**Abstract:** The space integration of the lithiation of aryl halides, the borylation of aryllithiums, and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling using a Pd catalyst supported by a polymer monolith flow reactor without using an intentionally added base was achieved. To scale up the process, a series connection of the monolith Pd reactor was examined. To suppress the increase in the pressure drop caused by the series connection, a monolith reactor having larger pore sizes was developed by varying the temperature of the monolith preparation. The monolithic Pd reactor having larger pore sizes enabled Suzuki–Miyaura coupling at a higher flow rate because of a lower pressure drop and, therefore, an increase in productivity. The present study indicates that series connection of the reactors with a higher flow rate serves as a good method for increasing the productivity without decreasing the yields.
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**1. Introduction**

Recently the chemical synthesis using continuous flow reactors has received significant research interests from both academia and the industry [1–47]. Because of better heat and mass transfer and a shorter residence time, flow processes offer various benefits over conventional batch processes, including increased controllability, safety, and selectivity. A number of synthetic transformations that are difficult or impossible for conventional batch processes have been developed using flow processes [48–58].

Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions [59–64], in particular, using heterogeneous catalysts [65–69], serve as a powerful method for the chemical production of fine chemicals and pharmaceuticals, and several examples of the flow process using supported palladium catalysts [70–87] including the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling of arylboronic acids and aryl halides [88–91] have been reported. Although some arylboronic acids are commercially available, it is often necessary to prepare appropriate arylboronic acids for a desired transformation [92–99], and the preparation of arylboronic acids often suffers from difficulties in the purification processes [100–105]. Therefore, the integration
of the preparation of boronic acids and the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling improves the efficiency of the overall transformation. Recently, Buchwald et al. reported the space integration of the preparation of boronic esters by lithiation, borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling [106]. We have also reported the space integration of the preparation of aryloboronic esters bearing electrophilic functional groups based on flash chemistry [107–112] using flow microreactors [113]. The overall transformation enables the cross-coupling of two aryl halides bearing electrophilic functional groups. However, homogeneous Pd catalysts are used in both processes. Therefore, it was highly desirable to develop a similar process using heterogeneous Pd catalysts which enable the easy separation and recycling of the catalyst.

Polymer monoliths [114–119] serve as ideal supports for reagents and catalysts for continuous flow processes because of their high controllability of surface properties associated with the formation of nano-, micro-, and mesoporous structures. The contact time and temperature can be spatially and temporally controlled throughout the channels. In a preliminary communication, we reported that the space integration [120–122] of the preparation of aryloboronic esters and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling using a flow reactor packed with the polymer monolith containing an immobilized Pd catalyst [123]. Herein, we report the full details of this study and the process by the series connection of the reactors with high flow rates (Scheme 1).

![Scheme 1](image)

**Scheme 1.** The space integration of the preparation of aryloboronic esters and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling by the series connection of a flow reactor packed with the polymer monolith containing an immobilized Pd catalyst.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1. Preparation of Lithium Arylborates Using Flow Microreactors

Prior to studying the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling, we studied the preparation of lithium arylborates by the lithiation of aryl halides followed by the borylation of aryllithiums [113]. The reactions were carried out using a flow microreactor system consisting of two T-shaped micromixers (M1 and M2) and two microtube reactors (R1 and R2) (Figure 1). For example, a solution of bromobenzene (0.10 M in THF, 6.0 mL/min) and a solution of n-BuLi (0.60 M in hexane, 1.0 mL/min) were introduced to M1 (φ = 500 µm) at 0 °C by syringe pumps. The resulting solution was passed through R1 (φ = 1000 µm, L = 25 cm (τ(R1) = 1.7 s)) and was mixed with a solution of trimethoxyborane (0.12 M in THF, 5.0 mL/min) in M2 (φ = 500 µm). The resulting solution was then passed through R2 (φ = 1000 µm, L = 50 cm (τ(R2) = 2.0 s)). A cloudy mixture was obtained, presumably because of an insufficient solubility of the resulting lithium aryloborate (Figure 2a). Various solvents including THF, methanol, and ethanol were examined to solubilize the lithium aryloborate, and a clear solution was obtained when methanol was added (Figure 2b).

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** The flow microreactor system for the lithiation of bromobenzene (Ar^1X) and for borylation (micromixers: M1 and M2, microtube reactors: R1 and R2).
with tetrahydrofuran by using an HPLC pump at 0.1 mL/min for an hour and dried under a vacuum.
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of palladium acetate and were reduced with sodium borohydride. The resulting monolith reactors

A

was produced by annealing at 100 °C, whereas polymer monolith B was produced by annealing at 85 °C (vide infra). The gelation occurred within 30 min, and the samples were aged at the same temperature for a day. The resulting epoxy monoliths were washed with tetrahydrofuran by using an HPLC pump at 0.1 mL/min for an hour and dried under a vacuum. As shown in Figure 4, the pore size of the gel depends on the annealing temperature. The pore size of monolith B was larger than that of monolith A. Then, monolith A and B were treated with a solution of palladium acetate and were reduced with sodium borohydride. The resulting monolith reactors containing the Pd catalyst were used for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.

Figure 2. A solution of lithium trimethoxy(phenyl)borate (a) before the addition of methanol and (b) after the addition of methanol.

2.2. Preparation of Polymer Monolith and Immobilization of Pd Catalyst

The preparation of a polymer monolith and the immobilization of a Pd catalyst were carried out as follows (Figure 3). 1,3-Bis(N,N-diglycidylaminomethyl)cyclohexane was added to a solution of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG, molecular mass = 200), 4,4’-diaminodicyclohexyl-methane, and 6-(phenylamino)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-dithiol, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The resultant homogeneous solution was poured into a cylindrical stainless-steel reactor (an empty HPLC column, 4.6 mm ID × 150 mm length). The reactor was annealed to produce epoxy monolithic gels inside. Polymer monolith A was produced by annealing at 100 °C, whereas polymer monolith B was produced by annealing at 85 °C (vide infra). The gelation occurred within 30 min, and the samples were aged at the same temperature for a day. The resulting epoxy monoliths were washed with tetrahydrofuran by using an HPLC pump at 0.1 mL/min for an hour and dried under a vacuum. As shown in Figure 4, the pore size of the gel depends on the annealing temperature. The pore size of monolith B was larger than that of monolith A. Then, monolith A and B were treated with a solution of palladium acetate and were reduced with sodium borohydride. The resulting monolith reactors containing the Pd catalyst were used for Suzuki–Miyaura coupling.

Figure 3. The immobilization of Pd on the polymer monolith in a flow reactor.

Figure 4. Cont.
The reactions were carried out with various residence times \((t_R)\) using an intentionally added base. Thereafter, the reactions were carried out under two conditions: condition (a) \((T = 100 ^\circ C, t_R = 4.7 \text{ min})\) and condition (b) \((T = 120 ^\circ C, t_R = 9.4 \text{ min})\) for the monolith A catalyst.

\[ \text{Ar}_1\text{-X} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2\text{-Ar} \]

As profiled in Figure 6, the yield of biphenyl-4-carbonitrile was significantly influenced by both \(T\) and \(t_R\). At 100 °C, the yield increased with an increase in \(t_R\). The coupling product was obtained in good yields (>93%) with \(t_R\) longer than 4.7 min. The reaction at 120 °C resulted in a slightly better yield \((t_R = 9.4 \text{ min}, \text{quantitative yield})\). Notably, the reaction was complete within a few minutes without using an intentionally added base. Thereafter, the reactions were carried out under two conditions: condition (a) \((T = 100 ^\circ C, t_R = 4.7 \text{ min})\) and condition (b) \((T = 120 ^\circ C, t_R = 9.4 \text{ min})\) for the monolith A catalyst.

\[ \text{Ar}_1\text{-X} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2\text{-Ar} \]
A triaryl compound having one bromine atom on one of the aromatic rings was also synthesized via reactions. Therefore, biaryls bearing electrophilic functional groups on both aromatic rings can be reaction time by connecting the reactors in serial. Notably, a cyano group tolerated the optimized also showed a similar tendency. The yields for such reactions would be improved with a longer also for these compounds were much slower. The use of substrates containing an ortho-substituent also for heteroaryl iodides as coupling partners (Table 1). However, the use of phenyl iodide and aryl also dilithiated species [125].

As profiled in Figure 6, the yield of biphenyl-4-carbonitrile was significantly influenced by both also for the cross-coupling of bromobenzene and also for the polymer monolith also for the cross-coupling of Ar 1–X and Ar 2–X using the polymer monolith also for the cross-coupling of Ar 1–X and Ar 2–X using the polymer monolith also for the cross-coupling of Ar 1–X and Ar 2–X using the polymer monolith also for the cross-coupling of Ar 1–X and Ar 2–X using the polymer monolith... when using conventional batch reactors because of the formation of a significant amount of dilithiated species [125].

Table 1. The cross-coupling of Ar 1–X and Ar 2–X using the polymer monolith A containing an immobilized Pd catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ar 1–X</th>
<th>Conditions of Lithiation and Borylation</th>
<th>Ar 2–X</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%) a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$t^R$ (s)</td>
<td>Temperature ($^\circ$C)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Condition (a) c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\text{Br}$</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\text{CBr}$</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\text{OMe}$</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\text{O}$</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\text{OMe}$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$\text{O}$</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The temperature ($T$)–residence time ($t^R$) map for the cross-coupling of bromobenzene and p-bromobenzonitrile using the Pd catalyst supported by monolith A: the contour plots with a scattered overlay of the yields of biphenyl-4-carbonitrile (%), which are indicated by small circles.

The present method was successfully applied to the cross-coupling of various functional aryl and heteroaryl iodides as coupling partners (Table 1). However, the use of phenyl iodide and aryl iodide also dilithiated species [125].
2.5. Series Connection

Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling Using the Pd Catalyst Supported by Monolith B

The operation of integrated systems consisting of multiple monolithic reactors in series at higher flow rates suffers from a high pressure drop. To solve this problem, a polymer monolith (monolith B) with wider pore sizes was synthesized by changing reaction temperatures when prepared (monolith A for temperature at 100 °C and monolith B for temperature at 85 °C) (vide supra). Generally, the pressure drop depends on the pore size. In fact, the pressure drop of monolith A of a smaller pore size was larger than that of monolith B of a larger pore size. For example, the operation using a monolith A reactor at 60 °C with the flow rate of 1.5 mL/min led to 8.2 Pa, whereas that using a monolith B reactor lead to 0.8 Pa.

Before studying the series connection of the reactors, the reaction using a single monolith B reactor was examined. To a solution of lithium trimethoxy(phenyl)borate prepared by the flow method (Figure 3) was added a solution of p-iodobenzonitrile (0.033 M in MeOH), and the mixture was passed through a reactor containing a Pd catalyst supported by monolith B using a plunger pump (Figure 5). The reactions were carried out with various residence times (R1) at various temperatures (T). As profiled in Figure 7, the yield of biphenyl-4-carbonitrile was significantly influenced by both T and R1. At 100 °C, the yield increased with an increase in R1, and the reaction was complete within a few minutes.

Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ar1-X</th>
<th>Conditions of Lithiation and Borylation</th>
<th>Ar2-X</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%)a</th>
<th>Condition (a)c</th>
<th>Condition (b)d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>68 91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>17 83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>12 91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>34 84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>63 97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>15 87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>63 98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 24</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>2  52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>0.059 24</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>0  54 b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>1.7 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>83 94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>1.7 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>78 87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC–Br</td>
<td>1.7 0</td>
<td>NC-CN</td>
<td>CN-CN</td>
<td>71 86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determined by GC. b Isolated yield. c Condition (a): T = 100 °C, R1 = 4.7 min. d Condition (b): T = 120 °C, R1 = 9.4 min.
2.5. Series Connection

In general, the productivity can be increased by increasing the flow rate in a single flow reactor (Figure 8a). However, the increase in the flow rate often causes a decrease in the conversion because the residence time in the reactor becomes shorter. Therefore, the numbering-up method with a parallel connection of the flow reactors serves as a common approach to improve the productivity [126–130] (Figure 8b). However, the method often suffers from a poor uniformity in fluid distribution [131,132]. Therefore, various types of flow distributors such as manifold- [133,134], bifurcation-, and split-and-recombine-type flow distributors have been developed to improve the uniformity of the fluid distribution.

![Diagram of flow distributors](image)

**Figure 8.** The increasing productivity of a continuous flow reaction keeping the residence time constant, (a) a single reactor, (b) the numbering-up by parallel connection of the reactors, and (c) the numbering-up by series connection of the reactors.

We envisaged that the numbering-up method with a series connection of the flow reactors [135] could serve as a method for increasing productivity (Figure 8c). The residence time can be kept constant by a series connection of the reactors even with higher flow rates. A major obstacle of this approach is, however, the increase in the pressure drop with an increase in the number of the reactors in the series. The use of the monolith B reactor solved the problem. Their use in series enabled operation at high flow rates with a low pressure drop.
The reactions were carried out with various flow rates to control the residence time at 100 °C (Figure 9). When a single monolith A reactor was used, the yield of biphenyl-4-carbonitrile was significantly influenced by the flow rate (Table 2, n = 1). The increase in the residence time by decreasing the flow rate caused an increase in the yield. The use of a single monolith B reactor also led to a similar result. Because of the larger pore size, the pressure drop for the monolith B reactor was much lower than that of the monolith A reactor. The product was obtained in a quantitative yield with the flow rate of 0.4 mL/min, although the increase in the flow rate caused a decrease in the yield, presumably because a shorter residence time leads to an incomplete conversion of the substrate.

Next, the reactions were carried out using multiple monolith B reactors connected in series (Table 2, n = 3 and 5). The use of multiple reactors connected in series often caused a higher pressure drop, which might be an obstacle for practical continuous production. However, the pressure drop was acceptable in this case because of larger pore size of monolith B. Notably, by using three reactors connected in series, the product was obtained in a quantitative yield with a flow rate three times larger than that for a single reactor (1.2 mL/min). Therefore, the productivity can be three times higher than that for a single reactor. Similarly, by using five reactors connected in series, the product was obtained in a quantitative yield with a flow rate five times larger than that for a single reactor (2.0 mL/min). Therefore, the productivity was five times larger than that for a single reactor. The increase in the flow rate caused a decrease in the yield because of an incomplete conversion.

The series connection method is also effective in performing reactions which require longer reaction times. For example, the yields of the reactions with iodobenzene and 1-iodo-4-methoxybenzene as an electron-donating coupling partner could be increased by the series connection of three monolith B reactors (Table 3). Other examples are also shown in Table 3.
Table 3. The cross-coupling of Ar1-X and Ar2-X using a single monolith B reactor or multiple monolith B reactors connected in series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ar1-X</th>
<th>Conditions of Lithiation and Borylation</th>
<th>Ar2-X</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Yield (%) a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>tR1 (s)</td>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n = 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>OMe</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Determined by GC.

Moreover, the present method was successfully applied to a gram-scale synthesis of adapalene, which has been used for the treatment of acne, psoriasis, and photoaging (Figure 10). When the coupling of lithium (3-(1-adamantyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)trimethoxyborate and methyl 6-iodo-2-naphthoate was carried out using a single polymer monolith A reactor, a gram scale synthesis was achieved by a 21 h operation (1.55 g, 86% yield). In contrast, by using five monolith B reactors connected in series, a gram scale synthesis was achieved by a 4 h operation (1.49 g, 87% yield). The productivity increased by five times. The hydrolysis of the coupling product with NaOH in 1,2-propanediol gave adapalene in an 89% yield.

Figure 10. The gram scale synthesis of adapalene.
2.6. Recyclability of Monolithic Pd Catalysts

The leaching of a catalyst into the reaction stream causing the loss of activity due to the decrease in the catalyst loading is one of the potential problems of flow synthesis using supported catalysts. This is particularly problematic for pharmaceutical production. Thus, there is a great demand for developing robustly supported catalysts. To evaluate the recyclability of the catalyst, the reaction followed by washing with THF was repeated fifteen times, and no significant loss of activity was observed based on the yields of the product as shown in Table 4, indicating that the Pd catalysts supported by the polymer monoliths A and B possess a sufficient stability under the reaction conditions. Therefore, these results mean that Pd leaching would not occur under the present experimental conditions.

Table 4. The recyclability of the polymer monolith A and monolith B containing an immobilized Pd catalyst.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cycle Number</th>
<th>Yield (%) (^a)</th>
<th>Monolith A</th>
<th>Monolith B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Determined by GC.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. General

A GC analysis was performed on a SHIMADZU GC-2014 gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector using a fused silica capillary column (column, CBPI; 0.25 mm × 25 m; initial oven temperature, 50 °C; rate of temperature increase, 10 °C/min; final oven temperature, 250 °C). \(^1\)H and \(^13\)C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian MERCURYplus-400 (\(^1\)H 400 MHz, \(^13\)C 100 MHz, Palo Alto, CA, USA) spectrometer with Me₄Si or CDCl₃ as a standard in CDCl₃ unless otherwise noted (see Supplementary Materials). A preparative gel permeation chromatography was performed on a Japan Analytical Industry LC-918 (Tokyo, Japan). THF was purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan) as a dry solvent and used without further purification. Hexane was purchased from Wako (Kyoto, Japan), distilled before use, and stored over molecular sieves 4A. Bromobenzene, \(p\)-bromobenzonitrile, \(m\)-bromobenzonitrile, \(o\)-bromobenzonitrile, 4,4′-dibromobiphenyl, 2-bromothiophene, 2-(1-adamantyl)-4-bromoanisole, \(p\)-iodobenzonitrile, \(m\)-iodobenzonitrile, \(o\)-iodobenzonitrile, iodobenzene, methyl 4-iodobenzoate, methyl 2-iodobenzoate, 4-iodoanisole, 4-iodobenzaldehyde, \(n\)-butyllithium, phenyllithium, and trimethoxyborane were commercially available. Methyl 6-iodo-2-naphthoate was synthesized according to the literature [136].

Stainless-steel (SUS304) T-shaped micromixers with inner diameters of 250 and 500 µm were manufactured by Sanko Seiki Co., Inc. (Tokyo, Japan). Stainless-steel (SUS316) microtube reactors with inner diameters of 500 and 1000 µm were purchased from GL Sciences (Tokyo, Japan) and were cut...
into appropriate lengths (3.5, 25, 50, 100, and 300 cm). The micromixers and microtube reactors were connected with stainless steel fittings (GL Sciences, 1/16 OUW) to construct the flow microreactor systems. The flow microreactor system was dipped in the bath to control the temperature. The solutions were continuously introduced to the flow microreactor system using syringe pumps, Harvard PHD 2000, equipped with gastight syringes purchased from SGE or a plunger pump, shimadzu LC-20AT (Tokyo, Japan).

3.2. Preparation of the Pd Catalysts Supported by Monolith A and Monolith B

1,3-Bis(N,N-diglycidylaminomethyl)cyclohexane was added to a solution of poly(ethylene glycol) (PEG, molecular mass = 200) with 4,4′-diaminocyclohexylmethane and 6-(phenylamino)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4-dithiol, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. The resultant homogeneous solution was poured into a cylindrical stainless-steel reactor (an empty HPLC column, 4.6 mm ID × 150 mm length). The reactor was annealed to the procedure, epoxy monolithic gels inside. Monolith A was produced by annealing at 100 °C, whereas monolith B was produced by annealing at 85 °C. The gelation occurred within 30 min, and the samples were subsequently aged at the same temperature for a day. The epoxy monoliths were washed with THF by an HPLC pump at 0.1 mL/min for an hour and dried under a vacuum. Then, a THF solution of palladium acetate (0.5 wt%, 5 mL) was injected into the monolith reactor at 0.05 mL/min. The monolith reactor adsorbed with palladium acetate was immersed in PEG (molecular mass = 300), heat-treated at 100 °C for 4 h, and was allowed to stand still for overnight at room temperature. THF in the reactor was replaced by PEG300, and the reactor was heat-treated at 200 °C for 4 h and was washed with THF (1 h) and water (1 h) using an HPLC pump at 0.1 mL/min. An aqueous solution of sodium borohydride (0.5 wt%, 5 mL) was injected into the reactor at 0.05 mL/min to reduce palladium ions adsorbed on the surface of the monolith. Then, the reactor was washed with methanol.

3.3. Cross-Coupling of Bromobenzene and p-Iodobenzonitrile by the Space Integration of Lithiation, Borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling

A flow microreactor system consisting of two T-shaped micromixers (M1 and M2), two microtube reactors (R1 and R2), and three tube precooling units (P1 (inner diameter ϕ = 1000 µm, length L = 100 cm), P2 (ϕ = 1000 µm, L = 50 cm), and P3 (ϕ = 1000 µm, L = 100 cm)) was used for the formation of lithium borate. A solution of bromobenzene (0.10 M in THF) (flow rate: 6.0 mL/min) and a solution of n-BuLi (0.60 M in hexane) (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) were introduced to M1 (ϕ = 500 µm) at 0 °C by syringe pumps. The resulting solution was passed through R1 (ϕ = 1000 µm, L = 25 cm (t^R1 = 1.7 s)) and was mixed with a solution of trimethoxyborane (0.12 M in THF) (flow rate: 5.0 mL/min) in M2 (ϕ = 500 µm) (0 °C). The resulting solution was passed through R2 (ϕ = 1000 µm, L = 50 cm (t^R2 = 2.0 s)) (0 °C). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel. Then, a solution of p-iodobenzonitrile (0.033 M in MeOH (0.67 eq)) was added, and the resulting solution was passed through a flow reactor packed with a Pd catalyst supported by monolith A or monolith B at T °C by using a plunger pump. The reaction was carried out with various residence times (t^R) by changing the flow rates at various temperatures (T). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected (10 min). The reaction mixture was analyzed by GC, and the results are summarized in Tables 5 and 6.
Table 5. The cross-coupling of bromobenzene and p-iodobenzonitrile by the sequence of lithiation, borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling in a flow (monolith A reactor).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>$t^R$ (min)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. The cross-coupling of bromobenzene and p-iodobenzonitrile by the sequence of lithiation, borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling in a flow (monolith B reactor).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$T$ (°C)</th>
<th>Flow Rate (mL/min)</th>
<th>$t^R$ (min)</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Biphenyl-4-Carbonitrile

Synthesized in 52–100% yield (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC $^1$R 21.4 min; $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.76–7.66 (m, 4H), 7.61–7.57 (m, 2H), and 7.52–7.39 (m, 3H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [137].

3.4. Cross-Coupling of Aryl Bromides (Ar$^1$–Br) and Aryl Halides (Ar$^2$–X) by the Integration of Lithiation, Borylation and Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling in a Flow (Monolith A Reactor)

A flow microreactor system consisting of two T-shaped micromixers (M1 and M2), two microtube reactors (R1 and R2), and three tube precooling units (P1 (inner diameter $\phi = 1000 \ \mu$m, length L = 100 cm), P2 ($\phi = 1000 \ \mu$m, L = 50 cm), and P3 ($\phi = 1000 \ \mu$m, L = 100 cm)) was used for the formation of lithium borate. A solution of arylbromides (Ar$^1$–Br) (0.10 M in THF) (flow rate: 6.0 mL/min) and a
solution of \( n \)-BuLi (0.60 M in hexane) (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) were introduced to \( M_1 \) (\( \phi = 250 \mu m \)) by syringe pumps. The resulting solution was passed through \( R_1 \) (1.7 s (\( \phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 25 \text{ cm} \)) or 0.059 s (\( \phi = 500 \mu m, L = 3.5 \text{ cm} \))) and was mixed with a solution of trimethoxyborane (0.12 M in THF) (flow rate: 5.0 mL/min) in \( M_2 \) (\( \phi = 250 \mu m \)). The resulting solution was passed through \( R_1 \) (1.7 s (\( \phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 25 \text{ cm} \)) or 0.059 s (\( \phi = 500 \mu m, L = 3.5 \text{ cm} \))) and was mixed with a solution of aryhalides (Ar\( _2 \text{–X} \)) (0.033 M in MeOH (0.67 eq)) was added, and the resulting solution was passed through a flow reactor packed with a polymer monolith \( A \) containing immobilized Pd by a plunger pump (condition (a): \( t_{R_1} = 4.7 \text{ min} \), \( T = 100^\circ \text{C} \) or condition (b): \( t_{R_1} = 7.0 \text{ min} \), \( T = 120^\circ \text{C} \)). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected (10 min) (for the case of 2,2\( ' \)-dibromobiphenyl, \( t_{R_2} = 11.8 \text{ s} \)). The yield of product was determined by GC analysis or isolation. The results are summarized in Table 1.

**Methyl 4-Phenylbenzoate**

Synthesized in 76% yield (condition (a)) or 87% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 22.9 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.69–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.50–7.36 (m, 3H), and 3.94 (s, 3H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [138].

**Biphenyl**

Synthesized in 6% yield (condition (a)) or 41% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 17.0 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.62–7.57 (m, 4H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 4H), and 7.38–7.32 (m, 2H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [139].

**4-Methoxybiphenyl**

Synthesized in 1% yield (condition (a)) or 29% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 20.7 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.64–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 3H), and 7.08 (dd, \( J = 3.6 \text{ Hz}, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)), and 3.85 (s, 3H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [140].

**2-Phenylthiophene**

Synthesized in 86% yield (condition (a)) or 92% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (pentadecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 25.6 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.64–7.58 (m, 2H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.33–7.26 (m, 3H), and 7.08 (dd, \( J = 3.6 \text{ Hz}, J = 4.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H} \)). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [141].

**4,4\( ' \)-Dicyanobiphenyl**

Synthesized in 68% yield (condition (a)) or 91% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 25.4 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.58–7.50 (m, 4H), 7.45–7.37 (m, 2H), 3.85 (s, 3H); \( ^{13}\text{C NMR} \) (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 143.2, 140.4, 132.9, 132.0, 131.5, 130.8, 130.0, 127.8, 118.4, 118.3, 113.5, and 112.2; HRMS (ACPI) calcd. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_9\)N\(_2\)^+ [MH+]:: 205.0760, found: 205.0759.

**3,4\( ' \)-Dicyanobiphenyl**

Synthesized in 12% yield (condition (a)) or 91% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 24.2 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 6.98 (d, \( J = 8.8 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H} \)), and 3.85 (s, 3H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [142].

**2,4\( ' \)-Dicyanobiphenyl**

Synthesized in 12% yield (condition (a)) or 91% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \( t_{R_1} 24.2 \text{ min} \); \( ^1\text{H NMR} \) (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.84–7.78 (m, 2H), 7.74–7.65 (m, 3H), and
7.57–7.50 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 143.3, 142.5, 134.0, 133.2, 132.5, 129.9, 129.6, 128.8, 118.4, 118.0, 112.7, and 111.2; HRMS (APCI) calcd. for C$_{14}$H$_9$N$_2$+ [MH+] : 205.0760, found: 205.0758.

**Methyl 4-(4-Cyanophenyl)benzoate**

Synthesized in 34% yield (condition (a)) or 84% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC $^{1}R$ 26.5 min; $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.17–8.12 (m, 2H), 7.78–7.69 (m, 4H), 7.68–7.64 (m, 2H), and 3.96 (s, 3H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [143].

**Methyl 4-(3-Cyanophenyl)benzoate**

Synthesized in 15% yield (condition (a)) or 87% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC $^{1}R$ 26.3 min; $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.14 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.90 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.58 (t, $J$ = 7.6 Hz, 1H), and 3.95 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 166.6, 143.1, 141.3, 131.6, 131.5, 130.8, 130.4, 130.0, 129.8, 127.1, 118.6, 113.2, and 52.3; HRMS (APCI) calcd. for C$_{15}$H$_{11}$NO$_2$ [M+] : 237.0784, found: 237.0789. The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [105].

**Methyl 4-(2-Cyanophenyl)benzoate**

Synthesized in 2% yield (condition (a)) or 52% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC $^{1}R$ 25.6 min; $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.19–8.14 (m, 2H), 7.82–7.78 (m, 1H), 7.71–7.61 (m, 3H), 7.56–7.46 (m, 2H), and 3.96 (s, 3H). The spectral data were identical to those of reported in the literature [144].

**1-Cyano-4”-bromo-4,1′:4′,1″-terphenylene**

Synthesized in 54% yield (condition (b)) (isolated yield). After the extraction with EtOAc, the crude product was thoroughly washed with hexane and water to give the product as a white solid (59.8 mg); $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.77–7.70 (m, 4H), 7.68 (s, 4H), 7.60 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H), and 7.51 (d, $J$ = 8.8 Hz, 2H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [145].

**2,2′-Bithiophene**

Synthesized in 83% yield (condition (a)) or 94% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (pentadecane)); GC $^{1}R$ 25.6 min; $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.21 (dd, $J$ = 1.2 Hz, $J$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (dd, $J$ = 1.2 Hz, $J$ = 3.6 Hz, 1H), and 7.01 (dd, $J$ = 3.6 Hz, $J$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [146].

**4-(Thiophen-2-yl)benzonitrile**

Synthesized in 78% yield (condition (a)) or 87% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC $^{1}R$ 25.6 min; $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.72–7.63 (m, 4H), 7.44–7.38 (m, 2H), and 7.13 (dd, $J$ = 3.6 Hz, $J$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [147].

**Methyl 4-(Thiophen-2-yl)benzoate**

Synthesized in 71% yield (condition (a)) or 86% (condition (b)) (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC $^{1}R$ 25.6 min; $^{1}$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.07–8.02 (m, 2H), 7.70–7.65 (m, 1H), 7.42 (dd, $J$ = 1.2 Hz, $J$ = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (dd, $J$ = 1.2 Hz, $J$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, $J$ = 3.6 Hz, $J$ = 5.2 Hz, 1H), and 3.93 (s, 3H); The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [148].
3.5. Cross-Coupling of Aryl Bromides (Ar\(^1\)-Br) and Aryl Halides (Ar\(^2\)-X) by the Integration of Lithiation, Borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling Using a Single Monolith B Reactor or Three Monolith B Reactors Connected in Series

A flow microreactor system consisting of two T-shaped micromixers (M1 and M2), two microtube reactors (R1 and R2), and three tube precoupling units (P1 (inner diameter \(\phi = 1000 \mu m\), length \(L = 100 cm\)), P2 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 50 cm\)), and P3 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 100 cm\))) was used for the formation of lithium borate. A solution of aryl bromides (Ar\(^1\)Br) (0.10 M in THF) (flow rate: 6.0 mL/min) and a solution of \(n\)-BuLi (0.60 M in hexane) (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) were introduced to M1 (\(\phi = 250 \mu m\)) by syringe pumps. The resulting solution was passed through R1 (1.7 s (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 25 cm\)) or 0.059 s (\(\phi = 500 \mu m, L = 3.5 cm\))) and was mixed with a solution of trimethoxyborane (0.12 M in THF) (flow rate: 5.0 mL/min) in M2 (\(\phi = 250 \mu m\)). The resulting solution was passed through R2 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 50 cm\) (\(t^{R2} = 2.0 s\))). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel. Then, a solution of aryl halides (Ar\(^2\)X) (0.033 M in MeOH (0.67 eq)) was added, and the mixing solution was passed through a single monolith B reactor (0.10 mL/min (19.2 min), 120 °C) or three monolith B reactors connected in series (0.10 mL/min (57.6 min), 120 °C). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected (10 min). The yield of the product was determined by GC analysis or isolation. The results are summarized in Table 2.

2-Cyano-4′-formylbiphenyl

Synthesized in 65% yield in three monolith B reactors (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \(^1\)R 24.0 min; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 10.10 (s, 1H), 8.04–8.00 (m, 2H), 7.84–7.80 (m, 1H), 7.76–7.67 (m, 3H), and 7.57–7.50 (m, 2H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 191.7 144.0, 143.9, 136.2, 133.9, 133.0, 130.1, 130.0, 129.5, 128.5, 118.2, and 111.3; HRMS (ACPI) calcd. for C\(_{14}\)H\(_{16}\)NO\(_2\)\([\text{MH}^+]:\) 208.0762, found: 208.0753. The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [149].

2,2′-Dicyanobiphenyl

Synthesized in 63% yield using one reactor or 94% yield using three reactors (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \(^1\)R 22.8 min; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.86–7.81 (m, 2H), 7.73 (td, \(J = 7.6 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H\)), and 7.62–7.56 (m, 4H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [139].

Methyl 2-(2-Cyanophenyl)benzoate

Synthesized in 43% yield using one reactor or 82% yield using three reactors (GC yield using an internal standard (tetradecane)); GC \(^1\)R 23.3 min; \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 8.10–8.05 (m, 1H), 7.74–7.70 (m, 1H), 7.65–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.56–7.50 (m, 1H), 7.46 (td, \(J = 7.6 Hz, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H\)), 7.37–7.31 (m, 2H), and 3.71 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 166.9, 145.8, 139.6, 132.3, 132.2, 132.1 131.0, 130.8, 129.6, 129.5, 128.8, 127.5, 118.0, 112.3, and 52.1; HRMS (EI) calcd. for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{11}\)NO\(_2\) [M+]: 237.0790, found: 237.0788.

3.6. Cross-Coupling of Bromobenzene and \(p\)-Iodobenzonitrile by the Integration of Lithiation, Borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura Coupling Using Multiple Monolith B Reactors Connected in Series

A flow microreactor system consisting of two T-shaped micromixers (M1 and M2), two microtube reactors (R1 and R2), and three tube precoupling units (P1 (inner diameter \(\phi = 1000 \mu m\), length \(L = 100 cm\)), P2 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 50 cm\)), and P3 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 100 cm\))) was used for the formation of lithium borate. A solution of bromobenzene (0.10 M in THF) (flow rate: 6.0 mL/min) and a solution of \(n\)-BuLi (0.60 M in hexane) (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) were introduced to M1 (\(\phi = 250 \mu m\)) at 0 °C by syringe pumps. The resulting solution was passed through R1 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 25 cm\) (\(t^{R1} = 1.7 s\))) and was mixed with a solution of trimethoxyborane (0.12 M in THF) (flow rate: 5.0 mL/min) in M2 (\(\phi = 250 \mu m\)) (0 °C). The resulting solution was passed through R2 (\(\phi = 1000 \mu m, L = 50 cm\) (\(t^{R2} = 2.0 s\))) (0 °C). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel. Then, a solution of \(p\)-iodobenzonitrile (0.033 M
in MeOH (0.67 eq) was added, and the mixing solution was passed through a flow reactor packed with three or five monolith B reactors connected in series at 100 °C by a plunger pump. The reaction was carried out for various residence times (t^R) in the reactor by changing flow rates. After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected (10 min). The reaction mixture was analyzed by GC, and the results are summarized in Table 3.

### 3.7. Synthesis of Adapalene

A flow microreactor system consisting of two T-shaped micromixers (M1 and M2), two microtube reactors (R1 and R2), and three tube precooling units (P1 (inner diameter φ = 1000 μm, length L = 100 cm), P2 (φ = 1000 μm, L = 50 cm), and P3 (φ = 1000 μm, L = 100 cm)) was used for the formation of lithium borate. A solution of 2-(1-Adamantyl)-4-bromoanisole (0.10 M in THF) (flow rate: 6.0 mL/min) and a solution of n-BuLi (0.60 M in hexane) (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) were introduced to M1 (φ = 500 μm) at 0 °C by syringe pumps. The resulting solution was passed through R1 (φ = 1000 μm, L = 50 cm (t^R1 = 3.4 s)) and was mixed with a solution of trimethoxyborane (0.12 M in THF) (flow rate: 5.0 mL/min) in M2 (φ = 500 μm). The resulting solution was passed through R2 (φ = 1000 μm, L = 50 cm (t^R2 = 2.0 s)). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel.

Then, a solution of methyl 6-iodo-2-naphthoate (0.033 M in THF/MeOH = 1.5:1) was added, and the mixing solution was passed through a monolithic reactor at 120 °C by a plunger pump. The reaction was carried out using a single monolith A reactor (flow rate: 0.2 mL/min) or five monolith B reactors (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) (residence time: 9.5 min). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected in 21 h (a single monolith A reactor) or 4 h (five monolith B reactors). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel.

Then, a solution of methyl 6-iodo-2-naphthoate (0.033 M in THF/MeOH = 1.5:1) was added, and the mixing solution was passed through a monolithic reactor at 120 °C by a plunger pump. The reaction was carried out using a single monolith A reactor (flow rate: 0.2 mL/min) or five monolith B reactors (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) (residence time: 9.5 min). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected in 21 h (a single monolith A reactor) or 4 h (five monolith B reactors). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel.

Methyl 6-(3-(1-adamantyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-naphthoate (0.517 g) and 20 mL of 1,2-propanediol were placed in a flask, and the mixture was heated to 190 °C. A solution of lithium borate was added, and the mixing solution was passed through a monolithic reactor at 120 °C by a plunger pump. The reaction was carried out using a single monolith A reactor (flow rate: 0.2 mL/min) or five monolith B reactors (flow rate: 1.0 mL/min) (residence time: 9.5 min). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected in 21 h (a single monolith A reactor) or 4 h (five monolith B reactors). The resulting solution was collected in a vessel.

### Methyl 6-Iodo-2-naphthoate

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.56 (s, 1H), 8.29 (s, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.79 (dd, J = 8.8 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), and 3.98 (s, 3H); 13C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3) δ 166.9, 136.7, 136.6, 135.3, 131.1, 130.9, 130.6, 127.9, 127.0, 126.2, 94.7, and 52.3; HRMS (ACPI) calcd. for C12H10IO2 [MH+]: 312.9720, found: 312.9711. The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [137].

### Methyl 6-(3-(1-Adamantyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-naphthoate

1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.61 (s, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (s, 1H), 7.99 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (s, 3H), 3.91 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 6H), 2.10 (s, 3H), and 1.57 (s, 6H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [150].

### 6-(3-(1-Adamantyl)-4-methoxyphenyl)-2-naphthoic Acid (Adapalene)

1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d6) δ 13.09 (brs, 1H), 8.58 (s, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 8.14 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (dd, J = 8.6 Hz, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (dd, J = 8.4 Hz, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 2.13 (s, 6H), 2.06 (s, 3H), and 1.75 (s, 6H). The spectral data were identical to those reported in the literature [150].
3.8. Recyclability of the Polymer Monolith A and Monolith B Reactors

The mixing solution of phenyllithium (0.050 M in Et\textsubscript{2}O/THF = 1:19 (1.00 eq)), trimethoxyborane (0.050 M in Et\textsubscript{2}O/THF = 1:19 (1.00 eq)), and p-cyanoiodobenzene (0.033 M in MeOH (0.67 eq)) was passed through a flow reactor packed with a Pd catalyst supported by monolith A or monolith B by using a plunger pump (120 °C, 0.20 mL/min). After a steady state was reached, the product solution was collected (10 min). Then, the monolithic reactor was washed with THF (30 mL). Fifteen cycles of reactions and washings were carried out in the same way. The yields of the product were determined by a GC analysis. The results were summarized in Table 4.

4. Conclusions

An efficient synthetic method of unsymmetrical biaryls was developed by integrating lithiation, borylation, and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling using a flow reactor packed a Pd catalyst supported by the polymer monolith. In addition, a series connection of the flow reactors was proved to be a method for the numbering-up of the flow reactors for a scale-up. The method was successfully applied to various coupling reactions including the synthesis of adapalene. Because both the series connection approach and the conventional parallel connection approach have practical limits of the number of reactors, both approaches will hopefully work together to affect large-scale productions in a continuous flow mode in the industry.
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