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Abstract: All awareness, thoughts, emotions, perceptions, memories, actions—everything that encompasses our human capacity and reality—are mediated through the biological interface of our brains. While the source of consciousness remains a fundamental and elusive question, it is also inescapable that threats to biological health can compromise any and all aspects of psychological and neurological functioning, from the first moments of life. The effects of environmental threats to specific aspects of individual brain health are well recognized, yet precious little attention is given to the collective effects of planetary-scale environmental damage, and the erosion of numerous planetary systems, on the biology of the human brain. Although, these are likely to vary widely with individual circumstances, it is also inevitable that the ‘dysbiotic drift’ (increasing life in distress) at the planetary scale is reflected at the personal scale, with a collective shift towards increased biological stress of all kinds. Here, we make the case that ‘planetary distress’ is directly implicated in a collective increase in ‘personal distress’, and that multifaceted biological pressures, as well as psychological pressures, are implicated in the mental health crisis and predisposition to numerous disorders in brain development, functioning and aging. In turn, this has implications for every aspect of health, capacity, and the very essence of human experience for generations to come. Viewed on this scale, we call for a quantum shift in efforts to address the many factors affecting brain health, ranging from air pollution to disappearing greenspace. These all stem from ecological imbalance and point to a unifying need to restore planetary health. Ultimately, the future of human capacity depends on this.

Keywords: air pollution; biodiversity; brain; ecology; environmental degradation; greenspace; natural environments; neuroscience; planetary health; psychiatry

1. Introduction

We may be far greater than our biology, but it is our biology that limits us, particularly if it is more limited than it needs to be. In this, the health of our brains is arguably one of the most fundamental aspects of human potential at both the personal and planetary scale. Although optimizing conditions for brain health throughout life has long been a concern at the personal and public health level, it is now vital to expand this agenda to a multilateral planetary perspective, in the face of devastating damage to the many planetary systems on which we depend. In short, this calls for greater attention to the collective effects of planetary-scale environmental damage on the health of the human brain.

Specifically, by brain health, we refer to both cognitive (related to memory and information processing) and affective/motivational (related to mood and emotion) health, as well as underlying neurobiological health (including neurotransmission and neuromorphology). These are the prerequisites of intelligence [1], creativity/innovation [2], and mental health [3], all fundamental...
for human capacity—the essential basis of all that makes us human and even our ability to imagine ourselves beyond biology to explore questions of consciousness.

Yet, at no time in history have we faced such multifaceted large-scale environmental threats to the foundations of our biology. Although, the effects of environmental threats will vary widely with geographical and individual circumstances, these is little doubt that increasing life in distress (‘dysbiotic drift’) at the planetary scale is reflected in increased biological stress at the personal scale [4,5].

It has become increasingly evident that many factors associated with ‘planetary distress’ may be implicated in a large scale collective increase in ‘personal distress’—either directly or indirectly—through effects on brain health. While the most obvious among these may be air and water pollution [6] and declining access to greenspace, which are the core focus of this review (below), many other factors affecting brain health are also adversely implicated in changing planetary conditions and ways of human living. These include food systems and dietary patterns [7,8], physical activity levels [9,10], psychological stress [11,12], sleep [13,14], socioeconomic status [15] and disruption to the many microbial ecosystems that support human and environmental health [5].

In this review, we present evidence to support the argument that ‘planetary distress’ is directly implicated in a collective increase in ‘personal distress’ and that these effects are mediated, at least in part, by effects on the brain. This is likely to be compounded by the distinct psychological pressures (known as ‘solastalgia’ [16]) experienced by humans when they experience damage and degradation of their living environment, which will have biological effects. These multifaceted biological pressures, as well as mounting psychological pressures, pose major threats to the human experience and to human capacity. This all serves as a call for large scale changes to protect both the future of humanity and our planetary environment, and the awareness that these cannot be separated.

2. Environmental Pollution Harms the Brain through Multiple Pathways

Exposure to air pollution has been associated with cognitive impairment across the lifespan. For instance, as concluded by a recent systematic review [17], exposure during childhood is associated with delayed mental development, poorer cognitive abilities, and lower academic achievement, while exposure in the elderly is associated with faster cognitive decline.

Air pollution also threatens our mental health. A case-crossover analysis of over 20,000 suicide deaths in Belgium reported that air pollution triggered suicide during summer [18]. In this study, exposure to particulate matter 10 or PM$_{10}$—particles with a diameter of 10 micrometers or less—was estimated to raise the risk of suicide in children (aged 5 to 14 years) by 45% and in the elderly (aged over 85 years) by 17%.

These epidemiological data do not stand alone. They are in accordance with a large amount of neuroscientific data showing environmental pollution harms the brain.

Employing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), a study of children living in highly polluted Mexico City indicated structural damage in the prefrontal cortex (PFC; [19]). A report from the Framingham Offspring Study estimated that a 2-µg/m$^3$ increase in PM$_{2.5}$—fine particles with a diameter of 2.5 micrometers or less—was associated with a 0.32% reduction in the total cerebral brain volume, suggesting more severe age-associated brain atrophy [20]. If this estimation can be generalized, it has striking implications. According to the World Health Organization (WHO) [21], in 2016, the average concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ in the urban areas of the United States, Mexico, and India were 7.6, 20.9 and 68.0 µg/m$^3$, respectively. Here, you can do the calculation yourself and see the potential consequence indicated by the above report.

Air pollution affects the brain through multiple pathways (see Figure 1; [22,23]). Once inhaled, pollutant particles can enter the brain directly via the nasal olfactory pathway, or indirectly through the circulatory system after they penetrate the lung tissue. Magnetite pollutant particles which are <200 nm in diameter have been detected in the frontal cortex of young adults living in Mexico City who died in fatal accidents [24]. A similar amount of magnetite pollutant particles has also been identified in older adults with severe to moderate Alzheimer’s disease [24].
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Figure 1. Air pollution and greenspace’s multiple pathways to the brain. Once inhaled, pollutant particles can enter the brain directly via the nasal olfactory pathway ① or indirectly through the circulatory system after they penetrate the lung tissue ②. They cause inflammation in the nose and lungs and release pro-inflammatory cytokines into the circulation ③. In addition, they activate the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis and increase the blood levels of stress hormones, including cortisol ④. Both pro-inflammatory cytokines and cortisol can reach the brain. Greenspace produces phytoncides and other health-promoting chemicals such as negative air ions, which affect the brain through similar pathways but in the opposite direction. For a more comprehensive review of the pathways, see [22,23,25].

Pollutant particles also cause local inflammation in the nose and lungs which eventually lead to systemic inflammation. The latter causes neuroinflammation and cerebrovascular damage. An autopsy study of healthy children and young adults living in highly polluted Mexico City who died suddenly, found elevated pro-inflammatory cytokines (e.g., IL1-b) and endothelial activation in the olfactory bulb, frontal cortex, substantia nigra, and vagus nerves, compared to those living in less polluted cities [26]. Neuroinflammation and cerebrovascular damages cause neuronal death and play a pivotal role in the development of many neurological and psychiatric diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease [27,28], Parkinson’s disease [29,30], depression [31,32], and schizophrenia [33,34].

Pollutant particles may also activate the hypothalamus–pituitary–adrenal (HPA) axis, a major neuroendocrine system we use to deal with environmental challenges and psychological stress. A study conducted in Shanghai followed 40 college students for a period of five months [35]. During the study period, the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ varied substantially between 12.2 and 80.5 µg/m$^3$, with an average of 41.1 µg/m$^3$. It was found that when the concentration of PM$_{2.5}$ in the city air increased, people’s blood levels of stress hormones, including corticotropin-releasing hormone, adrenocorticotropic hormone, and cortisol, were subsequently elevated within one or two days. This suggests that the
short-term variation of PM$_{2.5}$ at the 24-hour level was sufficient to cause fluctuations in the levels of stress hormones. How PM$_{2.5}$ triggered the HPA axis remains unclear, but perhaps our body ‘feels’ air pollutions just like psychological stress. Notably, chronic activation of the HPA axis has been linked to neuroinflammation and many neurological and psychiatric diseases [9,11].

Not only air pollution, but other forms of pollution such as those caused by chemicals [6], noise [36], heat/climate change [37], and nighttime light [38] have also been associated with elevated systemic inflammation and/or cognitive and mental health problems. Although these remain less studied compared to air pollution, they are increasingly recognized for their adverse effects and are increasingly becoming the subject of further investigation.

3. Greenspace Benefits the Brain through Multiple Pathways

In contrast, exposure to cleaner, greener natural environments—such as forests and parks—has been shown to promote the health of the brain through multiple pathways (see Figure 1; [39,40]; for a more comprehensive review, see [25]). Plants not only reduce environmental pollution but also produce many health-promoting substances, including phytoncides and negative air ions. They also contain diverse microbes that are important to our health.

In general, rural areas are greener and less polluted compared to urban areas [41]. Lederbogen et al. [42] found that in response to a social evaluative threat, currently living in a rural area is associated with lower activation in the amygdala, suggesting higher resiliency. Meanwhile, more years of rural upbringing is associated with lower activation in the perigenual anterior cingulate cortex (pACC) and higher functional connectivity between the pACC and the amygdala, which suggests a more efficient neural network for emotional control. Using MRI, Haddad et al. [43] found that more years living in rural rather than urban areas in the first 15 years of life is associated with larger gray matter volume in the pACC and the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dIPFC). This implies a neuroprotective effect of being raised in rural areas.

Several neuroimaging studies have indicated beneficial effects on the brain of even brief nature contact. Studies using functional near-infrared spectroscopy (fNIRS) reported that 20 minutes of forest walking [44] or 3 minutes of gardening activities [45] reduced activation of the PFC, which is interpreted as a relaxing effect. Another study using functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) reported that a 90-minute walk in a natural setting decreased the activity of the subgenual PFC and the pACC [46]. The subgenual PFC and the pACC typically become more active under negative emotions such as anxiety and depression (see Reference [25]).

Nature contact such as forest walking also boosts immunity and reduces the level of pro-inflammatory cytokines [47,48]. Furthermore, forest walking attenuates stress responses and reduces the level of stress hormones, particularly cortisol (for a review, see [49]). In addition, natural environments also promote health by providing opportunities for physical exercise and social contact [50]. Furthermore, contact with healthy natural environments is being increasingly shown to promote healthier human microbiomes—now recognized as an essential component of all aspects of health, including brain health [5].

As a result, a large, growing number of epidemiological studies from around the world have reported that people living in greener residential surroundings (typically within a 1–3-kilometer radius) have a lower incidence of many diseases, both physical and mental [40,51]. They also possess higher cognitive abilities and are better-tempered, more altruistic, more friendly, more satisfied with their lives, and live longer [40,51].

4. The Future of Human Potential Depends on Planetary Health

We are living in a world of increasing dichotomies and extremes. Humanity is enjoying unprecedented growth in technology and rapid economic development. Yet, this has occurred in tandem with the many detrimental effects of urbanization, industrialization, and extreme social inequality—all coupled with profound physical and psychological distress.
The growing pressure of human activities is associated with the clear deterioration of many planetary systems; in essence we are living on a planet in distress [52] and many aspects of this are getting worse. According to the World Bank [53], the increase of PM$_{2.5}$ air pollution has accelerated in the past decades (Figure 2). Now 91% of the world’s population lives in places where air quality exceeds WHO guideline limits—mostly in the Eastern Mediterranean and South-East Asia [54].

![PM$_{2.5}$ World Trend](image1)

**Figure 2.** PM$_{2.5}$ air pollution, mean annual exposure (µg/m$^3$). Data source: World Bank [53].

Adding to this, our planet is experiencing erosion of many of the protective and buffering systems. For instance, forests as a major component of the green, protective natural environment have been disappearing year by year at unprecedented speed (Figure 3).

![Forest Coverage World Trend](image2)

**Figure 3.** Forest coverage (% of land area). Data source: World Bank [55].

This reflects the ‘dual burden’ of dysbiotic drift, discussed by Prescott and Logan in the introduction of this Special Issue. Both humanity and planetary systems are suffering the compounding additive burden of increasing adverse exposures (e.g., pollution) on one hand, as well as the effects of decreasing protective exposures (e.g., loss of biodiversity due to disappearing greenspace) on the
other. As we have shown, this echoes through to the cellular level as we consider the impact on human health, including our brains.

Even further than this, we argue that we are also haunted by a ‘third degree of burden’—our increasing vulnerability, as a consequence of these many exposures. It has been reported that the detrimental effect of air pollution on cognitive performance actually becomes more evident as we age [56]. In mice, acute exposure to ozone induces neuroinflammation to a greater degree in the aged compared to in the young [57]. Thus, our brains become more vulnerable to environmental problems as we get older. This raises a serious concern as the world population is expected to age—and has already been aging—quickly (Figure 4).

![Figure 4. Percentage of population aged 60 years or over, from 1980 to 2050. Data source: United Nations [58].](image)

Moreover, there may be transgenerational effects yet to be appreciated, as has been seen with other body systems, which compound both the effects and the vulnerabilities to adverse environments across generations, as has been seen with obesity and other noncommunicable diseases [59].

Already, neurological and psychiatric disorders have become a leading cause of the global burden of disease [60,61], with huge economic losses and health care costs reducing resources available to manage environmental damage and pollution control. This must be seen as a warning sign of neurobiological effects of collective stress, and the already shifting patterns of human biology.

This calls for large-scale planetary efforts which address the ‘three degrees of burden’, by reducing adverse exposures, increasing protective factors and improving resilience—towards sustainable development [62], before it is too late. For this to occur, it is vital to understand and appreciate how the future of the planet and humanity are interdependent.

There is no question that the crisis in human identity extends far beyond biological domains, yet without addressing the pressures that are magnifying our biological limits we will not have the resources or the capacity to overcome these challenges.
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