Platonic Relationships in Metal Phosphonate Chemistry: Ionic Metal Phosphonates

Konstantinos Xanthopoulos 1, Zafeiria Anagnostou 1, Sophocles Chalkiadakis 1, Duane Choquesillo-Lazarte 2, Gellert Mezei 3, Jan K. Zaręba 4, Jerzy Zon 5 and Konstantinos D. Demadis 1,*

1 Crystal Engineering, Growth and Design Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Crete, Voutes Campus, GR-71003 Crete, Greece; kostas.sharma@gmail.com (K.X.); zaphiri@gmail.com (Z.A.); sophocleschalk@gmail.com (S.C.)
2 Laboratorio de Estudios Cristalográficos, IACT, CSIC-Universidad de Granada, 18100 Granada, Spain; duane.choquesillo@csic.es
3 Department of Chemistry, Western Michigan University, Kalamazoo, MI 49008-5413, USA; gellert.mezei@wmich.edu
4 Advanced Materials Engineering and Modeling Group, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Wyb. Wyspiańskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland; jan.zareba@pwr.edu.pl
5 Department of Thermodynamics, Theory of Machine and Thermal Systems, Faculty of Mechanical and Power Engineering, Wroclaw University of Science and Technology, Wyb. Wyspianskiego 27, 50-370 Wroclaw, Poland; jerzy.zon@pwr.edu.pl
* Correspondence: demadis@uoc.gr; Tel.: +30-2810-545051

Received: 15 May 2019; Accepted: 7 June 2019; Published: 11 June 2019

Abstract: Phosphonate ligands demonstrate strong affinity for metal ions. However, there are several cases where the phosphonate is found non-coordinated to the metal ion. Such compounds could be characterized as salts, since the interactions involved are ionic and hydrogen bonding. In this paper we explore a number of such examples, using divalent metal ions (Mg$^{2+}$, Ca$^{2+}$, Sr$^{2+}$ and Ni$^{2+}$) and the phosphonic acids: $p$-aminobenzylphosphonic acid (H$_2$PABPA), tetramethylenediamine-tetrakis(methylenephosphonic acid) (H$_8$TDTMP), and 1,2-ethylenediphosphonic acid (H$_4$EDPA). The compounds isolated and structurally characterized are [Mg(H$_2$O)$_6$]\[HPABPA\]$_2$·6H$_2$O, [Ca(H$_2$O)$_8$]\[HPABPA\]$_2$, [Sr(H$_2$O)$_8$]\[HPABPA\]$_2$, [Mg(H$_2$O)$_6$]\[H$_8$TDTMP], and [Ni(H$_2$O)$_6$]\[H$_2$EDPA\]·H$_2$O. Also, the coordination polymer [[Ni(4,4'-bpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$]\[H$_2$EDPA\]·H$_2$O]$_n$ was synthesized and characterized, which contains a bridging 4,4'-bipyridine (4,4'-bpy) ligand forming an infinite chain with the Ni$^{2+}$ cations. All these compounds contain the phosphonate anion as the counterion to charge balance the cationic charge originating from the metal cation.
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1. Introduction

The area of metal phosphonate chemistry has seen impressive growth in the last decade [1]. Metal phosphonate compounds are known for their complex and diverse structural motifs [2] and their unique structural topologies [3]. They are also candidates for use in versatile application areas such as proton conductivity [4], gas storage [5], pharmacology [6], ion-exchange [7], catalysis [8] and corrosion inhibition [9].

The phosphonate group, either mono-deprotonated (-PO$_3$H$^-$), or doubly-deprotonated (-PO$_3^{2-}$), demonstrates high affinity for metal ions [10]. Because of this, and the stability of the metal phosphonate coordination bonds, hybrid metal organic materials with non-coordinated phosphonate groups (Ionic
Metal-Phosphonates), are relatively scarce. However, notable examples are found in the literature, and are briefly presented below.

The hydrated salt [Co(NH₃)₆](O₃P(C₆H₄)₂COO)-4H₂O was crystallized from an aqueous ammonia solution of 4-phosphono-biphenyl-4’-carboxylic acid [11]. In a similar approach, 4-phosphonobenzoic acid was crystallized with hexaaquacobalt(II) [12]. The compound [Cd₂Cl₄(H₂O)₆]₀.₅[H₂L] [L = 2-morpholinooethyliminobis(methylene phosphonic acid)] contains a Cd chlorodimer as the cationic moiety [13]. The salt [Ni(phen)₃]₂[H₂L]₀.₅[H₂L]₀.₅·2H₂O (phen = 1,10-phenanthroline, L = hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid) showed two forms of the ligand in the same structure, with different degrees of deprotonation [14].

A series of divalent transition metal phosphonates containing hydrogen-bonded layers of phenylphosphonate anions, namely [M(phen)₃]CsH₂PO₃·11H₂O [M = Co²⁺, Ni²⁺, Cu²⁺] and [Cd(phen)₃]CsH₆PO₃·HCl·7H₂O were synthesized and structurally characterized by single-crystal X-ray diffraction methods [15]. The synthesis and crystal structures of five new analogues of the supramolecular copper(II) arylphosphonate [Cu(phen)₂Cl]((C₆H₅PO₃H₂)(H₂PO₃C₆H₅)] were reported. The structures contain substituted phenylphosphonic acids, and are of the general formula [Cu(phen)₂Cl]((XPO₃H₂)(H₂PO₃X))·Z, where X = O·CH₃(C₆H₄); Z = H₂O·2CH₃CH₂OH; X = a·NO₂(C₆H₄), m·NO₂(C₆H₄); X = m·NO₂(C₆H₄); Z = C₆H₈O [16]. The salt [Mg₃/₅(H₂O)₂](L·H₂O)₁·₅·₆·₅·₆H₂O (L·H₂ = O₃PCH₂N(H)(C₆H₄)N(H)CH₂PO₃, N,N′-piperazine-bis(methylene phosphonic acid) was hydrothermally synthesized and structurally characterized [17]. The crystal structure of the zinc hexaqua compound [Zn(H₂O)₆][TDTMP] (TDTMP = tetramethylenediamine-tetrakis(methylene phosphonate)) was reported [18]. Alkaline-earth metal phosphates containing nitrogen-donor ligands were prepared by the reaction of alkaline-earth metal acetates M(OAc)₂·xH₂O (M = Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba) with 2,6-diisopropylphosphonic acid (dippH₂). Interaction of strontium or barium acetate with dippH₂·NO₂ leads to the isolation of ionic phosphates [{M₂(µ-H₂O)₆(H₂O)₁₀}[dipp]₂·4L [M = Sr, L = CH₃OH; M = Ba, L = H₂O] [19]. The structure of the compound bis(hydrogen diphenylmethylphosphonato)-magnesium octahydrate contains polar and non-polar layers. The polar layers contain Mg(H₂O)₆²⁺ ions, water of hydration and the phosphate O atoms, and the non-polar layers contain benzhydryl groups. Two-dimensional hydrogen-bonding networks link Mg(H₂O)₆²⁺ and the water of hydration to the phosphate O atoms [20]. Three 1D nickel coordination polymers based on P,P'-diphenylethylene-diphosphonic acid and three different bis-pyridine co-ligands, namely 4,4'-bipyridine, 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane and 1,2-bis(4-pyridyl)ethane, were prepared in mild hydrothermal conditions. They all contained 1D “Ni-bipyridyl” chains, with the phosphate playing the role of the counterion [21]. The synthesis and crystal structure of Mg(2-AEPH)₂·8H₂O (2-AEPH = 2-aminoethylphosphonate) were reported [22]. The compound is built from octahedral magnesium hexaqua dications, uncoordinated 2-AEPH⁻ anions, and interstitial water molecules. The latter have no metal contacts but are engaged in hydrogen bonding, in which the water molecules, the (protonated) amino functions and the O-acceptor sites of the anions participate. The structures of the alkaline earth metal (Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba) complexes with 4-aminophenylasaronic acid (p-arsanilic acid) have been determined [23]. Of these, only the Mg analog, hexaaquamagnesium bis[hydrogen (4-aminophenyl)arsonate] tetrahydrate, [Mg(H₂O)₆]CsH₁₇AsNO₃·4H₂O, is ionic. The octahedral [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ cation, the two hydrogen p-arsanilate anions and the four water molecules of solvation form a three-dimensional network structure through inter-species O—H and N—H hydrogen-bonding interactions with water and arsonate O-atom and amine N-atom acceptors.

In this study we present the synthesis and structural characterization of five novel ionic metal phosphonates containing divalent metal ions, such as alkaline-earth metals (M = Mg²⁺, Ca²⁺, Sr²⁺) and the 3D transition metal Ni²⁺. The alkaline-earth metal compounds contain the aromatic amino-phosphonate ligand p-aminobenzyolphosphonic acid (H₂PABA), or the tetraphosphonic acid ligand tetramethylenediamine-tetrakis(methylene phosphonic acid) (H₄TDTMP) (when M = Mg²⁺). The two Ni²⁺-containing compounds both contain the ligand 1,2-ethylenediphosphonic acid (EDPA),
but one of them also contains the bridging co-ligand 4,4′-bipyridine. The latter is a Ni-4,4′-bipyridine coordination polymer having the EDPA as the counterion. Schematic structures of the ligand used herein are presented in Figure 1.

![Schematic structures of ligands](image)

**Figure 1.** Phosphonic acids and N-heterocyclic ligand used in this paper. Phosphonato groups are highlighted in red, and N groups in blue. Amine-containing ligands are shown in their zwitterionic form.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. Instrumentation

\(^{1}\)H, \(^{31}\)P\(^{1}\)H\) NMR spectra were recorded on a Jeol JNM-ECZ 400S Research FT NMR spectrometer (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) operating at 400 MHz and 161.7 MHz for \(^{1}\)H and \(^{31}\)P nuclei, respectively. ATR-IR spectra were collected on a Thermo-Electron NICOLET 6700 FTIR optical spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Elemental analyses (C, H, N) were measured on a Perkin–Elmer 2400 analyzer (Perkin–Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) data were recorded on an SDT-Q600 analyzer from TA instruments (TA instruments, New Castle, DE, USA). The temperature varied from RT to 900 °C at a heating rate of 10 °C·min\(^{-1}\). Measurements were carried out on samples in open platinum crucibles under air flow.

#### 2.2. General

Starting materials were of reagent grade purity, obtained from commercial sources, and used without further purification. Deionized (DI) water was purified by a cation-exchange column.

#### 2.3. Synthesis of 4-(bromomethyl)nitrobenzene

A 100 mL flask was charged with 4-(hydroxymethyl)nitrobenzene (5.00 g, 0.0326 mol), and 30% HBr solution in glacial acetic acid (35 mL). The obtained mixture was stirred at gentle reflux for 2 h. After cooling down, 48% HBr solution in water (20 mL) was added in order to precipitate as much crude 4-(bromomethyl)nitrobenzene as possible. The obtained brownish solid was filtered out, dissolved in chloroform and passed through a thick plug of finely ground K\(_2\)CO\(_3\), to remove acidic impurities.
and residual water. After solvent evaporation, crude 4-(bromomethyl)nitrobenzene was crystallized from hexanes affording light beige crystals. Yield: 6.41 g (91%). Spectral data match those previously reported [24]. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.20 (d, J₃HH = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, J₃HH = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (s, 2H).

2.4. Synthesis of 4-(dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)nitrobenzene

A three-neck 50 mL flask fitted with trap and gas inlet was charged with 4-(bromomethyl)nitrobenzene (5.00 g, 0.0231 mol), triethylamine (50 mL, 0.358 mol), and 100 mg 10%Pd/C catalyst. The reaction mixture was preheated to 50 °C and 90% Formic acid (8 mL, 0.18 mol) was added in small portions. Note that the reaction is strongly exothermic, and that hydrogen gas is evolved. After addition of all formic acid the reflux was maintained for 30 min, then the reaction mixture was cooled down, and evaporated. The crude product was dissolved in chloroform (100 mL), extracted with water (2 × 50 mL), and brine (50 mL). The organic phase was collected, dried with K₂CO₃, and evaporated. Column chromatography (silica gel, eluent: ethyl acetate, Rf = 0.40) provided pure 4-(dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)aniline as a light yellow oil that crystallizes upon standing. Yield: 3.35 g (79%). Spectral data match those previously reported [27]. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.05 (dd, J₃HH = 6.8 Hz, J₄PH = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 6.61 (d, J₃HH = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 4.05–3.88 (m, 2H), 3.61 (br. s, 6H). ³¹P NMR (161.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 25.22 (s).

2.5. Synthesis of 4-(dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)aniline (H₂PABPA)

4-(Dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)aniline (3.20 g, 0.0131 mol), concentrated hydrochloric acid (25 mL) and water (25 mL) were mixed together in a 100 mL flask. The obtained mixture was heated at reflux for 20 h. After hydrolysis the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. Crude product was boiled with ethanol (30 mL) for 30 min, cooled down and left overnight. A beige precipitate was filtered out and residual water. After solvent evaporation, crude 4-(bromomethyl)nitrobenzene was crystallized from hexanes affording light beige crystals. Yield: 6.41 g (91%). Spectral data match those previously reported [24]. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.20 (d, J₃HH = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, J₃HH = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.51 (s, 2H).

2.6. Synthesis of 4-(dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)aniline (H₂PABPA)

4-(Dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)aniline (3.20 g, 0.0131 mol), concentrated hydrochloric acid (25 mL) and water (25 mL) were mixed together in a 100 mL flask. The obtained mixture was heated at reflux for 20 h. After hydrolysis the reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness. Crude product was boiled with ethanol (30 mL) for 30 min, cooled down and left overnight. A beige precipitate was filtered out and dried, providing pure 4-(dihydroxyphosphorylmethyl)aniline. Yield: 2.19 g (89%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.16 (dd, J₃HH = 8.8 Hz, J₄PH = 2.6 Hz, 2H), 7.09 (dd, J₃HH = 8.8 Hz, J₅PH = 0.8 Hz, 2H), 2.99 (d, J₅PH = 21.5 Hz, 2H). ³¹P NMR (161.7 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 25.48 (s).

2.7. Synthesis of [Mg(H₂O)₆]·[HPABPA]₂·2H₂O (Mg-PABPA)

Solid H₂PABPA (132 mg, 0.7 mmol) was added to 140 mL of deionized water in a 250 mL glass beaker. A small quantity of 2 M NaOH solution was added until the pH of the solution reached the value of ~12.0. The solution was then heated to 80 °C and stirred vigorously until all the solid H₂PABPA dissolved. The solution was left to reach room temperature and then a small amount of 2 M HCl solution was added until the pH dropped to 7.7. In a separate glass beaker, a quantity of MgCl₂·6H₂O (70 mg, 0.35 mmol) was dissolved in 70 mL of DI water. The two solutions were finally transferred to a polypropylene vessel and mixed under vigorous stirring. The pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to 7.0. This clear, colorless solution was left to stand at room temperature for
~2 weeks and product crystallization was achieved via slow evaporation of the solvent. The dark brown crystals were isolated by filtration, washed with small amounts of DI water, and left to air-dry. Yield: 55 mg (35%). Elemental analysis for $[\text{Mg(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{HPABPA]}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$, MW 612.74. Calculated (Found) %: C 27.42 (27.39), H 6.85 (6.04), N 4.57 (4.60).

2.8. Synthesis of $[\text{Ca(H}_2\text{O)}]_8[\text{HPABPA]}_2$ (Ca-PABPA)

The same method as for $[\text{Mg(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{HPABPA]}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used for this synthesis. The reactants used were: $\text{H}_2\text{PABPA}$ (132 mg, 0.7 mmol) and $\text{CaCl}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (50 mg, 0.35 mmol). Yield: 66 mg (34%). Elemental analysis for $[\text{Ca(H}_2\text{O)}]_8[\text{HPABPA]}_2$, MW 556.45. Calculated (Found)%: C 29.39 (30.19), H 5.97 (6.11), N 4.92 (5.03).

2.9. Synthesis of $[\text{Sr(H}_2\text{O)}]_8[\text{HPABPA]}_2$ (Sr-PABPA)

The same method as for $[\text{Mg(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{HPABPA]}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was used for this synthesis. The reactants used were: $\text{H}_2\text{PABPA}$ (132 mg, 0.7 mmol) and $\text{SrCl}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (90 mg, 0.35 mmol). Yield: 70 mg (33%). Elemental analysis for $[\text{Sr(H}_2\text{O)}]_8[\text{HPABPA]}_2$, MW 603.99. Calculated (Found)%: C 27.82 (25.87), H 5.63 (5.13), N 4.64 (4.35).

2.10. Synthesis of $[\text{Ni(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{H}_2\text{EDPA]}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (Ni-EDPA)

$\text{Ni(NO}_3)_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (290 mg, 1.0 mmol) and $\text{H}_4\text{EDPA}$ (196 mg, 1.0 mmol) were simultaneously dissolved in DI water (100 mL) in a polypropylene vessel under vigorous stirring and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 4.0 with a small quantity of a 2 M NaOH solution. This clear, greenish solution was left to stand at room temperature and product crystallization was achieved via slow evaporation of the solvent. Emerald green crystals formed after 15 days and were filtered and washed with a small quantity of DI water. Yield: 217 mg (78%). Elemental analysis for $[\text{Ni(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{H}_2\text{EDPA]}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, MW 390.84. Calculated (Found)%: C 6.14 (5.77), H 5.63 (5.53), N 0 (0.05).

2.11. Synthesis of $[[\text{Ni(4,4'-bpy)(H}_2\text{O)}]_4][\text{H}_2\text{EDPA]}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}]_n$ (Ni-bpy-EDPA)

$\text{Ni(NO}_3)_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (290 mg, 1.0 mmol), $\text{H}_4\text{EDPA}$ (196 mg, 1.0 mmol), and 4,4'-bpy (156 mg, 1.0 mmol) were simultaneously dissolved in DI water (100 mL) in a polypropylene (PP) vessel under vigorous stirring and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 5.0 with a small quantity of a 2 M NaOH solution. This clear, greenish solution was left to stand at room temperature and product crystallization was achieved via slow evaporation of the solvent. Emerald green crystals formed after 15 days and were filtered and washed with a small quantity of DI water. Yield: 219 mg (45%). Elemental analysis for $[[\text{Ni(4,4'-bpy)(H}_2\text{O)}]_4][\text{H}_2\text{EDPA]}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$, MW 511.00. Calculated (Found)%: C 28.18 (28.42), H 5.09 (5.73), N 5.48 (6.13).

2.12. Synthesis of $[\text{Mg(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{H}_6\text{TDTMP]}$ (Mg-TDTMP)

In a PP vessel solid $\text{H}_8\text{TDTMP}$ acid (46 mg, 0.1 mmol) was mixed with $\text{MgCl}_2\cdot6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (20 mg, 0.1 mmol) in 10 mL DI water under continuous stirring until complete dissolution of the solids. The pH was adjusted to ~ 3.0. The clear colorless solution was then left to stand at ambient conditions for partial solvent evaporation. A crystalline precipitate was obtained after 15 days, isolated by filtration, washed with DI water and left to dry under air. Yield 36 mg (60%). Elemental analysis for $[\text{Mg(H}_2\text{O)}]_6[\text{H}_6\text{TDTMP]}$, MW 594.56. Calculated (Found)%: C 16.15 (16.31), H 5.72 (5.66), N 4.71 (4.54).

2.13. Crystal Data Collection And Refinement

Crystals for measurements were handled under inert conditions. They were immersed in perfluoropolyether as a protecting oil for manipulation. Suitable single crystals were mounted on MiTeGen Micromounts™ and subsequently used for data collection. X-ray diffraction data for Ni-bpy-EDPA and Mg-TDTMP were collected at room temperature from a single-crystal mounted
atop a glass fiber with cyanocrylate glue, using a Bruker SMART APEX II diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-Kα radiation. Data for Mg-PABPA, Ca-PABPA, Sr-PABPA and Ni-EDPA were collected with a Bruker D8 Venture diffractometer. The data were processed with the APEX3 suite [28]. The structures were solved by direct methods [29], which revealed the position of all non-hydrogen atoms. These atoms were refined on F² by a full-matrix least squares procedure using anisotropic displacement parameters [30]. All hydrogen atoms were located in difference Fourier maps and included as fixed contributions riding on attached atoms with isotropic thermal displacement parameters 1.2 or 1.5 times those of the respective atom. Crystallographic data for the reported structures have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication no. CCDC 1914866 – 1914868 and 1914870-1914872. Additional crystal data are shown in Table 1. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge at http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/products/csd/request.

### Table 1. Selected crystallographic data for all compounds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Mg-PABPA</th>
<th>Ca-PABPA</th>
<th>Sr-PABPA</th>
<th>Ni-EDPA</th>
<th>Ni-bpy-EDPA</th>
<th>Mg-TDTMP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P-1</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{42}Mg_{2}O_{7}P_{2}</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{42}Ca_{2}O_{7}P_{2}</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{42}Sr_{2}O_{7}P_{2}</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{42}NiO_{7}P_{2}</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{42}Ca_{2}O_{7}P_{2}Sr</td>
<td>C_{24}H_{42}NiO_{7}P_{2}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula Mass (g/mol)</td>
<td>612.74</td>
<td>556.45</td>
<td>605.99</td>
<td>390.84</td>
<td>511.00</td>
<td>594.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (Å)</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>1.54178</td>
<td>1.54178</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
<td>0.71073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (Å)</td>
<td>7.2099(17)</td>
<td>29.350(2)</td>
<td>29.511(7)</td>
<td>13.122(3)</td>
<td>10.136(5)</td>
<td>10.512(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (Å)</td>
<td>31.547(7)</td>
<td>12.9940(7)</td>
<td>13.122(3)</td>
<td>10.136(5)</td>
<td>11.8486(1)</td>
<td>11.8486(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν (Å³)</td>
<td>158.15</td>
<td>226.5(3)</td>
<td>2331.09</td>
<td>362.66(3)</td>
<td>2096.0(3)</td>
<td>572.58(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size (mm)</td>
<td>0.13 × 0.10 × 0.08</td>
<td>0.12 × 0.10 × 0.10</td>
<td>0.10 × 0.10 × 0.08</td>
<td>0.12 × 0.11 × 0.11</td>
<td>0.37 × 0.13 × 0.12</td>
<td>0.45 × 0.20 × 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structures of Ni-bpy-EDPA and Mg-TDTMP were solved by employing SHELXTL direct methods and refined by full-matrix least squares on F², using the APEX2 software package [31]. All non-H atoms were refined with independent anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed at calculated positions and refined using a riding model, except for the water and phosphonic acid O–H hydrogens, which were located from the Fourier difference density maps and refined using a riding model with O–H distance restraints. Crystallographic details are summarized in Table 1.

2.14. Computational Studies

**Electronic Structure Calculations.** DFT calculations [32] were performed at the B3LYP/6-31+G* [33–35] level to obtain the distribution of the electronic density ρ of the ligands colored with the Molecular Electrostatic Potential value. Partial charges for all phosphonic oxygen atoms were calculated using the Mulliken [36] and Lowdin [37] Population Analysis. Comparison between the ligands that are used in this study and the non-electron withdrawing group (EWG) analogues should show the deficiency of electron density around the phosphonate groups as a result of the co-existence of the EWGs on each molecule. Furthermore, to better mimic the experimental conditions, the Polarization Continuum Model (PCM) [38] implied in GAMESS(US) [39,40] was used for all the calculations by adding solvent effects. The electronic densities were rendered using wxMacMolPlt [41]. The non EWGs analogs...
used were ethylphosphonic acid ($\text{H}_2\text{EPA}$) for $\text{H}_4\text{EDPA}$ and benzylphosphonic acid ($\text{H}_2\text{BPA}$) for the $\text{H}_2\text{PABPA}$.

Computational Methodology. The starting geometries for all compounds except HPABPA$^{1-}$ were created using the molecular editor Avogadro [42] (Version 1.2.0) and the Universal Force field (UFF) [43]. The next step is the geometry refinement and it was done on the B3LYP/6-31 + G*/PCM level using GAMESS(US) [44–46]. The resulting energetically minimized structures were used for the electronic structure calculations. Since the ligand HPABPA$^{1-}$ is found as a dimer in all crystal structures, we used both the monomer and the dimer of HPABPA$^{1-}$ for all calculations. Therefore, for the starting geometry of the dimer anion of the ligand, the (HPABPA$^{1-}$)$_2$ supramolecule was isolated from the CIF file of the Sr-PABPA compound using the Avogadro software. This geometry was refined at the B3LYP/6-31 + G*/PCM level using GAMESS(US). The resulting, energetically minimized structure was used for the electronic structure calculations. The geometry of the monomer of HPABPA$^{1-}$ was created using Avogadro and the MMFF94 Molecular Force Field created by Merck [47]. The Geometry refinement and the electronic calculations were done at the same level of theory as for the rest compounds.

3. Results

3.1. Synthetic Considerations

One of the major factors affecting metal phosphonate syntheses is pH [48]. In most cases, low pH favors protonation of the phosphonate groups and drastically reduced affinity for metal ions. In contrast, high pH values cause deprotonation, high negative charge on the phosphonate and rapid formation and precipitation of an amorphous metal phosphonate product. Hence, for each metal/phosphonate system there is an optimum pH regime for crystalline product formation [49].

However, even if solution pH ensures electroneutrality, i.e., positive charge from the metal cation equals the negative charge from the phosphonate ligand, the ligand can be found to be non-coordinated (albeit deprotonated), acting simply as the counterion. The metal ion is commonly found bound by water molecules in an aqua complex or coordinated by other ligands present in the system. Other electron-withdrawing, or cationic moieties on the phosphonate ligand backbone may withdraw electron density from the anionic phosphonate group, thus making it less nucleophilic.

The PABPA was found as the “free” anion in the structures of Mg-PABPA, Ca-PABPA, and Sr-PABPA. The phosphonate moiety was found to be doubly-deprotonated, whereas the amine group was protonated. This renders the entire ligand monoanionic, hence each divalent metal center requires two HPABPA ligands for charge balance. All metal centers were coordinated by water molecules (six in the case of Mg, and eight in the case of Ca and Sr). In spite of the fact that the phosphonate moiety was doubly deprotonated, it remained non-coordinated, apparently due to the decrease in its negative charge because of the –NH$_3^+$ group (this aspect is analyzed in the DFT calculations section, vide infra). In this case, water as a ligand was stronger than the phosphonate oxygens.

A similar situation was seen for the $\text{H}_4\text{TDTMP}^{2-}$ tetraphosphonate ligand in Mg-TDTMP. Here, every phosphonate moiety was singly-deprotonated, whereas the N atom (a tertiary amine) was found to be protonated. This is the common bis-anionic form of this ligand at the pH region 2–4 [50]. Again, it is assumed that the NH$_3^+$ moieties deplete the phosphonate moieties of anionic charge, thus making them less nucleophilic.

In the $\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}$ ligand, and in the salt Ni-EDPA, each phosphonate moiety was singly-deprotonated, thus rendering the ligand a “2−” anion, balancing the “2+” charge of the [Ni(H$_2$O)$_6$]$^{2+}$ cation. In spite of the absence of any electron-withdrawing moieties in $\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}$ it still remained non-coordinated in the Ni-EDPA salt. This has been observed before in the salt [Ni(2,2’-bpy)(H$_2$O)$_4$][H$_2$EDPA] [51]. Similarly, in the cationic coordination polymer Ni-bpy-EDPA the Ni$^{2+}$ center was coordinated by four water molecules at equatorial positions, whereas the axial positions were occupied by the N atom of the bridging 4,4’-bpy ligand, leaving the $\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}$
diphosphonate to play the role of the counterion for the cationic 1D chain. Here, the 4,4′-bpy ligand displayed strong affinity for the Ni²⁺ center, certainly stronger than the phosphonate oxygens.

3.2. Materials Characterization

Purity of all products was confirmed by elemental analyses (CHN) and powder X-ray diffraction (see Figures S1–S5, in the Supplementary Materials). In some cases (e.g., Mg-PABPA and Ni-EDPA) additional peaks were identified in the XRD diagrams, indicating the presence of impurities, but all our synthetic efforts did not improve product purity.

ATR-IR spectra for all ligands and metal-containing compounds are given in Figure S6, in the Supplementary Materials). For phosphonate-containing materials the region 900–1100 cm⁻¹ is commonly used as a “fingerprint” region, in order to confirm changes in the environment of the phosphonate group. Phosphonate bands appeared in this region due to a combination of bands originating from P=O, P-OH and O-P-O vibrations, similar to other reported compounds [52].

For example, for the “free” ligand H₂PABPA bands assigned to the −PO₃ moiety appeared at 933, 1064, and 1085 cm⁻¹. In the Mg-PABPA compound bands appeared in the same region, but at different positions, namely at 968, 1004, 1058, and 1091 cm⁻¹. The spectra of Ca-PABPA and Sr-PABPA compounds (essentially identical, since these compounds are isostructural) showed the bands assigned to the −PO₃ moiety in the same region, as expected, but slightly shifted, at 953, 1028, 1061, and 1097 cm⁻¹. In the spectra of the “free” ligand H₂PABPA and Metal-PABPA compound, the bands due to the aromatic ring were essentially identical. Similar observations can be made for the “Nickel-EDPA” system. The “free” ligand H₄EDPA showed bands for the −PO₃ moiety at 921, 953, 993, and 1014 cm⁻¹. Spectra for the Ni-EDPA compound showed these bands slightly shifted, at 926, 996, 1029, and 1052 cm⁻¹. Similarly, the Ni-bpy-EDPA compound showed very similar bands to those for Ni-EDPA, in addition to the strong peaks assigned to the 4,4′-bpy ligand.

Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) revealed the thermal behavior of the compounds. For example, Mg-PABPA (Figure S7 in Supplementary Materials) demonstrated a number of consecutive losses. The first one (~16.5%), starts almost at RT and is completed at ~100 °C. It corresponds to removal of the lattice waters (six calculated, 5.6 found). The second loss (~16.0), starting thereafter was complete at ~150 °C and corresponded to removal of the Mg-coordinated waters (six calculated, 5.5 found). There were some additional small losses at temperatures above 200 °C, which might correspond to the loss of ammonia from the ligand. Similar observations can be noted for Ca-PABPA (Figure S8 in Supplementary Materials). The difference here is that Ca-PABPA had no lattice water molecules. Hence, the first substantial loss (~23%) completed at ~100 °C corresponded to loss of the Ca-bound waters, followed by some small additional losses that were tentatively assigned to loss of ammonia from the ligand. Sr-PABPA (Figure S9 in Supplementary Materials) behaves similarly. Compound Ni-EDPA (Figure S10 in Supplementary Materials) loses all its water molecules (six that are Ni-coordinated and one in the lattice) in one single step (~37%) at ~200 °C. Similarly, Ni-bpy-EDPA (Figure S11 in Supplementary Materials) showed a one-step loss (~30.3%) of all of its water molecules (four that are Ni-coordinated and one in the lattice). Loss of water molecules will create coordination sites for the phosphonate to bind, provided there is no ligand decomposition. As these phenomena are rather complex, they will be studied in detail and reported in a future publication.

3.3. Crystallographic Description

The structure of Mg-PABPA can be described as a salt with [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ being the cation and two monoanionic HPABPA⁻ ligands being the anions, see Figure 2a. Two HPABPA⁻ ligands are required for charge balance. There are numerous hydrogen bonds between Mg-bound water molecules, lattice water molecules, the phosphonate moiety and the protonated amine group. The structure (Figure 2b,c) is composed of layers of [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ cations and layers of HPABPA⁻ ligands that run along the b axis. The orientation of the ligand was syn-anti, with the phosphonate groups sitting close to the −NH₃⁺ moieties. Lattice water molecules are situated in the vicinity of the Mg-ΟH₂, -PO₃²⁻ and
-NH₃⁺ moieties forming a multitude of hydrogen bonds. The Mg²⁺ center is situated in a near perfect octahedral environment, coordinated by six water molecules.

The structure of Mg-PABPA can be described as a salt with [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ being the cation-anion pair; (b) The [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ polyhedron; (c) Packing along the b axis. Lattice waters are shown as magenta spheres.

The salts Ca-PABPA and Sr-PABPA are isostructural, so only the former is discussed. The structure of Ca-PABPA can be described as a salt of [Ca(H₂O)₆]²⁺ aqua complex cation and two monoanionic HPABPA⁻ anions, see Figure 3a. The Ca²⁺ center is coordinated by eight water molecules and is found in a coordination environment best described as bicapped prism, see Figure 3b. In contrast to the Mg-PABPA structure, there are no lattice water molecules in the lattice. The structure (Figure 3c) can be described as being composed of layers of [Ca(H₂O)₆]²⁺ cations and layers of HPABPA⁻ ligands that run along the c axis. The orientation of the ligand is syn-anti, with the phosphonate groups sitting close to the –NH₃⁺ moieties. The -PO₂⁻ and –NH₃⁺ moieties of the HPABPA⁻ ligand form a multitude of hydrogen bonds with the Ca-coordinated water molecules.

The structure of Mg-TDTMP can be described as a salt with [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ being the cation and the bis-dianionic H₄TDTMP²⁻ tetraphosphonate ligand being the anion, see Figure 4a. One H₆TDTMP²⁻ ligand is required for charge balance. There are numerous hydrogen bonds between Mg-bound water molecules, the phosphonate moiety, and the tertiary protonated NH⁺ group. The structure (Figure 4c) is composed of layers of [Mg(H₂O)₆]²⁺ cations and layers of H₆TDTMP²⁻ ligand that run along the b axis. The orientation of the tetramethylene chain on the ligand is almost parallel to the c axis. There are no lattice water molecules in the structure. The Mg⁶⁺ center is situated in a near perfect octahedral environment, coordinated by six water molecules (Figure 4b). The salts Mg-TDTMP and Zn-TDTMP are isostructural [18].
The crystal structure of Ni-EDPA is composed of the \([\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}\) dication, the \(\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}\) dianion, and one lattice water molecule, see Figure 5a. The Ni\(^{2+}\) center adopts an octahedral geometry, coordinated by six water molecules, see Figure 5b. One \(\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}\) ligand is required for charge balance. There are numerous hydrogen bonds between the Ni-bound water molecules, the lattice water molecule, and the phosphonate moieties. The structure (Figure 5c) can be described as being composed of layers of \([\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}\) cations and layers of the \(\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}\) ligand that run along the b axis. The lattice water molecule is situated in the vicinity of the Ni-OH\(_2\), and -PO\(_3\)H\(^-\) moieties, forming a multitude of hydrogen bonds.

The structure of Ni-bpy-EDPA is different from the ones of other salts described thus far, in that the cationic portion of the salt is a cationic coordination polymer, composed of a cationic \(\text{trans-}[\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(4,4^{'-}\text{bpy})]^{2+}\) unit, propagating along the \(ab\) plane (Figure 6a,c). The Ni\(^{2+}\) center was coordinated by four water molecules at equatorial positions, whereas the axial positions are occupied by the N atom of the bridging 4,4\(^{'-}\)bpy ligand (Figure 6b), leaving the dianionic \(\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}\) diphosphonate to play the role of the counterion for the cationic 1D chain. There is one lattice water molecule (Figure 6c). The \(\text{H}_2\text{EDPA}^{2-}\) ligand moiety runs parallel to the c axis. There is a complex network of hydrogen bonds that involve the Ni-coordinated waters, the lattice water molecules, and the -PO\(_3\)H\(^-\) moieties. The structure of Ni-bpy-EDPA is reminiscent of that in the 1D nickel coordination polymer based on PP\(^{-}\)-diphenylethlyenediphosphinic acid and 4,4\(^{'-}\)bipyridine [21].

**Figure 4.** Crystal structure of Mg-DTTMP: (a) View of the cation-anion pair; (b) The \([\text{Mg}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}\) polyhedron; (c) Packing along the a axis.

**Figure 5.** Crystal structure of Ni-EDPA: (a) View of the cation-anion pair; (b) The \([\text{Ni}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{2+}\) polyhedron; (c) Packing along the a axis. Lattice waters are shown as magenta spheres.
3.4. Computational Results: Total Electronic Densities and Partial Charges

In order to visualise the electrostatic potential distribution around the molecular entities, the total electron densities were coloured with the Molecular Electrostatic Potential (MEP) value using the wxMacMolPlt software. All MEP surfaces were constructed using 80 Grid Points and a 0.1 max iso-value for the maps. The RGB surface colouration was used and the colour maps were inverted. The transparency was set to 50 and the surfaces were rendered as smooth and solid. After the energy minimisation of the molecular geometries the partial charges were calculated with Mulliken and Lowdin methods. For this study the partial charges on the phosphonic oxygen atoms are presented, since the oxygen atoms were considered as the coordination centers of the ligands. The mean values of the partial charges per oxygen atom were calculated for each method, and the % reduction of the partial charge was found using Equation (1):

$$\% \text{[Charge Reduction]} = \frac{<\delta q_{\text{Non-EWG}} > - <\delta q_{\text{EWG}} >}{<\delta q_{\text{Non-EWG}} >} \times 100\%$$ (1)

The MEP surfaces and the partial charges of all studied phosphonate molecules are presented below in Table 2 and Figure 7.

From the results above, one can see that comparison of BPA\(^{2-}\) with either HPABPA\(^{1-}\) or (HPABPA\(^{1-}\))\(_{2}\) demonstrates the partial charge reduction for both Mulliken and Lowdin methods. The results also show that the formation of the dimer (HPABPA\(^{1-}\))\(_{2}\) from the monomer HPABPA\(^{1-}\) leads to even greater partial charge reduction, so the formation of the dimer results in lower electron density around the oxygen atoms and in lower probability for coordination to the metal ion. On the other hand, comparison of HEPA\(^{1-}\) with H\(_{2}\)EDPA\(^{2-}\) demonstrates partial charge reduction for both Mulliken and Lowdin methods. Finally, by comparing EPA\(^{2-}\) with H\(_{2}\)EDPA\(^{2-}\) a greater partial charge reduction is demonstrated by both methods and in lower probability for coordination to the metal ion.

![Image of crystal structure](image-url)

**Figure 6.** Crystal structure of Ni-4,4'-bpy-EDPA: (a) View of the cation-anion pair; (b) The [Ni(H\(_{2}\)O)\(_{4}\)(4,4'-bpy \_)]\(^{2+}\) polyhedron; (c) Packing along the c axis. Lattice waters are shown as magenta spheres; (d) Portion of the 1D “Ni(H\(_{2}\)O)\(_{4}\)-4,4'-bpy” chain.
Table 2. B3LYP/PCM calculated partial charges on the oxygen atoms.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.932911</td>
<td>-0.884627</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-1.062429</td>
<td>-0.999545</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-0.969105</td>
<td>-0.891882</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-1.064163</td>
<td>-1.005116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>-0.895888</td>
<td>-0.796636</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-1.072408</td>
<td>-0.986760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-0.932635</td>
<td>-0.857715</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-1.066333</td>
<td>-0.997140</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(HPABPA\(^{-1}\))\(_2\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1.011181</td>
<td>-0.817501</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1.024967</td>
<td>-0.976540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.946950</td>
<td>-0.905140</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>-1.062499</td>
<td>-0.994478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>-0.982415</td>
<td>-0.894151</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>-1.050593</td>
<td>-0.974468</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>-0.975002</td>
<td>-0.898125</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-1.072408</td>
<td>-0.986760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>-0.936067</td>
<td>-0.911068</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>-1.072408</td>
<td>-0.986760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-0.974519</td>
<td>-0.821586</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1.072408</td>
<td>-0.986760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-0.971022</td>
<td>-0.874595</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-1.046020</td>
<td>-0.981829</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H\(_2\)EDPA\(^{2-}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.972095</td>
<td>-0.893946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.961244</td>
<td>-0.900770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>-0.936159</td>
<td>-0.814661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>-0.974152</td>
<td>-0.889730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>-0.959120</td>
<td>-0.811834</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>-0.956155</td>
<td>-0.905960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-0.959821</td>
<td>-0.869450</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HEPA\(^{1-}\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
<th>Atom #</th>
<th>δq Mulliken</th>
<th>δq Lowdin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>-0.965273</td>
<td>-0.903808</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>-1.101121</td>
<td>-1.004788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-0.964247</td>
<td>-0.919322</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.103426</td>
<td>-1.014740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>-0.991020</td>
<td>-0.907261</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1.116413</td>
<td>-1.012214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-0.973513</td>
<td>-0.873464</td>
<td>mean</td>
<td>-1.106987</td>
<td>-1.010581</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecules Pair</th>
<th>% Charge Reduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HBPA(^{-1}) - HPABPA(^{-1})</td>
<td>-12% -15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA(^{2-}) - HPABPA(^{1-})</td>
<td>+2% +2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBPA(^{1-}) - (HPABPA(^{-1}))(_2)</td>
<td>-4% -2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPA(^{2-}) - (HPABPA(^{1-}))(_2)</td>
<td>+9% +12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPABPA(^{-1}) - (HPABPA(^{-1}))(_2)</td>
<td>+7% +11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPA(^{1-}) - H(_2)EDPA(^{2-})</td>
<td>+1% +1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA(^{2-}) - H(_2)EDPA(^{2-})</td>
<td>+13% +14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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waters form a multitude of hydrogen bonding interactions. Finally, in the Mg-TDTMP phosphonate groups, overall, participate in twenty one hydrogen bonds, and each protonated tertiary

4. Discussion

It is common in metal phosphonate chemistry to seek for MOFs, coordination polymers, and networks that represent new and exciting structures in which the metal-phosphonate bonds play a key role. However, there is a class of metal phosphonate compounds (salts) where the deprotonated (anionic) phosphonate plays the role of the counterion to a cationic metal complex, or to a cationic coordination polymer. Such non-coordinating systems are useful in modeling phosphonate compounds in biological [53], polymeric, [54], or hydrogel matrices [6,55].

In the ionic metal phosphonates presented herein, there are two major structural features that draw attention: (a) the cationic metal center and its coordination environment, and (b) the deprotonated anionic phosphonate. The metal cation is bound by aqua ligands (solvent), and occasionally, by other, externally-added ligands (4,4’-bpy in this case for Ni-bpy-EDPA). As reported before, there is a correlation between the ionic radius of the metal ion and the bond distances between the metal and the ligand atoms coordinated to the metal center [2,56–58]. Since there is no direct metal-phosphonate bonding in the compounds reported herein, the only correlations that can be drawn are those for metal-O\(_{\text{water}}\) bonds. By calculating the average metal-O\(_{\text{water}}\) bond lengths, an increasing trend can be observed, see Figure 8.

Metal-O\(_{\text{water}}\) bond lengths for the divalent metal ions Zn [18], Ba [58], and Co [12] were taken from literature sources, whereas those for Mg, Ni, Ca and Sr were taken from the structures described in the present paper.

The phosphonate anion is invariably stabilized by hydrogen bonds. For the “simple” system Ni-EDPA (i.e., the phosphonate ligand contains only phosphonate groups, without other ligands present) the H\(_2\)EDPA\(^{2-}\) dianion interacts with a total of 16 hydrogen bonds (with neighboring H\(_2\)EDPA\(^{2-}\) dianions and water molecules). Similar observations can be made for the system Ni-bpy-EDPA. The HPABPA\(^{-}\) ligand possesses a doubly-deprotonated phosphonate group, but a protonated amine group. The –PO\(_3\)\(^{2-}\) moiety in the isosstructural compounds Ca-PABPA and Sr-PABPA participates in seven hydrogen bonds, whereas the –NH\(_3\)\(^{+}\) moiety participates in three. In the structure of Mg-PABPA, where there are also lattice waters, the –PO\(_3\)\(^{2-}\) moiety participates in five hydrogen bonds, whereas the –NH\(_3\)\(^{+}\) moiety participates in three. Here, the lattice and Mg-coordinated waters form a multitude of hydrogen bonding interactions. Finally, in the Mg-TDTMP salt, the four phosphonate groups, overall, participate in twenty one hydrogen bonds, and each protonated tertiary

Figure 7. DFT calculated total electron density colored with the Electrostatic Potential Map value for a) HBPA\(^{1-}\), b) (HPABPA\(^{1-}\))\(_2\), c) HPABPA\(^{1-}\) d) BPA\(^{2-}\) e) H\(_2\)EDPA\(^{2-}\), f) HEPA\(^{1-}\), and g) EPA\(^{2-}\), at the B3LYP/PCM geometry.
amine group, in one. In general, it has been observed that the higher the coordination state of the phosphonate ligand, the lower is the extent of hydrogen bonding [2].

![M-O Bond Distance vs. Ionic Radius](image)

**Figure 8.** Dependence of M-O(H_2O) bond lengths in divalent metal-aqua species on metal ionic radius.

5. Conclusions

Herein, we presented a family of metal phosphonate-based inorganic-organic salts, which share a common characteristic, i.e., the phosphonate anion is not coordinated to a metal center, but merely plays the role of the counter-anion. In contrast to the majority of metal phosphonate materials reported in the literature, in which the phosphonate ligand was found coordinated to the metal center, the compounds Mg-PABPA, Ca-PABPA, Sr-PABPA, Ni-EDPA, Mg-TDTMP, and Ni-bpy-EDPA contained the metal center as a hydrated complex, except Ni-bpy-EDPA, which contained the Ni^{2+} center as part of a coordination polymer with the 4,4′-bpy bridging ligand. The formation of these “platonic” compounds can be explained based on the high affinity of the M^{2+} metal ions for water as a ligand (or for 4,4′-bpy) and the reduced affinity of the phosphonate ligand, particularly in the case of H_2PABPA, for the metal centers. Another factor that plays a role in the stabilization of such compounds in the solid state is the multitude of hydrogen bonding interactions that occur, due to the plethora of hydrogen bonding donors and acceptors. Hence, these compounds could be attractive for applications such as proton conductivity, and such studies are currently under way in our laboratories.

Although the main focus in metal phosphonate chemistry is the synthesis and exploration of coordination polymers [59], the class of ionic phosphonates (either with metal complexes, or organic counter-cations) could potentially unlock new potential in this research area. Given the plethora of structurally diverse (poly)phosphonate [60,61] and “mixed” phosphonate (e.g. with carboxy- [56], sulfono- [62], amino- [63], or N-heterocyclic groups [64]) ligands available, one could envision countless possibilities in the synthesis, fabrication and properties of such solids [65].

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at [http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4352/9/6/301/s1](http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4352/9/6/301/s1), Figures S1–S5: Calculated and measured powder XRD diagrams for all compounds; Figure S6: ATR-IR spectra of all metal phosphonate compounds and “free” ligands; Figures S7–S11: TGA and DSC traces for all compounds; Crystallographic Information Files (six cif files) for all compounds.
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