Comparative Study of the Corrosion Resistance of Air-Plasma-Sprayed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ Coatings in Salt Water
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Abstract: In this study, Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating was sprayed on stainless steel substrate and the corrosion resistance of the as-sprayed coating was studied in salt water. At the same time, Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings were produced by air-plasma-sprayed technology as comparison. Immersion test was carried out to evaluate the protection performance of coatings. Potentiodynamic polarization curves and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) plots were also analyzed. The results indicated that Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings showed a better protection performance than Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings. During the immersion, various calcium carbonate crystals appeared on the surface of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings. Ca(OH)$_2$ was released from Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings into NaCl aqueous solution, increasing the alkalinity, which is in favor of the formation of passivation film, and thus improves the corrosion resistance. Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings became denser after immersion due to the fact that the pores and micro cracks were filled with hydration products i.e., hydrated calcium silicate (C–S–H) gel. On the contrary, the microstructure of Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings became loose and obvious rusty spots were observed on the surface after the immersion test.
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1. Introduction

Marine resources play an important role in the development of world economics. However, sea water is a corrosive medium. Sea water contains large number of ions, such as Na$^+$, Cl$^-$, Mg$^{2+}$, SO$_4^{2-}$, K$^+$ and CO$_3^{2-}$. The salt concentration is about 3.5 wt % in sea water. Therefore, corrosion in sea water is very severe [1]. Marine engineering materials, especially metal structural materials, suffer from different levels of corrosion damage. Thus, measures must be taken to protect the metal materials served in the harsh marine environment [2]. Spraying anti-corrosion coatings on the metal material surface can effectively slow down the corrosion rate [3].

At present, the widely applied anti-corrosion technologies in the marine corrosion field include organic paint, zinc-aluminium alloy, amorphous alloy coating, oxide ceramic coatings [4], etc. Organic paint might age and then spall when it works for a long time under the exposure of sun or in sea water, so its durability needs to be improved [5]. Zinc-aluminium alloy and amorphous alloy coatings exhibit good corrosion resistance in the marine environment, but bad electrical insulation which cannot hinder the transfer of electric charge in the corrosion reaction, thus they are sacrificial anode protection [6]. The oxides such as Al$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$/TiO$_2$ and Al$_2$O$_3$/Cr$_2$O$_3$ showed good corrosion resistance [7] and in previous studies, ceramic coatings were effective to isolate the corrosive medium [8].
Cement is another inorganic material used widely in the marine environment, especially in marine building infrastructure. The main components of cement are dicalcium silicate (C\textsubscript{2}S), tricalcium silicate (C\textsubscript{3}S), tricalcium aluminate and tetracalcium aluminoferrite [9]. Earlier studies have revealed that tricalcium aluminate would react with sulfate ion in the sea water to generate swelling salt, causing the volume expansion of concrete and inducing crack propagation [10]. Cement is a kind of gel material with dicalcium silicate and tricalcium silicate as the main composition, which would react with water to generate hydrated calcium silicate gel. Hydrated calcium silicate gel would fill the pores and micro cracks in the structure and improve the permeability resistance. In general, the more hydrated the calcium silicate, the denser the structure. Therefore, the compressive strength of cement stone would be higher. The equal mass of C\textsubscript{2}S would produce more hydrated calcium silicate than C\textsubscript{3}S does in the process of hydration. It was found that after two years’ hydration process, the ultimate strength of C\textsubscript{2}S block was 143.5 MPa but the ultimate strength of C\textsubscript{3}S block was 113 MPa, the ultimate strength of C\textsubscript{2}S block is higher by 26% than that of C\textsubscript{3}S block [11]. Thus, dicalcium silicate may produce more C–S–H gel and improve the density of coating. The melting point of silicate minerals is very high. Plasma spraying uses high-temperature plasma as its heat source; the flame temperature is so high that most ceramic material and metal material can be flame-melted [12]. Plasma-sprayed coatings usually have good bond strength. X. Liu et al. mentioned that the bond strength of dicalcium silicate coatings was about 38.9 ± 3.5 MPa (the thickness of the coating was about 380 \(\mu\)m) [13]. However, almost no study discussed the corrosion resistance of dicalcium silicate coatings in marine environment.

In the current work, dicalcium silicate coatings and alumina coatings were produced by air-plasma-sprayed technology, the phase composition and microstructure of coatings were studied. In addition, immersion test was carried out to evaluate the protection performance of coatings. Potentiodynamic polarization curves and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) plots were also analyzed.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Feedstock Power and Coating Preparation

Dicalcium silicate (Ca\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4}) powders were synthesized by solid-state reaction using analytical-grade calcium carbonate and silicon dioxide with an initial CaCO\textsubscript{3}/SiO\textsubscript{2} molar ratio of 2.0. The materials were wet-mixed for 10 h. The typical size of Ca\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} powders was about 30–50 \(\mu\)m. Commercially available alumina powders were used. F4-MB plasma gun was applied to deposit the coatings including NiCr bond layer and oxide layer; 304 stainless steel with the dimensions of 30 mm \(\times\) 15 mm \(\times\) 1.25 mm was used as substrate. Prior to spraying, the stainless steel substrate was degreased ultrasonically in ethanol and then grit-blasted with corundum. The detailed plasma spraying parameters are listed in Table 1. The thickness of bond coat is about 15–30 \(\mu\)m, and the Ca\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} coatings are both 130–150 \(\mu\)m.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>NiCr</th>
<th>Ca\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4}</th>
<th>Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc current, A</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary plasma gas (Ar), slpm</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary plasma gas (H\textsubscript{2}), slpm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier gas, slpm</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powder feed rate, g/min</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spray distance, mm</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Microstructure and Property Characterization

The crystalline phase compositions of the powders and coatings were analyzed by a powder X-ray diffraction using a Rigaku D/Max2550 diffract meter (Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan) with nickel-filtered Cu
Kα radiation (λ = 0.15406 nm). The microstructures of coatings were investigated by scanning electron microscope (SEM) TM3000 (Hitachi, Tokyo, Japan) equipped with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS). The Image-Pro Plus software (version 6.0.0.) was applied to measure the porosity of coatings according to the Image analysis method. Each data of porosity was based on 10 pieces of coating cross-section SEM images with 1000×. The bond strength is measured according to ASTM C-633 [14]; Instron-5592 tension, pressure universal testing machine (Instron, New York, USA) is used. The substrate is 1Cr 13 stainless steel, and the dimension is φ 25 mm × h 60 mm.

2.3. Corrosion Tests

The corrosion resistance of plasma-sprayed coatings was investigated by immersion test in unstirred 3.5 wt % NaCl aqueous solution at (35 ± 2) °C. After being immersed for 5, 10, 20, 30 days, the coatings were denoted as Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\)-X and C\(\text{2}S\text{-X} (X = 5, 10, 20, 30). Electrochemical corrosion test was also conducted. The electrochemical systems used in the tests were Ametek 1287 & 1260 (Berwyn, PA, USA). Polarization curves were recorded from −0.5 V to 0.6 V vs. open circuit voltage (Eocp) at 0.5 mV/s potential sweep rate. Electrochemical tests were conducted in a three-electrode cell using platinum mesh as counter electrode, a saturated calomel electrode (SCE) as reference electrode and the coating as working electrode. Electrolyte was 3.5 wt % NaCl aqueous solution prepared from analytic reagent sodium chloride and deionized water. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) plots were recorded after immersing the specimens in 3.5 wt % NaCl aqueous solution for about 30 min when the open circuit potentials became almost steady; the recorded frequency range was from 10⁵ Hz to 10⁻² Hz, the applied perturbation was 10 mV AC amplitude.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Phase Compositions and Microstructures

The XRD patterns of the powders and as-sprayed coatings are shown in Figure 1. Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) powders were composed of γ-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\), while the phase in as-sprayed Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) coatings was β-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) with a part of glassy phase. In Figure 1c, the increase in the background area under the peaks indicated the glassy phase [13]. In the high-temperature plasma flame flow, γ-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) powders were rapidly melted into droplets, and the droplets hit/impacted the substrate, then quickly deposited on the surface to form coating. β-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) was generated during the rapid cooling process, some of the droplets did not crystallize in time and were converted into glass phase. The rate of change in heat evolution leads to the formation of different types of polymorphs (α, α\(\prime\), α\(\prime\)′, β and γ) of Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) [15], as shown in Figure 2.

γ-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) is the stable phase at room temperature. It is generally believed that the higher the form temperature, the higher the hydration rate, so the hydration rate rank of the different phase is α > α\(\prime\) > α\(\prime\)′ > β > γ [16]. Previous investigations indicated that γ-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) almost cannot react with water [17], while β-Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) can react with water to generate gel of hydrated calcium silicate. The alumina powders were α-Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\), but as-sprayed coating contained α-Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) and γ-Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\). A part of α-Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) transformed into γ-Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) during the plasma spraying process. The phase of Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) coatings produced by plasma spraying mainly existed in γ-Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) phase [18].

The surface and cross-sectional morphologies of as-sprayed coatings are shown in Figure 3. The surface morphology of coatings revealed that the coating was built up from melted droplets. The cross-sectional view of the coating showed obvious pores and micro cracks. The porosity of Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) coating and Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) coating were 6.50% and 8.85%, respectively. It showed that Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) coating was a little denser than Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) coating. The bond strength of Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) coatings and Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) coating were 35.0 ± 3.5 MPa and 26.0 ± 3.5 MPa, which revealed that the bonding strength between Ca\(\text{2}Si\text{O}_4\) coating and metal substrate was better than that for Al\(\text{2}O\text{3}\) coating.
Figure 1. XRD patterns of powders (a) Ca$_2$SiO$_4$, (b) Al$_2$O$_3$, and as-sprayed coatings (c) Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, (d) Al$_2$O$_3$ coating.

Figure 2. The transition temperature of five kinds of dicalcium silicate crystal [15].

Figure 3. Surface morphologies of as-sprayed (a) Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, (c) Al$_2$O$_3$ coating, and cross-section morphologies of as-sprayed (b) Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, (d) Al$_2$O$_3$ coating.
3.2. Immersion Test

Figure 4 shows the surface morphologies of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating after being immersed in still 3.5 wt % NaCl solution at (35 ± 2) °C for 5, 10, 20 and 30 days.

![Figure 4](image-url)

As shown in Figure 4, after being immersed in 3.5 wt % NaCl solution for 5 days, there were some rhombic solid particles on the surface of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating. XRD patterns in Figure 5a show that the particles are rhombic calcite (CaCO$_3$). More and more calcium carbonate crystals were formed on the surface of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating as the immersed time increased. When immersed for 20 days, chrysanthemum-like or spindle-like [19] aragonite (CaCO$_3$) and spherical vaterite (CaCO$_3$) appeared. After 30 days, calcium carbonate crystals spread all over the coating surface. It was easy for calcium carbonate crystals to fall from the coating surface.

![Figure 5 XRD patterns](image-url)

The chemical processes that took place on the surface of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ during immersion of specimens in 3.5% NaCl solution are as follows [20]:

\[
\text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4 + n\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow m\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O} + (2 - m)\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \quad (n = x + 2 - m) \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Ca(OH)}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (2)
\]
or \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 + \text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \) \hfill (3)

And the \( \text{Na}^+ \) and \( \text{Cl}^- \) ions have no effect on the hydration process of \( \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4 \) coatings.

Han et al. studied the factors affecting the phase and morphology of \( \text{CaCO}_3 \) prepared by a bubbling method. They found that low \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration or sufficient supply of \( \text{CO}_2 \) was in favor of the formation of spherical vaterite [21]. At the beginning of the immersion in 3.5 wt % \( \text{NaCl} \) solution, \( \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4 \) coating reacted with water to release \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \). High \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration promoted to form rhombic calcite as shown in Figure 5a. As the slow dissolution of \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas, \( \text{Ca}^{2+} \) concentration decreased, spherical vaterite formed on the coating surface as shown in Figure 5b. In reference [21], the size of calcium carbonate particle was below 10 \( \mu\text{m} \), but the particle size of calcite and vaterite formed on \( \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4 \) coating were more than 50 \( \mu\text{m} \). During the immersion, the release of \( \text{Ca(OH)}_2 \) and dissolution of \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas were very slow, and the supersaturation of solution remained at a low level. In addition, supersaturation played an important role on the rate of crystal nucleus formation and crystal growth, and the supersaturation influenced the size and distribution of the formed particles. When the supersaturation was low, the ratio of crystal growth rate and crystal nucleus formation rate is bigger, so the crystal is bigger with relatively complete crystal shape, but the crystallization rate was slow [22]. When the supersaturation of solution was kept low for a long time, the crystal growth was predominant in the solution. Therefore, the size of calcium carbonate particles on \( \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4 \) coating was larger than that in other studies.

Figure 6 shows the surface morphologies of \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) coating after being immersed in still 3.5 wt % \( \text{NaCl} \) solution at (35 ± 2) °C for 5, 10, 20 and 30 days. When immersed in 3.5 wt % \( \text{NaCl} \) solution for 5 days, there were more pores on the \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) coating surface, thus the corrosive medium could permeate into the coating more easily than as-sprayed \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) coating. Rust staining was discovered on the 10th day, and then rust staining became larger. Because of the dissolution of \( \text{CO}_2 \) gas in air, the pH value of the solution was below 7, and the swell was caused by the generation of hydrogen during the corrosion process. Figure 7 shows the surface morphologies of coatings after being immersed 30 days, the \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) coating appeared obvious rusty spot, but there was no rusty spot on \( \text{Ca}_2\text{SiO}_4 \) coating. Figure 8 shows the EDS analysis of the region marked with a yellow rectangle in Figure 6, the rust staining contains Fe, Ni, Cr and Cl elements. It is indicated that the corrosive medium penetrated into the metal substrate and the substrate suffered from corrosion.

**Figure 6.** The surface morphologies of \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \) coatings after being immersed in unstirred 3.5 wt % \( \text{NaCl} \) solution at (35 ± 2) °C: (a) \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-5; (b) \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-10; (c) \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-20; (d) \( \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-30.
Figure 7. The surface morphologies of coatings after being immersed 30 days in unstirred 3.5 wt % NaCl solution at (35 ± 2) °C: (a) C$_2$S-30; (b) Al$_2$O$_3$-30.

Figure 8. EDS analysis of the region marked with a yellow rectangle in Figure 6: (a) Al$_2$O$_3$-10; (b) Al$_2$O$_3$-30.

Figure 9 shows the cross-section images of the Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating after being immersed for different times. In order to present the hydration area of the Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, the morphology of the yellow box in Figure 9c is shown in Figure 9f. The bottom figures, Figure 9d–f, are the same as the top ones with higher magnification. From Figure 9, the porosity of as-sprayed coating was 6.05%, C$_2$S-10 was 3.05%, and C$_2$S-30 was 1.10%. The porosity of C$_2$S coating was reduced after being immersed. Figure 10 also shows the change of coating surface. It was evident that the pore became smaller and the cracks became less after immersion for 30 days. Figure 10 shows that hydration products C–S–H gel formed during the immersion test, and the pores and micro cracks were filled with C–S–H gel.

Figure 9. The cross-section morphologies of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings after being immersed in unstirred 3.5 wt % NaCl solution at (35 ± 2) °C: (a,d) as-sprayed C$_2$S; (b,e) C$_2$S-10; (c,f) C$_2$S-30.
Figure 10. The surface morphologies of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings: (a) as-sprayed C$_2$S; (b) C$_2$S-30. (c) The XRD pattern of C$_2$S-30.

In Figure 11, it is obvious that hydration phenomenon occurred at the top of 80–110 $\mu$m thickness of the coating after being immersed for 30 days. The CO$_2$ gas in air dissolved in NaCl solution to form CO$_3^{2-}$ or HCO$_3^-$. They reacted with Ca(OH)$_2$ and CaCO$_3$ crystal was formed at the coating surface. When the corrosion medium containing CO$_3^{2-}$ or HCO$_3^-$ penetrated into the internal of the coating, C$_2$S-30 showed carbon element enrichment at the top of 80–110 $\mu$m thickness.

Figure 11. EDS analysis of the cross-section of C$_2$S-30: (a) the original image; and the element distribution of (b) Carbon, (c) Silicon, (d) Calcium.

As shown in Figure 12, during the immersion, the internal of Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings becomes looser and more porous. The porosity of as-sprayed coatings was 8.85%, which increased to 10.14% after immersion for 10 days and then to 12.36% after 30 days. Corrosion products were observed in Al$_2$O$_3$-30 coatings. The bond strength of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating and Al$_2$O$_3$ coating after being immersed in 3.5 wt % NaCl solution for 12 days were 25.0 $\pm$ 3.5 MPa and 20.5 $\pm$ 3.5 MPa, respectively. The bond strength of C$_2$S-12 and Al$_2$O$_3$-12 were lower than that in as-sprayed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings and Al$_2$O$_3$ coating.

From Figures 9 and 12, it is concluded that Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating becomes denser, the micro cracks and pores are filled with hydration products, such as C–S–H gel and CaCO$_3$. The dense internal structure is beneficial in isolating the metal substrate from the corrosive medium. Al$_2$O$_3$ coating becomes loose and porous after being immersed for 30 days. Corrosion medium attacked the metal substrate under Al$_2$O$_3$ coating.
Figure 12. The cross-section morphologies of Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} coatings after immersed in unstirred 3.5 wt % NaCl solution at (35 ± 2) °C: (a,d) as-sprayed Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}; (b,e) Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-10; (c,f) Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-30.

3.3. Potentiodynamic Polarization

Figure 13 shows the potentiodynamic polarization curves of Ca\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} coatings and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} coatings after being immersed in still 3.5 wt % NaCl solution at (35 ± 2) °C for 0, 5, 10, 20 and 30 days. The corrosion parameters for the tested electrodes are given in Table 2. \( I_{corr} \) was a key parameter to evaluate the kinetics of the corrosion reaction, which was inversely proportional to the corrosion protection. The polarization resistance \( (R_p) \) is calculated as the slope of the \( E \) vs. \( I \) graph near the \( E_{corr} \). The polarization resistance \( (R_p) \) value of the electrodes was calculated according to the formula:

\[
I_{corr} \left( \text{A/cm}^2 \right) = \frac{\beta_a \beta_c}{2.3 \times (\beta_a + \beta_c) \cdot R_p}
\]  

Figure 13. The potentiodynamic polarization curves of Ca\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{4} coating (a) and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} coating (b), respectively, after being immersed in still 3.5 wt % NaCl solution at (35 ± 2) °C for 0, 5, 10, 20 and 30 days.
Table 2. Corrosion parameters of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings after being immersed in 3.5 wt % NaCl solution for different time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>$E_{corr}$ (V)</th>
<th>$I_{corr}$ ($\mu$A/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$-\beta_c$ (V)</th>
<th>$-\beta_d$ (V)</th>
<th>$R_p$ (kΩ·cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>as-sprayed Ca$_2$S</td>
<td>−0.37</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>39.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_2$S-5</td>
<td>−0.44</td>
<td>0.227</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.370</td>
<td>81.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_2$S-10</td>
<td>−0.62</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.797</td>
<td>38.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_2$S-20</td>
<td>−0.64</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>0.688</td>
<td>26.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca$_2$S-30</td>
<td>−0.65</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.957</td>
<td>23.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>as-sprayed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>−0.36</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.100</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>91.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-5</td>
<td>−0.38</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.403</td>
<td>23.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-10</td>
<td>−0.59</td>
<td>9.78</td>
<td>0.282</td>
<td>0.887</td>
<td>9.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-20</td>
<td>−0.71</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>1.186</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$-30</td>
<td>−0.55</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>6.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The $I_{corr}$ value of as-sprayed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating was 1.24 $\mu$A/cm$^2$, then decreased to 0.227 $\mu$A/cm$^2$ after being immersed for 5 days. The $I_{corr}$ value of Ca$_2$S-30 was 1.61 $\mu$A/cm$^2$. The $R_p$ value of as-sprayed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating was 39.53 kΩ·cm$^2$, after being immersed, $R_p$ value of Ca$_2$S-5 went up to 81.38 kΩ·cm$^2$, the $R_p$ value of Ca$_2$S-30 was 23.11 kΩ·cm$^2$. The $I_{corr}$ value of as-sprayed Al$_2$O$_3$ coating was 0.219 $\mu$A/cm$^2$. The $I_{corr}$ of Al$_2$O$_3$ coating went up sharply after being immersed, the $I_{corr}$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-20 was 25.5 $\mu$A/cm$^2$, the $I_{corr}$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-30 was 9.13 $\mu$A/cm$^2$, and it was larger than that of Ca$_2$S coating. The $R_p$ value of as-sprayed Al$_2$O$_3$ coating was 91.22 kΩ·cm$^2$, after being immersed $R_p$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-5 went down sharply to 23.08 kΩ·cm$^2$, the $R_p$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-30 was 6.91 kΩ·cm$^2$.

When immersed for 30 days, the corrosion current density of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings increased slightly. For Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, at the first 20 days, the corrosive medium penetrated to the metal substrate to promote the formation of passive film, which suppressed the corrosion process, but the passive film did not cover the whole metal surface. With the increasing immersion time, more solution arrived at substrate to induce pitting; therefore, the corrosion resistance of Ca$_2$S-30 inclined. Polarization curves show the shifting of corrosion potential ($E_{corr}$) towards more negative potential with increasing immersed time, indicating that immersed coatings preferentially inhibit the cathodic process.

From Figure 13a, Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings show obvious passivation phenomenon. Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings released a lot of Ca(OH)$_2$ into NaCl aqueous solution. The solution was alkaline and the pH was above 8.0, although the dissolution of CO$_2$ gas in air may decrease the pH value. The alkaline environment was in favor of the formation of passivation film [23]. Therefore, Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating promoted the formation of passivation film and passivation film could protect the metal from corrosion effectively. Only Al$_2$O$_3$-20 showed the passive behavior for Al$_2$O$_3$ coating. The passivation film might form partly. On the other hand, pitting corrosion occurred easily when the Al$_2$O$_3$ coating was loose. So, the corrosion current density was very large, the $R_p$ was very low. For Al$_2$O$_3$-30, the corrosion product possibly blocked up the crack and pores in the coating, the corrosion rate inclined and current became lower; $R_p$ increased to 6.36 kΩ·cm$^2$. From the potentiodynamic polarization curves and corrosion parameters of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings, it could be concluded that Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating was more corrosion-resistant than Al$_2$O$_3$ coating.

3.4. Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

Figure 14 shows the EIS plots of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coatings after immersion. There was a depressed semi-circle at the high frequencies, it indicated that a CPE was placed in parallel to a resistor. The diameter of the semi-circle increased at the initial 10 days, then decreased with the increase of immersion time. At low frequencies, there was a line.
In Figure 14, the points present the actual data recorded by the test system, and the solid lines represent fitting data. Furthermore, the lines fit the actual data very well. The equivalent circuits of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating are given in Figure 15. As shown in Figure 15, $R_s$ is the resistance of solution; $R_t$ is the resistance of the charge transfer. The greater the $R_t$, the better corrosion-resistance. $R_{pore}$ indicates the protective ability of coating, the higher porosity and larger pores would cause low $R_{pore}$; $Q_c$ represents the constant phase element of coating; $Q_{dl}$ is the double-layer capacitance between substrate and coating interface; $Q_p$ represents the constant phase element of passive film; a capacitor is more suitable for the actual Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating at the last 30 days, and $C_c$ is the capacitance of coating; $W_s$ is a closed-loop diffusion-related element of Warburg impedance, it is used to represent the finite layer diffusion resistance.

For as-sprayed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, the Nyquist plot could be divided into three parts, two depressed semi-circles at high frequencies and a line at low frequencies. The first small depressed semi-circle was produced as $Q_c$ was placed in parallel to $R_{pore}$, and the second large depressed semi-circle was produced as $Q_{dl}$ was placed in parallel to $R_t$, the line is produced by $W_s$. The equivalent circuits for as-sprayed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating are shown in Figure 15a. After immersion, passivation film was formed on the substrate, the equivalent circuit was changed, only one capacitive reactance arc occurred. For immersed Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, as shown in Figure 15b, the high-frequencies capacitive reactance arc
presents $R_1$ in parallel with the $Q_c$; and at low frequencies, the plots show the character of passivation film [24]. In Figure 14b, the diameter of the high-frequencies capacitive reactance loop increased at the initial 10 days, then decreased with the increase of immersion time, it indicated that the $R_1$ became larger firstly and decreased as the corrosion medium penetrated to the internal of coatings.

The corrosion parameters of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings after being immersed in 3.5 wt % NaCl solution for different times are shown in Table 3. For Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ coating, the $R_1$ value of Ca$_2$S was 6288 $\Omega$, then it went up after being immersed, $R_1$ value of Ca$_2$S-5 was 7319 $\Omega$, $R_1$ value of Ca$_2$S-10 was 7759 $\Omega$, but it finally went down to 420.6 $\Omega$. At the first 10 days, the corrosive medium penetrated to the metal substrate to promote the formation of passive film, which suppressed charge transfer, giving rise to the $R_1$ value. The passive film did not cover the whole metal surface, with the increasing immersion time, more solution arrived at substrate to induce pitting, the value of $R_1$ went down [25]. At the last 30 days, the value of $R_1$ was just 420 $\Omega$, which might mean the resistance of solution in the pit. The electrochemical impedance spectroscopies in Figure 14a are lines with different slopes at low frequencies, which represents the great diffusion resistance of corrosive medium. The larger slope means better capacitive character. The slope is presented by the value of $Q_p$-$p$. When the value of $Q_p$-$p$ was closer to 1.0, the passivation film was denser and thicker. From Table 3, the value of $Q_p$-$p$ went up and was closer to 1.0 after being immersed; it is concluded that the passivation film is more like a pure capacitance to hinder the pass of electronic, indicating better corrosion resistance [25]. From Figure 14c, it was shown that at 0.01 Hz, the values of impedance modulus increased after immersion, which was consistent with the lines length of EIS at low frequencies in Figure 14a. If the constant phase element is a pure capacitance, its phase angle will be 90°, and in Figure 13d, the phase angle went up and was more and more close to 90°, which presents the good capacitance of passive film. The change of phase angle was consistent with the value of $Q_p$-$p$.

Table 3. Corrosion parameters of Ca$_2$SiO$_4$ and Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings after being immersed in 3.5 wt % NaCl solution for different time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (Days)</th>
<th>Ca$_2$SiO$_4$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_1$ ($\Omega$)</td>
<td>$Q_p$-$p$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>6288</td>
<td>/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7319</td>
<td>0.865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>7759</td>
<td>0.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4550</td>
<td>0.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>420.6</td>
<td>0.809</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The EIS results of Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings are presented in Figure 16. Figure 17 shows the equivalent circuits of Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings for different time. $Q_c$ represents the constant phase element of coating; and $W_s$ is a closed-loop diffusion related element of Warburg impedance. For Al$_2$O$_3$ coating, the high-frequencies capacitive reactance arc represents the resistance of $W_s$ as shown in Figure 17. In Figure 16b, the diameter of the high-frequencies capacitive reactance loop was increased with the immersed time indicating that the resistance of $W_s$ enlarged. The $W_s$ value of as-sprayed Al$_2$O$_3$ coating was 32.45 $\Omega$, and it went up after being immersed. $W_s$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-5 was 253.4 $\Omega$, $W_s$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-30 was 414.0 $\Omega$. It might be attributed to the fact that corrosion products blocked the diffusion channel in coatings [26]. In Figure 16b, at low frequencies, Nyquist plots of Al$_2$O$_3$ coatings also present lines indicating the diffusion resistance of corrosive medium. From Table 3, the value of $Q_c$-$p$ went down, the $Q_c$-$p$ value of as-sprayed Al$_2$O$_3$ was 0.726, $Q_c$-$p$ value of Al$_2$O$_3$-5 was 0.506, and it finally went down to 0.411. It was concluded that Al$_2$O$_3$ coating became loose and porous. The protection performance of Al$_2$O$_3$ coating is weakened. In Figure 16d, the phase angle went down with the increasing immersed time, which was consistent with the change of the value of $Q_c$-$p$. 
Figure 16. The evolutions of (a) Nyquist plots, (b) local amplification of Nyquist plots, (c) the value of impedance modulus, (d) phase angle plots with immersion time for Al₂O₃ coatings.

Figure 17. The equivalent circuits for Al₂O₃ coatings.

4. Conclusions

From the results of the above investigation, it is concluded that Ca₂SiO₄ coating shows a better corrosion resistance than Al₂O₃ coating. Ca₂SiO₄ coatings released Ca(OH)₂ in NaCl solution to promote the formation of calcium carbonate crystal and passivation film. The crystal structure and grain size of calcium carbonate might be affected by the concentration of Ca²⁺ and CO₃²⁻ in the solution, and the low supersaturation of solution made the crystal growth predominant. Therefore, the size of calcium carbonate particles on Ca₂SiO₄ coating was larger than that in other studies. Passivation film would prevent the corrosive medium from metal substrate, and EIS showed that the passive film became denser and thicker with the increase of immersion time, and the passivation film was like a pure capacitance to hinder the pass of electron, indicating better corrosion resistance. The pores and micro cracks in Ca₂SiO₄ coatings were filled with hydration products C–S–H gel to make the coating denser. The corrosion current density of Al₂O₃ coatings went up sharply after being immersed, and the microstructure became looser and porous with obvious rusty spots during the immersion test.
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