Performance of Mn-Fe-Ce/GO-x for Catalytic Oxidation of Hg$^0$ and Selective Catalytic Reduction of NO$_x$ in the Same Temperature Range
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Abstract: A series of composites of Mn-Fe-Ce/GO-x have been synthesized by a hydrothermal method. Their performance in simultaneously performing the catalytic oxidation of Hg$^0$ and the selective catalytic reduction of nitrogen oxides (NO$_x$) in the same temperature range were investigated. In order to investigate the physicochemical properties and surface reaction, basic tests, including Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), XRD, scanning electron microscope (SEM) and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were selected. The results indicate that the active components deposited on graphene play an important role in the removal of mercury and NO$_x$, with different valences. Especially, the catalyst of Mn-Fe-Ce/GO-20% possesses an excellent efficiency in the temperature range of 170 to 250 $^\circ$C. Graphene has a huge specific surface area and good mechanical property; thus, the active components of the Mn-Fe-Ce catalyst can be highly dispersed on the surface of graphene oxide. In addition, the effects of O$_2$, H$_2$O, NO and SO$_2$ on the removal efficiency of Hg$^0$ were examined in flue gas. Furthermore, the regeneration experiments conducted by thermal methods proved to be promising methods.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, the removal of mercury and its derivatives has attracted significant attention due to their toxic effects on ecological safety and human health [1–3]. Many researchers have explored an effective way to control the emission of mercury from coal-fired power plants. As we know, mercury is released into exhaust gas in the form of elemental mercury (Hg$^0$), oxidized mercury (Hg$^{2+}$) and particulate bound mercury (Hg$^p$) [4–6]. At present, Hg$^{2+}$ is water-soluble and can be easily removed by wet flue gas desulfurization, and Hg$^p$ attached to fly ash can be captured by electrostatic precipitators and fabric filters. In a word, Hg$^{2+}$ and Hg$^p$ can easily be controlled by existing air pollution control devices [7–12]. However, Hg$^0$ is difficult to capture due to its high volatility and water-insolubility. Therefore, Hg$^0$ is the main mercury species that is emitted in the stack flue gas from coal-fired utilities. Even more alarming, Hg$^0$ can circulate in the atmosphere for years, and its toxic effects have a global-scale impact. Consequently, the conversion of Hg$^0$ to Hg$^{2+}$ or Hg$^p$ is the main method of removing elemental mercury. In previous explorations, methods of sorbent injection, catalytic oxidation, electrocatalytic oxidation, and photochemical oxidation were carried out to remove Hg$^0$ [13–18]. Therein, the catalytic oxidation of Hg$^0$ is the most promising method due to its high-efficiency.

Besides the difficulties that are associated with mercury removal, reducing the emission of NO$_x$ is also difficult. NO$_x$ from the exhaust gases of coal-fired power plants is the major source of air pollution.
Specifically, NO\textsubscript{x} causes photochemical smog, acid rain, ozone depletion, and greenhouse effects, etc. So far, the commercial catalyst of V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}-WO\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} is widely used in the field of controlling NO\textsubscript{x} emission. However, this catalyst is significantly affected by the flue gas temperature and easily loses its catalytic activities at low temperatures. Meanwhile, the addition of chlorine into the boiler is needed in order to realize the activities of Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation [19–21]. It is necessary to explore an effective and economical catalyst to remove Hg\textsuperscript{0} and NO\textsubscript{x} in the same temperature range, without the assistance of chlorine.

Besides the V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}-WO\textsubscript{3}/TiO\textsubscript{2} catalyst, many transition metal oxides were explored for the oxidation of Hg\textsuperscript{0} and the reduction of NO\textsubscript{x}, such as MnO\textsubscript{x}, FeO\textsubscript{x}, CeO\textsubscript{x}, V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, CuO, and CoO [22–25]. However, the single metal oxides, as mentioned above, can hardly meet the demand of simultaneously removing Hg\textsuperscript{0} and NO\textsubscript{x}. Hence, researchers tried to dope one or more metal oxides to obtain catalysts with a higher performance. For instance, Mn-Ce/TiO\textsubscript{2}, Mn-Fe spinel and Ce-Fe-O were studied to enhance the performance of a single metal oxide for Hg\textsuperscript{0} and NO\textsubscript{x} removal [26–28]. Mn-Ce/TiO\textsubscript{2} is highly effective in removing Hg\textsuperscript{0} and NO\textsubscript{x}, for the reason that the Mn oxides exhibit excellent catalytic activities at lower temperatures, and the Ce oxides can provide an amount of trapped oxygen for redox [29–31]. However, its high performance is inhibited by SO\textsubscript{2} in the flue gas. In order to explore the catalyst that is resistant to SO\textsubscript{2}, Mn-Fe spinel has been explored, which possesses an excellent SO\textsubscript{2}-resistance ability, by doping Mn oxides with Fe oxides. Regrettably, the Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation ability of Mn-Fe spinel is limited. It is necessary to explore a catalyst that possesses inhibitory effects of SO\textsubscript{2} and a high activity under different flue gas conditions. The above problems motivate us to focus on the design and synthesis of new catalysts with an optimal catalytic performance. To the best of our knowledge, using the three metal oxides, MnO\textsubscript{x}, CeO\textsubscript{x}, and FeO\textsubscript{x}, to synthesize the Fe-Mn-Ce oxide-based catalyst has seldom been reported in the literature for Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation and NO\textsubscript{x} reduction [32]. Thus, we carried out systematic explorations on the Fe-Mn-Ce oxide-based catalyst.

Moreover, the carrier plays an important role in enhancing the performance of the catalyst. Usually, the metal oxides have a small specific surface area, while the carrier has a big specific surface area. In particular, graphene is a planar sheet that is composed of carbon atoms [33–35]. Its large surface area (calculated value, 2630 m\textsuperscript{2}/g) is convenient for the dispersion of active components. Moreover, the mobility of charge carriers (200,000 cm\textsuperscript{2}/Vs) can significantly facilitate electron transfer in an oxidation-reduction reaction [31]. According to the literature, graphene can be oxidized by strong acid or other methods to obtain graphene oxide (GO) with many functional groups, which can offer abundant nucleation sites for metal atoms. It is a viable approach to exploring new catalysts, while using GO as a catalyst carrier.

In this work, the Mn-Fe-Ce/GO-x catalysts were synthesized via a hydrothermal method, based on the excellent properties of GO as a catalyst carrier. Moreover, the characterization methods of Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET), XRD, scanning electron microscope (SEM), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) were selected to reveal the physicochemical properties of the Mn-Fe-Ce/GO-x catalysts. The composites were investigated through a fixed-bed reaction system for Hg\textsuperscript{0} and NO\textsubscript{x} removal in the temperature range of 100 to 400 °C. Moreover, the effects of flue gas components, including O\textsubscript{2}, NO, H\textsubscript{2}O and SO\textsubscript{2}, on the oxidation of Hg\textsuperscript{0} and reduction of NO\textsubscript{x} were discussed. The combination of GO sheets and the Mn-Ce-Fe-O particles is advantageous for the application of Mn-Ce-Fe oxides. The outstanding performance was discussed in light of the test results and characterization techniques.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Characterization of Catalysts

The microstructural parameters of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%) catalysts were investigated, and the results are listed in Table 1. With the increase of GO content from 0 to 20%, the specific surface area, pore volume and pore diameter of the catalysts correspondingly increased.
from 2.37 to 109.23 m$^2$/g, 0.0089 to 0.0462 cm$^3$/g, and 2.321 to 3.426 nm, respectively. However, further increasing the GO content to 30% resulted in a decrease of microstructural parameters due to the aggregation of GO. Therefore, the Ce-Fe-Mn/GO$_{0.2}$ with an appropriate carrier of GO is considered to be a candidate with a better catalytic performance.

Table 1. Microstructural parameters of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>BET Surface (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Average Pore Diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>2.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10%</td>
<td>54.39</td>
<td>0.0363</td>
<td>3.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20%</td>
<td>109.23</td>
<td>0.0463</td>
<td>3.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-30%</td>
<td>79.95</td>
<td>0.0395</td>
<td>3.415</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The XRD patterns of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%) catalysts are shown in Figure 1. All of the samples show characteristic diffraction peaks of MnO$_2$ and Mn$_3$O$_4$ in the patterns. Nevertheless, few weak peaks of crystalline Fe and Ce oxides are detected in the XRD patterns, indicating that all the Fe and Ce oxides have a fine grain size and exist in an amorphous form. The characteristic diffraction peaks of graphene, at approximately 20–25° in the results, are found in Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 10%, 20%, 30%), suggesting that the graphene structure was successfully synthesized, and the peak of GO, at approximately 2θ = 13°, is also detected by a Bruker D2 PHASER diffractometer.

![Figure 1. The XRD patterns of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%).](image)

In order to further identify the composition and content on the surface of all the samples, the EDS of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% was selected, and the results are shown in Figure 2. Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10% and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-30% are shown in Figures S1–S3 in the Supporting Information, respectively. It can be seen, from all of these Figures, that the catalysts present the contents of Fe, Ce, Mn and O elements on the GO carrier. That is to say, there were no visible phases of Fe and Ce oxides in the XRD patterns, indicating that all of the Fe and Ce oxides were highly dispersed over the support, with a low content. The EDS proves the existence of Fe and Ce oxides on GO.

The SEM characterization provides a convenient approach to investigating the morphology of the prepared catalysts. Images of microscopic Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0 and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10% morphologies, which are particle-like as well as highly aggregated and disorganized, are shown in Figures S1 and S2. As shown in the image of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% in Figure 2, the metal oxides distributed on the GO structure were more uniform and showed a smaller particle size. Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-30% in Figure S3
indicates that plenty of GO join together to limit the attachment of oxides, and this result is consistent with the BET test. In the catalyst of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20%, numerous nanoparticles were inserted into the GO sheets for further analysis, and this result indicates that GO can not only prevent the aggregation of catalytic activity particles, but also the nanoparticles load on GO through functional groups, such as carboxyl, hydroxyl, and epoxy groups [36]. Hence, the highly dispersed and uniform nanoscale Ce-Mn-Fe-O particles are embedded in GO.

**Figure 2.** The element contents on the surface of graphene oxide (EDS) and scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20%.

The XPS spectra of the samples were performed to further illustrate the chemical composition and the valence states of Mn, Ce and Fe. The results are exhibited in Figure 3, the Mn 3d spectra with two main peaks, corresponding to Mn 2p3/2 and Mn 2p1/2, are observed in Figure 3a. The Mn 2p1/2 peak consists of three sub-peaks, the corresponding binding energy of Mn3+ is 641.8 eV, and the peaks at about 642.4 eV, 641.2 eV, and 640.2 eV are Mn4+, Mn3+ and Mn2+, respectively. The rate of (Mn4+ + Mn3+)/Mn2+ was usually considerable for Hg0 oxidation, based on article [37]. The high valence Mn oxides enhance Hg0 oxidation efficiency, as Mn4+ can directly oxidize the adsorbed Hg0, and Mn3+ also has potential activity in Hg0 oxidation in the presence of O2. Manganese oxides exist at the mixed states of Mn4+ and Mn3+ in the four composition catalysts of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%). In particular, the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% possesses a higher Hg0 oxidation efficiency than other catalysts of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 30%), and the main reason may be that the highest ratios of (Mn4+ + Mn3+)/Mn2+ are in the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% sample, which plays an important role in Hg0 oxidation. In addition, the catalyst has quite a high content of Mn4+ and Mn3+ on the surface and it shows good activity in NOx reduction with NH3 at low temperatures [38].

The XPS spectra of Ce3d for these catalysts are presented in Figure 3b. The peaks that were labeled U and V were the corresponding 3d5/2 and 3d3/2 spin-orbit states, respectively [39]. The U0, U1, U3, V3, V2, and V0 belonged to the 3d104f0 state of the Ce4+ species, and U2 and V1 are assigned to the 3d104f1 initial electronic state of the Ce3+ species [40]. When comparing with the four catalysts, the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10% has the highest ratio of Ce4+/Ce3+, but the ratio of Ce4+/Ce3+ decreases in the optimal catalyst, Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20%, and it can be inferred from this result that some reactions take place in the preparation process. Thus, the most possible reaction is as follows [41]:

\[
2\text{CeO}_2 + \text{Mn}_2\text{O}_3 \rightarrow \text{Ce}_2\text{O}_3 + 2\text{MnO}_2
\]
The reaction further explains the reason why the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% has high ratios of \((\text{Mn}^{4+} + \text{Mn}^{3+})/\text{Mn}^{2+}\). The ratios of \(\text{Ce}^{4+} / \text{Ce}^{3+}\) were calculated and are shown in Figure 3b, and it is obvious that the majority of the Ce ions are \(\text{Ce}^{4+}\), which is beneficial for \(\text{Hg}^0\) oxidation. Moreover, it has been reported that the \(\text{Ce}^{3+}\) species can not only create charge imbalance, vacancies, and unsaturated chemical bonds, but also enhance \(\text{Hg}^0\) oxidation with the chemisorbed oxygen species on the surface of catalyst [42].

The XPS spectra of Fe2p for catalysts are shown in Figure 3c. The peaks appeared at 709.3 eV, which was attributed to \(\text{Fe}^{2+}\) cations [43], and at the 711.42 eV, 713.2 eV, 725.4 eV, which were assigned to \(\text{Fe}^{3+}\). Different states of iron cations contain a weak oxidation property in relation to \(\text{Hg}^0\), however, they have positive effects on \(\text{NO}_x\) reduction with \(\text{NH}_3\) at the ideal temperatures [44].

As shown in Figure 3d, the peaks at low binding energy (about 259.5–25.97 eV) could be regarded as lattice oxygen (denoted as \(O_\alpha\)), the binding energy peak at 531.0–531.7 eV is attributed to chemisorbed oxygen and C=O groups (denoted as \(O_\beta\)), and the peak at 532.7–533.5 eV was reported to exist in hydroxy (denoted as \(O_\gamma\)) [45,46]. When comparing GO with Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, the peaks at about 532.8–533.5 eV belong to GO, however, the peaks at about 259.5–25.97 eV belong to the metal oxide nanocrystals. On the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (10%, 20%, 30%), the peaks at 531.1–531.7 eV are believed to be the most active oxygen for oxidation reactions [47].

As shown in Table 2, the concentrations of different types of oxygen \((O_\alpha, O_\beta, O_\gamma)\) in graphene oxide (GO) and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x \((x = 0, 10\%, 20\%, 30\%)\) are listed. These concentrations are calculated to exist in hydroxy (denoted as \(O_\gamma\)) [45,46]. When comparing GO with Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, the peak at 531.0–531.7 eV in GO is 45.36% and 54.64%, respectively. On the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, the concentration of \(O_\alpha\) and \(O_\beta\) is 60.24% and 30.76%, respectively. With the increasing of GO content from 0.1 to 0.3, the intensity percentage of \(O_\beta\) on Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (10%, 20%, 30%) is 31.73%, 32.16%, and 18.4%, respectively. The catalyst of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% has a higher efficiency of \(\text{Hg}^0\) removal than Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (20%, 30%), because the \(O_\beta\) species are believed to be the most active oxygen for oxidation reactions [47].

**Table 2.** The concentrations of different types of oxygen \((O_\alpha, O_\beta, O_\gamma)\) in graphene oxide (GO) and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x \((x = 0, 10\%, 20\%, 30\%)\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Surface Atomic Concentrations (%)</th>
<th>(O_\alpha)</th>
<th>(O_\beta)</th>
<th>(O_\gamma)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GO</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>45.36</td>
<td>54.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO0</td>
<td></td>
<td>60.24</td>
<td>30.76</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td>61.65</td>
<td>31.73</td>
<td>6.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td>59.89</td>
<td>32.26</td>
<td>7.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ce-Mn-Fe/GO0.3</td>
<td></td>
<td>66.89</td>
<td>18.40</td>
<td>14.70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3. Cont.**
Figure 3. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) spectra of Mn 2p (a), Ce 3p (b), Fe 2p (c) and O 1s (d) for Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%).

As discussed above, the reactive temperatures of Hg$_0$ shift to a low temperature region when the manganese oxides are involved in the reaction. The cerium has a superior ability to store oxygen, which contributes to Hg$_0$ oxidation and NO$_x$ reduction. The oxidizability of manganese and cerium oxides is stronger than that of iron oxides, and the presence of the iron content can enhance the high...
which contributes to Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation and NO\textsubscript{x} reduction. The oxidizability of manganese and cerium oxides is stronger than that of iron oxides, and the presence of the iron content can enhance the high valence states of the other two metal oxides \[33\]. As the result, the catalysts that contain the three metals show an effective property for Hg\textsuperscript{0} and NO\textsubscript{x} removal.

The reducibility of the prepared catalysts of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%) was detected by H\textsubscript{2}-TPR in the temperature range of 100–900 °C, and the results are shown in Figure 4. For Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, the peak at around 314 °C can be attributed to the reduction of a highly dispersed MnO\textsubscript{2} to MnO\textsubscript{3}. In addition, the higher two reduction peaks at 422 and 494 °C are the reductions of Mn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} to MnO and Fe\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} to FeO, respectively \[30,48\]. The peak at 678 °C may be a reduction of CeO\textsubscript{2} to Ce\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} \[49\]. The peak above 750 °C was assigned to the reduction of surface FeO to Fe \[50\]. The sample of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10% shows three apparent peaks in the H\textsubscript{2}-TPR profiles in the temperature range of 100–900 °C, and the results are shown in Figure 4. The H\textsubscript{2}-TPR curves, and the reduction peaks at 220 °C, and the value for Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-30% starts to decrease, when the temperature reaches 200 °C, and it decreases rapidly until 400 °C. The samples of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0 and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10% exhibit the highest E\textsubscript{oxi}, exceeding 87% at 300 °C and 83% at 220 °C, and the E\textsubscript{oxi} decreases to about 62% and 22% at 400 °C, respectively. Comparing the four composites in relation to E\textsubscript{oxi}, the sample of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% shows an outstanding efficiency with a low temperature of 170 °C and when the temperature span is 80 °C (see the larger image in Figure 5).
The reason is that metallic oxide particles uniformly load on GO with a large specific surface area, which is beneficial for Hg\textsuperscript{0} absorption and oxidation.

**Figure 5.** Hg\textsuperscript{0} removal over Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%) catalysts at different temperatures (Flue gas conditions: 6% O\textsubscript{2}, 600 ppm SO\textsubscript{2}, 800 ppm nitrogen oxide (NO), N\textsubscript{2} as balance gas).

The η of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% at different temperatures with NH\textsubscript{3} is shown in Figure 6. At the reaction temperature of 160 to 255 °C (see the larger image in Figure 6), the η is maintained at above 90%, indicating that the catalyst has a rather wide temperature window. Especially, the η reaches 97% at 170 °C. Interestingly, the excellent \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) and η of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% is exhibited in the same temperature range of 170–250 °C, and the highest efficiencies that can be achieved are 95% and 97%, respectively. The η over Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10%, and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-30% catalysts were also investigated, and the results are shown in the Supporting Information for comparison (Figure S4).

**Figure 6.** NO\textsubscript{x} conversion as a function of reaction temperature over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% sample. (Flue gas conditions: 6% O\textsubscript{2}, 600 ppm SO\textsubscript{2}, 800 ppm NO, 800 ppm NH\textsubscript{3}, N\textsubscript{2} as balance gas).
The N$_2$O output concentration over Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% is shown in Figure 7a. This shows that nearly 100% N$_2$ selectivity was obtained in the region of 180–250 °C over the catalysts. However, the N$_2$O output concentration over Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% gradually increased with the rising temperature in the region of 280–300 °C, due to the produce of N$_2$O, resulting from the gradual oxidation of NH$_3$ at a high temperature. The changes of NO$_2$ concentration in the region of 140–300 °C, indicating that there is almost no NO$_2$ output, as shown in Figure 7b.

![Figure 7. The N$_2$O output concentration (a), NO$_2$ output concentration (b) over Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20%.](image)

2.3. Effect of Individual Flue Gas Components

Hg$^0$ oxidation efficiencies (average value of one hour) over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% catalyst at 170 °C, under different flue gas components, are shown in Figure 8.

![Figure 8. Effect of the flue gas components on Hg$^0$ removal over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% sample at 170 °C.](image)
2.3.1. The Effect of O\textsubscript{2} on Mercury Oxidation over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% Catalyst

O\textsubscript{2} plays an important role in Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation and NO\textsubscript{x} reduction, and the results are presented in Figure 8. In the absence of O\textsubscript{2} (pure N\textsubscript{2}), at first, the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) is 93\%, but after a time, the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) decreases. When the O\textsubscript{2} concentration increases to 3\%, the Hg\textsuperscript{0} removal performance is enhanced, and \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) is 96\%. To further investigate the effect of O\textsubscript{2} on Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation, 6\% O\textsubscript{2} was added in the simulated flue gas, and the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) is increased to 98\%. A further increase to 12\% O\textsubscript{2} makes no sense. Obviously, O\textsubscript{2} is favorable for Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation, and this is in accordance with previous studies [23].

2.3.2. The Effect of SO\textsubscript{2} on Mercury Oxidation over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% Catalyst

The effect of SO\textsubscript{2} on \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) includes inhibition, promotion, and non-response. In our study, different concentrations of SO\textsubscript{2} (300 ppm and 600 ppm SO\textsubscript{2}) were added into the pure N\textsubscript{2} gas flow, and the result is demonstrated in Figure 8. The \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) decreases from 93\% (pure N\textsubscript{2}) to 83\% and 75\%, with an SO\textsubscript{2} concentration gradient of between 300 ppm to 600 ppm. SO\textsubscript{2} has an inhibition effect on mercury removal. Besides, 6\% O\textsubscript{2} and 300 ppm SO\textsubscript{2} were added to the mixer, interestingly increasing the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) to 97\%, and the result changes little when the concentration of SO\textsubscript{2} changes from 300 ppm to 600 ppm. The reason is that the SO\textsubscript{2} may not only directly react with O\textsubscript{2} over the catalyst, but may also react with the lattice oxygen to form SO\textsubscript{3}, which can offer more acid active sites to oxidize the adsorbed Hg\textsuperscript{0} [51].

2.3.3. The Effect of NO on Mercury Oxidation over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% Catalyst

The affecting factor of NO concentration is usually considered in relation to Hg\textsuperscript{0} removal. In this study, the selected concentrations of NO were 300 ppm and 800 ppm. As shown in Figure 8, in the absence of NO, pure N\textsubscript{2} was used for comparison, in which the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) is 93\%. In an atmosphere of 300 ppm and 800 ppm NO, the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) is 78\% and 70\%, respectively, and the NO exhibits an inhibitory effect on Hg\textsuperscript{0} removal. In addition, the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) increased to 95\% and 92\% when 6\% O\textsubscript{2} was added to 300 ppm and 800 ppm NO. The probable reason for the promotional effect is that NO could be oxidized by the surface oxygen species in order to generate active species, such as NO\textsubscript{2} [52]. Therefore, a promotional effect of NO with O\textsubscript{2} on mercury removal in this study was attributed to the production of NO\textsubscript{2}, which can provide acid sites on the catalyst surface.

2.3.4. The Effect of Water Vapor on Mercury Oxidation over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% Catalyst

Under the simulated flue gas (SFG), the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) is 98.6\% (0\% H\textsubscript{2}O) and the effect of water vapor on Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation was explored. The result is shown in Figure 8, in which it can be seen that the water vapor exhibits an inhibitory effect on Hg\textsuperscript{0} oxidation. The 5\% water vapor was added to the simulated flue gas. The \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) decreases from 98.6\% to 81.3\%, which is probably due to the existence of water on the active sites available for mercury adsorption [53]. Fortunately, the catalyst has better properties of water resistance when compared with others (Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0, Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-10\% and Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-30\%). Hence, the catalyst has a potential application in the humid flue gas environment. Besides, the effect of NH\textsubscript{3} on Hg\textsuperscript{0} removal was investigated, and adding 800 ppm NH\textsubscript{3} to the SFG caused the \( E_{\text{oxi}} \) to decrease slightly. NO should be considered because NO reacts with NH\textsubscript{3} over the catalyst, and NH\textsubscript{3} displays a slight inhibitory effect on Hg\textsuperscript{0} removal.

2.4. Effect of Individual Flue Gas Components on NO\textsubscript{x} Removal over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% Catalyst under NH\textsubscript{3}

Additionally, the effects of SO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O have been explored, under operating conditions, on NO\textsubscript{x} removal, and the results were demonstrated in Figure 9. SO\textsubscript{2} has an adverse effect on NO\textsubscript{x} removal when 300 ppm SO\textsubscript{2} is included in the simulated flue gas (SFG), and \( \eta \) decreased from 97\% to 83\% and further decreased to 78\% when the concentration of SO\textsubscript{2} increased to 600 ppm. Then, cutting off SO\textsubscript{2}, the efficiency recovered slightly. The main reason is that the SO\textsubscript{2} can combine with NH\textsubscript{3} to form ammonium sulfate and cover the surface of the catalyst to inhibit the reaction, resulting in a
decrease of catalytic activity. Water vapor presented an inhibitive effect on $\eta$ over Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20\% when 6\% of water vapor was added to the simulated flue gas, and $\eta$ gradually decreased from 97\% to 83\%. The competitive adsorption between $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{NH}_3$ on the surface of the catalyst could account for the deactivation [54]. It is worth noting that the conversion can recover soon after cutting off the $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ injection.

![Figure 9. The influence of $\text{SO}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ on de-$\text{NO}_x$ performance over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20\% sample.](image)

**2.5. Proposed $\text{Hg}^0$ Oxidation Mechanism**

The adsorption mechanism of $\text{Hg}^0$ can be described by the following reactions [55]:

\[
\text{Hg}^0(\text{g}) + \text{Surface} \rightarrow \text{Hg(ads)} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\text{Hg(ads)} + \text{M}_x\text{O}_y \rightarrow \text{HgO(ads)} + \text{M}_x\text{O}_{y-1} \tag{3}
\]

\[
\text{M}_x\text{O}_{y-1} + 1/2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{M}_x\text{O}_y \tag{4}
\]

\[
\text{HgO(ads)} + \text{M}_x\text{O}_y \rightarrow \text{HgM}_x\text{O}_{y+1} \tag{5}
\]

where $\text{M}_x\text{O}_y$ can be seen as $\text{Fe}_x\text{O}_y$, $\text{Mn}_x\text{O}_y$, and $\text{Ce}_x\text{O}_y$. The existence of $\text{M}_x\text{O}_{y-1}$ in the catalyst implies the formation of oxygen vacancy, which can be the adsorption sites for gas phase oxygen to form active oxygen over the catalyst surface. In this work, $\text{Mn}_x\text{O}_y$ and $\text{Ce}_x\text{O}_y$ were the active components and played an important role in the adsorption of $\text{Hg}^0$. In the adsorption process, $\text{Hg}^0$ adsorption on Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10\%, 20\%, 30\%) is a dynamic process: First, the $\text{Hg}^0(\text{g})$ forms Hg(ads), and then, $\text{Mn}_x\text{O}_y$ and $\text{Ce}_x\text{O}_y$ offer lattice oxygen for the oxidation of Hg(ads).

**2.6. Regeneration**

The most outstanding of the above samples is Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20\%. After 6 h, the $\text{Hg}^0$ and $\text{NO}_x$ removal efficiencies reduced to 54\% and 46\%, respectively. To explore the active regeneration of the catalyst, the methods of heating to 400 °C in an atmosphere of nitrogen was employed. However, as Figure 10 shows, with the increase of cycling time, the $\text{Hg}^0$ and $\text{NO}_x$ removal performance decreased slightly. After the third circulation, the regeneration capacity of $\text{Hg}^0$ and $\text{NO}_x$ removal reached 85\%, so that the regeneration capacity of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x highlights its potential applications in the future.
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3. Experimental
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The surface morphology was characterized using a scanning electron microscope (SEM:DESK V, Denton Vacuum, Cherry Hill, NJ, USA). The X-ray diffraction data were obtained while using a Bruker D2 PHASER diffractometer (Bruker Corp, Billerica, MA, USA), equipped with an incident beam monochromator set for Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Diffraction patterns were taken from 10$^\circ$ to 80$^\circ$ (2θ), with a scan step width of 0.02°, and a fixed counting time of 1 s/step. The surface property was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), while using a VG Multilab 2000 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), with Al K$_\alpha$ as the excitation source. The C$_{1s}$ line at 284.8 eV was taken as a reference for binding energy calibration, and experimental data were fitted with the Gaussian-Lorentzian mixed function, as implemented in the XPS software. The specific surface areas of the catalysts were determined while using the BET method, the pore volume and pore size were calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method (Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). The temperature-programmed reduction (H$_2$-TPR) experiments were tested by Chembet Pulsar TPR/TPD 2139 (Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL, USA) to study the reducibility of catalysts.

Figure 10. Regeneration performance of the sorbent for Hg$^0$ and NOx removal efficiency.

3.1. Catalyst Preparation

The title composites of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x catalysts were synthesized by a hydrothermal method. The commercially available chemicals are reagent grade, and the GO was purchased from Qingdao Tianhe Graphite, Qingdao, China. A mixture of Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, and Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O, with a molar ratio of 1:1:1 (0.001 mol Ce(NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O, and 0.001 mol Mn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O, 0.001 mol Fe(NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O), respectively, was employed. The reagents were dissolved in 70 mL deionized water and placed inside a 100 mL autoclave with 0, 0.1, 0.2, and 0.3 g GO, respectively. Then, the autoclave was heated inside a furnace to 180 °C for 24 h and slowly cooled to room temperature at a rate of 10 °C/h. Further, the precursor was washed using deionized water five times, then calcined at 500 °C under N$_2$ for 3 h. Finally, highly dispersed Ce-Mn-Fe-O nanoparticles were anchored on the surfaces of the GO, and the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0%, 10%, 20%, 30%, CeO$_x$ 0.17 g, MnO$_x$ 0.68 g, FeO$_x$ 0.15 g) composites were obtained, where x is the mass percentages of GO in the composite.

3.2. Material Characterizations

The surface morphology was characterized using a scanning electron microscope (SEM:DESK V, Denton Vacuum, Cherry Hill, NJ, USA). The X-ray diffraction data were obtained while using a Bruker D2 PHASER diffractometer (Bruker Corp, Billerica, MA, USA), equipped with an incident beam monochromator set for Cu K$_\alpha$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). Diffraction patterns were taken from 10$^\circ$ to 80$^\circ$ (2θ), with a scan step width of 0.02°, and a fixed counting time of 1 s/step. The surface property was analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), while using a VG Multilab 2000 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA), with Al K$_\alpha$ as the excitation source. The C$_{1s}$ line at 284.8 eV was taken as a reference for binding energy calibration, and experimental data were fitted with the Gaussian-Lorentzian mixed function, as implemented in the XPS software. The specific surface areas of the catalysts were determined while using the BET method, the pore volume and pore size were calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method (Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL, USA). The temperature-programmed reduction (H$_2$-TPR) experiments were tested by Chembet Pulsar TPR/TPD 2139 (Quantachrome, Boynton Beach, FL, USA) to study the reducibility of catalysts.
3.3. Hg$^0$ Removal Test

A lab-scale fixed-bed (the inner diameter, height, and thickness is 25 mm, 300 mm, and 2 mm, respectively) reaction system was assembled to evaluate the performance of the catalytic oxidation of Hg$^0$, as shown in Figure 11. In each test, a 2.4 mL sample with a 40–60 mesh size was loaded in the reactor, which was placed in the center of a temperature-programmable electric furnace. A Hg$^0$ permeation tube, loaded in a U-shaped glass tube, was used to generate Hg$^0$ vapor carried by pure N$_2$. The concentration of the Hg$^0$ feed (45 μg·m$^{-3}$) was provided steadily, and the concentration of Hg$^0$ was measured while using a Thermo Fisher mercury continuous emission monitoring system (Hg$^0$ CEMS). Other simulated gases, including NO, O$_2$, SO$_2$, H$_2$O, and NH$_3$, were introduced into the gas mixer at constant flow rates, controlled by mass flow controllers. The total flow rate was kept at 2 L/min for the accuracy of the experiment, and the calculated space velocity for the tests was 30,000 h$^{-1}$. In every test, the mercury inlet gas stream bypassed the reaction bed and passed into the analytical system, until the desired inlet mercury concentration was established, and the reaction temperature was controlled from 100 to 400 °C by a temperature-programmed control. The outlet Hg$^0$ concentration was measured at the condition of the gas flow, which was passed through the reactor. Hence, the Hg$^0$ removal efficiency ($E_{oxi}$) was calculated according to Equation (6):

$$E_{oxi} = \frac{Hg_{in}^0 - Hg_{out}^0}{Hg_{in}^0} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

where $Hg_{in}^0$ and $Hg_{out}^0$ are the inlet and outlet of Hg$^0$ concentration, respectively, which were measured by CEMS.

![Figure 11. Schematic diagram of the experimental setup.](image)

3.4. NH$_3$-SCR Catalytic Activity Measurement

The NH$_3$-SCR of NO$\text{x}$ experiments were performed according to the procedures employed in the Hg$^0$ oxidation tests (Figure 11). The 2.4 mL sample was placed into the reactor, and the reactant gas typically consisted of 800 ppm NO, 800 ppm NH$_3$, and 6% O$_2$. Moreover, N$_2$ was employed as the balanced atmosphere in the reaction system. The reaction temperature was controllable from 100 to 400 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min. An infrared gas analyzer (GASMET DX4000, Temet Instruments Oy, Helsinki, Finland) was used to check for a gas component in the outlet of the flue gas. Conversion was calculated according to Equation (7):

$$\eta = \frac{[NO_x]_{in} - [NO_x]_{out}}{[NO_x]_{in}} \times 100\%$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)$$

where $[NO_x]_{in}$ and $[NO_x]_{out}$ are the inlet and outlet of NO$\text{x}$ concentration, respectively.
where $[\text{NO}_x]_{\text{in}}$ and $[\text{NO}_x]_{\text{out}}$ refer to the inlet and outlet of NO$_x$ concentration, respectively. $\eta$ is the reduction efficiency of NO$_x$. All the concentrations were measured by an infrared gas analyzer.

4. Conclusions

The catalysts of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 10%, 20%, 30%) have been successfully obtained via a hydrothermal method. Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% shows the best performance in Hg$^0$ and NO$_x$ removal in simulated flue gas. The better performance of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% in capturing Hg$^0$ and NO$_x$ than other comparative composites is owing to the highly dispersed Fe-Mn-Ce-O particles on the GO nanosheets. Besides, the effect factors in Hg$^0$ removal are determined by O$_2$ and SO$_2$ + O$_2$, which are beneficial for Hg$^0$ removal, but the SO$_2$ has an inhibitory effect on Hg$^0$ and NO$_x$ removal. Further, NO shows an inhibitory effect on Hg$^0$ removal, and this inhibitory effect can be slightly reduced by adding 6% O$_2$ into the flue gas. The negative effect of water vapor results from the adsorption of H$_2$O on the catalysts, which has a further effect on Hg$^0$ oxidation and NO$_x$ reduction, and the effect can recover soon after cutting off the H$_2$O injection. However, the active metal oxides that were loaded on GO have better water resistance than the compared catalysts. The catalyst not only exhibited a superior performance in Hg$^0$ oxidation, but showed itself to be an ideal material for NO$_x$ reduction within the same temperature range from 170 to 250 °C. Thus, Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-20% has potential applications both in the field of Hg$^0$ oxidation and NO$_x$ reduction.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/8/9/399/s1, Figure S1: The element contents on the surface of graphene (EDS) and SEM images of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO0, Figure S2: The element contents on the surface of graphene (EDS) and SEM images of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0.1, Figure S3:The element contents on the surface of graphene (EDS) and SEM images of Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-0.3, Figure S4: NO$_x$ conversion as a function of reaction temperature over the Ce-Mn-Fe/GO-x (x = 0, 0.1, 0.3) samples. (Reaction conditions: 800 ppm NO, 800 ppm NH$_3$, 6% O$_2$ and N$_2$ balance, GHSV = 30,000 h$^{-1}$).
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