Coatings of Titanium Substrates with ZrO$_2$ and ZrO$_2$-SiO$_2$ Composites by Sol-Gel Synthesis for Biomedical Applications: Structural Characterization, Mechanical and Corrosive Behavior
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Abstract: The use of metallic materials as implants presents some major drawbacks, such as their harmful effects on the living organism, especially those induced by corrosion. To overcome this problem, the implant surface of titanium implants can be improved using a coating of bioactive and biocompatible materials. The aim of this work is the synthesis of SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ composites with different percentages of zirconia matrix (20, 33 and 50 wt.%), by the sol-gel method to coat commercial Grade 4 titanium disks using a dip coater. Attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR/FTIR) spectroscopy was used to evaluate the interactions between the inorganic matrices. Furthermore, the mechanical properties and corrosive behavior of the SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings were evaluated as a function of the ZrO$_2$ content. The bioactive properties of the substrate coated with different composites were evaluated using simulated body fluid (SBF). The antibacterial activity was tested against gram-negative and gram-positive Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis, respectively, to assess the release of toxic products from the different composites and to evaluate the possibility of using them in the biomedical field.
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1. Introduction

For many years now, the use of metallic materials for medical implants in traumatic, orthopedic and oral surgery has been known [1]. However, these materials, when used as implants, could present some damaging effects on the living organism, in particular, effects induced by corrosion. One of the most important factors for metallic medical implants is corrosion resistance, which limits the biocompatibility of implants due to the release of undesirable products in the body fluid, potentially also damaging mechanical properties in the organism [2,3].

To overcome this problem, the surface of titanium implants can be improved using a coating of bioactive and biocompatible materials [4–6]. Among the ceramic materials, zirconia was the first used in orthopedics as a substitute for titanium and alumina in hip head prostheses for its good mechanical and biological properties [7,8]. However, the transformation of the zirconia into different crystalline structures, as in the tetragonal to monoclonal phase, can be one of the main problems of its biological
application in living organisms, since this transformation induces roughening and breaking of the surface, which causes the implant to fail [9].

In the literature are some works related to the stabilization of the tetragonal phase of zirconia with silica, which may be better than other additives, because the silica does not affect the structure of zirconia [10–13]. Additionally, it is known that silica has a high corrosion resistance as well as good biological properties [14–17]. E. Długoń et al. [11] reported that ceramic coatings composed of zirconia and zirconia-silica were used to improve the corrosion resistance of titanium implants, while in the work of M.F. Morks et al. [18], zirconia and silica powders were mixed and plasma sprayed by using a gas tunnel plasma system to coat an SUS 304 substrate, and the microstructure, mechanical properties and in vitro behavior of the composite coatings were evaluated.

In this regard, the aim of this work has been to synthesize SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ composites using the sol-gel method to coat commercial Grade 4 titanium disks using a dip coater. The sol-gel technique is a colloidal chemistry technology, which offers the possibility to synthesize various materials with chemical purity and predefined properties. Metal alkoxides are used in the synthesis procedure as precursors of the inorganic matrix. They are involved in hydrolysis and condensation reactions, producing bulk gels [19].

The sol-gel method can be coupled with coating techniques, such as dip, spin or spray coating. Many works show the importance of the sol-gel dip-coating technique, which is an ideal technology to coat many substrates with bioactive and biocompatible glassy materials to reduce corrosion, ion release and improve the bioactivity and biocompatibility of the substrate [20,21].

Ti-gr4 and nickel-titanium alloys are used in a wide range of biomedical applications, such as bone implants, stents and orthodontic wires [22,23]. Ti-gr4 has excellent mechanical properties and is resistant to corrosion, however, it is a bio-inert material. When introduced into biological tissues, the formation of peri-implant fibrosis can occur and isolate the implant from the surrounding bone and, therefore, lead to a reduction of implant performance [24]. Ni ions are produced by corrosion on the exposed surface of nickel-titanium alloys. The release of Ni ions is harmful to adjacent tissues around the implant [22]. To overcome these adverse characteristics, different alloys can be coated with sol-gel materials. In fact, in the biomedical field, mainly as orthopedic and dental implants, the use of sol-gel materials as coatings of metal implants to improve surface biological properties has been explored previously [23].

In this study, the new composites (SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$) with different percentages of zirconia matrix (20, 33 and 50 wt.%) were synthesized via the sol-gel method and were used to coat commercial Grade 4 titanium disks. The formation of interactions between the inorganic matrices was analyzed by attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR/FTIR) spectroscopy.

Furthermore, the mechanical properties and corrosive behaviors of the SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coatings were evaluated as a function of the ZrO$_2$ content. The bioactive properties of the substrate coated with different composites was evaluated using simulated body fluid (SBF).

Moreover, the antibacterial activity was tested against gram-negative and gram-positive Escherichia coli and Enterococcus faecalis, respectively, to assess the release of toxic products from the different composites and to evaluate the possibility of using them in the biomedical field.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sol-Gel Synthesis and Coating

The new SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ composites containing different percentages of zirconia (20, 33 and 50 wt.%) were synthesized by the sol-gel method. Tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS; Si(OC$_2$H$_5$)$_4$; Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) and a zirconium(IV) propoxide solution with 70 wt.% 1-propanol (Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) were used as sources for the silica and zirconia matrix, respectively. First of all, the silica matrix was prepared by adding a solution of 99.8% ethanol (EtOH, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany), HNO$_3$ (265%, Sigma-Aldrich, Darmstadt, Germany) and distilled water, obtaining a sol with the following molar ratios between reagents: H$_2$O/TEOS = 2, EtOH/TEOS = 6. Afterwards, different percentages of pure zirconia (20, 33 and 50 wt.%) were synthesized using a
mixture of an alkoxide precursor, ethanol, water and acetylacetone, which was used as an inhibitor agent against hydrolytic activity of the zirconium(IV) propoxide (AcAc, Sigma-Aldrich). Next, the zirconia sol was slowly added to the silica sol.

The zirconia sol presented the following molar ratios between reagents: \((\text{Zr(OR)}_4)/\text{AcAc} = 4.5, \text{EtOH/Zr(OR)}_4 = 5.7\).

The synthesized SiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\) composite materials were used to coat commercial Grade 4 titanium disks (Ti-4, Sweden and Martina, Padova, Italy) 8 mm in diameter and 2.20 mm thick, after 24 h of mixing the reagents. Before coating, the substrates were ultrasonically cleaned with acetone and subjected to a passivation process with ≥65\% HNO\(_3\) for 60 min. Subsequently, the KSV LM dip coater (KSV instruments Ltd., Finland) was used to coat the substrate with the synthesized composites. The withdrawal speed of the substrate used was kept to 15 mm/min. This process is illustrated in Figure 1. After the dip coating process, the sols were placed at room temperature and left to dry.

2.2. Coating Characterization

The chemical composition of the obtained coating surface was analyzed by attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR/FTIR) spectroscopy (Tokyo, Japan). The spectra were obtained with the Prestige-21 FTIR spectrometer equipped with an AIM-8800 infrared microscope (Shimadzu Tokyo, Japan), using the incorporated 3-mm diameter Ge attenuated total reflectance (ATR) semicircular prism. Furthermore, the spectra were recorded with an incident angle of 30° with a resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) (64 scan) and were in the range of 650–4000 cm\(^{-1}\). The Prestige software (IRsolution, version 1.50) was used to further analyze the spectra.

2.3. Mechanical Characterization Techniques

Scratch tests have been carried out on a CSM Micro-Combi tester under progressive load conditions. In this test, a 1 mm scratch on the coating was made by drawing a diamond tip (Rockwell C diamond scratch indenter with tip radius of 200 μm) on the surface and varying the normal load from 0.1 to 20 N at a loading rate of 19.92 N min\(^{-1}\). The instrument is equipped with an integrated optical microscope, an acoustic emission detection system and a device measuring the tangential frictional force (along the scratch direction). The sensors provide information useful to determine the critical load for each coating-substrate assembly, in terms of the normal load at which the first
incident of damage to the coating occurs. Three scratches were carried out in different zones for each specimen and the average value of the critical load was calculated.

Nanoindentation was performed using a Berkovitch indenter (Nanoindenter, CSM Instruments, Peseux, CH) operating at a constant load of 50 mN, applied for 15 s. This was done in order to maintain an indentation depth below 1 μm. The nanoindentation results were analyzed according to the Oliver and Pharr procedure [25] in order to determine the coating hardness and elastic modulus. The penetration depth versus load curves have been used to calculate the amount of work required to perform the nanoindentation, and the percentage of work conducted in the elastic regime (integral of the unloading curve) or plastic regime (difference between the integral of the loading curve and the unloading curve). Ten nanoindentations were performed in different zones of each specimen, and the average values have been calculated.

2.4. Corrosion Tests

The corrosion resistance was evaluated by potentiodynamic polarization tests and electrochemical impedance spectra analyses, performed in an electrochemical cell (Flat Cell K0235 PAR), using the samples as a working electrode (exposing an area of 1.4 cm²), a platinum grid as a counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl/KCl(sat.) electrode (SSCE) as a reference electrode (all the potentials reported in this paper refer to this reference electrode). The electrolyte used for the corrosion tests was a Sigma Aldrich DPBS solution (Dulbecco’s phosphate-buffered saline solution, pH 7.4) with the addition of 0.1 g L⁻¹ of CaCl₂, 0.1 g L⁻¹ of MgCl₂·6H₂O and 0.05 g L⁻¹ of bovine serum albumin (BSA) at 37 °C. The polarization procedure was carried out as follows: Each sample was immersed in the test solution for 1 h before applying any polarization in order to stabilize its rest potential (Er). Then, potentiodynamic polarization scans were carried out with a scan rate of 5 mV s⁻¹ in the range from −0.8V (vs Er) to 3.0V (vs Er), using a PAR VersaStat 3 potentiostat.

Furthermore, impedance spectra were acquired for each sample just before the polarization scan (after the 1-hour immersion for the stabilization of Er) and just after with the following settings: A 100 kHz–1 Hz frequency range and an AC amplitude of 10 mV RMS (Root Mean Square), without DC polarization (i.e., 0 V vs Er). The spectra were fitted with the software ZSimpWin (version 3.50), adopting a R(CPE-R)(CPE-R) equivalent circuit (where CPE stands for constant phase element).

In addition to the four coated samples, i.e., 100% ZrO₂ and ZrO₂ + 50, 66 and 80 wt.% of SiO₂, an uncoated titanium sample was tested to assess the corrosive behavior of the bare titanium. The uncoated sample was subjected to the same passivation process used as pretreatment for the coated samples (with 65% HNO₃ for 60 min). Every condition was tested with a single potentiodynamic test after having assessed the high reproducibility with the execution of duplicates on two samples.

The coating protection efficiency (%P.E.) provided together with the Tafel analysis in Table 3 has been calculated through the following relationship: %P.E. = \((I_{\text{cor}} - I_{\text{cor}})/I_{\text{cor}} \times 100\)%, where \(I_{\text{cor}}\) is the corrosion current of the uncoated sample.

2.5. Bioactivity Test

The Ti-4 uncoated disks, coated disks and the powder materials were soaked in simulated body fluid (SBF) to evaluate their bioactivity. The SBF was prepared from NaCl, NaHCO₃, KCl, MgCl₂, 1 M HCl, CaCl₂·6H₂O, and Na₂SO₄ (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) with a concentration that was suggested by Kokubo [26] (Table 1). The pH of the buffer was adjusted to pH 7.4 using 1 M HCl. The different disks as well as the powders were soaked in the SBF solution, which was replaced every two days to avoid the depletion of ionic species in the SBF caused by the nucleation of biominerals on the samples.

| Table 1. Simulated body fluid (SBF) composition. |
| Formulation  | Na⁺ | K⁺  | Mg²⁺ | Ca²⁺ | Cl⁻ | HCO₃⁻ | HPO₄²⁻ | SO₄²⁻ | Buffer |
| Blood plasma | 142.0 | 5.0 | 1.5  | 2.5  | 103.0 | 27.0  | 1.0   | 0.5   | -      |
| SBF          | 142.0 | 5.0 | 1.5  | 2.5  | 144.0 | 4.2   | 1.0   | 0.5   | HEPES  |
After 21 days of exposure at 37 °C, the materials were removed and dried in a desiccator. The ability to form an apatite layer on the disks surface was studied by the Quanta 200 SEM (FEI, Eindhoven, Netherlands), equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectrometer. EDX analysis was performed in a “spot mode” in which the beam was localized on a single manually chosen area within the field of view.

The formation of the hydroxyapatite layer on the powders was evaluated by the Prestige 21 Shimadzu (Japan) FTIR instrument, which was equipped with a DTGS detector. The transmittance FTIR spectra were recorded over a wavenumber range of 4000–400 cm\(^{-1}\) with resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) (45 scans). The FTIR spectra were processed by the Prestige software (IR solution).

2.6. Antibacterial Properties

The antibacterial properties of the Ti-4 disks coated with SiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\) composites, containing different percentages of zirconia, were studied using gram-negative *Escherichia coli* (ATCC 25922) and gram-positive *Enterococcus faecalis* (ATCC 29212) [27]. A bacterial cell suspension of 10 \(\times\) 10\(^5\) CFU/mL was produced by diluting the bacterial culture in distilled water. *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* were inoculated in a Tryptone Bile X-Gluc (TBX) medium (Liofilchem, Italy) and in a Slanetz and Bartley Agar Base (Liofilchem, Italy), respectively.

Afterwards, the bacteria were incubated with the different Ti-4 coated disks for 24 h at 44 °C for *E. coli* and 48 h at 36 °C for *E. faecalis*. The microbial growth was evaluated by observing the diameter of the inhibition halo (ID). The obtained values are the mean standard (SD) deviation of measurements on the samples, which were analyzed three times.

3. Results

3.1. Coating Characterization: ATR-FTIR

In Figure 2, representative images of the Ti-4 disks (untreated and one coated with SiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\) (33 wt.%)) can be seen. It is only possible to observe the different color of the disks. No other differences are visible due to the thin film thickness.

![Figure 2](image)

*Figure 2. Representative images of the commercial Grade 4 titanium (Ti-4) disks: Untreated disk (left) and disk coated with SiO\(_2\)/ZrO\(_2\) (33 wt.%) (right).*

The presence of the interactions between the two inorganic phases were investigated by means of ATR-FTIR spectroscopy. In Figure 3, the spectra of the different composites are reported. In the spectrum of the silica matrix coating (curve e), the typical peaks of SiO\(_2\) sol-gel materials are visible. The asymmetric and symmetric Si–O stretching vibrations can be attributed to the bands at 1060 cm\(^{-1}\) and 790 cm\(^{-1}\) [28]. Furthermore, the peaks at 1640 cm\(^{-1}\) and 952 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to –OH bending vibrations in the water and Si–OH bonds vibrations, respectively [29]. The zirconia matrix spectra (curve a) show that the bands at 1530 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1285 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to the C–C vibrations and that the peak at 1365 cm\(^{-1}\) is due to C=O vibrations of AcAc bidentate binding. Methyl C–H symmetric bending is visible at 1415 cm\(^{-1}\). Moreover, the peak at 1020 cm\(^{-1}\) can be attributed to C–C–H bending, mixed with stretching C–C vibrations of AcAc [30]. Also, in the spectrum of the zirconia matrix, –OH bending vibrations in the water at 1655 cm\(^{-1}\) are present.
Figure 3. Attenuated total reflectance Fourier transform infrared (ATR-FTIR) spectroscopy spectra of (a) pure ZrO$_2$, (b) 50 wt.% SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$, (c) 33 wt.% SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$, (d) 20 wt.% SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ and (e) pure SiO$_2$.

Comparing the spectra of the coating composites with different amounts of the zirconia matrix (20, 33 and 50 wt.%), it is possible to observe a change in the shape and intensity of some peaks, such as the band at 1650 cm$^{-1}$. The displacement of the Si–O stretching band at a lower wavenumber and the different shape is due to the higher incorporation of zirconia matrix. In the composite spectra (curves b, c and d), the slight shift of the peak at 790 cm$^{-1}$ can be attributed to the presence of Si–O–Zr interactions in the materials [31]. Furthermore, the formation of the interactions between the silica and zirconia matrix are confirmed by the change in intensity of the silanol band at 952 cm$^{-1}$ [32]. In fact, if the number of bonds increases, the number of silanol the groups decreases. As a result, the intensity at 952 cm$^{-1}$ would decrease or completely disappear [33].

3.2. Mechanical Characterization Techniques

Figure 4 shows a set of optical microscope micrographs of scratch tests performed on samples containing 20, 33, 50 and 100% ZrO$_2$, with superimposed the acoustic emission signal as a function of the applied normal load.
Figure 4. Scratch test micrographs and the overlaid recorded acoustic emission for samples containing 20, 33, 50 and 100% zirconia. Silica = bal.

The measured values of critical load, determined both optically and based on the acoustic emission signal, indicated as LC1, are summarized in Figure 5 below.

Figure 5. Results of scratch tests: Critical load (LC1).

The SiO₂-free coatings present the highest value of critical load, despite a severe scattering of the results. The trend is non-linear and the addition of 50% ZrO₂ is particularly effective at increasing the critical load. However, the introduction of high percentages of zirconia affects the mechanisms of damaging the coatings. Figure 6 shows a comparison of the coating surface for a normal load centered around 9000 mN, where extensive damage occurs. The 100% ZrO₂ containing sample presents delamination and detachment of the coating from the underlying titanium substrate, while the 33% one is still adhered and presents a large number of cracks extending outward from the scratch region.
Figure 6. Scratch micrographs at a normal load of 9000 mN, showing extensive damage (from left to right): (a) 100% ZrO$_2$ coating; (b) 33% ZrO$_2$ coating.

Table 2 shows the measured hardness, expressed in equivalent HV (Hardness in Vickers scale) scale and the calculated Young modulus of the coating as a function of the ZrO$_2$ content. The table includes also the amount of energy required to run the nanoindentation and the percentage in the elastic or plastic field:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>20% ZrO$_2$</th>
<th>33% ZrO$_2$</th>
<th>50% ZrO$_2$</th>
<th>100% ZrO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HV (HV)</td>
<td>283.67</td>
<td>303.39</td>
<td>340.00</td>
<td>367.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E (GPa)</td>
<td>61.81</td>
<td>64.95</td>
<td>72.60</td>
<td>117.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy (pJ)</td>
<td>19,842</td>
<td>17,002</td>
<td>16,509</td>
<td>16,076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%el</td>
<td>25.68%</td>
<td>27.84%</td>
<td>27.51%</td>
<td>18.45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%pl</td>
<td>74.32%</td>
<td>72.16%</td>
<td>72.49%</td>
<td>81.55%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The nanoindentation results confirm the expected trend of an increasing hardness and Young’s modulus as the percentage of zirconia increases. However, the measured results are significantly lower than the ones of the bulk zirconia and silica-zirconia materials, however, they are in agreement with the literature results [34]. This could be ascribed to the indentation conditions, which did not allow the ability to stress the coating alone. Rather interestingly, the lower energy required to run the indentation on the 100% ZrO$_2$ sample and the lower percentage in the elastic field is in agreement with the observed behavior of a higher tendency of richer zirconia coatings to undergo extensive cracking (a lower energy is elastically recovered after the indentation due to the crack formation and propagation). The generally high values of the work in the plastic fields suggests that, in all cases, severe cracking (i.e., non-recoverable deformation) occurred, in agreement with the scratch test results.

It can be concluded that ZrO$_2$-rich coatings generally present a higher critical load during scratch testing and are stiffer, but with a greater tendency to crack. The coatings containing higher percentages of SiO$_2$ instead are softer but can recover from a slightly higher amount of deformation work and in general present a better adhesion to the substrate.

3.3. Corrosion Tests

Figure 7 shows the potentiodynamic polarization plots, in a DPBS + BSA solution, of the passivated bare and coated Ti-4 disks with different relative percentages of ZrO$_2$ and SiO$_2$. Tafel
analysis was been performed on the potentiodynamic plots and the results are provided in Table 3, together with the calculated protection efficiency of every coating. The present discussion will focus on the comparison of the passivation phenomena, since every material exhibited passive behavior. The uncoated Ti-4 (grey plot) showed a trend analogous to that reported in literature for Ti alloys in the same media [35,36]. In particular, it showed a corrosion potential of approximately −0.4 V (SSCE), a first passivation range between −0.3 V and 0.5 V (with a very low passive current density of 0.1–0.3 μAcm⁻²) and a second one beyond approximately the potential of 1 V, where a still low current density between 20 and 50 μAcm⁻² was preserved. Comparing the uncoated sample with the coated ones, it can be noticed that all the coatings show an analogous behavior (same trend of the plot), but all of them show a significant decrease of the corrosion current in the first passivation range. This current decrease of about one order of magnitude confirms the presence of a compact layer which hampers the flow of electrolytes through it, making it more insulated and therefore more corrosion resistant. After this first range, beyond 0.4–0.7 V, all the trends become practically identical to those of the uncoated Ti-4. Therefore, beyond this potential threshold, the coating completely loses its extra corrosion-protective properties. The samples still maintain the same good protection of the passivated Ti-4, showing that the production process of the coating does not affect the passivation layer on the Ti-4 substrate. A comparison among the coated samples showed that higher protection (lower current density) was provided when the coating composition was purer. Indeed, the lowest current density was obtained with the 100% ZrO₂ followed by the 80% SiO₂ and 20% ZrO₂. When the composition increasingly moves away from pure ZrO₂ or pure SiO₂ (i.e., when the composition is mixed) the current slightly increases. Apparently, as far as corrosion protection is concerned, the interaction between ZrO₂ and SiO₂ obtained in these conditions is not optimal, although the worsening is slight anyhow. As a confirmation, the scratch tests previously discussed showed that pure ZrO₂ tended to detach from the Ti-4 substrate when subjected to load, while the mixed coatings were characterized by diffused cracks. A coating detachment under mechanical stress is not favorable, but it may confirm the great compactness of the coating itself. Since corrosion tests do not involve mechanical stress and so do not hamper coating compactness, a higher protection in 100% ZrO₂ is found. On the other hand, mixed coatings are more subject to cracking (due to being less compact), although mechanically they perform better due to a higher coating adherence. Mixed coatings offer more possibility to be penetrated by the electrolyte through micro cracks which should be present on the samples, resulting in a slightly higher corrosive current density. The difference among the coatings, in terms of corrosion resistance, is small, and it can be concluded that all of the coatings provide an additional protective layer which increases the already high corrosion resistance of uncoated Ti-4 in this environment.

Figure 7. Potentiodynamic polarizations performed in a DPBS + BSA electrolyte on the bare passivated Ti-4 disk and on disks coated with 20 wt.% SiO₂/ZrO₂, 33 wt.% SiO₂/ZrO₂, 50 wt.% SiO₂/ZrO₂ and pure ZrO₂.

![Figure 7](image-url)
Table 3. Corrosion currents ($I_{cor}$) and corrosion potentials ($E_{cor}$), calculated via Tafel analysis on the potentiodynamic plots of the different samples and their corresponding protection efficiency (%P.E.).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>$I_{cor}$ [A/cm$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>$E_{cor}$ [V versus SSCE]</th>
<th>%P.E.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passivated Ti-4</td>
<td>$2.31 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
<td>$-0.483$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$ (20%) SiO$_2$ (80%)</td>
<td>$1.99 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$-0.178$</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$ (33%) SiO$_2$ (66%)</td>
<td>$5.57 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$-0.344$</td>
<td>76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$ (50%) SiO$_2$ (50%)</td>
<td>$8.92 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$-0.344$</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$ (100%)</td>
<td>$1.80 \times 10^{-9}$</td>
<td>$-0.582$</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Figure 8, the impedance spectra acquired before and after the polarization are shown in a Nyquist plot. In Table 4, the results of the spectra fitted adopting a R(CPE-R)(CPE-R) equivalent circuit are provided. In particular, only the uncoated Ti, the 100% ZrO$_2$ (the most performing coating in the polarization results) and the 50% SiO$_2$ and 50% ZrO$_2$ (the least performing among the coated ones) are shown, in order to make an overall comparison between the trends and confirm the previous results of the polarizations.

As visible in the graphs, every plot is characterized by a high slope, confirming the presence of a high-resistance coating. Indeed, the $R_{coating}$ Values shown in Table 4 are in the order of 100–1000 kΩ. In the uncoated Ti, the element $R_{coating}$ simulates the effect of the passivation oxide layer, while in the coated samples, it simulates the combined effect of both the passivation layer and the SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ coating. Looking at the $R_{coating}$ Values after the polarization, the same behavior encountered in the potentiodynamic tests is found: The 100% ZrO$_2$ shows the highest resistance (highest coating compactness), followed by the 50% SiO$_2$ and 50% ZrO$_2$, which however improves when compared to the uncoated Ti.

Figure 8. Electrochemical impedance spectra acquired in a DPBS + BSA electrolyte, before and after the potentiodynamic polarizations, on the bare passivated Ti-4 disk and on disks coated with 20 wt.% SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$, 33 wt.% SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$, 50 wt.% SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ and pure ZrO$_2$: Overall and zoom. (a) spectra acquired before the polarizations; (b) spectra acquired after the polarizations.

Table 4. Results of the fitting procedure performed on the impedance spectra adopting a R(CPE-R)(CPE-R) equivalent circuit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>$R_{th}$ [Ω]</th>
<th>$R_{ct}$ [Ω]</th>
<th>$Y_{th}$ [F cm$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>$n_{th}$ [-]</th>
<th>$R_{coating}$ [Ω]</th>
<th>$Y_{coating}$ [F cm$^{-2}$]</th>
<th>$n_{coating}$ [-]</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Passivated Ti-4 (before)</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>784.8</td>
<td>4.95 $\times 10^{+}$</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>1.6 $\times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>3.86 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>1.7 $\times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passivated Ti-4 (after)</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>602.1</td>
<td>1.54 $\times 10^{+}$</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>3.7 $\times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>1.39 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>2.7 $\times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$: 100% (before)</td>
<td>51.9</td>
<td>309.1</td>
<td>4.64 $\times 10^{+}$</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>1.7 $\times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>3.53 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>9.6 $\times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZrO$_2$: 100% (after)</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>224.0</td>
<td>3.45 $\times 10^{+}$</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>1.8 $\times 10^{6}$</td>
<td>1.29 $\times 10^{5}$</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.4 $\times 10^{4}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.4. Bioactivity Test

The powders of the SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ composites, with different percentages of zirconia matrices, were soaked in a SBF solution for 21 days. When the several composites were soaked in SBF solution, the presence of Si–OH and Zr–OH on the materials surfaces allows the interaction of both matrix functional groups with the ions present in the SBF solution, in particular with Ca$^{2+}$, and afterwards with phosphate ions. The Ca$^{2+}$ ions combine with the negative charge of the phosphate ions, inducing the formation of hydroxyapatite layer [Ca$_{10}$(PO$_4$)$_6$(OH)$_2$] [37,38].

In order to evaluate the formation of hydroxyapatite layer, FTIR spectroscopy was used. In Figure 3, the spectra of the composites after 21 days of exposure to SBF are reported. Comparing the pure SiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (50wt.% ) spectra (Figure 3, curves a and g) recorded before being soaked in the solution with the spectra of all materials after 21 days in SBF, new peaks appear in the spectra range of 600–500 cm$^{-1}$.

In Figure 9, the split of the band at 565 cm$^{-1}$ (curve a) into two new ones at 587 cm$^{-1}$ and 553 cm$^{-1}$ (curve b) was observed. Furthermore, these two new peaks are visible in the material composite spectra, and also the displacement of others peaks in the spectra range of 600 cm$^{-1}$, which are due to the stretching of –OH groups of hydroxyapatite and the vibrations of the PO$_4^{3–}$ groups, caused by the interaction among the hydroxyapatite precipitate and the matrix [39].

Therefore, the bioactivity of Ti-4 disks coated with SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ composites was investigated by SEM. Comparing the SEM micrographs of the materials after 21 days of exposure to SBF (Figure 9b–d) no difference in the apatite layer was observed, in fact, the surfaces of the materials were covered by a precipitate with the globular shape, typical of hydroxyapatite. The energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) microanalysis of the different composites, after 21 days in SBF, confirmed that the atomic ratio between Ca and P was equal to 1.67, furthermore, the other peaks were residuals from the sample preparation and SBF solution (Figure 10e). The signs of Zr or Si are not visible in the EDX analysis due to the strong peak intensity of the P that covers them.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SiO$_2$ 50% ZrO$_2$ 50%</th>
<th>41.7</th>
<th>4.9</th>
<th>3.41 × 10$^{-4}$</th>
<th>0.70</th>
<th>5.7 × 10$^{-5}$</th>
<th>3.83 × 10$^{-5}$</th>
<th>0.94</th>
<th>5.2 × 10$^{-5}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(before)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$ 50% ZrO$_2$ 50%</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>1.96 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>4.4 × 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>1.50 × 10$^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>1.0 × 10$^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(after)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.** FT-IR spectra of (a) pure SiO$_2$ not soaked in SBF; (b) pure SiO$_2$; (c) SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (20 wt.%); (d) SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (33 wt.%); (e) SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (50 wt.%); (f) pure ZrO$_2$ after 21 days in SBF; (g) SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (50 wt.%), not soaked in SBF.
3.5. Antibacterial Properties

The Ti-4 disks coated with SiO\textsubscript{2}/ZrO\textsubscript{2} composites, containing 20, 33 and 50 wt.% ZrO\textsubscript{2} were incubated with gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains. Figure 11A reports a representative image of *E. faecalis*, while the progress of bacterial growth is shown in Figure 11B. When the thin films were inoculated for 24 h with *E. coli* and 48 h with *E. faecalis*, the inhibition halo (ID) was not observed, regardless of the ZrO\textsubscript{2} content.

It is likely that no toxic products were released from the different coatings, allowing the growth of bacteria. The results suggest that the zirconia pure coating, as well as the Ti-4 disks coated with SiO\textsubscript{2}/ZrO\textsubscript{2}, independent of the zirconia percentages, do not have toxic effects against gram-positive and gram-negative bacterial strains.

Therefore, SiO\textsubscript{2}/ZrO\textsubscript{2} composites may be used as non-toxic coating materials.
Figure 11. (A) Representative image of *E. faecalis* incubated with Ti-4 disks coated with ZrO$_2$ and SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (33 wt.%). (B) Bacteria growth (%) of *E. coli* and *E. faecalis* incubated with ZrO$_2$, SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (20 wt.%), SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (33 wt.%) and SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ (50 wt.%). Values are the mean standard deviation of measurements carried out on samples analyzed three times. The means and standard deviations are shown. *, p < 0.05 versus the bacteria treated with different composites.

4. Conclusions

In this work, different ZrO$_2$/SiO$_2$-based coatings obtained on a Ti-4 substrate via sol-gel synthesis for biomedical applications have been studied, with regard to their structure, corrosion resistance and mechanical and bioactive behaviors. The following conclusions can be made:

- ATR-FTIR spectroscopy suggests that interaction between both inorganic matrixes occurred.
- FTIR spectra and SEM/EDX analysis showed the same bioactivity among all materials, in fact, the bioactive proprieties are due to a synergy between both inorganic components.
- A decrease of the bacterial growth was not observed when the Ti-4 disks coated with SiO$_2$/ZrO$_2$ composites were incubated with gram-negative and gram-positive bacteria, suggesting that the coating materials are non-toxic and could be used in clinical applications.
- All the coatings improved the corrosion resistance of the Ti substrate, as all the coated samples showed an evident decrease of corrosion current density in the passivation region of the potentiodynamic curves.
- As far as the mechanical properties are concerned, the SiO$_2$-containing coatings showed the best performances due to a better adhesion to the Ti substrate, as proved by the scratch tests.
- On the other hand, the SiO$_2$-containing coatings did not show the absolute best results in the corrosion tests because of the presence of microcracks which allow the electrolyte to come into contact with the substrate underneath.
- Considering the SiO$_2$-containing coatings performed best in the scratch tests, they can be considered as the best compromise between corrosion resistance and mechanical properties.

However, the difference between the coatings in terms of corrosion resistance and bioactivity is small. Probably all of the materials can be considered as useful in the biomedical field.
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