoamperometric measurements [63].

Table 3. Comparison of the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) activity of different samples calculated by voltammetric measurements in 0.1 M KOH solution. Reproduced with permission [63]. Copyright 2016, the American Chemical Society.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$E_p$ (V)</th>
<th>$j_p$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>$E_{onset}$ (V)</th>
<th>$E_{1/2}$ (V)</th>
<th>$j$ (mA cm$^{-2}$) at +0.45 V</th>
<th>ECSA (m$^2$ g$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Specific Activity at +0.80 V (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Mass Activity at +0.80 V (A g$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuPC-1</td>
<td>+0.86</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>+0.95</td>
<td>+0.83</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>32.02</td>
<td>0.612</td>
<td>25.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPC-2</td>
<td>+0.83</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>+0.91</td>
<td>+0.78</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>30.13</td>
<td>0.195</td>
<td>8.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AuPC-3</td>
<td>+0.80</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>+0.89</td>
<td>+0.75</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>27.27</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Au}_{25}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}<em>4\text{Ph})</em>{18}$</td>
<td>+0.54</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>+0.72</td>
<td>+0.57</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Au}_{38}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}<em>4\text{Ph})</em>{24}$</td>
<td>+0.54</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>+0.71</td>
<td>+0.56</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Au}_{144}(\text{SC}_2\text{H}<em>4\text{Ph})</em>{60}$</td>
<td>+0.50</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>+0.65</td>
<td>+0.54</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>commercial Pt/C</td>
<td>+0.81</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>+0.95</td>
<td>+0.81</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td>43.05</td>
<td>0.615</td>
<td>38.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* $E_p$ and $j_p$ were determined from cyclic voltammograms. * $E_{onset}$, $E_{1/2}$ and $j$ at 0.45 V were determined from rotating disk voltammograms with a rotation speed of 2500 rpm. ECSA (electrochemical surface area) were determined from the cyclic voltammograms in 0.1 M N$_2$-saturated HClO$_4$ solution.

3.3. Ag Clusters for ORR

Unlike Au nanoparticles or nanoclusters, earlier studies showed that the average electron transfer number is between two and four in ORR for Ag nanomaterials [86], suggesting that the final products include HO$_2^-$ and OH$^-$. However, strong size effects toward ORR can be also observed for Ag nanomaterials. Lu and Chen prepared Ag nanoparticles with a diameter of 3.3 nm and Ag nanoclusters with a diameter of 0.7 nm and investigated their ORR performance in alkaline electrolyte [64]. Cyclic voltammetric measurements demonstrated that the onset potential was 150 mV more positive for 0.7 nm Ag nanoclusters than 3.3 nm Ag nanoparticles. Remarkably, compared to 3.3-nm Ag nanoparticles, the current density of ORR at $-0.80$ V was five-times higher than 3.3-nm Ag nanoparticles. However, for the 0.7-nm Ag nanoclusters, the ORR catalytic process is dominated first by a two-electron transfer pathway to produce H$_2$O$_2$, and then, peroxide is further reduced by another two electrons to form water. The results deliver the message that Ag clusters might not be good ORR catalysts; however, when the clusters are even smaller and consist of only a few Ag atoms, the catalytic performance was significantly boosted. Yang et al. developed a high-yield strategy to synthesize very small Ag clusters with only 2–5 silver atoms [65], whose molecular structure and composition were revealed by MALDI-TOF analysis. The ORR activity of the Ag clusters, as well as citrate and 2-mercaptopentothiazole (MB)-protected Ag NPs is presented in Figure 3. From Figure 3a,b, both citrate and MB-protected Ag NPs barely exhibited ORR activity, while superior activity to commercial Pt/C from Ag clusters was acquired, evidenced by the more positive onset potential and larger kinetic current. Furthermore, as shown in Figure 3c, the measured H$_2$O$_2$ yield was below 15% for Ag clusters, comparable with that of Pt/C, but much slower than citrate and MB-protected Ag nanoparticles. The durability of the four samples was evaluated by chronoamperometric response (Figure 3d), which showed that Ag clusters exhibited a high current retention of 93% after 10,000 s, higher than citrate and MB-protected Ag nanoparticles, as well as Pt/C.
Another interesting work was done by Clausmeyer and coworkers, who electrodeposited Ag clusters on nitrogen-doped graphene, and such composite catalysts displayed comparable ORR performance with Pt/C, but enhanced methanol tolerance compared to Pt/C in alkaline solution [67]. Liu and Chen prepared "surfactant free" Ag clusters in situ supported on carbon nanodots, and the most efficient four-electron transfer process in ORR was observed [66]. Dong and Zhang’s group electrochemically-deposited Ag nanoclusters, citrate-protected Ag nanoparticles and 2-mercaptobenzothiazole (MB)-protected Ag nanoparticles. (loading: 0.1 mg cm$^{-2}$) in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH at 1600 rpm. Disk current ($j_d$) (solid) is shown on the lower half, and ring current ($j_r$) is shown on the upper half of the graph. The disk potential was scanned at 10 mV s$^{-1}$, and the ring potential was constant at 0.5 V versus Ag/AgCl. (c) Percentage of peroxide of different catalysts at various potentials, based on the corresponding rotating ring-disk electron data in (b). (d) Chronoamperometric responses for ORR of different catalysts in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH at −0.3 V versus Ag/AgCl, respectively. Rotation rate: 200 rpm. Reproduced with permission [65].

Besides directly using Ag clusters as catalysts for ORR, the carbon substrate can be introduced to integrate Ag clusters to enhance the electrocatalytic reduction of oxygen. Liu and Chen prepared “surfactant free” Ag clusters in situ supported on carbon nanodots, and the most efficient four-electron transfer process in ORR was observed [66]. Dong and Zhang’s group electrochemically-deposited Ag clusters on nitrogen-doped graphene, and such composite catalysts displayed comparable ORR performance with Pt/C, but enhanced methanol tolerance compared to Pt/C in alkaline solution [67]. Another interesting work was done by Clausmeyer and coworkers, who electrodeposited Ag clusters on bi-functional Θ-shaped nanoelectrodes for oxygen reduction. The high current densities observed for the ORR in chlor-alkali electrolyzers can be explained by the local oversaturation of O$_2$ in the direct vicinity of microscopic gas channels [87].
3.4. Pd and Ru Clusters for ORR

The continuous advancements of using Pt, Au and Ag clusters for oxygen electrocatalytic reduction have been inspiring the further endeavors of employing other noble metal clusters as ORR catalysts. Pd-based nanomaterials have been proven to be ORR active, as Pd can adsorb oxygen molecules and form stable and compact oxides [68]. Pd$_{13-17}$(SR)$_{18-22}$ clusters protected by 4-tert-butylenzenethiolate have been successfully fabricated by Zhang and Jin’s group [69]. Distinct ORR performance was observed for the ligand-on and ligand-off Pd clusters. Figure 4a shows that ligand-off Pd clusters exhibited a much sharper reduction peak, while from Figure 4b, more positive onset potential and larger diffusion-limited current from ligand-off Pd clusters than ligand-on clusters can be observed. Figure 4c shows that the mass activity of ligand-off Pd clusters is 272.4 A g$^{-1}$, about five-times that of ligand-on clusters, also much higher than that of Pt/C (166.66 A g$^{-1}$). The Tafel slopes calculated from Tafel plots (Figure 4d) for ligand-on and ligand-off clusters are −72.3 mV dec$^{-1}$ and −68.4 mV dec$^{-1}$, close to that value of Pt/C (~−60 mV dec$^{-1}$). Owing to the ligand protection, after 1000 cycles, no noticeable changes on onset potential and half-wave potential can be observed for the ligand on Pd clusters (Figure 4e), while a 10-mV decrease of half-wave potential was observed for the ligand off clusters (Figure 4f).

![Figure 4](image-url)

**Figure 4.** Electrochemical activities of ligand-on, ligand-off Pd$_n$/C and commercial Pt/C catalysts. (a) CV curves for ligand-on and ligand-off Pd$_n$/C catalysts in N$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions; (b) ORR polarization curves for three catalysts in O$_2$-saturated 0.1 M KOH solutions at 1600 rpm; (c) mass activities for three catalysts at −0.15 V (vs. Ag/AgCl); (d) corresponding Tafel plots of ligand-on and ligand-off Pd$_n$/C catalysts and Pt/C catalysts (ω = 1600 rpm); durability tests for ligand-on (e) and ligand-off (f) Pd$_n$/C nanocluster catalysts, respectively. All of the current densities are normalized to the geometric area of GC. Reproduced with permission [69]. Copyright 2016, Wiley-VCH.
Encouraged by these findings, our group prepared Pd clusters in situ encapsulated in porous carbon nanosheets (CNS) for ORR in alkaline media [70]. Electrochemical tests showed that Pd/CNS-20% (Pd mass loading is 20%) displayed superior activity than Pd black, with mass activity five-times greater than Pd black [70].

As a noble metal, Ru is much cheaper than Au, Ag, Pd and Pt. In a very recent study, Tour’s group described the fabrication of Ru clusters supported on nitrogen-doped porous graphene (Ru/NG) as high-performance multifunctional electrocatalysts for ORR and hydrogen evolution reaction (HER), displaying similar activities to that of Pt/C in alkaline media [71]. Ru/NG can efficiently convert O\textsubscript{2} into OH\textsuperscript{−} in a four-electron pathway, showing great promise as an excellent candidate for cathode materials of fuel cells.

3.5. Alloved Noble Metal Clusters for ORR

Till now, several studies have been documented regarding using alloyed bi-metallic noble metal clusters for ORR. The advantages of using alloys in the catalytic and electrocatalytic regime outperforming single metals can be summarized by the following factors. The marriage of two metals can endorse both a geometric effect and an electronic effect. The geometric effect refers to the generation of a new catalytic active site due to the combination of two metals [88]. The electronic structure can be altered due to the formation of the hetero-metal-metal bond, which can be favorable for catalytic reaction [88]. Furthermore, specifically for ORR, the combination of two metals can tune the adsorption capability of oxygen molecules. For example, both Pt and Pd possess strong binding affinity to oxygenated intermediates, while Au has little interaction with them, making both AuPt and AuPd optimal candidates for preparing binary alloys.

In 2009, Cukrowski’s group reported for the first-time the fabrication of electrodeposited multilayer Ru-Pt clusters on glassy carbon for ORR in alkaline solution [72]. Yancey et al. electrochemically synthesized Au@Pt dendrimer-encapsulated nanoparticles and discovered that Au\textsubscript{147}@Pt DENs (dendrimer encapsulated nanoparticles) exhibited higher ORR activity than Au and Pt nanoparticles alone [89].

By constructing multilayers of bare and Pd-modified Au clusters, Harada et al. also conducted electrocatalytic tests for ORR and found a significant increase in ORR rate after Pd deposition [74]. By using formic acid as the reducing agent, Balaji’s group showcased the fabrication of self-stabilized Pt-Rh clusters toward ORR in sulfuric acid media [75]. The highest performance was achieved by the clusters with an optimal Pt-to-Rh ratio equaling 76.9:23.0, which adopted a four-electron pathway and retained 85% of its limiting current after 15,000 cycles of potential scans [75]. Recently, Feng and Xu developed a wet chemical approach to fabricate monodispersed porous dendrite-like PtAu porous nanoclusters for ORR and methanol oxidation by employing L-histidine as the structure-director and PVP as the dispersing agent [76]. In a recent report, our group described the preparation of AuPd clusters supported by porous carbon nanosheets in alkaline media [73]. In this study, a series of composites with different Au: Pd ratios (100:0, 80:20, 67:33, 50:50, 33:67, 20:80, 0:100) was prepared, and the electrocatalytic performance toward ORR is depicted in Figure 5. Figure 5a shows that the onset potential and diffusion limited current density varied drastically with the change of Au-to-Pd ratio, and the sample of Au\textsubscript{67}Pd\textsubscript{33}/CNs exhibited the best performance. Its ORR performance was then compared with Pt/C (Figure 5b), in which the onset potential of Au\textsubscript{67}Pd\textsubscript{33}/CNs (0.94 V) was close to that of Pt/C (0.96 V), while the diffusion-limited current density (5.13 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2}) was higher than that of Pt/C (4.88 mA cm\textsuperscript{−2}). The calculated electron transfer number and corresponding H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} yield can be found in Figure 5c. The Au\textsubscript{67}Pd\textsubscript{33}/CNs sample showed a high electron transfer number of 3.92–3.99 and a low H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2} yield of less than 10% in the potential range of 0→+0.8 V, indicating that a direct four-electron transfer pathway was adopted with negligible byproduct produced. The reaction kinetics was then elucidated by extrapolating the Tafel slope from the Tafel plots (Figure 5d), and the Au\textsubscript{67}Pd\textsubscript{33}/CNs sample displayed a slope of 67.7 mV dec\textsuperscript{−1}, close to that of Pt/C (64.4 mV dec\textsuperscript{−1}). In addition, this sample also exhibited markedly superior long-term stability than that of Pt/C [73].
1600 rpm were 0.95 V, 0.84 V and 5.8 mA cm⁻² (0.83 V for Au).

Although great successes have been achieved using noble metal clusters for ORR, there are still several challenges and issues to be resolved. These problems include the shared challenges for all single metal clusters and alloyed clusters and specific issues for designated metal clusters. Challenges always mean opportunities, and further research efforts are encouraged to resolve these challenges, which actually form the outlook of this rapidly booming field.

4. Challenges, Outlooks and Perspectives

It is worth noting that these alloyed bimetallic clusters exhibited superior ORR performance than their counterparts of noble metal nanoparticles with larger sizes. For instance, with 0.1 M KOH as the electrolyte, the onset potential, half-wave potential and diffusion-limited current density at 1600 rpm were 0.95 V, 0.84 V and 5.8 mA cm⁻² for PtAu porous nanocluster (size: ~3 nm), higher than for Pt@Au nanorods dispersed on pyridyne cycloaddition of graphene (size: ~20 nm in width, 0.89 V, 0.67 V and 5 mA cm⁻²) [90]. Under almost the same conditions, the Au₆₇Pd₃₃ clusters supported on carbon nanosheets (Au₆₇Pd₃₃/CNs) [73] also exhibited more positive onset potential than AuPd alloy nanocrystals with reduced graphene oxide [91] (AuPd/rGO, size: 22.5 nm) (0.94 V for Au₆₇Pd₃₃/CNs vs. 0.92 V for AuPd/rGO) and higher half-wave potential than reduced graphene oxide-supported asymmetric Au-core Pd-shell bimetallic nanoparticles [92] (Au@Pd/rGO, size: 15 nm) (0.83 V for Au₆₇Pd₃₃/CNs vs. 0.80 V for Au@Pd/rGO). The above comparison further features the great advantages of employing metal clusters as highly efficient catalysts for ORR.
One common issue is that the ligand served as the stabilizer to resist aggregation or enhance the longevity of the clusters in electrocatalytic process; however, the capping ligand also blocked some exposed active sites for the reaction. One way to mitigate this issue is to prepare ligand-free clusters or to directly encapsulate metal precursor in porous macromolecules then reduced by reductant to form metal clusters in situ. This is probably why we saw that more recent efforts are focusing on in situ methodologies, but further precise control of the monodispersity of metal clusters is urgently needed, which is one direction for future development. Another important common challenge might arise from the precise and accurate anchoring and integration of metal clusters with the support, which are insufficient in current investigations. To resolve this issue, rational design of the surface ligand structure and architecture, as well as the necessary modification of the support are essential, which are expected in future efforts. Lastly, how to further reduce the cost to match the market’s expectation for large-scale commercialization of these noble metal clusters toward practical fuel cell application still has a long way to go.

In addition, there are also several specific challenges for different noble metal clusters and the alloyed clusters. For Pt and Au clusters, because of the ultra-high price, there is still necessity and room to further improve the intrinsic catalytic activity and cut the loadings of these two metals to reduce the costs. For Pd, Ag and Ru clusters, more precise atomic control of the nanocluster size is expected. Other noble metal clusters such as Rh and rare earth metals for ORR await to be explored. For alloyed clusters, besides the precise atomic control of the size, developing the criterion to fine-tune the optimized metallic ratio, but not by serendipitous discovery, is quite critical and worth more research endeavors. Theoretical calculation is certainly a powerful tool to advance the future development of this promising field.
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