Abstract: The conversion of CO₂ into CO via the Reverse Water–Gas Shift (RWGS) reaction is a suitable route for CO₂ valorisation. Fe-based catalysts are highly active for this reaction, but their activity and selectivity can be substantially boosted by adding Cs as a promoter. In this work we demonstrate that Cs modifies the redox behaviour and the surface chemistry of the iron-based materials. The metallic dispersion and the amount of metallic Fe centres available for the reaction depends on Cs loading. 5 wt. % of Cs is an optimum amount of dopant to achieve a fair activity/selective balance. Nevertheless, depending on the RWGS reactor operational temperature, lower concentrations of Cs also lead to acceptable catalytic performance. Along with the excellent activity of the prepared materials this work showcases their robustness for long-term runs and the strong impact of H₂/CO ratio in the overall catalytic performance.
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1. Introduction

Some of the most severe issues facing society today include anthropogenic induced climate change and ocean acidification. Atmospheric CO₂ is rising year on year and global average temperatures have risen in parallel. In 2018 the concentrations of CO₂ in the atmosphere passed 410 parts per million for the first time in several million years [1], and became the hottest year since records began. One method for reducing CO₂ emissions and mitigating the associated climate change is to capture anthropogenic emissions and store it in geological formations, such as depleted gas reservoirs [2]. Alternatively, CO₂ can be converted into value-added fuels or chemicals such as methanol.

Currently however, global demand for chemicals derived from sequestered CO₂ fails to contribute significantly to help meet global carbon reduction targets; CO₂ consumption by catalytic conversion is equivalent to only 0.5% of the total global CO₂ emissions [3]. The limited adoption of carbon capture and utilisation in industry is partially due to the thermodynamic stability of CO₂. Because of its stability, it is relatively unreactive and therefore its conversion is energy intensive. Furthermore, many of the methods for CO₂ valorisation require hydrogen as a feedstock and finding a carbon neutral and cost effective hydrogen source is not always straightforward [4]. In any case, the development of an economically viable CO₂ conversion process is key to achieving a circular economy pathway.

At present, the Fischer Tropsch (FT) process, which produces synthetic liquid hydrocarbon fuels, as well as the CAMERE process, which produces methanol, have both been examined extensively...
due to their viability for CO\(_2\) conversion on a large scale [5–7]. Both reactions can be carried out in conjunction with the Reverse Water–Gas Shift (RWGS) reaction, which converts CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\) into CO and H\(_2\)O.

Because of its endothermic nature, RWGS is thermodynamically favourable at high temperatures as shown in Equation (1). At lower temperatures, the equilibrium will increasingly favour the water-gas shift reaction—WGS (reverse of Equation (1)) and methanation (Equation (2)) reactions, given their exothermic nature. Due to the stoichiometry of the reaction, altering pressure has no significant effect on the reaction activity or the position of the equilibrium [8,9].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{H}_2 + \text{CO}_2 &\rightleftharpoons \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta H^{\circ}_{298K} &= 41.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}, \\
\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 &\rightleftharpoons \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta H^{\circ}_{298K} &= -165 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]

In recent years, numerous studies have been dedicated to improving the catalytic performance of the RWGS reaction, with considerable attention on monometallic catalysts such as Pt [10] and Cu [11], bimetallic catalysts such as Cu–Ni [12], and transition metal carbide catalysts, such as Mo\(_2\)C [13]. Among the wide variety of catalysts reviewed in literature, iron-based catalysts have shown the greatest potential, due to their thermal stability and high oxygen mobility [14,15], while remaining a credible option in terms of costs of manufacture [16]. Transition metal oxides, such as TiO\(_2\) [17], CeO\(_2\) [18], and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) [19], have been investigated as supports for catalysts used in the RWGS reaction. The transition metal oxides support helps with dispersion of the active phase due to their large surface area. Additionally, reducible oxides boost oxygen mobility which in turns prevents coking [20]. In addition to the support, the active phase can also be promoted by adding an alkali metal [21]. Indeed the addition of alkali metals has repeatedly shown to improve CO\(_2\) adsorption, as the presence of these species significantly modifies the electronic density of the catalyst’s surface as well as improves the dispersion of the active phase [22,23]. Previous reports in literature pointed out that the valence s-orbital of the alkali metal hybridises with the valence band of the active metal, allowing the alkali metal to easily donate electrons, consequently enhancing the catalyst basicity [24].

Among the alkaline metals, potassium has been the most extensively studied as a catalytic promoter, often in conjunction with iron [25,26]. Caesium, on the other hand, has been subject to far fewer studies in the field. A recent work of our team revealed that Cs promoters can improve conversion and selectivity compared to un-promoted Fe/Cu-based catalysts [27]. Furthermore, the study also highlighted that Cs is more prone to donate electrons due to its larger ionic radius compared to potassium, implying that a Cs-promoted catalyst could be better at adsorbing CO\(_2\) compared to a K-promoted catalyst.

While it is understood why the addition of an alkali metal promoter would improve CO\(_2\) adsorption, a study by Yang et al., which tested K-doped Pt/zeolites for the RWGS reaction, has shown that while increasing the K loading initially improves CO\(_2\) conversion in the RWGS, after the K/Pt atomic ratio exceeded 80, the CO\(_2\) conversion decreased [28]. A study on CO\(_2\) conversion to propanol by Ahlers et al. which tested Cs-doped Au/TiO\(_2\), showed that there is a maximum effect on propanol selectivity between 4–7% of Cs and such a positive effect disappears for the catalysts with Cs loading above 7 wt. % [29]. A similar question arises for the RWGS—what is the effect of Cs loading as a promoter, and is there a threshold in terms of activity/selectivity improvement.

Under these premises, this study investigates the effect of Cs loading on a Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst for CO\(_2\) valorisation via reverse water-gas shift. The different weight loadings (1, 2.5, and 5 wt. %) help elucidate the impact of Cs on the catalytic performance and the characterisation study by means of XPS, XRD, BET, and H\(_2\)-TPR is useful to correlate the catalytic trends with the structural and electronic properties of the different catalysts.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Textural Properties

The N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms of the calcined samples are presented in Figure 1. All samples are mesoporous materials presenting a type IV isotherm according to IUPAC classifications, also indicating multilayer adsorption. The textural properties of the catalysts are governed by the primary support (γ-Al$_2$O$_3$).

![N2 adsorption-desorption isotherms](image)

**Figure 1.** N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms of the calcined samples.

Table 1 lists the surface area, pore volume, and average pore diameter of each catalyst after calcination. The addition of a caesium promoter has a noticeable effect on the specific surface area and pore volume. With each increase in caesium loading, the surface area decreases, with the 5% CsFe/Al$_2$O$_3$ sample exhibiting a value of 149 m$^2$/g compared to Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$, which has a surface area of 174 m$^2$/g. The reduction of surface area and total pore volume are in good agreement with previous observations in literature [29], and indicates that Cs species could be partially covering the mesopores of the alumina support.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Surface Area (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Pore Diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>0.470</td>
<td>8.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% CsFe/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>0.467</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% CsFe/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>8.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5% CsFe/Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. XRD

The XRD profiles in Figure 2 reveal the crystalline structure of the calcined catalysts. The samples are composed of small peaks of hematite α-Fe$_2$O$_3$ structure (JCPDS#-24-0072) at 2θ = 33.1°, 35.6°, 49.4°, 54.0°, 62.4°, 64.0°, and face-centred cubic crystal structure of ferrite Fe$_3$O$_4$ (JCPDS#-19-0629) at 2θ = 30.0°, 35.4°, 56.9°, 62.5°. This reveals that iron oxide has been through different oxidation states. Overall the peaks ascribed to iron crystalline phases are very small and hard to detect indicating a good dispersion of the iron oxide phase over the commercial alumina support. Some typical reflexions attributed to the primary support γ-Al$_2$O$_3$ (JCPDS# 00-048-0367) are identified by assigning peaks at...
$2\theta = 37.6^\circ$, $45.8^\circ$, $67^\circ$. No peaks relating to Cs$_2$O are observed, suggesting that the Cs-particles are small and dispersed over the support corroborating the successful catalysts preparation.

**Figure 2.** X-ray diffraction profiles of the calcined samples.

### 2.3. $\text{H}_2$-TPR

Assessing the redox properties of the catalysts, as well as interactions between the support and metallic species, is of great importance for hydrogenation reactions like RWGS. The reducibility of the catalysts was assessed by $\text{H}_2$-TPR studies, the profiles of which are shown in Figure 3.

All catalyst profiles present two reduction zones, which can be attributed to the reduction of the iron oxides, which occur over two separate stages. The first and larger zone, which has a range of 290–520 $^\circ$C, is the first stage of the overall reduction, whereupon Fe$_2$O$_3$ was reduced to Fe$_3$O$_4$. The second zone, with a range of 580–800 $^\circ$C, corresponds to the reduction of Fe$_3$O$_4$ to Fe through FeO as previously reported elsewhere [30].

$\text{H}_2$-TPR can also help to elucidate the influence of the promoter on the reducibility of a specific compound in a catalyst. A remarkable shift of both peaks towards lower temperatures was observed with the addition of 1% of caesium, indicating that Cs improves the overall reducibility. Cs basic character eases electron transfer from Cs to FeO$_x$, facilitating iron phases reduction at lower temperatures. Further increments on Cs loading (2.5 and 5%) do not have a remarkable effect on the overall reducibility of the catalysts and although the reduction zones are shifted to lower temperatures upon increasing Cs loading, it seems that 1% is enough to alter significantly the redox behaviour.
Figure 3. TPR profiles of the calcined samples.

2.4. XPS

The Fe 2p$^{3/2}$ and Cs 3d$^{5/2}$ spectra of the reduced samples are represented in Figure 4 with the main peaks summarised in Table 2. It can be observed on the Fe 2p$^{3/2}$ spectra that after the reduction at 800 °C, only a small fraction of the iron species was in the metallic state and that different iron species, such as Fe$_2$O$_3$ and Fe$_3$O$_4$, co-exist in the surface of the reduced catalysts. The Fe 2p$^{3/2}$ binding energies (BE) peaks around ~706 eV are characteristic of metallic Fe, while the bands around 708.6–709.8 and 710.9–711.9 correspond to Fe$^{2+}$ and Fe$^{3+}$, respectively [31]. From Table 2, it is clear that increasing the Cs loading increases the Fe metallic content in the surface, with the 5% CsFe/Al$_2$O$_3$ sample having the highest amount of metallic Fe. An interesting effect is observed in terms of Fe$^{3+}$/Fe$^{2+}$/Fe proportions. For low Cs loadings, the reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ seems to be favoured and the Fe$^{0}$ remains constant. In other words, low Cs loading seems to stabilise FeO species in the surface. However, higher Cs concentrations (5%) seem to favour the complete reduction of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{0}$ since this sample presents the greater proportion of metallic iron in the surface, avoiding the stabilisation of Fe$^{2+}$ species. This effect is also corroborated by the Fe/Al ratios depicted in Table 2. For low Cs loadings there is less Fe exposed in the surface, probably due to the partial coverage of these species by Cs, while for the 5% sample the amount of iron exposed in the surface increases, likely due to the presence of exposed metallic iron clusters re-dispersed upon intimate interaction with Cs.

It is important to note that the reducibility of FeO$_x$ increases heavily upon addition of Cs, in good agreement with the TPR data. In fact, the total metallic iron on the surface goes from 4% in the non-promoted material to 20% in the 5% Cs doped catalysts. It is the clear that Fe in the Cs-doped catalyst is electronically richer compared to the reference system. This is a very relevant result and reflects how Cs tunes the surface chemistry of the Fe based catalysts. The electronic enrichment also implies that the Cs-doped Fe/Al$_2$O$_3$, especially the 5% FeCs/Al$_2$O$_3$ sample, should be more prone to interact and activate CO$_2$. 


Table 2. Binding energies of the Fe 2p\(_{3/2}\) and Ce 3d\(_{5/2}\) levels for the pre-reduced catalysts and Fe/Al atomic ratios.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Fe 2p(_{3/2}) (eV)</th>
<th>Cs 3d(_{5/2}) (eV)</th>
<th>Fe(_{\text{total/Al}}) (at/at)</th>
<th>Cs/Fe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5% CsFe/Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>710.9 (62%)</td>
<td>708.6 (18%)</td>
<td>706.7 (20%)</td>
<td>723.7–725.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5% CsFe/Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>711.5 (25%)</td>
<td>709.5 (63%)</td>
<td>706.7 (14%)</td>
<td>725.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% CsFe/Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>711.9 (34%)</td>
<td>709.8 (32%)</td>
<td>706.5 (13%)</td>
<td>724.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe-Al(_2)O(_3)</td>
<td>712.8 (43%)</td>
<td>710.3 (53%)</td>
<td>706.5 (4%)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 4. XPS core level of (a) Fe 2p and (b) Cs 3d spectra.

As for the chemical nature of caesium species on the surface, Figure 4b depicts the XPS spectra. Overall for Cs-based samples the peak identification is relatively difficult and there is some debate in literature. For the samples with 1 and 2.5% of Cs the binding energy of the Cs 3d\(_{5/2}\) photoelectron...
around 724.5–725.6 can be ascribed to Cs in contact with FeO₅ oxides or CsₓOᵧ species. The shift to higher B.E. for the 2.5% Cs-doped sample could be due to the surface enrichment of Fe²⁺ and metallic Fe and the interaction of these species with Cs. As reported in NIST database, the close contact between Cs and metallic Fe shifts the binding energy towards higher values [32]. Interestingly, the deconvolution of Cs 3d₅/₂ spectra of 5% caesium-doped catalyst showed two peaks at 723.7 and 726.5 eV. Herein, the shifts to higher values are more notorious (726.5 eV) and it could be related with the higher amount of Fe metallics in this sample. Furthermore, the presence of a secondary peak at 723.7 eV can be assigned to Cs, a more electropositive species [32].

2.5. Catalytic Behaviour

2.5.1. Effect of the Promoter on Catalytic Performance

Once the structural and electronic properties of the catalysts had been analysed, the synthesised catalysts were tested in the RWGS reaction. The catalytic activities in terms of CO₂ conversion of the prepared samples are shown in Figure 5.

![Figure 5. CO₂ Conversion at 400–800 °C, WHSV of 12,000 mL/gcat, and H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4:1.](image_url)

There is a clear effect of the temperature of the RWGS—conversion steadily increases with temperature reflecting the endothermic nature of the reaction. Interestingly, at lower temperatures (400 °C–600 °C), 2.5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ displayed the highest level of conversion, whilst at higher temperatures (600 °C–800 °C), 5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ exhibited the best conversion levels. In all the studied temperature ranges, the reference Fe/Al₂O₃ showed the lowest CO₂ conversion, with 1% CsFe/Al₂O₃ having slightly higher CO₂ conversion.

It could be anticipated that the 5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ catalyst would have the highest conversion throughout; the catalyst presented the best reducibility (as discussed in the TPR section) and a higher amount of metallic Fe, favouring the CO₂ adsorption. This catalyst also presents a better Fe dispersion on the surface compared to that of the 2.5% CsFe/Al₂O₃, which explains its superior activity in the medium–high temperature range. The study by Yang et al. found that when loading of the alkali metal promoter becomes too great, the promoter can fail to disperse uniformly, leading to an uneven distribution of the active phase. However, the XRD profile (Figure 2) and XPS Fe/Al ratio (Table 2) show that caesium and iron were evenly distributed for all catalysts, even for relatively high Cs loadings (5% CsFe/Al₂O₃), providing suitable availability of active phases.
Although the 5% Cs loading seems to be the best performing catalyst, if the RWGS reaction is integrated with Fischer-Tropsch process, as proposed by Pastor-Pérez et al. [27], the 2.5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ catalyst would be the most logical choice, based solely on CO₂ conversion. Indeed, as the Fischer-Tropsch process takes place at low temperatures, running the RWGS reactor at temperatures below 600 °C could facilitate heat and energy integration. However, if the RWGS reaction is integrated with methanol production via the CAMERE process, the 5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ catalyst would be most logical choice, as the CAMERE process is conducted at higher temperatures [33].

Along with conversion, selectivity is a key factor when assessing catalytic performance for RWGS, especially at low temperature, due to the strong competition with CO₂ methanation which consumes a significantly larger amount of hydrogen [34]. For all catalysts, the selectivity of CO increases with temperature, while CH₄ selectivity shows the opposite trend. In terms of CO selectivity, the catalyst performances were ranked as: 5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ > Fe/Al₂O₃ > 2.5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ > 1% CsFe/Al₂O₃. The excellent selectivity of the 5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ sample could be attributed to the boosted electronic effects of Cs on Fe. As revealed in the XPS study, the amount of metallic Fe is higher for this sample, indicating a higher charge transfer from Cs to Fe. Lower CO selectivities were obtained for the 1% and 2.5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ samples compared to the non-doped sample, which could be attributed to the lower Fe dispersion obtained with these samples (see Fe/Al ratios on Table 2), despite their larger content of metallic iron on the surface.

In terms of CH₄ selectivity, the catalyst performances were ranked as: Fe/Al₂O₃ > 1% FeCs/Al₂O₃ > 2.5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ > 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃. The results from Figure 6 indicate that the caesium promoter inhibits the methanation reaction at lower temperatures, and that the greater amount of caesium the stronger the inhibition of CH₄ production is achieved. The result of an alkali metal promoter suppressing methanation is in good agreement with previous observations in literature [10,35], highlighting that the alkali metal potassium weakens the CO adsorption catalyst’s surface. The weakened adsorption hinders further hydrogenation of CO into CH₄ via C–O bond dissociation.

In summary, we identified 5% loading of Cs as the best choice in this study. In fact there are no significant benefits in terms of CO₂ conversion when 5% Cs is compared to 2.5%, but there is a remarkable gain in selectivity. Indeed, the 5% Cs loaded catalyst barely forms methane even at the low temperature range. Therefore, the 5% Cs loaded sample was selected for further catalytic studies, such as the effect of H₂/CO₂ ratio and the stability test.

![CO and CH₄ Selectivity at 400–800 °C, WHSV of 12,000 mL/gcat, and H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4:1.](image)
2.5.2. Effect of H₂:CO₂ Ratio on Catalytic Performance

A major concern of adapting RWGS for industrial applications is obtaining a sustainable and cheap source of hydrogen. This so-called renewable hydrogen is typically produced by electrolysis, however in recent times, alternative hydrogen sources have been investigated, such as using cyanobacteria, biomass, microbial electrolysis, and solar energy [4]. Despite investigation into new sources, hydrogen remains expensive and poses a significant barrier to the implementation of the RWGS for practical applications.

Given its high cost, the catalytic performance tests were repeated with H₂/CO₂ ratio being altered to observe the impact that decreasing the ratio would have. While it was expected that reducing the H₂/CO₂ ratio would decrease CO₂ conversion [25,36], if the decrease in conversion is relatively small and the profitability of the process remained the same or increased, then it could be recommended to use a lower H₂/CO₂ ratio for the RWGS reaction.

For the test to measure the effect of H₂/CO₂ ratio on the catalytic performance, the H₂/CO₂ ratio was varied from 4:1 to 1:1 using the 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ sample (Figure 7). Between 400–450 °C, CO₂ conversion is similar between the H₂/CO₂ ratios, with conversion around 35–40% for both 4:1 and 1:1 ratio at 400 °C. However, at 500 °C a difference in CO₂ conversion between the ratios begins to arise, with a difference of 11% between the 4:1 and 1:1 H₂/CO₂ ratios. At 800 °C, the difference in conversion widened, with a difference of 21% between the different H₂/CO₂ ratios. While the difference in conversion increases with temperature, the opposite is true with CO selectivity. At 450 °C, the difference in CO selectivity between the ratios was 38%, while at 800 °C, the difference in selectivity had fallen to only 9%.

If the RWGS is to be integrated with the Fischer-Tropsch process, then the 1:1 H₂/CO₂ ratio would be suitable, based solely on CO₂ conversion results. However, the poor CO selectivity at low temperatures limits the appeal of using the 1:1 ratio, and it would be necessary to use a hydrogen rich stream with H₂/CO₂ ratio around 4 to maximise both activity and selectivity.

2.5.3. Stability Test

CO₂ valorisation technology may require continuous operation, for instance if the CO₂ conversion unit is meant to deal with continuous emissions of flue gases in a heavy carbon industry. For example, at a power plant, converting CO₂ exhausted in flue gas into CO would be an ongoing process, and therefore the long-term stability of the catalyst would be vital. For the stability test, the reaction conditions were sufficiently far from equilibrium, with temperature held at 550 °C, and tested for 40 h (WHHSV of 12,000 mL/g cat h with a H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4:1). From Figure 8, the catalyst maintained...
stability after 40 h, with CO₂ conversion remaining approximately constant at 57%. It is interesting to note that there is a slight induction period at the initial stages of the stability test with smooth increase of the conversion which may indicate an “in-situ” activation of catalysts, perhaps due to active phase re-dispersion. Overall, the promising performance of the 5% CsFe/Al₂O₃ catalyst in terms of stability can be linked to its robustness to sintering and carbon deposition resistance provided by Cs as a dopant, as previously demonstrated by our group [27].

Figure 8. Stability test for CO₂ Conversion at 550 °C, WHSV of 12,000 mL/gcat, and H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4:1.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Catalyst Synthesis

The catalysts were synthesised by sequential wet impregnation. The necessary amount of Fe (NO₃)₃·9H₂O (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, 99.95%) to obtain 15 wt.% Fe₂O₃ was dissolved in acetone. The Puralox SCFa-230γ-alumina powder (Sasol, Johannesburg, South Africa, ≥99%) was then impregnated with the solution containing the metallic precursor for 4 h in a rotavapor. The solvent was removed by evaporation at reduced pressure in the rotavapor and the resultant slurry was dried at 100 °C overnight and calcined at 750 °C for 4 h.

The resultant Fe/Al₂O₃ (oxygen is Fe formulation is omitted for sake of simplicity) was divided equally, with each batch designated different loadings of Cs from 0% to 5% wt.%. For each batch, the mass of Cs₂CO₃ precursor (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥99%) was determined and dissolved in ethanol. Fe/Al₂O₃ was then impregnated for 4 h in the rotavapor with the solution containing the Cs₂CO₃ precursor. The solvent was removed by evaporation at reduced pressure and the resultant slurry was dried at 100 °C overnight and calcined at 750 °C for 4 h. The resulting catalyst produced were Fe/Al₂O₃, 1% FeCs/Al₂O₃, 2.5% FeCs/Al₂O₃, and 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃, where the percentage denotes the weight loading of Cs in the catalyst.

3.2. Catalyst Characterisation

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted on fresh and spent catalysts using an X’Pert Powder diffractometer from PANalytical (Royston, United Kingdom) at room temperature, using the powder method. Diffraction patterns were recorded at 45 kV and 40 mA, using Cu Kα radiation.
(λ = 0.154 nm). The 2θ angle was increased by a step size of 0.05° every 450 s over a scanning range of 10–90°.

The elemental composition was determined via means of X-ray fluorescence (XRF), which was conducted on an EDAX Eagle III spectrophotometer (Mahwah, NJ, USA) with a rhodium source of radiation (at 40 kV).

The textural properties of catalysts were determined from N₂ adsorption–desorption isotherms recorded on a Micrometrics TriStar II 3020 apparatus (Norcross, GA, USA) at the boiling point of nitrogen (77 K). Prior to the adsorption–desorption measurements, the samples were degassed at 250 °C for 2 h in a vacuum. The specific surface area was determined using the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method, whilst average pore size and pore volume were obtained by the Barret–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) method.

Temperature programmed reduction with H₂ (H₂-TPR) was conducted in a conventional U-shaped quartz reactor, using a gaseous mixture of 5% H₂/He and flow rate of 50 mL/min, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. Prior to the TPR runs, the catalyst was pre-treated with He (50 mL/min) at 150 °C for 1 h.

XPS measurements were performed with a K-ALPHA spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) operated in the constant energy mode with survey scan pass energies of 200 eV and narrow scan energies of 50 eV, to measure the whole energy band as well as selectively measure particular elements. All XPS spectra were acquired using Al-Kα radiation (1486.6 eV) with a twin crystal monochromator, yielding a focused X-ray spot (elliptical in shape with a major axis length of 400 µm) at 3 mA × 12 kV. Charge compensation was attained with the system flood gun, which provides low energy electrons and low energy argon ions from a single source. For the reference binding energy, the C1s core level was used, located at 284.6 eV. All samples were reduced ex-situ at 800 °C, and before recording the spectrum, the samples were maintained in the analysis chamber until a residual pressure of ca. 5 × 10⁻⁷ N/m² was reached.

### 3.3. Catalytic Tests

The behaviour of the studied catalysts was evaluated in a vertical fixed-bed quartz reactor (10 mm ID), at atmospheric pressure, with the product stream monitored by an on-line gas analyser (ABB AO2020 Advanced Optima Process Gas Analyser, ABB, Mannheim, Germany). 250 mg of catalyst was used for each test, supported by a bed of quartz wool centrally positioned in the reactor. Prior to each reaction, the catalysts were reduced for 1 h in situ under a total gas flow of 100 mL/min, composed of 10% H₂ carried by N₂ at 800 °C.

All tests were conducted at a constant weight hourly space velocity ( WHSV) of 12000 mL/g cat h with H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4:1 or 1:1 balanced in N₂. The temperature range of the tests was 400 to 800 °C, increased in 50 °C increments, with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. The effluent compositions were documented for each temperature interval once the reaction had reached steady-state. The stability test was conducted for 36 h at 550 °C with a H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4:1. The conversions and selectivities were calculated as follows:

$$\text{CO}_2 \text{ conversion (\%)} = \frac{[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{In}} - [\text{CO}_2]_{\text{Out}}}{[\text{CO}_2]_{\text{In}}} \times 100, \quad (3)$$

$$\text{CO selectivity (\%)} = \frac{([\text{CO}]_{\text{Out}})}{([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{In}} - [\text{CO}_2]_{\text{Out}})} \times 100, \quad (4)$$

$$\text{CH}_4 \text{ selectivity (\%)} = \frac{([\text{CH}_4]_{\text{In}})}{([\text{CO}_2]_{\text{In}} - [\text{CO}_2]_{\text{Out}})} \times 100, \quad (5)$$

where [CO₂]ₐ and [CO₂]ₜ are CO₂ inlet and outlet mole concentrations respectively, [CH₄]ₜ is the CO outlet mole concentration, and [CH₄]ₜ is the methane outlet mole concentration.
4. Conclusions

Highly effective multicomponent iron-based catalysts for CO₂ valorisation via RWGS have been developed in this study. All of the catalysts tested were highly active, with high conversion and CO selectivity. However, this study reveals that the reference catalyst Fe/Al₂O₃ can be remarkably promoted by the addition of Cs, with the 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ exhibiting the best CO selectivity and lowest CH₄ selectivity. The 2.5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ catalyst had the best CO₂ conversion at low temperatures, while the 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ catalyst had the best CO₂ conversion at high temperatures.

The excellent CO₂ conversion of the 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ at medium-high temperatures can be attributed to the fact that increasing the Cs loading improves the overall reducibility of the catalyst. The presence of Cs improves CO₂ activation by facilitating the CO₂ adsorption by easing electron transfer from the catalyst to the reactive species. In addition, the high CO selectivity of 5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ can be ascribed by Cs suppressing the formation of CH₄, very likely by weakening the strength of the CO adsorption on the catalyst surface and avoiding its hydrogenation to methane. However, the better CO₂ conversion of the 2.5% FeCs/Al₂O₃ at low temperatures indicates that too much Cs can have a counterproductive effect. Therefore, the amount of dopant and overall catalyst choice (i.e., 2.5% of Cs vs. 5%) will strongly depend on the operation conditions of the shift reactor.

The strong effect of the H₂/CO₂ ratio highlighted the need of a H₂-rich stream to achieve high levels of activity and selectivity in the RWGS reaction. Finally, our Cs-doped catalysts presented excellent stability for long-term runs, with remarkable selectivity towards CO production while operating at relatively high space velocities indicating their suitability to be further explored for their utilisation in compact CO₂ conversion reactors.
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