Hydrotreatment Followed by Oxidative Desulfurization and Denitrogenation to Attain Low Sulphur and Nitrogen Bitumen Derived Gas Oils
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Abstract: To lower the sulphur content below 500 ppm and to increase the quality of bitumen derived heavy oil, a combination of hydrotreating followed by oxidative desulfurization (ODS) and oxidative denitrogenation (ODN) is proposed in this work. NiMo/γ-Al2O3 catalyst was synthesized and used to hydrotreat heavy gas oil (HGO) and light gas oil (LGO) at typical operating conditions of 370–390 °C, 9 MPa, 1–1.5 h⁻¹ space velocity and 600:1 H2 to oil ratio. γ-Alumina and alumina-titania supported Mo, P, Mn and W catalysts were synthesized and characterized using X-ray diffractions, N2 adsorption-desorption using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FT-IR). All catalysts were tested for the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen aromatic compounds present in LGO and HGO using tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP) as oxidant. The oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds were extracted using adsorption on activated carbon and liquid-liquid extraction using methanol. The determination of oxidation states of each metal using XPS confirmed the structure of metal oxides in the catalyst. Thus, the catalytic activity determined in terms of sulphur and nitrogen removal is related to their physico-chemical properties. In agreement with literature, a simplistic mechanism for the oxidative desulfurization is also presented. Mo was found to be more active in comparison to W. Presence of Ti in the support has shown 8–12% increase in ODS and ODN. The MnPMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 catalyst showed the best activity for sulphur and nitrogen removal. The role of Mn and P as promoters to molybdenum was also discussed. Further three-stage ODS and ODN was performed to achieve less than 500 ppm in HGO and LGO. The combination of hydrotreatment, ODS and ODN has resulted in removal of 98.8 wt.% sulphur and 94.7 wt.% nitrogen from HGO and removal of 98.5 wt.% sulphur and 97.8 wt.% nitrogen from LGO.
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1. Introduction

Worldwide increase in industrialization has led to an increase in consumption of petroleum oil and coal [1]. It has raised serious environmental concerns due to the rise in sulphur oxide (SOx) levels in air. Therefore, environmental protection agencies (EPA) such as US EPA limits the sulphur levels to 15 ppm in diesel fuel, which is expected to be further lowered to 10 ppm. On the other hand, the gas oil extracted from unconventional sources such as, oil sands and shale oil contain high amount of sulphur and nitrogen impurities. For instance the oil sands bitumen derived heavy gas oil (HGO)
contains ~40,000 ppm sulphur and ~4000 ppm nitrogen [2]. The bitumen derived gas oils (HGO, light gas oil (LGO) and naphtha) are upgraded onsite via hydrotreating to lower the sulphur and nitrogen content before sending them to further processing in existing refineries. Hydrotreating is a catalytic process operating in the presence of hydrogen at high pressure (8–12 MPa) and temperatures (350–400 °C) to remove sulphur and nitrogen via processes known as hydrodesulfurization (HDS) and hydrodenitrogenation (HDN), respectively. Typically, for HGO the hydrotreating can lower the sulphur and nitrogen content to ~2200 ppm and 1700 ppm, respectively. To further lower the sulphur content from ~2200 ppm during upgrading requires severe hydrotreating operating conditions such as higher pressures, temperatures and hydrogen flowrates to remove sulphur from refractory molecules such as alkyl substituted dibenzothiophenes (DBT). Increasing the temperature leads to cracking of oil and higher pressures lead to an increase in saturation of aromatics and higher hydrogen consumption, thus degrading the oil quality, in addition to decline in catalyst lifespan. Moreover, huge capital investment is required for high-pressure processes.

The alternative processes such as adsorption and oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation has been of interest to achieve ultra-low sulphur level in oil because of very mild operating conditions and no usage of hydrogen. Various adsorbents including activated carbon, ionic resins, metal organic frameworks, metal oxides and zeolites have been used to adsorb sulphur containing compounds from diesel oil [3–10]. Ganiyu et al. [6] utilized activated carbon doped with 1.0 wt.% boron to selectively adsorb 4,6-DMDBT from model fuel. Srivastav and Srivastava [7] carried out the adsorption of DBT dissolved in hexanes on commercial grade activated alumina and Li et al. [4] presented a study on the challenges associated with removal of aromatic sulphur compounds using metal-organic frameworks. McKinley and Angelici [9] used silver salts on SBA-15 for adsorptive removal of DBT from simulated hydrotreated petroleum feedstocks. They were able to lower the sulphur level from 411 ppm to 8 ppm.

Oxidative desulfurization (ODS) is one of the alternate routes for deep desulfurization. In ODS process (as shown in Figure 1), the sulphur present in compounds such as DBT is first oxidized to sulfoxides or sulfones in the presence of oxidizing agents such as hydrogen peroxide, organic peroxides, nitrogen oxide or air and catalysed by organic acids, heteropolyic acids or solid catalysts. The oxidation of sulphur leads to increase in polarity of sulphur containing compounds. Therefore, the sulfoxides and sulfones were easily extracted from the oil by using polar solvents or adsorbents, thus achieving deep desulfurization. Most commonly tested solvents include dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO), dimethylformamide (DMF), acetonitrile, methanol and acetone [11,12]. There are several well-known disadvantages with solvent exactions such as toxicity, reusability, disposal, explosiveness and cost. Therefore, selection of solvent is a challenge. DMSO poses challenges during recovery due to similar boiling point, whereas acetonitrile is highly polar and extracts lots of aromatics [11]. Methanol is a good solvent for extracting sulfones however, it has similar density as diesel and thus separation is difficult. The ease of oxidation of various sulphur containing compounds depends on the electron densities on the sulphur atom. Sulphur with higher electron densities are easier to oxidize, hence, follows the order 4,6-DMDBT > DBT > BT > Thiophene [13]. Bunthid et al. [14] have utilized formic acid and H2O2 as oxidizing agent to oxidize DBT. The corresponding sulfone from the solution was then extracted by adsorption on pyrolysis char. The small amount of water remaining was extracted by drying over anhydrous sodium sulphate. They reported 72% sulphur removal. In another study, Ahmad et al. [15], used acetic acid as a catalyst for sulphur oxidation with H2O2. They used Fuller’s earth for the adsorption of sulfones and achieved 50% sulphur removal.
The effects of process conditions of ultrasound-assisted ODS with \( \text{N}_2 \) present in model and simulated diesel fuels. Moreover, the extraction of sulfones and sulfoxides from oil was carried out using both solvent extraction and adsorption. The oxidation of sulphur compounds requires recovery of these organic acids after treatment. It requires additional set up for recovery of such corrosive and toxic organic acids. Therefore, in view of this, solid catalysts were utilized. Fattahi et al. [17] synthesized CoMo/\( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) catalyst with different Co/Mo ratio and utilized it for the oxidative desulfurization of DBT and benzothiophene (BT) using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as oxidizing agent. They reported 90% removal of DBT and 30% removal of BT. Chica et al. [18] studied the effect of catalyst on ODS in a continuous fixed bed reactor for model feed containing different types of sulphur compounds including thiophenes and alkyl substituted DBTs. Tert-butyl hydroperoxide was utilized as oxidizing agent. They reported that MoO\(_x\)/Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) was very active but had faster deactivation rate. However, the Ti-MCM-41 was stable and active for longer time. Gatan et al. [19] from UOP also proposed the oxidation with organic peroxide in the presence of heterogeneous catalyst followed by separation via adsorption and/or extraction. They proposed ODS as complementary to hydrodesulfurization for the removal of refractory sulphur compounds to attain ultra-low sulphur diesel. Leng et al. [20] synthesized titanium doped hierarchical mordenite to catalyse ODS of DBT in octane. They used acetonitrile for the extraction of sulfones and were successful in lowering the sulphur content from 1000 ppm to 14 ppm. Lorencon et al. [21] utilized titanate nanotubes and \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) for the oxidation of DBT in model feed (500 ppm sulphur) and evidenced ~98% sulphur removal. Tian et al. [22] performed ODS using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) and phosphomolybic acid supported on silica for the removal of DBT and BT from model oil (~400 ppm sulphur) and were successful in removing ~95% sulphur. García-Gutiérrez et al. [23] utilized heterogeneous tungsten catalyst for oxidation of sulphur compounds in diesel (~320 ppm sulphur) using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as oxidizing agent and achieved ~70% removal. Therefore, it has been seen that various catalyst systems have been tested for the oxidation of sulphur present in model and simulated diesel fuels. Moreover, the extraction of sulfones and sulfoxides from oil was carried out using both solvent extraction and adsorption.

The oxidation using peroxide in the presence of catalyst is not only selective to oxidize sulphur in heterocyclic aromatic compounds such as DBTs and alkyl substitute DMDBTs but it also oxidizes nitrogen containing aromatic compounds present in real feed. The oxidation of nitrogen containing compounds in diesel (~320 ppm sulphur) using \( \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \) as oxidizing agent and achieved ~70% removal. Therefore, it has been seen that various catalyst systems have been tested for the oxidation of sulphur present in model and simulated diesel fuels. Moreover, the extraction of sulfones and sulfoxides from oil was carried out using both solvent extraction and adsorption.

The oxidation using peroxide in the presence of catalyst is not only selective to oxidize sulphur in heterocyclic aromatic compounds such as DBTs and alkyl substitute DMDBTs but it also oxidizes nitrogen containing aromatic compounds present in real feed. The oxidation of nitrogen containing aromatic compounds such as quinoline, indole and carbazole is a complex reaction. According to the

![Oxidative desulfurization process](image-url)
aromatic compounds such as quinoline, indole and carbazole is a complex reaction. According to the literature [24–26], it was found that the peroxide group oxidizes that carbon in aromatic ring, which is having least electron density to form -oxy or -oxyl compounds. Further oxidation leads to ring opening and formation of various oxygenated products of ketone and carboxylic acid category. A study by Ogunlaja et al. [25] also reported the oxidation of nitrogen in quinoline to form of quinoline N-oxide. The oxidation follows the order indole > quinoline > acridine > carbazole. However, the oxidation of nitrogen compounds increases their polarity, which makes it easy to remove organonitrogen compounds from oil by adsorption or extraction, thus resulting in oxidative denitrogenation (ODN). The removal of nitrogen prevents the downstream catalysts from poisoning and hence ODN increases the quality of the treated HGO and LGO.

Therefore, to keep bitumen-based fuels competitive in the current market, the production of low sulphur and low nitrogen HGO and LGO is required, which still remains a challenge to industry. Thus, the potential of ODS and ODN to further lower the sulphur and nitrogen level in hydrotreated LGO and HGO using heterogeneous catalyst needs to be explored. In this work, NiMo/γ-Al2O3 catalyst was synthesized and utilized to hydrotreat the HGO and LGO at typical industrial conditions of 370–390 °C, 9 MPa, 1–1.5 h−1 LHSV and 1:600 oil to H2 ratio, in fixed bed flow reactor, to generate hydrotreated gas oil for ODS and ODN process. Further, alumina and alumina-titania supported Mo, W, Mn and P catalysts were synthesized and tested for the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen compounds present in real gas oil using tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) as oxidizing agent. The extraction of oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds was carried out by adsorption on activated carbon and compared with liquid extraction using methanol. This study on integration of conventional hydrotreating technology with ODS and ODN for upgrading bitumen derived gas oil has resulted in lowering the sulphur levels to less than 500 ppm in both HGO and LGO, which can be further lowered down in existing refineries to meet the EPA regulations for diesel fuel. Additionally, the nitrogen levels for HGO were brought down to ~200 ppm, which enhances the quality of crude oil and eliminates the nitrogen removal process prior to refining of treated HGO. The catalysts were thoroughly characterized using BET, XRD, FTIR and XPS and their physico-chemical properties were related to their catalytic activities.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Material Characterization

2.1.1. N2 Adsorption-Desorption Analysis

The textural properties of all the materials synthesized in this work were determined using N2 adsorption-desorption analysis. Table 1 shows the surface area, pore volume and pore diameter of each material. The surface area of γ-Al2O3 decreased from 330 m2/g to 300 m2/g and pore volume decreased from 0.90 cm3/g to 0.75 cm3/g on addition of TiO2. However, the pore structure stayed intact, as evidenced from the pore size distribution and adsorption-desorption profiles (figure not shown). The γ-Al2O3 and γ-Al2O3-TiO2 exhibited the type IV isotherm with H1 type hysteresis loop confirming the mesoporous structure (Figure 2). On addition of Mo, W, P and Mn on γ-Al2O3-TiO2, the resulting materials maintained the type IV isotherm. The decline in pore volume, surface area and pore diameter in catalysts Mo/γ-Al2O3, W/γ-Al2O3, Mo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2, W/γ-Al2O3-TiO2, PMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 and MnPMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 is proportional to the amounts of metals (Mo, W, P, Mn) loaded on alumina and alumina-titania supports.
The intense peak at $2\theta = 25.9^\circ$, may correspond to the 220 plane of $\alpha$-MnMoO$_4$ [29]. The peaks at $2\theta = 26.9^\circ$, 37.0°, 46.0° and 66.7° in the XRD profile for $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ corresponds to the planes of cubic Al$_2$O$_3$ [27]. The additional peaks at $2\theta = 25.5, 37.9, 48.0, 54.0, 55.0$ and 62.8 degrees in XRD profile for $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ material is due to the presence of TiO$_2$ in anatase phase, which is the active phase of titania for the reaction [28]. The addition of W on alumina and alumina-titania, does not show any additional peak in XRD profile of W/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and W/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ indicating the fine dispersion of tungsten oxide. However, the materials Mo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and Mo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ showed small peaks at $2\theta = 23.3^\circ$ and 27.2° corresponding to the presence of MoO$_3$. There was no change observed in the crystal orientation on addition of phosphorous. The XRD profile for MnPMo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ further showed new peaks at $2\theta = 25.9^\circ$, 26.9°, 27.9°, 31.3°, 32.3°, 33.2°, 35.8°, 37.9°, 39.2°, 40.5°, 44°, 51.5–53.0° and 57.2–59.5°, which are

### 2.1.2. X-ray Diffraction Analysis

The X-ray diffraction analysis was performed to determine the presence of various crystalline phase of metals doped on the $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ and $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ support materials. The XRD profile for each catalyst is shown in Figure 3. The peaks at $2\theta = 37.0^\circ$, 46.0° and 66.7° in the XRD profile for $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$ corresponds to the planes of cubic Al$_2$O$_3$ [27]. The additional peaks at $2\theta = 25.5, 37.9, 48.0, 54.0, 55.0$ and 62.8 degrees in XRD profile for $\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$ material is due to the presence of TiO$_2$ in anatase phase, which is the active phase of titania for the reaction [28].

### Table 1. Textural properties of the catalysts used for oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation. (error ±3.0%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Surface Area (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Pore Volume (cc/g)</th>
<th>Pore Diameter (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>7.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MnPMo/$\gamma$-Al$_2$O$_3$-TiO$_2$</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 2. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms for all materials.](image)
related to the presence of \( \alpha \)-MnMoO\(_4\) [29]. The intense peak at \( 2\theta = 25.9^\circ \) may correspond to the 220 plane of MnMoO\(_4\). These reflections are in accordance with JCPDS Card No: 01-72-0285 as reported by Veerasubramani et al. [30]. Additionally, the peaks at \( 2\theta = 21.0^\circ, 26.9^\circ, 27.9^\circ, 32.3^\circ, 33.2^\circ, 40.5^\circ, 44^\circ, 51.5^\circ-53.0^\circ \) and 57.2–59.5° can also be attributed to the presence of Mo\(_4\)O\(_{11}\) as described by Yang et al. [29]. Therefore, further details on the peak elucidation can be obtained from XPS analysis.

![X-ray diffractograms of all catalysts.](image)

**Figure 3.** X-ray diffractograms of all catalysts. (§—Mo\(_4\)O\(_{11}\), ¥—TiO\(_2\) (anatase phase), *—\( \alpha \)-MnMoO\(_4\), #—planes of \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), n—planes of \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), ¥—MoO\(_3\)).

2.1.3. Fourier Transformed Infrared Spectroscopy

The FT-IR spectroscopy was performed to determine the presence of various vibrational bands corresponding to the functional groups and active metals. The FT-IR spectra for all the materials in this study are shown in Figure 4. The band in the range of 400–700 cm\(^{-1}\) with few spikes at 600–700 cm\(^{-1}\) in the spectra for \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) is due to the stretching of Al-O bonds in octahedral alumina. The band between 750–950 cm\(^{-1}\) represents the vibration of Al-O bond in tetrahedrally coordinated Al [31]. This type of broad band from 400–950 cm\(^{-1}\) with no sharp peaks is a typical for poorly ordered material such as \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). The IR bands corresponding to the vibration of Ti-O bonds appearing at 400–760 cm\(^{-1}\) are superimposed with Al-O vibrations and were not distinguished [32]. The IR active bonds corresponding to molybdenum and tungsten were not clearly identified in Mo and W supported \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) and \( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TiO\(_2\) materials, as the broad peak of 400–700 cm\(^{-1}\) masks most of the peaks. The broad band at 1050–1250 cm\(^{-1}\) in IR spectra of PMo/\( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TiO\(_2\) can be assigned to the superimposition of the bands corresponding symmetrical vibrations of PO\(_4\) and asymmetrical stretching of P-O-P [33,34]. The catalyst MnPMo/\( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TiO\(_2\) showed additional IR bands at 719 cm\(^{-1}\), 725 cm\(^{-1}\), 796 cm\(^{-1}\), 865 cm\(^{-1}\) and 910–970 cm\(^{-1}\), which are the characteristic peaks for tetrahedrally coordinated Mo in \( \alpha \)-MnMoO\(_4\) [35,36]. The presence of \( \alpha \)-MnMoO\(_4\) was also detected in XRD analysis (Section 2.1.2) of the MnPMo/\( \gamma \)-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\)-TiO\(_2\) catalyst. Additionally, the peaks at 865 cm\(^{-1}\) and 910 cm\(^{-1}\) could also be assigned to the molybdenum present in Mo\(_4\)O\(_{11}\) species [37].
2.1.4. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to determine the oxidation state of Mo and Mn in TiO₂-Al₂O₃ supported catalysts. Both Mo and Mn tend to form various oxides with different oxidation states. The XPS spectra for Mn 2p and 3s region are shown in Figure 5. The Mn 2p spectrum (Figure 5b) shows the spin-orbit doublet at 641.6 eV and 652.9 eV representing 2p3/2 and 2p1/2 electronic states (ΔE of 11.3 eV). The Mn 2p spectrum also shows a satellite feature at 645–648 eV, which is a characteristic of Mn²⁺ [38,39]. The shake-up satellite feature appears when the x-ray ejected core electron excites a valance electron to a higher energy level, thus, reducing the energy of core electron leading to appearance of satellite at few eV lower than the core level binding energy. Therefore, the Mn 2p XPS spectra indicates the presence of +2 oxidation state of manganese. To further confirm the oxidation state of manganese in catalyst Mn/P/Mo/Al-Ti, the Mn 3s XPS spectra was analysed. The ΔE for the spilt 3s peaks indicates the oxidation state. The splitting of 3s peak is due to the interaction of 3s core hole (after photoemission) and 3d valance electrons. The energy difference between the parallel and antiparallel spin configuration of both 3s and 3d determines the split. Thus, the ΔE for 3s splitting in +2 oxidation state of manganese is expected to be highest due to 3d⁵ high spin configuration [40]. The reported difference in binding energy (ΔE) for Mn²⁺, Mn³⁺ and Mn⁴⁺ is ~6.0 eV, 5.3 eV and 4.7 eV, respectively [41]. The Mn 3s XPS spectra for catalyst MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ shows peak split ΔE of 6.0 eV confirming the +2 oxidation state of manganese. This concludes that manganese is present in the form of and MnMoO₄ as shown by XRD analysis.

Figure 5. X-ray photoelectron (XPS) spectra for manganese in MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst, (a) Mn-3s spectra and (b) Mn-2p spectra.
The Mo 3d XPS spectra for materials Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂, PMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ and MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ is shown in Figure 6. The Mo 3d region shows well separated spin-orbit component 3d₅/₂ and 3d₃/₂ with ΔE ≈ 3.2eV [38]. The binding energy of 3d₅/₂ peak indicates the oxidation state of molybdenum. For +4, +5 and +6 oxidation states of molybdenum, the 3d₅/₂ peak appears at binding energy ~229.7 eV, 231.5 eV and 232.5 eV, respectively [42–44]. The peak fitting was performed using the CasaXPS Demo version and results are shown in Figure 6. The area under 3d₃/₂ peak is kept constant to two-third of the 3d₅/₂ peak area. The ΔE was kept constant at 3.2 eV. It can be seen from Figure 6a that 86% molybdenum in catalyst Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ is in +6 oxidation state indicating the presence of MoO₃. On addition of phosphorus to this catalyst the increase in octahedral molybdenum was observed as shown in Mo 3d XPS in Figure 6b. It could be due the stronger interaction of phosphorus with surface hydroxyl groups present on the support material as explained in our previous work [45]. The review of influence of phosphorous on alumina supported hydrotreating catalyst by Iwamoto and Grimblot [46] also explained the formation of AlPO₄ on the surface of alumina using surface hydroxyl groups. Thus, the interaction of phosphorus with support reduces the number of surface hydroxyl group for molybdenum to anchor and thus decreases the metal support interactions, resulting in formation of octahedral molybdenum.

Figure 6. Mo 3d XPS spectra for (a) Mo/γ-Al₂O₃ - TiO₂, (b) PMo/γ-Al₂O₃ - TiO₂ and (c) MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃ - TiO₂.
The addition of manganese to the PMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst has significantly altered the oxidation state of the molybdenum as seen from the Mo 3d XPS of MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ (Figure 6c). Around 62% of the molybdenum is in +6 oxidation state and balance (~38%) is in +5 oxidation state. This indicates the presence of Mo₄O₁₁, which has a ratio of 1:1 for Mo⁶⁺ and Mo⁵⁺ and MnMoO₄ which contains polymolybdate (MoO₄)²⁻ with +6 oxidation state for Mo [47]. This confirms the finding of Mo₄O₁₁ and MnMoO₄ in MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst and supports the XRD conclusions.

The XPS analysis for determining the oxidation state of tungsten in W/γ-Al₂O₃ and W/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ was also performed. The 4f W XPS spectra (figure not shown) shows a 4f⁷/₂ and 4f⁵/₂ doublet with 4f⁷/₂ peak at 36.1 eV and a loss feature at 42 eV indicating the presence of WO₃, where oxidation state of W is +6 [38]. The analysis of Ti 2p spectra for all titanium containing catalysts was also performed and it shows the doublet for 2p with 2p3/2 at 458.1eV confirming the +4 oxidation state of titanium.

2.2. Hydrotreating of Bitumen Derived Gas Oils

The hydrotreating of LGO and HGO facilitated by NiMo/γ-Al₂O₃ in fixed bed flow reactor operating at 9 MPa, 370 °C, LHSV 1.5 h⁻¹, 75 mL/min H₂ for LGO and 9 MPa, 390 °C, LHSV 1.0 h⁻¹, 50 mL/min H₂ for HGO, significantly lowered the sulphur and nitrogen content. For HGO the sulphur content was lowered from 41,000 ppm to 2100 ppm and nitrogen content dropped from 3900 ppm to 1750 ppm, resulting in 94.8 wt.% S and 55.0 wt.% N removal. The LGO hydrotreating succeeded in lowering the sulphur content from 24,000 ppm to 950 ppm and nitrogen content from 1400 ppm to 175 ppm, thus achieving 96.0 wt.% S and 87.5 wt.% N removal. The hydrotreated HGO and LGO were called as HDT-HGO and HDT-LGO, respectively and were further treated by oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation process to achieve less than 500 ppm in treated LGO and HGO.

2.3. Oxidative Desulfurization and Denitrogenation

The synthesized catalysts were tested for the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen containing aromatic compounds present in hydrotreated HGO and LGO. The oxidation increases the polarity of organosulfur and nitrogen compounds and therefore, the oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds were then removed by adsorption or extraction. The oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen compounds by tert-butyl hydroperoxide is facilitated by the supported metal oxide catalyst. TBHP nucleophilically attacks the Mo in molybdenum oxide (and W in tungsten oxide), to form peroxymolybdate (peroxymetallic) complex. This complex activates the peroxy group to oxidize the sulphur present in organosulfur compounds. Thus, forming sulfoxide and regenerating molybdenum oxide. The molybdenum oxide again undergoes reaction with another TBHP molecule to form peroxyxymolybdate complex, which further oxidizes sulfoxide to sulfone (see Figure 7) and regenerate molybdenum oxide. Simple mechanism shown in Figure 7 is inspired from previous studies in literature [48–50]. The sulfoxides and sulfones were then separated out using adsorption or extraction due to increase in their polarity. The oxidation of nitrogen containing organic compounds such as quinoline does not necessarily oxidizes the nitrogen, however it is a complex reaction. Studies in literature [25] showed that the carbon with least electron density in the ring of organonitrogen compound is oxidized by peroxides and then followed by ring opening. Further oxidation will result in formation of various oxygenates of organonitrogen compounds, which can be then removed from gas oil via adsorption or extraction due to increase in polarity of oxygenated nitrogen compounds.

Three adsorbents including Activated carbon, Amberlyst 15 ion exchange resin and Amberlite IRA-400 ion exchange resin were tested to determine a suitable adsorbent for this work. Equal amount of each adsorbent was mixed with HDT-LGO and HDT-HGO in separate flasks to determine the adsorption capacity of each adsorbent for adsorbing sulphur and nitrogen compounds from hydrotreated gas oils. The results shown in Table 2 indicates that all adsorbents can remove 4–9 wt.% sulphur. Activated carbon and Amberlite IRA-400 ion exchange resin were able to adsorb and remove 11–15 wt.% nitrogen. However, the Amberlyst 15 ion exchange resin removed 25 wt.% N
from HDT-HGO and 33 wt.% N from HDT-LGO. This could be due to the attractive forces between basic nitrogen compounds and highly acidic Amberlyst 15 resin. Further, the adsorption followed by oxidation of hydrotreated gas oil was also carried out using above mentioned three adsorbents. Results shown in Table 2 suggests the effectiveness of activated carbon as adsorbent for the removal of oxygenated sulphur and nitrogen compounds. Mo/γ-Al2O3 catalysed oxidation followed by adsorptive removal of oxygenates with activated carbon removed 32% and 44.9% sulphur from single stage oxidative desulfurization of HDT-HGO and HDT-LGO respectively, as against to less than 10% removal by ion exchange resins. Additionally, the nitrogen removal was also higher with activated carbon after single stage oxidative denitrogenation.

**Figure 7.** Simplistic mechanism for the oxidation of sulphur containing compounds via TPHP in presence of catalyst.

**Table 2.** Adsorption capacity of various adsorbents for sulphur and nitrogen compounds present in gas oil. (error ± 1%).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorption Capacity (wt.%) of Following Adsorbents</th>
<th>Activated Carbon</th>
<th>Amberlyst 15, Ion Exchange Resin</th>
<th>Amberlite IRA-400(CD), Ion Exchange Resin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDT-HGO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For hydrotreated gas oil</td>
<td>% S removal</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% N removal</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After single state oxidative desulfurization</td>
<td>% S removal</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(catalyst: Mo/γ-Al2O3)</td>
<td>% N removal</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>22.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HDT-LGO</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>For hydrotreated gas oil</td>
<td>% S removal</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% N removal</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After single state oxidative desulfurization</td>
<td>% S removal</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(catalyst: Mo/γ-Al2O3)</td>
<td>% N removal</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>28.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Liquid-liquid extraction of oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds from oil phase was done with methanol. It was observed that methanol phase containing polar sulfoxides, sulfone and oxides of nitrogen compounds separates out easily from treated HDT-HGO. However, due to less difference between densities of HDT-LGO and methanol the separation was difficult and takes longer time. Nevertheless, for oxidized HDT-HGO and HDT-LGO the activated carbon could remove higher
amount of oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds in comparison to extraction with methanol as shown in Tables 3 and 4 for oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation of HDT-HGO and HDT-LGO. Therefore, in this study activated carbon was used as adsorbent for further reactions with various catalysts.

Table 3. Oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation of hydrotreated heavy gas oil. (error ±4 ppm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S conc. in HDT-HGO = 2100 ppm, N conc. in HDT-HGO = 1750 ppm, Single-Stage ODS and ODN Using Following Catalysts and TBHP</th>
<th>Mo/γ-Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂</th>
<th>W/γ-Al₂O₃</th>
<th>W/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂</th>
<th>PMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂</th>
<th>MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S conc. (ppm) Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>1427</td>
<td>1307</td>
<td>1612</td>
<td>1518</td>
<td>1244</td>
<td>1167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>1508</td>
<td>1417</td>
<td>1729</td>
<td>1740</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N conc. (ppm) Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>1203</td>
<td>1115</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>1294</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>1293</td>
<td>1208</td>
<td>1506</td>
<td>1412</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% removal S Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>44.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>32.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% removal N Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>31.3</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The purified gas oil after adsorption is then tested for sulphur and nitrogen content and the percentage desulfurization and denitrogenation was used as a measure to define the catalytic activity. Tables 3 and 4 show the data for oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation using various catalysts. It was observed that the Mo containing catalysts performs better than W containing catalysts for both desulfurization and denitrogenation for HDT-HGO and HDT-LGO. Mo/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst showed 32% S and 31.3% N removal for HDT-HGO and 44.9% S and 49.7% N removal for HDT-LGO, whereas, the W/γ-Al₂O₃ catalyst showed 23.2% S and 19.7% N removal for HGO and 41.7% S and 47.4% N removal for LGO. It should be noted that although the weight percentage removal of nitrogen is higher than percentage removal of sulphur for HDT-LGO but in terms of ppm sulphur removal is higher. The higher activity of molybdenum supported catalyst in comparison to tungsten supported catalyst could be attributed to the higher rate of activation of TBHP by molybdenum, which results in the formation of peroxometallic species leading to the oxidation of sulphur to sulfoxide and sulfone. This could be due to the stronger bonding between Mo 4d orbital and peroxy oxygen 2p orbital during nucleophilic attack by TBHP on molybdenum oxide in comparison to bonding between W 5d and 2p orbital.

The increase in desulfurization and denitrogenation activity on incorporation of titanium as shown in Tables 3 and 4 is due to its Lewis acid character. XRD analysis has confirmed the presence of anatase TiO₂ and Ti 2p XPS spectra confirmed +4 oxidation state of titanium, which indicates the Lewis acid character of titanium. Therefore, the electron deficient titanium will further provide the site for nucleophilic attack by TBHP for the formation of peroxo-titanium complex [51]. This complex activates the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen compounds. Thus, Ti increases ODS and ODN activity by 8–12%.

Considering the promising activity of Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst further promotion by phosphorus (P) and manganese (Mn) was studied for Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst. The addition of P as PO₄³⁻ makes molybdenum more electrophilic. Thereby boosting the rate of reaction of peroxides (TBHP) with Mo, which results in the creation of active species for oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen compounds. The results showing increase in desulfurization and denitrogenation from 37.8% to 40.8% and 36.3% to 40.7%, respectively, on addition of P are presented in Table 3 for HDT-HGO. Similar increase in activity was observed for HDT-LGO as shown in Table 4.
Table 4. Oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation of hydrotreated light gas oil. (error ±4 ppm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S conc. in HDT-LGO = 950 ppm. N conc. in HDT-LGO = 175 ppm.</th>
<th>Single-Stage ODS and ODN Using Following Catalysts and TBHP</th>
<th>S conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>N conc. (ppm)</th>
<th>% removal S</th>
<th>% removal N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>570</td>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>56.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>582</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adsorption using activated carbon</td>
<td>Solvent Extraction using Methanol</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The catalyst MnPMO/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 further increased the desulfurization and denitrogenation activity from 40.8% to 44.4% and 40.7% to 46.4%, respectively, with reference to activity shown by PMO/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 catalyst for HDT-HGO. The increase in activity could be assigned to the oxidizing or electron-accepting tendency of manganese. Mn 3s and Mn 2p XPS analysis has confirmed that manganese is in +2 oxidation state, indicating the presence of MnO and MnMoO4. However, FTIR analysis and XRD analysis for MnPMO/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 catalyst have confirmed the polymolybdate species present in MnMoO4 form. Therefore, the manganese in MnMoO4 will make Mo more electrophilic (−Moδ+ = O). Hence, this increases the rate of reaction of TBHP with catalyst, which eventually increase the ODS and ODN activity. Similar increase in activity was observed for HDT-LGO as shown in Table 4.

Single stage oxidative desulfurization has lowered the sulphur content of HDT-HGO from 2100 ppm to 1167 ppm using MnPMO/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 catalyst. However, to remove sulphur down to less than 500 ppm, multistage oxidation process was employed. To perform multistage oxidation, large amount of product from first stage ODS is required in order to account for the samples, which will be extracted after each stage for analysis. The spent catalyst from single stage process was utilized to oxidize another fresh batch of HDT-HGO to generate more product oil from first stage oxidative desulfurization process. It was observed that the sulphur removal efficiency decline from 44.4% to 40.1% on reusing the catalyst. The decrease in sulphur removal efficiency could be due to the active site inhibition by bulky heteroatomic molecules present in the HGO or might be due to the leaching of the fraction of Mo. However, 4.3% decline in sulphur removal or 9.7% decline in catalyst activity is not a clear affirmation of leaching due to other possible factors. Therefore, considering the scope of this paper, reusability, metal leaching and catalyst stability studies were not conducted and fresh catalyst was used to generate more product oil from stage 1 ODS.

Table 5 shows that after 3 stage ODS the sulphur in treated HGO was lowered to 478 ppm, accounting to 77.2% sulphur removal. It was also observed that between stage 2 and stage 3 of ODS process only 87 ppm (~4%) sulphur was removed. Therefore, increasing the number of ODS stages beyond 3rd stage will not be able to further lower the sulphur concentration significantly. Interestingly, the denitrogenation with multistage oxidation process was more effective than desulfurization. The nitrogen content was lowered from 1750 to 206 ppm (~88% nitrogen removal) for HDT-HGO. Nitrogen is a well-known poison to many downstream processing catalysts, therefore, the decrease in nitrogen content will benefit the downstream processing of treated HGO and LGO.
Three-stage oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation was also carried out for HDT-LGO and the results are presented in Table 5. After first stage the sulphur and nitrogen contents were lowered to 428 ppm and 40 ppm respectively. It was observed that the increase in percentage sulphur and nitrogen removal was not significant after two-stage and three-stage processes. The total S and N removal after third stage is 62.7% and 82.9% in comparison to 54.9% and 77.1% after single stage ODS and ODN, respectively. Therefore, the combination of hydrotreating and oxidative desulfurization-denitrogenation can lower the sulphur content from 41,000 ppm to 480 ppm and nitrogen content from 3900 ppm to 210 ppm for HGO. In future, this study can serve as a basis to design the process at commercial scale, which can enable oil-sands derived gas oils to stay competitive to conventional crude oil.

### Table 5. Multistage ODS and ODN of hydrotreated gas oils. (error ±4 ppm).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ODS and ODN Stages with MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ Catalyst, TBHP and Activated Carbon</th>
<th>Stage 1</th>
<th>Stage 2</th>
<th>Stage 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HDT-HGO (S = 2100 ppm; N = 1750 ppm)</td>
<td>S conc. (ppm) 1167</td>
<td>Cumulative % S removal 44.4</td>
<td>N conc. (ppm) 938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cumulative % N removal 46.4</td>
<td>85.1</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDT-LGO (S = 950 ppm; N = 175 ppm)</td>
<td>S conc. (ppm) 428</td>
<td>Cumulative % S removal 54.9</td>
<td>N conc. (ppm) 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cumulative % N removal 77.1</td>
<td>82.9</td>
<td>82.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Experimental

3.1. Materials

Titanium isopropoxide, ammonium dihydrogen phosphate, Ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate, Manganese nitrate hexahydrate, tert-butylhydroperoxide (TBHP) (70 v/v%), Ammonium metatungstate hydrate, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, Edmonton, Canada. Activated carbon was provided by Norit, Canada. Amberlyst 15 ion exchange resin and Amberlite IRA-400 ion exchange resin were purchased from Alfa Aesar, USA. γ-Al₂O₃ and methanol was purchased from Fischer-Scientific, Toronto, Canada.

3.2. Catalyst Synthesis

All metals were impregnated using incipient wetness method. Al₂O₃-TiO₂ material was synthesized by impregnating the solution of titanium isopropoxide and ethanol on γ-Al₂O₃ to obtain 10 wt.% TiO₂ in Al₂O₃-TiO₂. 15 wt.% W and 15 wt.% Mo were impregnated on γ-Al₂O₃ and Al₂O₃-TiO₂ materials using ammonium metatungstate hydrate and ammonium heptamolybdate tetrahydrate as precursors for W and Mo, respectively. The materials were dried overnight at 100 °C and then calcined at 550 °C for 6 h. The resulting materials were named as Mo/γ-Al₂O₃, Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂, W/γ-Al₂O₃ and W/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂. Further, 2 wt.% phosphorus was impregnated to Mo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ using ammonium dihydrogen phosphate as precursor. This was followed by drying and calcination at 400 °C for 4 h to obtain PMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst. Manganese nitrate hexahydrate was used as a precursor for Mn and it was wet impregnated on PMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ to obtain 2 wt.% Mn in MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst. The catalyst containing 13 wt.% Mo and 3 wt.% Ni supported on γ-Al₂O₃ was also synthesized using sequential wetness impregnation method and named as NiMo/γ-Al₂O₃.
3.3. Material Characterization

All materials were characterized in oxide state. Micrometrics ASAP2020 instrument was used to determine the textural properties. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method was used to determine the surface area and Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method was used to calculate the pore diameter and pore volume. The wide angle (20° to 80°) X-ray diffractograms for powder samples were obtained using Bruker Advance D8 series II equipment having Cu Kα radiation. The FTIR analysis for the materials was performed using PerkinElmer Spectrum GX instrument. The details on the sample preparation and method of analysis for XRD, FTIR and BET are mentioned in our previous work [52]. The X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis was performed to determine the oxidation state of active metals. The XPS spectra for catalysts were collected using Kratos Axis SUPRA XPS instrument equipped with monochromatic Al Kα X-ray source. This instrument is located at Saskatchewan Structural Sciences Centre, University of Saskatchewan, Canada. The XPS data were fitted using CasaXPS software.

3.4. Hydrotreating Experiment

The fixed bed flow reactor system was used to perform hydrotreating reactions for HGO and LGO. The physical properties of HGO and LGO are mentioned in Table 6. The reaction setup consists of a liquid feed pump, H2 and He gas inlet lines via mass flow controllers, tube type fixed bed reactor heated by furnace, back pressure regulator, NH3 scrubber and gas-liquid separator to collect the hydrotreated product followed by nitrogen stripping. The schematic and details of the setup are mentioned in our previous work [52]. 5g of NiMo/γ-Al2O3 catalyst was diluted with SiC (90 mesh size) and loaded in the reactor. The catalyst was sulphided by pumping 5 mL/h of 2.9 vol% butanethiol solution (in transformer oil) through the catalyst bed for 48 hrs. The first 24 h sulphidation was performed at 190 °C and then the temperature was raised to 340 °C and maintained for next 24 h. The reactor was pressurized to 9 MPa with helium prior to start of sulphidation. The entire sulphidation process was carried out at 50 mL/min of hydrogen flow.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>Heavy Gas Oil</th>
<th>Light Gas Oil</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sulphur (wt.%)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (wt.%)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density (g/mL)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatic content (%)</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boiling range (°C) wt.%</td>
<td>wt.%</td>
<td>wt.%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial boiling point–300</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>301–400</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>42.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>401–500</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501–600</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600–Final boiling point</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The liquid feed was switched to gas oil (HGO or LGO) after sulphidation and the reaction temperature was increased to 370 °C for LGO and 390 °C for HGO. The gas oil feed rate was maintained at 5 mL/h and 7.5 mL/h for HGO and LGO, respectively. The H2 feed rate was maintained at 50 mL/h for HGO and 75 mL/h for LGO. The hydrotreated oil was collected every 24 h and analysed for sulphur and nitrogen content. The constant conversion was observed from day 5 to day 12 and then the reactor was shut down. Therefore, the hydrotreated oil product from day 6–12 was mixed to obtain a big batch of hydrotreated gas oil, hereafter called as HDT-HGO and HDT-LGO. Hydrotreating of LGO and HGO was done with fresh catalyst in the same experimental set-up. The Antek 9000 N/S analyser was used to determine the nitrogen and sulphur content in liquid samples. ASTM D4629 method using combustion/chemiluminescence technique was adopted to determine the total nitrogen content of the liquid product and the ASTM D5463 method using combustion/fluorescence technique was deployed.
for measuring sulphur content. The details on catalyst loading procedure and sulphidation procedure are mentioned in our previous works [52].

3.5. Experimental Procedure for Oxidative Desulfurization and Denitrogenation

20 ml of HDT-HGO was taken in a round bottom flask and 1 g catalyst was added to it. To this mixture 20 ml of TBHP (70% v/v) was added as an oxidizer and stirred at 400 rpm at 90 °C under reflux for 15 h. The 1:1 volume ratio of TBHP and HDT-HGO was used for screening experiments considering the complex nature of bitumen derived heavy gas oil. This makes molar ratio of TBHP to sulphur equal to 110 and TBHP to nitrogen molar ratio equal to 58, which is quite high. The Metal (Mo) to sulphur molar ratio is 1.2. Further, handling equal amount of TBHP and gas oil in existing refineries will be a concern. However, this lab scale experiment was performed for the proof of concept and further optimization studies on the amount of oxidant, catalyst, oil, stirring speed and temperature can help to determine the techno-economical and logistical viability of this process integration with existing refineries. The reaction was cooled down to room temperature and then filtered to recover the solid catalyst. The catalysts tested for this reaction are: Mo/γ-Al2O3, Mo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2, W/γ-Al2O3 and W/γ-Al2O3-TiO2. PMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 and MnPMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2. The reaction products were phase separated due to the difference in the density of oil phase and water phase. The oil phase was collected and water washed to remove dissolved butanol, which is the by-product of oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen compounds by TBHP.

The oxidized sulphur compounds known as sulfones and sulfoxides and oxides of aromatic nitrogen compounds were then extracted from the oil phase using adsorption or solvent extraction. Activated carbon was used as an adsorbent. In a typical experiment, 1 g of activated carbon was mixed with 20 mL of oil for 12 h at room temperature. The mixture was then filtered to separate the solids and the resultant liquid was analysed to determine total S and N content. The type and amount of oxidized sulphur and nitrogen compounds were not determined in this work due to the complex composition of heavy gas oil. Therefore, the total S and N content in product was used as a basis to define the catalyst activity. The liquid was then treated with TBHP in presence of fresh catalyst followed by adsorption with activated carbon to perform double stage adsorptive extraction, when required. Similar procedure was followed to perform multi-stage ODS and ODN.

The solvent extraction process was also tested to extract the sulfones, sulfoxides and oxides of organonitrogen compounds from the oil phase collected after oxidation with TBHP. 10 mL methanol was mixed with 20 mL oil and allowed to settle for 4 h at room temperature. The mixture was phase separated to obtain desulfurized and denitrogenated oil, which was then tested to determine the sulphur and nitrogen content. Similar procedure was followed to perform oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation on HDT-LGO.

4. Conclusions

Highly efficient upgrading of oil-sands bitumen derived heavy gas oil (~ 41,000 ppm sulphur, 3900 ppm nitrogen) and light gas oil (~24,000 ppm sulphur, 1400 ppm nitrogen) is required to generate synthetic crude, which can compete with conventional crude oil. Therefore, in this work the combination of hydrotreating, oxidative desulfurization (ODS) and oxidative denitrogenation (ODN) was performed to achieve less than 500 ppm sulphur in LGO and HGO. The synthetic crude produced using treated HGO and LGO will be highly competitive and easily processed in existing refineries to produce various petroleum fractions including diesel fuel with less than 15 ppm sulphur. The hydrotreating of gas oils was carried out in a fixed bed flow reactor operating at typical industrial conditions of 370–390 °C, 9 MPa, 1–1.5 h⁻¹ space velocity and 600:1 H2 to oil ratio. NiMo/γ-Al2O3 was used as a catalyst. Hydrotreating resulted in lowering the sulphur and nitrogen content to 2100 ppm and 1750 ppm for HGO and 950 ppm and 175 ppm for LGO, respectively.

Various catalysts including Mo/γ-Al2O3, Mo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2, W/γ-Al2O3, W/γ-Al2O3-TiO2, PMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 and MnPMo/γ-Al2O3-TiO2 were synthesized and characterized using X-ray
diffractions, N₂ adsorption-desorption, FTIR and XPS. All catalysts were tested for the oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen compounds present in gas oil using tert-butyl hydroperoxide (TBHP). The removal of oxides of sulphur and nitrogen compounds was carried out using adsorption and extraction. Methanol was used as solvent for liquid-liquid extraction, however, the minimal difference in densities of hydrotreated LGO and methanol possess challenge in separation and longer settling time was required. Among activated carbon and ion-exchange resins, the higher adsorption capacity for polar oxidized sulphur and nitrogen aromatic compounds was shown by activated carbon. The sulphur and nitrogen removal were higher with activated carbon in comparison to methanol. The catalytic activity measured in terms of percent sulphur and nitrogen removal was related to the catalyst characterization. XPS analysis has confirmed the oxidation state of metals such as Mo, Ti, Mn, P and W, which helped in identifying the catalyst structure and relate it to the metal oxide structures as indicated by XRD and FTIR analysis. Mo supported catalyst outperformed the W supported catalyst due to stronger interaction between 2p O (TBHP) and 4d Mo orbitals in contrast to bonding between 5d W and 2p O orbitals. The Ti acts as an additional site for TBHP activation, which caused oxidation of sulphur and nitrogen containing compounds. P and Mn in MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst makes molybdenum more electrophilic, thereby promoting the nucleophilic attack by TBHP; thus facilitating oxidation. Hence, the catalyst MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ performed best among the series tested and removed 44.4 wt.% sulphur and 46.4 wt.% nitrogen from hydrotreated HGO and 54.9 wt.% sulphur and 77.1 wt.% nitrogen from hydrotreated LGO. Further three stage ODS and ODN process was performed using MnPMo/γ-Al₂O₃-TiO₂ catalyst. Thus, the integration of hydrotreating, oxidative desulfurization and oxidative denitrogenation lowered the sulphur and nitrogen content to 478 ppm (~98.8% removal) and 206 ppm (~94.7% removal), respectively, in HGO and 354 ppm (~98.5% removal) and 30 ppm (~97.8% removal), respectively in LGO. The decrease in nitrogen content is beneficial for the downstream processing of gas oils because nitrogen acts as a poison to various catalysts. Therefore, the combination of oxidative desulfurization and denitrogenation with hydrotreating is a promising methodology to improve the quality of synthetic crude derived from oil sands bitumen and keeping them competitive with respect to conventional petroleum crude oil.
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