Influence of an MgTiTaON Inserted Layer on Magnetic Properties and Microstructure of FePtAgC Films
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Abstract: The FePt film above 10 nm critical lattice relaxation thickness was prepared and the ultrathin MgTiTaON layer was interleaved in between FePt film and the multilayer stack is FePt(6 nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]2. Next, the FePt films were co-sputtered with (Ag, C) segregants during deposition and the layer stacks is FePt(6 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)/[MgTiTaON (1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C) (x vol %)]2 (x = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40). After high temperature deposition at 470 °C, the granular FePt(Ag, C, MgTiTaON) film illustrated perpendicular magnetization and the out-of-plane coercivity (Hc) was increased with (Ag, C) segregants and the highest Hc is 18.3 kOe when x = 40. From cross-section images, the FePt layer are more continuous with 0 and 10 vol % (Ag, C) segregants and changed to an island structure when the (Ag, C) segregants increase to 20–40 vol %. The FePt grains were grown in separated islands in 20, 30 vol % (Ag, C) and changed to dense columnar-like morphology in 40 vol %. The second nucleated grains which contribute the in-plane magnetization are found in FePt (Ag, C) (40 vol %) film. The FePt islands are reached by inserting the ultrathin MgTiTaON layer and the island heights of FePt(Ag, C) (30, 40 vol %) are around 31–38 nm and the aspect ratios are 0.6–0.8.
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1. Introduction

Due to the success of energy, assisted writing technologies including heat-assisted magnetic recording (HAMR) and microwave-assisted magnetic recording (MAMR), the new technologies of hard disk drive (HDD) are close to commercialization. The writ ability issue of trilemma effect in perpendicular magnetic recording (PMR) is overcome and the high magnetocrystalline anisotropy material, for example, the (001) textured L10 FePt film (Ku = 5 × 107 erg/cm3) is suitable for next generation HAMR media which is expected to increase the areal density in hard disk drives beyond 2 Tbit/in2 [1–5].

To approach this area density, the FePt media for HAMR need to have specific microstructure and magnetic properties. First, the highly ordered L10 FePt grains with c-axis orientation was necessary to promote highly perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. Second, the granular structure with well grain separation and small grain to grain variation of magnetic properties was also required. For segregants, the carbon is necessary to decouple the FePt grains laterally, but the strong phase separation also interrupted the FePt columnar grain growth [6]. The composite FePt film with columnar grain was achieved by multiple segregants, for example, carbon with Ag, transition metal-oxide, nitride or carbide [7–12]. However, there still is some challenge for high media noise during reading/writing due
to large grain to grain variation of $\delta T_c$ and the degradation of grains $K_u$ [13]. Further control of the FePt grains nucleation process and in-depth microstructure analysis were suggested [13].

Fundamentally, we fabricate the [FePt(Ag, C)/MgTiTaON] multilayer stack to explore the interface effect and microstructure in this study. Artificial ferromagnetic multilayers displaying perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA) are of great interest for spintronic and memory applications [14,15]. Based on the phenomenological useful approach $K_{\text{eff}} = K_t + 2K_s$, the effective magnetic anisotropy ($K_{\text{eff}}$) of thin films or multilayers was considered to be the contribution of volume $K_t$ and surface/interface $K_s$. When the volume anisotropy was dominated by magnetocrystalline (i.e., the case for chemically ordered L1$_0$ FePt with prefer orientation) [14,15]. When the surface/interface anisotropy contribution overcomes the shape anisotropy, the (Co/Pt) multilayer shows PMA by suitable post annealing. The original PMA in a multilayer is related to interface roughness; microstructure and strain state [14,15].

In this work, we prepared the series of FePt(Ag, C)/[MgTiTaON/FePt(Ag, C)]$_2$ multilayer stack at high sputtering temperature. The volume $K_t$ from magnetocrystalline of L1$_0$ FePt film plays more roles for PMA but we still try to understand the surface/interface $K_s$ by inserting thin MgTiTaON in-between FePt layers. The reason is that the enhanced PMA has been reported for chemically mixed interfaces with the formation of ordered CoPt in the Co/Pt multilayer system [14,15]. The L1$_0$ FePt and MgTiTaON have a lattice misfit of 11.6% which is favored for the orientation and ordering control in the FePt layer. After sputtering, the L1$_0$ FePt and MgTiTaON interface was mixed due to high deposition temperature and segregants (Ag, C). However, we obtain the anomalous microstructure; the taller FePt islands (31–38 nm) were far separated with columnar-like morphology.

2. Materials and Methods

Thin FePt and FePt(Ag, C) films interleaved with MgTiTaON thin layer were deposited by magnetron sputtering. The sputtering system was designed for high vacuum with base pressure of $1 \times 10^{-7}$ Torr and the load-lock system was used to transfer the substrate via a pre-chamber. The multiple sputtering sources (A320, AJA INTERNATIONAL INC, Scituate, MA, USA; MAK 2, US Technologies West, Morgan Hill, CA, USA) with 2-inch diameters were set up on main chamber and the substrate was heated by halogen lamp (1000W, OSRAM GmbH, Munich, Germany) with maximum heating temperature of 800 °C. The glass substrate (Eagle 2000, Corning Display Technologies, Taipei, Taiwan) with the dimension of 1 cm$^2$ was cleaned via de-ionized water, Acetone and Ethyl Alcohol solvents step by step and each round was 30 min by ultrasonic vibration. After cleaning, the substrate was dry under nitrogen atmosphere.

The 130 nm thick CrRu seed layer with (002) texture was deposited on the glass substrate at 325 °C and the 30 nm thick MgTiON intermediate layer with (002) texture was deposited subsequently on the CrRu seed layer. The (002) MgTiON/CrRu underlayer favors the heteroepitaxial growth of (001) L1$_0$-FePt films [16–18]. The FePt film was interleaved with MgTiTaON and formed the FePt(t nm)/[MgTiTaON (1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]$_2$ ($t = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10$) multilayer (sample series (I)) which were deposited on (002) textured MgTiON/CrRu underlayer. The total thickness of FePt layer ranged from 8 to 18 nm. The 14-nm thick FePt film ($t = 6$ nm) was selected and the FePt films were co-sputtered with the [Ag(7 vol %),C(93 vol %)] segregants during deposition and finally the layer stacks in sample series (II) was FePt(6 nm)/(Ag, C)(x vol %)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)Ag, C] (x vol %)]$_2$ ($x = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40$). All the layers were in-situ deposited at 470 °C to favor the formation of the L1$_0$-FePt phase and the diffusion of the segregant materials (Ag, C, MgTiTaON) in the FePt layer. Another reference sample FePt(14 nm)/MgTiON/CrRu continuous film was also deposited under the same experimental conditions to investigate the segregant materials effects. The targets compositions of seed- and intermediate-layer and magnetic layer are Cr$_{83}$Ru$_{17}$, (Mg$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.5}$)(O$_{0.8}$N$_{0.1}$), (Mg$_{0.5}$Ti$_{0.4}$Ta$_{0.1}$)(O$_{0.9}$N$_{0.1}$), Fe$_{52}$Pt$_{48}$, respectively. The deposition rate ($\nu$) and Ar working pressure ($P_Ar$) of each layers were set to: 0.165 nm/s (60W-DC) (MDX500, Advanced Energy, Fort Collins, CO, USA), $P_{Ar} = 3$ mTorr for CrRu; 0.045 nm/s (100W-RF) (PFG 300 RF, Huettinger Elektronik, Freiburg, Germany), $P_{Ar} = 10$ mTorr for MgTiON; 0.038 nm/s (100W-RF) (PFG 300 RF, Huettinger Elektronik, Freiburg, Germany).
Freiburg, Germany), \( P_{Ar} = 10 \text{ mTorr for MgTiTaON}; 0.088 \text{ nm/s (30W-DC), } P_{Ar} = 3 \text{ mTorr for FePt and } 0.035 \text{ nm/s (27W-DC) (MDX500, Advanced Energy, Fort Collins, CO, USA) for (Ag,C) 40 vol %}.

The crystallographic structure was investigated by X-ray diffraction (XRD) (D8 Discover, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA), collecting \( \theta/2\theta \) diffraction patterns using a standard X-ray diffractometer (D8 Discover, Bruker, Billerica, MA, USA). In-plane and out-of-plane magnetic hysteresis loops were measured at room temperature by using a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) magnetometer (MPMS-XL, Quantum design, San Diago, CA, USA). The film microstructure and the surface roughness were investigated by using transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEOL JEM-2010, Tokyo, Japan) and atomic force microscopy (AFM, Veeco DI-3100, Plainview, NY, USA), respectively. For plane view TEM sample preparation, the glass substrate (backside of film) was plane- and fine-grinding and then polishing by mechanical sample preparation system. After that, the small sample was fixed on Cu ring by epoxy and the sample was further thinning by ion milling (precision ion polishing system (PIPS, Gatan Model 691)). The ion energy is in-between 2–6 keV and the incident ion gun angle is \( \pm 10^\circ \). For cross-sectional TEM, the sample was prepared by focused ion beam (FIB, JEOL JIB-4601F, Tokyo, Japan). The Ga\(^+\) ion gun source with acceleration voltage of 1–30 kV and under the protective deposition gas \( C_2H_2 \) was focused by electrostatic lens to cut the sample cross-sectional area precisely. After cutting, the sample was picking up via electrostatic force to the Cu grid under the optical microscopy observation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. \( \text{FePt(t nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]_2/MgTiON/CrRu (t = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10) Films} \)

Figure 1 shows the XRD pattern of the \( \text{FePt(t nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]_2/MgTiON/CrRu (t = 0, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10) films} \). The (002) reflection peaks of the CrRu seed-layer and MgTiON intermediate-layer are present and the (001) superlattice and (002) fundamental reflection peaks of \( \text{L}_1_0 \) FePt phase are indexed. The (002) textured seed/intermediate-layers were confirmed to promote the formation of FePt phase with a (001) crystallographic orientation. The degree of preferential growth along the c-axis of FePt layer was estimated by measuring the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the rocking curve of the FePt (001) peak in Figure 1a. The rocking width (\( \Delta \theta_{90} \)) for sample \( t = 0, 6, and 10 \text{ nm are } 5.36^\circ, 4.55^\circ \text{ in Figure 3b, 4.39^\circ}, \text{ respectively. The interleaved MgTiTaON layers were also suggested to maintain the FePt (001) texture up to a total thickness of 18 nm and the c-axis of the FePt layer was almost oriented perpendicular to the film surface. Figure 2a illustrates the variation of saturation magnetization \( (M_s) \), out-of-plane coercivity \( (H_c) \) and nucleation filed \( (H_n) \) with total FePt thickness for series (I) samples. The FePt films show perpendicular magnetization with small in-plane hysteresis contribution. In Table 1, increasing the FePt thickness \( (t = 0 \text{ to } 2, 4, 6, 8, 10) \) leads to the decrease of the out-of-plane coercivity \( H_c \) from 12.4 to 8.6, 8.6, 9.0, 5.8, and 6.7 kOe, respectively, and the FePt film with a total thickness 14 nm \( (t = 6) \) shows a lower decrease. The smaller out-of-plane coercivity values measured in series (I), \( (t = 2–10 \text{ nm}) \) with respect to reference sample \( (H_{c_r} \text{ 12.4 kOe for } t = 0 \text{ nm}) \) could be attributed to a lower ordering degree and larger grains size. The variation of nucleation fields with total FePt thickness also show a similar trend but different at \( t = 6–10 \text{ in Figure 2a} \). For \( t = 0 \text{ nm}, \text{ the FePt film shows much lower saturation magnetization and } t = 2–10 \text{ nm, the } M_s \text{ in FePt films decrease with thickness which were attributed to the compositional interface (MgTiTaON)} \text{ during high temperature deposition and uncertain interface dead layers. The FePt chemical ordering degree was estimated by measuring the } [I(001)/I(002)]^{1/2} \text{ ratio} \text{ (19,20), where I(001) and I(002) are the integrated intensities of the FePt (001) and (002) diffraction peaks. Increasing the FePt thickness } (t = 0 \text{ to } 2, 4, 6, 8) \text{ leads to a slight decrease of } I(001)/I(002) \text{ shown in Figure 2b from } 2.02 \text{ to } 1.86, 1.92, 1.92, 1.90 \text{ thus indicating that the FePt ordering degree slightly decreases with the FePt total thickness. For } t = 10, \text{ the FePt ordering degree increases } (I(001)/I(002) = 2.2) \text{ but also shows the variant (200) ordered or disordered FePt peak in Figure 1a. The diffraction peak around } 49.06^\circ \text{ in Figure 1 (t = 10) is the convolution of the Bragg peaks of the (200) fcc (at } 47.75^\circ \text{ and (002) L1}_0 \text{ (at } 49.27^\circ \text{) phases,} \text{ respectively.} \)
and the in-plane anisotropy was due to the contribution of disordered soft magnetic fcc phase \[21\]. As a result, the FePt film \((t = 10)\) has a larger in-plane magnetization area (more misalign FePt grains) in Figure 2d as compared to the FePt film in Figure 2c \((t = 0)\). In Figure 2b, the variation of lattice constant \(c\) estimated by FePt (001) peak also illustrates a similar trend regarding thickness.

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** XRD of the FePt(t nm)/[MgTiTaON(1nm)/FePt(4nm)]2/MgTiON/CrRu, (a) \(t = 2–10\) nm, Rocking curves of (b) \(t = 0\) nm, and (c) \(t = 10\) nm.

![Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2.** The variation of (a) saturation magnetization \((M_s)\), out-of-plane coercivity \((H_c)\) and nucleation field \((H_n)\); (b) \(I(001)/I(002)\) and lattice constant \(c\) with total FePt thickness for series (l) samples. Out-of-plane (- -) and in-plane (- o -) field-dependent magnetization loops of FePt(t nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]2/MgTiON/CrRu, (c) \(t = 0\) and (d) \(t = 10\) films measured at room temperature.
When the growth of FePt film reaches the critical thickness, lattice relaxation was occurred via the formation of dislocations at the interface [22]. The lattice relaxation was caused the misalignment of FePt c-axis, increased the in-plane magnetization and deteriorated the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy [21]. In this study, the interleaved MgTiTaON layer was evidenced to maintain the FePt (001) orientation. However, the lattice relaxation phenomena still occurred when the thickness of FePt increased. The variant (200) tiny peak appeared to misalign the c-axis of FePt grains away from out-of-plane, and the ordering degree was also decreased with the FePt thickness. As results, the in-plane magnetization was increased and the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy was deteriorated. The out-of-plane $H_c$ and nucleation field ($H_n$) were lower than the reference sample ($t = 0$ nm). The out-of-plane $H_c$ was not high enough because of the reorientation of the perpendicular magnetic anisotropy of [FePt/MgTiTaON] film towards the in-plane direction due to the decrease of chemical ordering parameter evidenced in Figure 1 [21]. The hard/soft (fct/fcc) FePt composite film was exchange-coupled and lower the out-of-plane coercivity [21]. The sample ($t = 6$ nm, reference in series II) shows higher $H_c$, $H_n$ and lower $M_s$ in Table 1 in addition to sample ($t = 0$ nm). The $H_c$ and $H_n$ were influenced by FePt grains morphology and uniformity and lower $M_s$ may due to a less disordered FePt phase.

3.2. FePt(6 nm)(Ag,C)(x vol %)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)]$_2$ ($x = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40$)

The 14-nm thick FePt film ($t = 6$ nm) was selected from series (I) and the (Ag, C) segregants were co-sputtered with FePt during deposition and the layer stacks in series (II) were FePt(6 nm)(Ag,C)(x vol %)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)]$_2$ ($x = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40$) and the XRD spectra and represented rocking curves of series (II) are reported in Figure 3a. In addition to the (002) reflection peaks of the CrRu seed-layer and the MgTiON intermediate-layer, the (001) superlattice diffraction peak and the (002) fundamental reflection of the L1$_0$ FePt are present suggesting that the L1$_0$ FePt film has maintained a (001) preferred orientation with (Ag, C) segregants. The degree of preferential growth along the c-axis of the FePt layer was estimated by measuring the Full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the rocking curve of the FePt (001) peak in Figure 3. As compared to the 14-nm thick FePt single layer, the MgTiON inserted layer was slightly deteriorated the FePt ordering degree and perpendicular magnetic anisotropy. In Table 2, the sample ($x = 0, t = 6$ nm) presents lower ordering degree [I(001)/I(002) =1.95 < 2.14], out-of-plane $H_c$ ($9.0 <11.0$ kOe), and nucleation field $H_n$ ($-2.94 < -3.21$ kOe). The FePt grains were more separated and ordered after doping of (Ag, C) segregants and the $H_c$ was increased with segregant concentrations.

### Table 1. Out of plane $H_c$ nucleation field ($H_n$), saturation magnetization ($M_s$), Integrated intensity ratio ($I(001)/I(002)$), surface roughness ($R_a$) of FePt($t$ nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]$_2$/MgTiON/CrRu films.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (nm)</th>
<th>$H_c$ (kOe)</th>
<th>$H_n$ (kOe)</th>
<th>$M_s$ (emu/cm$^3$)</th>
<th>$I(001)/I(002)$</th>
<th>$R_a$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>587.0</td>
<td>2.01</td>
<td>5.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>1346</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>1137</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>872.0</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>1027</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>1056</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. (a) XRD patterns of sample series (II), FePt(6 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)/MgTiTaON (1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)|$_{2}$ (x = 0, 10, 20, 30, 40). Rocking curves of (b) reference sample (ref), and (c) x = 30.

Table 2. Out-of-plane $H_{c}$, nucleation field ($H_{n}$), saturation magnetization ($M_{s}$), Integrated intensity ratio (I(001)/I(002)), surface roughness ($R_{a}$) of reference sample FePt (14 nm), and sample series (II) FePt(6 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)/[MgTiTaON (1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)]$_{2}$ (b) x = 0; (c) x = 10; (d) x = 20; (e) x = 30; (f) x = 40.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FePt (Ag, C)</th>
<th>$H_{c,\perp}$ (kOe)</th>
<th>$H_{n}$ (kOe)</th>
<th>$M_{s}$ (emu/cm$^{3}$)</th>
<th>I(001)/I(002)</th>
<th>$R_{a}$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FePt (14 nm)</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 0 (t = 6 nm)</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 10</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>642</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>3.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 20</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>7.06</td>
<td>866</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 30</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>5.91</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>7.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x = 40</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>5.77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental values of 4.55° (Figure 3b), 5.83°, 6.68°, 4.84°, 4.62° (Figure 3c), 6.01° were measured, respectively. The FePt(Ag, C)(x = 20, 30 vol %) grains’ easy-axes are more or less misaligned as compared to the reference (FePt 14 nm) and x = 0 samples, respectively. The MgTiTaON layer slightly deteriorated the orientation which was improved by the suitable (Ag, C) segregants. The corresponding FePt chemical ordering degree was proportional to the I(001)/I(002) ratio, where I(001) and I(002) are the integrated intensities of the FePt (001) and (002) diffraction peaks. Increasing the content of (Ag, C) segregants leads to a gradual increase of I(001)/I(002) from 1.92 to 2.54, thus, indicating that the FePt ordering degree increases with the increase of (Ag, C) segregants volume concentration. Figure 4 reports on the out-of-plane and in-plane field-dependent magnetic hysteresis loops of representative samples of series (II) measured at room temperature. In Figure 4a, the out-of-plane coercivity of reference sample FePt(14 nm)/MgTiON/CrRu is 10.3 kOe. Increasing the (Ag, C) volume concentration to (20, 30, 40 vol %) leads to the increase of the out-of-plane coercivity $H_{c}$ from 9.00 kOe (Figure 4b) to 13.6, 14.6 and 18.3 kOe in Figure 4d, respectively. However, the misaligned FePt grains (in-plane magnetization hysteresis contribution) also increases with segregants concentrations. The larger out-of-plane coercivity values measured in series (II), (Ag, C, 20–40 vol %) with respect to samples series (II) (0 vol %) could be attributed to a higher ordering degree, and more separated FePt grains. Figure 5 shows the plan-view, cross-section TEM images and surface roughness of reference sample, FePt(14 nm)/MgTiON/CrRu.
which contains many FePt grains isolated by (Ag, C) in Figure 6c,d. In our previous work [16–18], the TEM images of representative samples from series (II). In Figure 6a, the FePt grains are connected in the worm-like structure. The FePt grains are coalescence in island-like structure with 10 vol % (Ag, C) segregants shown in Figure 6(b). The FePt gains are aggromolated and formed the large separated island which contains many FePt grains isolated by (Ag, C) in Figure 6c,d. In our previous work [16–18], the FePt film deposited on the MoC layer always forms the big trapezoidal islands due to the excess carbon diffusion into all the FePt magnetic layer during high temperature deposition. This result clearly explained the lower ordering degree and out-of-plane coercivity for FePt film with an MgTiTaON interlayer which was used to maintain the epitaxial growth. As a result, the FePt grain was grown perpendicular to the film plane and limited in the lateral direction and tall square-like FePt island (31–38 nm in height) were obtained. The average FePt island height was larger than twice of original deposition thickness (14 nm). In Figure 6(e), the FePt islands are separated and the grains size decreasing from 10.5 ± 2.31 nm to 6.47 ± 3.39 nm with the increase in the segregant volume concentration. In Figure 6(f,g) are separated and the grains size decreasing from 10.5 ± 2.31 nm to 6.47 ± 3.39 nm with the increase in the segregant volume concentration.

Figure 4. The out-of-plane (- • -) and in-plane (- o -) and in-plane field-dependent magnetic hysteresis loops of (a) reference sample FePt (14 nm), and sample series (II) FePt (6 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)/MgTiTaON (1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)]2; (b) x = 0; (c) x = 10; (d) x = 20; (e) x = 30; (f) x = 40.

Figure 5. (a) cross-sectional TEM images; (b) SAD of (a); (c) plan-view; TEM images and (d) surface roughness of reference sample, FePt(14 nm)/MgTiON/CrRu film.

In Figure 5a, the FePt film is a continuous-like layer from a cross-sectional image and (b) is the selected area diffraction (SAD) pattern. The in-plane FePt grains are connected in the worm-like structure evidenced in-plane view TEM image illustrated in Figure 5c. The average surface roughness measured by AFM is 1.99 nm as shown in Figure 5d. Figure 6 shows the plan-view and cross-section TEM images of representative samples from series (II). In Figure 6a, the FePt grains are connected in the worm-like structure. The FePt grains are coalescence in island-like structure with 10 vol % (Ag, C) segregants shown in Figure 6b. The FePt grains are aggromolated and formed the large separated island which contains many FePt grains isolated by (Ag, C) in Figure 6c,d. In our previous work [16–18], the FePt film deposited on the MoC layer always forms the big trapezoidal islands due to the excess carbon segregation.
diffused up to separate part of the FePt grains and each island contains many interacted FePt grains observed in Figure 7c,d in reference [17]. During deposition at high temperature, the FePt grains were separated laterally by (Ag, C) due to high phase separation ability of carbon and MgTiTaON interlayer was used to maintain the epitaxial growth. As a result, the FePt grain was grown perpendicular to the film plane and limited in the lateral direction and tall square-like FePt island (31–38 nm in height) were obtained. The average FePt island height was larger than twice of original deposition thickness (14 nm). In Figure 6e, the FePt islands are separated uniformly in dense columnar-like morphology with the increase of (Ag, C) (40 vol %) segregant. The in-plane grains in Figure 6f,g are separated and the grains size decreasing from $10.5 \pm 2.31$ nm to $6.47 \pm 3.39$ nm with the increase in the segregant volume concentration.

High-angle annular dark field (HAADF) analysis, which provides an atomic number (Z)-dependent contrast, was used to map the distribution of the different elements within the stack of FePt(6 nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]$_2$/MgTiON layers and all the elements are visible in Figure 7a–f. From the magnified HAADF images in Figure 7g, the Ta, Mg, O, N in MgTiTaON interlayer were diffused into all the FePt magnetic layer during high temperature deposition. This result clearly explained the lower ordering degree and out-of-plane coercivity for FePt film with an MgTiTaON interlayer ($H_c$ 9.00 kOe) as compared to the reference sample (14 nm FePt, $H_c$ 10.3 kOe). Based on references [6,7], the second nucleated FePt layer occurred in FePtC film when the thickness was higher than 6 nm and a thin MgTiTaON interlayer was truly promoting the formation of highly FePt square- and columnar-like structure with island tall in the range of 31–38 nm. The different morphology observed in series (II), also reflects in a different surface roughness, as determined from the AFM images (Figure 8), which is overall larger for samples of series (II) (2.38 nm, 4.16 nm, 5.28 nm and 3.25 for (Ag, C), 10, 20, 30, 40 vol %, respectively) with respect to the reference sample from Figure 5d (1.99 nm).
the AFM images (Figure 8), which is overall larger for samples of series (II) (2.38 nm, 4.16 nm, 5.28 nm and 3.25 for (Ag, C), 10, 20, 30, 40 vol %, respectively) with respect to the reference sample from Figure 5(d) (1.99 nm).

Figure 7. Element mapping of FePt(6 nm)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)]\textsubscript{2}/MgTiON film, (a) Dark field image; (b–f) mapping image of (b) Fe; (c) Pt; (d) Mg; (e) Ti and (f) Ta; (g) magnified images of FePt/MgTiTaON layers.

Figure 8. The surface roughness of samples series (II), FePt(6 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)/[MgTiTaON(1 nm)/FePt(4 nm)(Ag, C)(x vol %)]\textsubscript{2} films, (a) 2.38 nm; (b) 4.16 nm; (c) 5.28 nm and (d) 3.25 for (Ag, C), x = 10, 20, 30, 40 vol %, respectively.
4. Conclusions

The [FePt(Ag, C)/MgTiTaON] multilayer was translated to the FePt(Ag, C, MgTiTaON) granular structure after a high deposition temperature. The FePt grains were separated by (Ag, C) segregants and grew upward by an MgTiTaON thin layer. Finally, anomalous taller FePt islands (31–38 nm) with the square- and columnar-like morphology were obtained. The MgTiTaON interlayer with suitable lattice misfit favors the c-axis orientation and maintain the $K_u$ of FePt film. The fabrication method to have microstructure with taller and separated FePt islands was provided in this study.
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