Insight into Physical and Thermodynamic Properties of X₃Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) Compounds Influenced by Refractory Elements: A First-Principles Calculation
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Abstract: The effects of refractory metals on physical and thermodynamic properties of X₃Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) compounds were investigated using local density approximation (LDA) and generalized gradient approximation (GGA) methods within the first-principles calculations based on density functional theory. The optimized lattice parameters were both in good compliance with the experimental parameters. The GGA method could achieve an improved structural optimization compared to the LDA method, and thus was utilized to predict the elastic, thermodynamic and electronic properties of X₃Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) compounds. The calculated mechanical properties (i.e., elastic constants, elastic moduli and elastic anisotropic behaviors) were rationalized and discussed in these intermetallics. For instance, the derived bulk moduli exhibited the sequence of Ti₃Ir < Nb₃Ir < V₃Ir < Cr₃Ir < Mo₃Ir. This behavior was discussed in terms of the volume of unit cell and electron density. Furthermore, Debye temperatures were derived and were found to show good consistency with the experimental values, indicating the precision of our calculations. Finally, the electronic structures were analyzed to explain the ductile essences in the iridium compounds.
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1. Introduction

Ir-based superalloys have received intensive interest in the last decades due to their high melting temperature as well as their improved strength, oxidation resistance and corrosion resistance at higher temperatures [1–5]. Consequently, these intermetallics can be deemed a suitable choice for high-temperature applications. For example, the cubic L1₂ intermetallic compounds Ir₃X (X = Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb and Ta) containing refractory elements could be proposed as “refractory superalloys” based on their higher melting points and superior mechanical properties at higher temperatures [3–5]. Terada et al. [6] conducted measurements on thermal properties (i.e., thermal conductivity and thermal expansion) from 300 to 1100 K, and found that the L1₂ Ir₃X (X = Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb and Ta) compounds were characterized by a larger thermal conductivity and a smaller thermal expansion. Chen et al. [7] exhibited the elastic constants and moduli of binary L1₂ Ir-based compounds at ground states by first-principles calculations, and reported the higher elastic moduli of these compounds together with their brittle characteristics in nature. Liu et al. [8] studied the elastic and thermodynamic properties of

Ir$_3$Nb and Ir$_3$V under varying pressure (0–50 GPa) and temperature (0–1200 K), and found that both compounds were stable without phase transformations.

Meanwhile, the typical refractory intermetallics should also include A15 cubic structure compounds with refractory metal elements. For example, Pan et al. [9] reported the mechanical and electronic properties of Nb$_3$Si using the first-principles method. By combining the first-principles method with quasi harmonic approximation, Papadimitriou et al. [10–12] critically investigated the mechanical and thermodynamic properties of Nb$_3$X (X = Al, Ge, Si and Sn). Chihi et al. [13] theoretically evaluated the elastic and thermodynamic properties of V$_3$X (X = Si, Ge and Sn) intermetallics utilizing the first-principles calculations. Jalborg et al. [14] determined the electronic structure of V$_3$Ir, V$_3$Pt and V$_3$Au using the self-consistent semi relativistic linear muffin-tin orbital (LMTO) band calculations. Paduani et al. [15] investigated the chemical bonding behavior and estimated the electron-phonon coupling constants of V$_3$X (X = Ni, Pd, Pt) by the full-potential linearized augmented-plane-wave (FP-LAPW) method.

Therefore, the Ir-based intermetallics with A15 crystal structure should also have the potential to be applied as structural materials. These compounds have been studied for their structural and electronic properties. For instance, Standanmann et al. [16] determined the lattice parameters of A15 X$_3$Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) compounds. Meschel et al. [17] reported the experimental standard enthalpy of formation for V$_3$Ir. Paduani and Kuhnen [18] studied the band structure and Fermi surface of V$_3$Ir using the FP-LAPW method, and discussed Knight shift behavior in the compound. Paduani et al. [19] reported the electronic properties of Nb$_3$Ir via FP-LAPW calculations. Nevertheless, to our knowledge, the elastic and thermodynamic properties of X$_3$Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) intermetallics have rarely been discussed.

This research is divided into the following parts. In the second section, the computational methods of X$_3$Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) intermetallics are offered in detail. In the third section, the results and discussions are presented and discussed based on the effects of refractory metals on the physical and thermodynamic properties of X$_3$Ir compounds, including structural properties, elastic properties, anisotropic behaviors, anisotropic sound velocities, Debye temperatures, and electronic structures. In the fourth section, the conclusions are drawn and presented in detail.

2. Materials and Methods

The first-principles calculations were performed using the CASTEP code, which is based on the pseudopotential plane-wave within density functional theory [20,21]. Using the ultrasoft pseudopotential [22] to model the ion-electron exchange-correlation, both the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the function proposed by Perdew, Burke and Ernzer (PBE) [23,24] and the local density approximation (LDA) with Ceperley–Alder form [25] were used. Additionally, the basis atom states were set as: Ti3s$^2$3p$^6$3d$^3$5s$^2$, V3s$^2$3p$^6$3d$^3$5s$^2$, Cr3s$^2$3p$^6$3d$^5$4s$^1$, Nb4s$^2$4p$^6$4d$^4$5s$^1$, Mo4s$^2$4p$^6$4d$^5$5s$^1$ and Ir5s$^2$6d$^7$. Through a series of tests, the cutoff energy of 400 eV was determined. In addition, a $10 \times 10 \times 10$ $k$-point mesh in the Brillouin zone was set for the special points sampling integration for the intermetallics. Both lattice constants and atom coordinates should be optimized via minimizing the total energy. Furthermore, the Brodyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shanno (BFGS) minimization scheme was used for the geometric optimization [26,27]. Overall, the maximum stress has to be within 0.02 GPa, the maximum ionic force has to be within 0.01 eV/Å, the maximum ionic displacement has to be within 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-4}$ Å and the difference of the total energy has to be within 5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-6}$ eV/atom for the geometrical optimization. Finally, the total energy and electronic structure were calculated, followed by cell optimization with a self-consistent field tolerance (5.0 $\times$ 10$^{-7}$ eV/atom). Using the corrected tetrahedron Blöchl method, the total energies at equilibrium structures were derived [28].
3. Results

3.1. Structural Properties

The X₃Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) intermetallics have a A15 cubic structure with the cP8 (No. 223) space group. In a unit cell, six X atoms and two Ir atoms are dominated at the sites of 6c (0.25, 0, 0.5) and 2a (0, 0, 0), respectively. For the sake of performing structural property optimizations on IrX₃ compounds, the GGA method as well as the LDA method were utilized. The results are exhibited in Table 1, showing that the derived lattice constants using both methods are close to the experimental values [29–33].

Table 1. The optimized and experimental lattice parameters, the calculated deviations and densities for X₃Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>a₀ (Å)</th>
<th>a_exp (Å)</th>
<th>Calculated Deviation (%)</th>
<th>Density (g/cm³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti₃Ir</td>
<td>5.010⁴</td>
<td>5.012</td>
<td>-0.041⁵</td>
<td>8.872⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.901 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.223 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃Ir</td>
<td>4.7842 a⁶</td>
<td>4.7876d</td>
<td>-0.072 a</td>
<td>10.463 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.6913 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>-2.012 b</td>
<td>11.099 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₃Ir</td>
<td>4.652 a⁶</td>
<td>4.685 e</td>
<td>-0.712 a</td>
<td>11.489 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.651 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.732 b</td>
<td>11.496 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₃Ir</td>
<td>5.1585 a⁶</td>
<td>5.135</td>
<td>0.457 a</td>
<td>11.394 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5.0777 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.116 b</td>
<td>11.946 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo₃Ir</td>
<td>4.9874 a⁶</td>
<td>4.9703 g</td>
<td>0.344 a</td>
<td>12.986 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.9199 b</td>
<td></td>
<td>-1.014 b</td>
<td>13.387 b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴: From the GGA method in this work: Theoretical values. ⁵: From the LDA method in this work: Theoretical values. ⁶: From Reference [29]: Experimental values. ⁷: From Reference [30]: Experimental values. ⁸: From Reference [31]: Experimental values. ⁹: From Reference [32]: Experimental values. ¹: From Reference [33]: Experimental values.

In most compounds, the lattice constants generated by the GGA method offer much smaller calculated deviations than the LDA method (Table 1). For instance, the a₀(GGA) has the calculated deviation of −0.041%, and a₀(LDA) has the calculated deviation of −2.223% in comparison with a_exp in Ti₃Ir. Clearly, the GGA method exhibited better reliability for structural optimization, and thus giving a superior quality of calculation over the LDA method. As a result, the following calculation work was accomplished only by GGA method.

3.2. Elastic Constants

In the crystalline materials, the elastic constant represented the capability of resisting the exterior imposed stress. In such manners, a whole package of elastic constants was achieved to characterize mechanical properties of crystals. By imposing small strains to the equilibrium unit cell, elastic constants can be computed by determining the corresponding variations in the total energy. Theoretically, the elastic strain energy was formulated by Equation (1):

\[
U = \frac{\Delta E}{V_0} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} C_{ij} e_i e_j
\]

where \(V_0\) represents the cell volume at equilibrium state; \(\Delta E\) represents the energy difference; \(e_i\) and \(e_j\) represent the strains; \(C_{ij}\) (\(ij = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5\) and 6) represent the elastic constants.

In cubic structures, \(C_{11}, C_{12}\) and \(C_{44}\) are nonzero elastic constants without mutual dependence. In Table 2, the calculated elastic constants (\(C_{ij}\)) for X₃Ir intermetallics are shown, accompanied by the available theoretical values [18,34–36] for comparison.
Table 2. The elastic constant (C_{ij}), Cauchy pressure (C_{12}–C_{44}), bulk modulus (B), shear modulus (G), Young’s modulus (E), Poisson’s ratio (v) and B/G ratio for X₃Ir (X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb and Mo) intermetallics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>C_{11} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{44} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{12} (GPa)</th>
<th>C_{12}–C_{44} (GPa)</th>
<th>B (GPa)</th>
<th>G (GPa)</th>
<th>E (GPa)</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>B/G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ti₃Ir</td>
<td>183.8</td>
<td>52.8</td>
<td>166.0</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>171.9</td>
<td>26.5</td>
<td>75.7</td>
<td>0.427</td>
<td>6.483</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>207.2^a</td>
<td>48.8^a</td>
<td>153.1^a</td>
<td>104.3^a</td>
<td>171.1^a</td>
<td>38.5^a</td>
<td>107.4^a</td>
<td>0.395^a</td>
<td>4.446^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V₃Ir</td>
<td>471.5</td>
<td>109.4</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>248.4</td>
<td>129.8</td>
<td>331.7</td>
<td>0.277</td>
<td>1.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr₃Ir</td>
<td>478.6</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>190.2</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>108.5</td>
<td>289.0</td>
<td>0.332</td>
<td>2.639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nb₃Ir</td>
<td>433.7</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>123.7</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>227.0</td>
<td>108.0</td>
<td>279.7</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>2.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo₃Ir</td>
<td>512.7</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>175.8</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>288.1</td>
<td>114.2</td>
<td>302.6</td>
<td>0.325</td>
<td>2.523</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a: From Reference [34]: Theoretical values. ^b: From Reference [18]: Theoretical values. ^c: From Reference [35]: Theoretical values. ^d: From Reference [36]: Theoretical values.

In the elastic constant, a larger C_{44} corresponds to a stronger resistance to monoclinic shear in the (100) plane, and therefore symbolizes a larger shear modulus. For instance, V₃Ir has the largest C_{44} and shear modulus, exhibiting a superior capability to resist the shear stress. Furthermore, the compressive resistance along the x axis is reflected by C_{11}. For each compound, the derived C_{11} exhibited the biggest value among elastic constants, suggesting that it has the greatest incompressibility under x uniaxial stress. Among the compounds, Mo₃Ir was the least compressible along the x axis because it had the biggest C_{11} (512.7 GPa), and Ti₃Ir was the most compressible owing to its small C_{11} (183.8 GPa).

Utilizing Born’s criteria [37,38], the essence of mechanical stability should be evaluated for cubic crystals:

\[ C_{11} > 0; C_{44} > 0; C_{11} − C_{12} > 0; C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0 \]  \( (2) \)

Using the values in Table 2, all X₃Ir compounds were found to have mechanical stability by satisfying the Born’s criteria at the ground state.

The Cauchy pressure, illustrated as (C_{12}–C_{44}) [39], should be an effective indicator to evaluate the ductile/brittle nature of cubic crystals. In Pettifor’s work [40], a more positive Cauchy pressure symbolized better ductility in the compound [41]. In Table 2, the Cauchy pressures for X₃Ir compounds were all positive in the order of V₃Ir < Nb₃Ir < Mo₃Ir < Cr₃Ir < Ti₃Ir, which means that X₃Ir compounds are naturally ductile. Such a result is in good compliance with the Cauchy pressure of Ti₃Ir provided by Rajagopalan [34]. Similarly, other A15 cubic crystals (i.e., V₃X (X = Si and Ge) [13], Nb₅X (X = Al, Ge, Si and Sn) [10] and Nb₅X (X = Al, Ga, In, Sn and Sb) [42]) have ductile characters owing to their positive Cauchy pressures.

3.3. Elastic Properties

Once the elastic constants were achieved, the elastic moduli (i.e., bulk modulus (B) and shear modulus (G)) could be computed by means of the Voigt–Reuss–Hill (VRH) method [43]. In cubic structures, the equations are exhibited as [44–46]:

\[ B_V = B_R = \frac{1}{3}(C_{11} + 2C_{12}) \]  \( (3a) \)

\[ G_V = \frac{1}{5}(C_{11} − C_{12} + 3C_{44}) \]  \( (3b) \)

\[ G_R = \frac{5(C_{11} − C_{12})C_{44}}{4C_{44} + 3(C_{11} − C_{12})} \]  \( (3c) \)
where VM(X_{3}\text{Ir}) represents the volume (cm^{3}/mol) of X_{3}\text{Ir}.

Lastly, the computed elastic moduli for X_{3}\text{Ir} compounds using the VRH method are tabulated in Table 2 in combination with the available theoretical results for comparison [18,34–36]. Comparably, our calculated bulk moduli showed satisfactory agreement with the theoretical values for Ti_{3}\text{Ir} [34], Nb_{3}\text{Ir} [35] and Mo_{3}\text{Ir} [36], and a value slightly smaller than the theoretical one for V_{3}\text{Ir} [18].

Analytically, the resisting capability against volume fluctuation under pressure is determined by the bulk modulus. For X_{3}\text{Ir} (X = \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr}, \text{Nb} and \text{Mo}) intermetallics, the bulk moduli showed the sequence of Ti_{3}\text{Ir} < Nb_{3}\text{Ir} < V_{3}\text{Ir} < Cr_{3}\text{Ir} < Mo_{3}\text{Ir}. In References [48,49], a larger equilibrium cell volume was reported to correspond to a lower bulk modulus in the cubic crystal. Observably, such a conclusion is effective when the alloying elements are in the same cycle of the periodic table of elements (Figure 1a). For example, the bulk moduli are improved in the order of Ti_{3}\text{Ir} < V_{3}\text{Ir} < Cr_{3}\text{Ir} depending on the reduced equilibrium cell volume. Similarly, the bulk modulus of Nb_{3}\text{Ir} is smaller than that of Mo_{3}\text{Ir} with the larger equilibrium cell volume of Nb_{3}\text{Ir} (Figure 1a). Nevertheless, when the alloying elements are in the same group of the periodic table, the conclusion is valid for Nb_{3}\text{Ir} < V_{3}\text{Ir}, but ineffective for Cr_{3}\text{Ir} < Mo_{3}\text{Ir}, where Mo_{3}\text{Ir} actually has a larger equilibrium cell volume.

\begin{align}
B &= \frac{B_{V} + B_{G}}{2} \\
G &= \frac{G_{V} + G_{G}}{2}
\end{align}

(3d) \quad (3e)

When the elastic moduli are achieved, the Young’s modulus (E) and Poisson’s ratio (ν) should be calculated in the second step [47]:

\begin{align}
E &= \frac{9BG}{3B + G} \\
ν &= \frac{3B - 2G}{2(3B + G)}
\end{align}

(3f) \quad (3g)

Lastly, the computed elastic moduli for X_{3}\text{Ir} compounds using the VRH method are tabulated in Table 2 in combination with the available theoretical results for comparison [18,34–36]. Comparably, our calculated bulk moduli showed satisfactory agreement with the theoretical values for Ti_{3}\text{Ir} [34], Nb_{3}\text{Ir} [35] and Mo_{3}\text{Ir} [36], and a value slightly smaller than the theoretical one for V_{3}\text{Ir} [18].

Analytically, the resisting capability against volume fluctuation under pressure is determined by the bulk modulus. For X_{3}\text{Ir} (X = \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{Cr}, \text{Nb} and \text{Mo}) intermetallics, the bulk moduli showed the sequence of Ti_{3}\text{Ir} < Nb_{3}\text{Ir} < V_{3}\text{Ir} < Cr_{3}\text{Ir} < Mo_{3}\text{Ir}. In References [48,49], a larger equilibrium cell volume was reported to correspond to a lower bulk modulus in the cubic crystal. Observably, such a conclusion is effective when the alloying elements are in the same cycle of the periodic table of elements (Figure 1a). For example, the bulk moduli are improved in the order of Ti_{3}\text{Ir} < V_{3}\text{Ir} < Cr_{3}\text{Ir} depending on the reduced equilibrium cell volume. Similarly, the bulk modulus of Nb_{3}\text{Ir} is smaller than that of Mo_{3}\text{Ir} with the larger equilibrium cell volume of Nb_{3}\text{Ir} (Figure 1a). Nevertheless, when the alloying elements are in the same group of the periodic table, the conclusion is valid for Nb_{3}\text{Ir} < V_{3}\text{Ir}, but ineffective for Cr_{3}\text{Ir} < Mo_{3}\text{Ir}, where Mo_{3}\text{Ir} actually has a larger equilibrium cell volume.

Figure 1. The relationship between bulk modulus and (a) volume of the unit cell or (b) electron density.

In order to further illustrate the relationship between the equilibrium cell volume and the bulk modulus of X_{3}\text{Ir} intermetallics, the linear dependence of the electron density on the bulk modulus is exhibited in Figure 1b. Clearly, dividing the bonding valence (ZB) by the volume per atom (VM) can deduce the electron density (n) in metallic compounds [50]. For X_{3}\text{Ir} compounds, the electron density (n) can be formulated as:

\begin{equation}
n(X_{3}\text{Ir}) = \frac{Z_{B}(X_{3}\text{Ir})}{V_{M}(X_{3}\text{Ir})}
\end{equation}

(4a)

where VM(X_{3}\text{Ir}) represents the volume (cm^{3}/mol) of X_{3}\text{Ir}.

Rationalized by Vegard’s law [51], ZB(X_{3}\text{Ir}) showed a bonding valence in (el/atom), and the Reference [52] tabulated the bonding valence of the pure element:

\begin{equation}
Z_{B}(X_{3}\text{Ir}) = \frac{3Z_{B}(X) + Z_{B}(\text{Ir})}{4}
\end{equation}

(4b)
Using this method, the linear dependence of the electron density on the bulk modulus was identified through the calculated values. Conclusively, it is more precise to rationalize the bulk modulus from the electron density, rather than the equilibrium cell volume.

Shear modulus \((G)\) symbolizes the capability to resist shape fluctuation [44], and Young’s modulus \((E)\) is a measurement of resistance to tension and compression in the elastic regime [53]. Notably, there is a linear dependence of the Young’s modulus on the shear modulus following the order of \(\text{Ti}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Nb}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Cr}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Mo}_3\text{Ir} < \text{V}_3\text{Ir}\) (Figure 2).

![Figure 2](image_url)

**Figure 2.** The relationship between shear modulus \((G)\) and Young’s modulus \((E)\).

Overall, the bigger bulk modulus over shear modulus for each \(X_3\text{Ir}\) compound should reflect that the \(X_3\text{Ir}\) compound has an improved capability to resist volume fluctuation over shape fluctuation (Table 2). This conclusion complies well with the available data regarding the dependence of the bulk modulus on the shear modulus in other A15 intermetallics, i.e., \(\text{Ti}_3\text{Ir}\) \((X = \text{Ir, Pt and Au})\) [34], \(\text{V}_3X\) \((X = \text{Si and Ge})\) [13], \(\text{Nb}_3X\) \((X = \text{Al, Ga, In, Sn and Sb})\) [42] and \(\text{Mo}_3X\) \((X = \text{Si and Ge})\) [54].

The Poisson’s ratio \((-1 \leq v \leq 0.5)\) is used to quantify the stability of crystals against the shear deformation [55]. Materials with improved plasticity should possess a larger Poisson’s ratio. The \(X_3\text{Ir}\) compounds have Poisson’s ratios in the order of \(\text{V}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Nb}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Mo}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Cr}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Ti}_3\text{Ir}\). This means that \(\text{Ti}_3\text{Ir}\) should be the most ductile, while \(\text{V}_3\text{Ir}\) is most brittle. Additionally, the Poisson’s ratio provides information on the bonding forces in solids [56]. The lower and higher limits are 0.25 and 0.5 for the central force in a solid, respectively. For \(X_3\text{Ir}\) intermetallics, the interatomic forces of intermetallics should be central forces, since all the obtained values are located on this scale (Table 2).

The \(B/G\) ratio formulated by Pugh [57] is commonly adopted to quantitatively estimate the brittle or ductile essence of metallic compounds. The critical \(B/G\) ratio to distinguish the brittle from ductile material is 1.75. A smaller value is connected with the brittle nature, whereas a larger \(B/G\) ratio is related to ductility. Furthermore, the revised Cauchy pressure \(\left(\left(C_{12}-C_{44}\right)/E\right)\) [58] was plotted against the \(B/G\) ratio to clarify the extent of ductility intuitively (Figure 3). As a result, the ductility was found to be enhanced in the order of \(\text{V}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Nb}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Mo}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Cr}_3\text{Ir} < \text{Ti}_3\text{Ir}\). This conclusion agrees well with the analysis of the Poisson’s ratio. Clearly, \(\text{Ti}_3\text{Ir}\) should be much more ductile than the other \(X_3\text{Ir}\) compounds (Figure 3).
3.4. Elastic Anisotropy

The universal anisotropic index \( A^U \) can be used to evaluate the elastic anisotropy, which is also referred to as the probability to introduce materials’ micro-cracks [59]. The index can be calculated via Equation (5) [60]:

\[
A^U = 5\frac{G_V}{G_R} + \frac{B_V}{B_R} - 6
\]  

(5)

where \( B_V \) (\( B_R \)) and \( G_V \) (\( G_R \)) represent the symbols of the bulk modulus and the shear modulus at Voigt (Reuss) bounds, respectively.

In the calculated elastic anisotropies, \( B_V / B_R \), should be equal to 1 for cubic crystals (Table 3).

Table 3. The computed bulk and shear moduli at Voigt (Reuss) bounds, and the universal anisotropic index \( A^U \) for \( X_3Ir \) compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>( B_V )</th>
<th>( B_R )</th>
<th>( G_V )</th>
<th>( G_R )</th>
<th>( B_V/B_R )</th>
<th>( G_V/G_R )</th>
<th>( A^U )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( Ti_3Ir )</td>
<td>171.9</td>
<td>171.9</td>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.984</td>
<td>4.922</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( V_3Ir )</td>
<td>248.4</td>
<td>248.4</td>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.044</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Cr_3Ir )</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>286.3</td>
<td>111.4</td>
<td>105.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.055</td>
<td>0.277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Nb_3Ir )</td>
<td>227.0</td>
<td>227.0</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Mo_3Ir )</td>
<td>288.1</td>
<td>288.1</td>
<td>119.9</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.106</td>
<td>0.532</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indeed, \( G_V/G_R \) has a decisive effect on the universal anisotropic index \( A^U \). Figure 4 shows that the universal anisotropic index increases linearly with the increment of the \( G_V/G_R \) value. A compound with a smaller \( A^U \) represents a weaker extent of anisotropy. Therefore, the universal anisotropy was found to be reduced in the sequence of \( V_3Ir < Cr_3Ir < Nb_3Ir < Mo_3Ir < Ti_3Ir \). Generally, \( Ti_3Ir \) has the largest universal anisotropy, and \( V_3Ir \) has the smallest. Because the experimental value is lacking for comparison in these compounds, this calculation has to be evaluated in later research.

In addition, to further describe the anisotropy of \( X_3Ir \) compounds, the directional dependence of the reciprocal of the Young’s modulus was constructed for a three-dimensional (3D) surface according to Equation (6) [48]:

\[
\frac{1}{E} = S_{11} - 2(S_{11} - S_{12} - S_{44}/2)(l_1^2l_2^2 + l_2^2l_3^2 + l_1^2l_3^2)
\]

(6)

where \( S_q \) represents the usual elastic compliance constant obtained from the inverse of the matrix of the elastic constant; \( l_1, l_2 \) and \( l_3 \) represent the direction cosines in the sphere coordination.

If a crystal has ideal isotropic performance, the 3D directional dependence of the Young’s modulus would show a spherical shape. In fact, the extent of deviation from the spherical shape symbolizes the anisotropic extent. In Figure 5, \( X_3Ir \) compounds showed the distinctive 3D figures of Young’s moduli...
with various deviations from a sphere. This confirmed that X3Ir compounds have anisotropic behaviors. Obviously, Ti3Ir shows the largest deviation from the sphere shape along the <111> direction. On the contrary, other X3Ir compounds exhibited different forms of deviation, and the most visible deviations were observed along the zone axes. Finally, the extent of the elastic anisotropy for X3Ir obeyed the sequence of V3Ir < Cr3Ir < Nb3Ir < Mo3Ir < Ti3Ir. This conclusion complies well with the result obtained from the universal anisotropic index.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 4.** The correlation between $G_V/G_R$ and the universal anisotropic index ($A_U$).

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5.** The 3D surface construction of the Young's modulus in X3Ir compounds. (The magnitudes of Young's moduli at different directions are presented by the contours along each graph with the unit of GPa).

### 3.5. Anisotropic Sound Velocity and Debye Temperature

In the crystalline material, the sound velocities should depend on the crystalline symmetry in combination with the propagating direction. In the cubic structure, [111], [110] and [001] directions exhibited the pure transverse and longitudinal modes, accordingly. Regarding other directions, both
quasi-transverse and quasi-longitudinal waves can work as the main sound propagating modes. Therefore, the sound velocities formulated in the principal directions are listed as follows [61]:

\[
\begin{align*}
[100]v_1 &= \sqrt{C_{11}/\rho}; \\
[010]v_{11} &= [001]v_{12} = \sqrt{C_{44}/\rho} \\
[110]v_1 &= \sqrt{(C_{11} + C_{12} + C_{44})/(2\rho)} \\
[110]v_{11} &= \sqrt{(C_{11} - C_{12})/\rho}; \\
[001]v_{12} &= \sqrt{C_{44}/\rho} \\
[111]v_1 &= \sqrt{(C_{11} + 2C_{12} + 4C_{44})/(3\rho)} \\
[112]v_{11} &= [112]v_{12} = \sqrt{(C_{11} - C_{12} + C_{44})/(3\rho)} \\
\end{align*}
\]

(7)

where \(v_1\) (\(v_i\)) represents the longitudinal (transverse) sound velocity; \(\rho\) represents the density (see Table 1).

Overall, the longitudinal sound velocity along the [100] direction was only decided by \(C_{11}\). The transverse modes along [010] and [001] directions were dependent on \(C_{44}\). The longitudinal sound velocities along both the [110] and [111] directions were influenced by \(C_{11}, C_{12}\) and \(C_{44}\).

Along the [100], [110] and [111] directions, the longitudinal sound velocities and the transverse sound velocities are exhibited in Table 4 for each \(X_3\text{Ir}\) compound. For each compound, the longitudinal sound velocity followed the rising sequence of [100] < [110] < [111]. The sound velocities showed anisotropic properties, further confirming the elastic anisotropic behaviors of the compounds.

These theoretically computed physical properties (i.e., elastic moduli and Poisson’s ratio) and structural properties (i.e., density) should be adopted to calculate the Debye temperature (\(\Theta\)) using the following formula [54,62,63]:

\[
\Theta = \frac{h}{k} \left( \frac{3n}{4\pi} \left( \frac{N_A\rho}{M} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \right) V_D
\]

(8a)

where \(\rho\) represents the density (see Table 1); \(h\) represents the Planck’s constant (\(h = 6.626 \times 10^{-34}\) J/s); \(k\) represents the Boltzmann’s constant (\(k = 1.381 \times 10^{-23}\) J/K); \(n\) represents the number of atoms per formula unit; \(N_A\) represents the Avogadro’s number (\(N_A = 6.023 \times 10^{-23}/\text{mol}\)); \(M\) represents the molecular weight (\(M(\text{Ti}_3\text{Ir}) = 335.8\) g/mol, \(M(\text{V}_3\text{Ir}) = 345\) g/mol, \(M(\text{Cr}_3\text{Ir}) = 347.8\) g/mol, \(M(\text{Nb}_3\text{Ir}) = 470.9\) g/mol, \(M(\text{Mo}_3\text{Ir}) = 480\) g/mol); \(v_D\) represents the average sound velocity in polycrystalline materials. The latter is formulated as:

\[
v_D = \left[ \frac{1}{3} \left( \frac{1}{V_L^3} + \frac{2}{V_T^3} \right) \right]^{-\frac{1}{3}}
\]

(8b)

where \(v_T\) and \(v_L\) represent the transverse and longitudinal sound velocities, respectively, as formulated by the equations below:

\[
v_T = \sqrt{\frac{G}{\rho}}
\]

(8c)

\[
v_L = \sqrt{\frac{B + \frac{4}{3}G}{\rho}}
\]

(8d)
Table 4. The anisotropic sound velocities (m/s), average sound velocities (m/s) and Debye temperatures (K) for X₃Ir intermetallics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystalline Orientation</th>
<th>Ti₃Ir</th>
<th>V₃Ir</th>
<th>Cr₃Ir</th>
<th>Nb₃Ir</th>
<th>Mo₃Ir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[111]</td>
<td>5226.6</td>
<td>6138.7</td>
<td>5942.8</td>
<td>5460.3</td>
<td>5583.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[112][111,112]</td>
<td>1629.2</td>
<td>3762.0</td>
<td>3311.6</td>
<td>3397.4</td>
<td>3301.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[110]</td>
<td>4763.3</td>
<td>5856.6</td>
<td>5744.8</td>
<td>5307.3</td>
<td>5466.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[110][111]</td>
<td>1416.9</td>
<td>5656.7</td>
<td>5010.3</td>
<td>5216.1</td>
<td>5093.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[001][112]</td>
<td>2440.3</td>
<td>3234.2</td>
<td>2792.4</td>
<td>2723.7</td>
<td>2597.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[100]</td>
<td>4551.4</td>
<td>6713.4</td>
<td>6451.1</td>
<td>6169.4</td>
<td>6283.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[100][111]</td>
<td>2440.3</td>
<td>3234.2</td>
<td>2792.4</td>
<td>2723.7</td>
<td>2597.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[010]</td>
<td>4833.4</td>
<td>6346.8</td>
<td>6124.7</td>
<td>5706.4</td>
<td>5822.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[001]</td>
<td>1728.8</td>
<td>3522.6</td>
<td>3073.2</td>
<td>3079.0</td>
<td>2965.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[100]</td>
<td>1962.7</td>
<td>3920.4</td>
<td>3444.0</td>
<td>3434.1</td>
<td>3320.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ</td>
<td>233.3</td>
<td>487.9</td>
<td>441.0</td>
<td>396.4</td>
<td>397.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: From Reference [64]: Experimental values. b: From Reference [34]: Theoretical values. c: From Reference [65]: Experimental values. d: From Reference [66]: Experimental values. e: From Reference [67]: Experimental values. f: From Reference [16]: Experimental values. g: From Reference [68]: Experimental values. h: From Reference [36]: Theoretical values.

For each X₃Ir compound, the derived Debye temperature (Θ) is tabulated in Table 4. Also, the published experimental [16,64–68] and theoretical [34,36] values are included for comparison.

Generally, V₃Ir had the largest Debye temperature, and Ti₃Ir had the smallest. The calculated Debye temperatures were in the order of Ti₃Ir < Nb₃Ir < Mo₃Ir < Cr₃Ir < V₃Ir. Clearly, our results revealed the reduced tendency of Debye temperatures with the M atom in the same group, i.e., Cr (Lighter element) and Mo (Heavier element) are from Group-VIB, and V (Lighter element) and Nb (Heavier element) are from Group-VB.

Comparably, the obtained Debye temperatures for Ti₃Ir, V₃Ir, Cr₃Ir and Nb₃Ir were all in excellent agreement with the available experimental results [16,64–68]. For instance, the calculated Θ was 233 K for Ti₃Ir. This agrees well with the experimental values reported by Junod et al. [64] with the calculated deviation of 2.01%. Notably, the Debye temperature reported by Rajagopalan et al. [34] had the calculated deviation of 10.3%, indicating the poor quality of the prediction in this work. However, for Mo₃Ir, the published experimental [16,68] and theoretical [36] Debye temperatures were quite scattered, although our calculated values were closer to the experimental values from Staudenmann’s report [16]. Nevertheless, more works are required on this compound.

Because both structural parameters and elastic moduli are incorporated to calculate the Debye temperature, the superior quality of our calculation on these structural and elastic parameters using the GGA method was evidenced by the smaller differences between the estimated and experimental values of Debye temperatures.

3.6. Electronic Structures

Figure 6a–e exhibit the density of states (DOS) spectra representing the calculated electronic structures for X₃Ir compounds. The DOS spectra for these A15 cubic phases were similar to each other. In a typical DOS spectrum, there are normally three regions, including the lower electron band, the upper electron band, and the conduction unoccupied states around the Fermi level (E_F). For example (Figure 6a), the lower electron band was mainly contributed by 4s electrons of Ti ranging from ~55 to ~57.5 eV. The upper electron band was occupied by 3p electrons of Ti ranging from ~32 to ~35 eV. Around the Fermi level, the conduction unoccupied states were created through the hybridization of mainly Ti3d electrons with Ti3p, Ir5d and Ir4p electrons. X₃Ir compounds were plotted around the
Fermi level at zero in all the total DOS (TDOS) and partial DOS (PDOS) spectra. Clearly, no any energy gap can be found near the Fermi level. Therefore, their nature of metallicity was confirmed.

![DOS Spectra for Ti3Ir, V3Ir, Cr3Ir, Nb3Ir, Mo3Ir](image)

**Figure 6.** Total density of states (TDOS) and partial density of states (PDOS) spectra for (a) Ti3Ir, (b) Cr3Ir, (c) Mo3Ir, (d) Nb3Ir and (e) V3Ir; (f) the correlation between metallicity and the Poisson’s ratio in X3Ir compounds.

Furthermore, the electron density values can provide quantitative evidence of the metallic nature in the bonding characteristics. Even at the Fermi surface, the electron density values were much larger than zero. According to the electronic Fermi liquid theory [69], the metallicity of the compound has to be estimated using Equation (9) [70]:

\[
f_m = \frac{n_m}{n_e} = \frac{k_B TD_f}{n_e} = \frac{0.026 D_f}{n_e}
\]

where
$k_B$ represents the Boltzmann constant ($k = 1.381 \times 10^{-23}$ J/K);

$T$ represents the absolute temperature;

$D_f$ represents the DOS value at the Fermi level;

$n_m$ and $n_e$ represent the thermally excited electrons and valence electron density of the cell, respectively;

$n_e$ is calculated by $n_e = N/V_{\text{cell}}$ (N represents the total number of valence electrons; $V_{\text{cell}}$ represents the cell volume).

Using the calculated metallicity values ($f_m$), the correlation between metallicity and Poisson’s ratios can be constructed for $X_3$Ir compounds (Figure 6f). It was found that the Poisson’s ratios were diminished with the reduction in metallicity in compounds with the order of $V_3$Ir < $Nb_3$Ir < $Mo_3$Ir < $Cr_3$Ir < $Ti_3$Ir. This indicated that a compound with higher metallicity in its bonds should possess better ductility.

4. Conclusions

The effects of refractory metals on physical and thermodynamic properties of $X_3$Ir ($X = Ti, V, Cr, Nb$ and Mo) intermetallics were investigated utilizing first-principles calculations. The conclusions are listed as follows:

(1) Using the GGA method to structurally optimized the unit cell, smaller calculation deviations for lattice constants were achieved as compared to those achieved using the LDA method.

(2) The calculated bulk moduli exhibited the increasing sequence of $Ti_3$Ir < $Nb_3$Ir < $V_3$Ir < $Cr_3$Ir < $Mo_3$Ir. Furthermore, the bulk moduli showed a linear relationship with electron densities. The Young’s modulus showed a linear dependence on shear modulus following the order of $Ti_3$Ir < $Nb_3$Ir < $Cr_3$Ir < $Mo_3$Ir < $V_3$Ir.

(3) Based on the discussions on the Cauchy pressure, Poisson’s ratio and $B/G$ ratio, the ductile essence was found to be enhanced in the order of $V_3$Ir < $Nb_3$Ir < $Mo_3$Ir < $Cr_3$Ir < $Ti_3$Ir.

(4) For $X_3$Ir compounds, the extent of the elastic anisotropy for $X_3$Ir obeyed the increasing sequence of $V_3$Ir < $Cr_3$Ir < $Nb_3$Ir < $Mo_3$Ir < $Ti_3$Ir via the analyses of the universal anisotropic indexes and 3D surface constructions.

(5) The Debye temperatures obtained for $Ti_3$Ir, $V_3$Ir, $Cr_3$Ir and $Nb_3$Ir were all in good agreement with the results from experiments. Such good compliance proved the superior quality of our calculations of the structural and elastic properties, since the computation of Debye temperature is concerned with both structural and elastic parameters.

(6) The calculated electronic structures for $X_3$Ir compounds showed similar features in the DOS spectra. Furthermore, the metallicity of the compounds was calculated, and was correlated with the Poisson’s ratios. This indicated that a compound with higher metallicity in its bonds should possess better ductility.
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