From Microbial Ecology to Innovative Applications in Food Quality Improvements: the Case of Sourdough as a Model Matrix
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Abstract: Since millennia, humankind has exploited microbial diversity associated to give food matrices in order to obtain fermented foods and beverages, resulting in products with improved quality and extended shelf life. This topic has received deserved and continuous interest in the scientific community, for the reason of its significance as a driver of innovation in the food and beverage sector. In this review paper, using sourdough as a model matrix, we provide some insights into the field, testifying the relevance as a transdisciplinary subject. Firstly, we encompassed the prokaryotic and eukaryotic microbial diversity associated with the sourdough ecosystems. The importance of this micro-biodiversity in the light of flour-related chemical diversity was examined. Finally, we highlighted the increasing interest in microbial-based applications oriented toward biocontrol solution in the field of sourdough-based products (i.e., bread).
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1. Microbial Ecology of Sourdough

When and how sourdough was used for the first time to make bread has been lost in the mists of the time. Actually, it is well-known that the ancient Egyptians already had the habit of using sourdough to produce bread [1]. During the early European Middle ages, keeping the barm, i.e., the foam forming on the liquid surface following the fermentation of wine and beer, was the way to ascertain a fresh inoculum of microorganisms for baking. However, over the years, the idea to preserve purpose-culture microorganisms, for example, through the storage of the small aliquots of bread dough to be refreshed and utilized for the production of subsequent bread making [1], has been remarkably fruitful and helped to select distinctive microbial communities [2]. In Mediterranean and Middle Eastern areas, the tradition of making sourdough bread is passed down generations to generations and even in the United States of America, in particular in San Francisco bay, a particular microorganism strain, i.e., Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis, was discovered and widely studied since 1849 [3,4].

The production and marketing of bread microbial starters, to use as dough acidifier, was dated to the beginning of XX century, in the 1920s, and the sold product consisted of a pre-gelatinized flour and lactic acid. Afterward, dried sourdoughs have taken hold as ordinary bakery ingredients [5].

Sourdough, derived from the Old English “sour” and “dough” and having the meaning of “something kneaded and fermented”, was traditionally achieved by the combination of wheat flour, which was inherently a microbial inoculum of autochthonous homo- and hetero-fermentative lactic
Acid bacteria (LAB), water, salt and yeast, the latter mainly recovered by the fermentation of alcoholic beverages [6]. If on one hand, yeasts are able to convert wheat (or other types of) flour into something more edible and appetizing by fermentation. On the other hand, LAB have an impact to texture, palatability, nutritional values and staling of this irresistible dish called bread, contributing to donate mouthwatering characteristics of this product [7,8]. As a matter of fact, LAB are able to enrich the dough of several metabolites including organic acids, exopolysaccharides, antimicrobial compounds, and enzymes. Exopolysaccharides have been shown to enhance the viscoelastic properties of dough conferring allowing increased loaf volume, lower crumb hardness and longer shelf life [8]. The ratio of LAB yeasts in sourdough is commonly 100:1, although, sometimes, it can be lower [9]. Among organic acids, LAB are able to produce lactic acid that confers aroma and acidifies the food matrix acting as anti-spoilage agent [10]. Moreover, as LAB present in sourdough show mainly hetero-fermentative metabolism, also acetic acid can be produced during dough fermentation and can contribute to acidify the dough [11].

The species of yeast characterized in sourdough include *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* (also named *Saccharomyces fructuum*) as the principal [12]. Next to this species, it lists other as well *Saccharomyces exiguous* (also named *Torulopsis holmii, Candida holmii, Saccharomyces minor*), *Candida humilis* (also named *Candida milleri*), *Issatchenkia orientalis* (also named *Candida krusei*), *Pichia anomala* (also called *Hansenula anomala*), *Saturnispora saitoi* (also called *Pichiasaitoi*), *Torulaspora delbrueckii* (also named *Torulopsis colliculosa, Candida colliculosa, Saccharomyces delbrueckii, Saccharomyces inconspicuous*), *Debaryomyce shansenni* (also named *Torulopsis candida, Candida famata*), and *Pichia membranifaciens, Candida lumbia, Candida valida*, and *Candida glabrata* [6,13,14]. Nevertheless, the variability of yeast species is highly correlated to dough hydration, type of cereal source, temperature of fermentation, and sourdough maintenance temperature [9,15].

Moreover, sourdough-associated bacteria population are also highly variegated and depend on source and type of dough [16] (Figure 1).
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**Figure 1.** Principal microflora of three main types of sourdough (traditional, accelerated, and dried). Quadrangular shape = obligate hetero-fermentative strains; oval shape = obligate homo-fermentative strains; hexagonal shape = facultative hetero-fermentative strains; *Lb.* = *Lactobacillus*; *P.* = *Pediococcus*; *W.* = *Weissella*; *S.* = *Saccharomyces*; *C.* = *Candida*. *S. cerevisiae* may be added.

The state of the art concerning the knowledge of bacteria isolated from traditional sourdough, i.e., sourdough obtained by continuous refreshment in order to keep a high metabolic activity of microorganisms, includes species of *Lactococcus, Leuconostoc, Enterococcus, Pediococcus, Streptococcus*, and *Weissella*, although *Lactobacillus* strains are the most frequently isolated [17]. Among *Lactobacillus* genus, *Lb. sanfranciscensis, Lb. plantarum* and *Lb. brevis* are the manly species found, probably because
the favorable growth conditions of these species coincide with the technical parameters of traditional sourdough production that are 20–30 °C and pH of 3.8–4.5. Besides the aforementioned species, Lactobacillus mindensis, Lb. spicheri, Lb. rossiae, Lb. zymae, Lb. acidifarinae, Lb. hammesii, and Lb. nantensis are also frequently found [10,18–20]. Afterward, as the case of other microbial starter used in the food industry, e.g., daily, oenological and vegetal manufactory, also for bakery production, in more recent years, allochthonous microbes have been selected and characterized in order to standarly and quickly carry out the fermentation process. It is the case of the so-called accelerated sourdough process, which does not include a daily refreshment and is fairly chosen by industry, with a typical microflora characterized by a mixture of obligate hetero-fermentative bacteria, such as Lb. pontis, Lb. panis, Lb. sanfranciscensis, Lb. brevis, Lb. fermentum, Lb. reuteri, Weissella confuse and Lb. frumenti, and obligate homo-fermentative strains, such as Lb. acidophilus, Lb. delbrueckii, Lb. farcininis, Lb. amylovorus (rye), Lb. johnsonii [21]. In the case of this type of sourdough, the high temperature of fermentation, between 30 °C and 50 °C, the lower pH value, usually less than 3.5, and the starvation condition due to low frequency of refreshment lead to a very low metabolic activity [22]. Moreover, one further method of sourdough production is the dry process that makes storage processes easier for industries and comprises LAB strains able to resist to drying such as hetero-fermentative Lb. brevis, facultative homo-fermentative Pediococcus pentosaceus and Lb. plantarum strains. These types of sourdough are made by traditional sourdough fermentation with succeeding water evaporation [23].

Well-known is the mutually beneficial relationship between the two cultures exploited in the yogurt production, i.e., Streptococcus thermophilus and Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. Bulgaricus; in fact, the inoculum of the aforementioned strains results in a higher and faster lactic acid production and development of flavor than those that can be obtained from individual cultures [24]. In more detail, the high proteolytic ability of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus in milk leads to the efficient breakdown of proteins, which considerably enhances the growth of S. thermophilus. At the same time, S. thermophilus produces formic acid which stimulates Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus growth. In sourdough, a type of protocoeoperation has been noted studying wild sourdoughs showing associative growth of the bacterial strain Lactobacillus sanfranciscensis and the yeast strain Candida milleri [25]. In detail, Lb. sanfranciscensis ferments sugars in bread dough producing lactic and acetic acids, ethanol and carbon dioxide dropping the pH up to 3.5. Such acidic condition hinders most of the bakery yeasts, including Saccharomyces cerevisiae, except C. milleri (and related species), which benefits of the lack of capacity of the other yeasts to metabolize nutrients. In turn, C. milleri provides free amino acids and other nutrients for Lb. sanfranciscensis. Neither organism competes against each other for substrates; indeed, C. milleri is not able to utilize maltose, which is one of the main dough carbohydrates, while Lb. sanfranciscensis prefers maltose as carbon source. Interestingly, Lb. sanfranciscensis catabolizes maltose producing glucose-1-phosphate, which is further fermented by heterofermentative pathway, and free glucose, which is excreted in dough and utilized by C. milleri [26]. The duo Candida milleri and Lb. sanfranciscensis, mostly counted in a yeast:bacteria ratio of about 1:100, has been found in sourdoughs from around the world highlighting their astonishing and ingrained adaptation to the dough environment [9].

The cooperation between yeast and lactic acid bacteria in sourdough is further supported by the example of S. cerevisiae which can be able to secrete valine and leucine. These amino acids are in turn used by LAB, e.g., Lb. plantarum species [15,27]. Altruistically, LAB can hydrolyze maltose, which S. cerevisiae benefits from [28].

Furthermore, evidence of mutualistic relations was underlined by the production of non-proteinaceous components by S. cerevisiae that stimulates Lb. sanfranciscensis, while this lactic acid bacterium produces lactic acid which is utilisable by yeast to grow [29,30].

Thus, although everyone is used to thinking about food fermentation as a process carried out by single microbes, the association for microbes constitutes a strong asset that should be well highlighted [31,32]. The quality of fermented end-product benefits from the presence of bacteria consortia due to an enlargement of organoleptic features, including texture, taste, flavor, viscosity. Moreover, mutually beneficial interactions within a co-existing microbial community can prevent the entrance of other microbial species, including spoilage microbes with a consequently longer shelf life [33–39].
2. Sourdough Impact on the Flour Mixture: When Microbial Diversity Meets Chemical Diversity

The cooperation of yeasts and LAB convert flour mixture into something more edible and mouthwatering by fermentation. Depending on the type of flour used, the additional ingredients (water, salts and, eventually, other components such as olive oil, fat, sugar, milk, butter, olives, tomatoes, etc.) and the technological conditions of production, yeasts and LAB impact on overall quality of the end product, including texture, palatability, nutritional values and staling [7,8].

Certainly, wheat and rye flours are the most popular cereal used for bread-making [21]. Rye flour contains a higher amount of pentosans, pentose-containing polysaccharides consisting of xylose and arabinose with high water-binding capacity, than wheat flour, and this limits the crumb forming of bread due to a minor formation of the gluten network. However, the addition of sourdough cultures normally drops the pH values and leads pentosans to be more hydro-soluble and to swell causing a gluten-like structure. The acidic conditions also reduce the α-amylase activity which determines a less sticky crumb, higher loaf volume, less elastic and extensible structure [40].

However, in more recent years, gluten-free bread has gathered an ever-bigger slice of the bakery market, albeit the less presence of protein network in these products makes it difficult to reach a final quality comparable to that of traditional bread. Thus, novel flours, such as barley, oat, jackfruit, brown rice, corn starch, sorghum, millet, buckwheat, and soy flours are used as an alternative source for preparations for celiac consumers [41]. Additionally, the growing attention of consumers for healthy foods drives the bakery industry to use flours with a contribution of compounds containing functional activities in order to obtain functional bread. For example, loaves of bread made of whole-grain barley flour have been shown to reduce blood cholesterol and glycemic index and to enhance satiety [7]. Moreover, chickpea, lentil, and bean flours are used mixed to wheat flour in order to improve nutritional, texture and aromatic properties [16], while mixture of chickpea, amaranth, quinoa and buckwheat flours (ratio 5:1:3:1) and selected lactobacilli strains, i.e., Lactobacillus plantarum and Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis, highlighted a higher γ-aminobutyric acid (GABA) content [42].

However, depending on the type of flour used, the bread-making process may cause chemical, biochemical, and physical transformations of added ingredients [40]. In fact, the flour composition is a key aspect to consider for dough fermentation as variations of carbohydrate, protein, lipid, micronutrient contents influence the structure and the overall quality of end-product. For example, the absence of the gluten protein complex in most non-wheat flours determines a low elasticity and a weak structure of the dough.

Certainly, the utilization of carbohydrates by LAB and yeasts as carbon source is the most important metabolic process during sourdough fermentation. Carbohydrates are the main fraction of flour (around 75% of the weight) and starch is the highest component (around 99% of carbohydrate content), albeit simple sugars, cellulose, and fiber can be also found. Starch, whose chemical structure is made by two glucose polymer named amylose and amylopectin, is preserved in the kernel in form of spherical granules that are broken during milling and become available for hydrolytic enzymes (content in the flour) and water hydration. Thus, the complex network of glucose polymers is hydrolyzed to simpler molecules, such as maltose and glucose. Starting from glucose, Lactobacilli are able to produce lactic acid (in the case of the homo-fermentative LAB) or lactic acid and other compounds (hetero-fermentative LAB), such as CO₂, acetic acids and/or ethanol, depending on the availability of substrates acting as the electron acceptor. The ration of lactic acid and acetic acid has a clue on the aromatic quality of the end product. In wheat flour, the most available carbon source is maltose followed by sucrose, glucose, and fructose, along with some trisaccharides such as maltotriose and raffinose [43]. The glucose amount increases during fermentation, whereas sucrose decreases in the presence of yeasts due to the action of invertase [44]. Homofermentative LAB mainly ferment glucose, and other hexoses after isomerization and/or phosphorylation [45], producing lactic acid through glycolysis (homolactic fermentation), while heterofermentative LAB produce lactic acid, CO₂, acetic acid, and/or ethanol through the 6-phosphogluconate/phosphoketolase (6-PG/PK) pathway (heterolactic fermentation).
Pentoses are phosphorylated and can be metabolized through the 6-PG/PK pathway (thus, only heterofermentative LAB can ferment pentoses), prior to the action of epimerase or isomerase, resulting in the production of equimolar amounts of lactic and acetic acid (without CO₂ formation). Disaccharides are also catabolized by LAB due to hydrolases and/or phosphohydrolases in order to have accessible monosaccharides to be fermented. Thus, sourdough LAB release glucose into medium and this sugar contributes to the yeast develop which is the major responsible for dough leavening. Depending on the yeast, the form in which it is added in the dough and the fermentable sugar availability, the time for the beginning of fermentation varies [46]. The species S. cerevisiae is a facultative anaerobic yeast and can metabolize glucose both via aerobic and via anaerobic pathways producing, in the first case carbon dioxide (via tricarboxylic acid cycle) and, in the second case carbon dioxide and ethanol (via Embden-Meyerhoff-Parnas glycolytic pathway). Normally, at the beginning of fermentation, the presence of oxygen in the dough, due to the mixing of flour and water, determines the aerobic catabolism of sugars and, as the environment becomes anoxic due to the accumulation of carbon dioxide that is produced, anaerobic fermentation follows [44,47].

Sourdough bacteria can produce exopolysaccharides (EPS) from sucrose through the activity of glycosyltransferases, as in the case of some strains of Lb. sanfranciscensis whose EPS, produced during wheat and rye fermentation, are composed of fructose. The EPS contribute to improving the rheological properties of dough and, consequently, the volume, texture, and keepability of end products [48].

Moreover, proteolysis, i.e., the breakdown of proteins into peptides and amino acids, is one of the most important processes that affects the quality of end-products [49]. In wheat flour, protein content is ranged between 8% and 16%. Depending on protein content, the use of the flour is defined and it can be to obtain bread (commonly weather the protein content is about 10–16%), pasta (weather the protein content is about 12–14%) or cake, cookies and pastries (weather the protein content is around 8–10%). In effect, the main percentage of proteins is the fraction of gliadin and glutenin (around 85% of the total protein content) which takes a crucial role in the formation of network of the end product as gluten (the hydrated form of the mixture of gliadin and glutenin) provides to retain carbon dioxide made during fermentation and determines the dough expansion. Other proteins are globulins, albums, and enzymes such as amylase.

In an acidic condition, such as those of bread fermentation, endogenous flour proteinases, are responsible for the degradation of proteins into peptides. For example, endogenous proteinases of rye are activated by acidic condition of sourdough and hydrolyze proteins into peptides and amino acids [50]. Afterwards, bacterial intracellular and extracellular peptidases are liable for degradation of peptides into amino acids, while yeast proteinase do not intervene in the hydrolysis process [51,52]. The type of available peptides and the catabolic ability of LAB define the amino acid and amino acid derivatives, coming from amino acid deamination, transamination or decarboxylation, produced during fermentation; both amino acid and amino acid derivatives contribute to the aroma quality [49]. For example, glutamate, which confers palatable feature, and 2-acetyl pyrroline, which confers roasted odor, are gained respectively by conversion of glutamine and arginine though deiminase pathways [53]. Sourdough fermentation can enhance the free amino acid concentration in wheat and rye doughs [28], probably due to proteinase and peptidase activities by lactic acid bacteria [54]. For instance, the proteolytic system of a strain of Lb. sanfranciscensis has been shown to include proteinase, dipeptidase, and aminopeptidase [55]. Some lactobacilli strains, isolated from sourdough, were shown to be able to hydrolyse albumin, globulin, and gliadin fractions during wheat sourdough fermentation [56] and Pro-rich peptides, including the most potent inducer of gut-derived human T-cell lines in celiac sprue. Sourdough bread made from wheat and long fermented by these selected lactobacilli contributes to decrease the level of gluten intolerance in humans [51].

The enzyme phytase is typically present in wheat flour and can be also provided by bread yeast. This enzyme degrades phytic acid which is an antinutritional compound found in whole grains due to its capability to reduce the bioavailability of important cations such as zinc, iron, calcium. Several sourdough lactic acid bacteria, such as Lb. sanfranciscensis and Lb. plantarum, can be able to produce phytase leading to a considerable reduction of phytic acid in the end product [57,58].
Lipids, also present in raw material or added in the form of fats or oils in order to improve dough handling and crumb appearance, can be oxidized and converted into aldehydes which are also involved in the formation of aroma profile [59-61].

Overall, the sourdough microflora, including LAB and yeasts, together with enzymes present in flour and influenced by the type of flour and baking conditions, determine the global quality of end products—even in terms of aroma characteristics, which include a mixture of odorous and tasty compounds such as alcohols, esters and carbonyls [59,62–64]. The volatile compounds are produced during sourdough fermentation in a multiple-step process of about 12–24 h [44].

In recent years, the growing demand by the consumers for healthy aspect of foods, which at the same time maintain high tasty and palatable quality, pressed to investigate bioactive compounds available in bakery products [7]. LAB, apart from organic acids, can produce a number of metabolites such as exopolysaccharides (EPS) (i.e., levan, levansucrase, fructan, dextran, reuteran), anti-microbial substances (i.e., bacteriocins) and a variety of species-specific enzymes (i.e., \( \alpha \)-amylase, pectinase, phytase, etc.) that have been shown to impart beneficial effects on functional, nutritive, texture values, and staling of bread. Numerous studies focused on EPS produced by LAB and showed double functionality, i.e., prebiotic ability on sourdough microflora associated to the technological enhancement of end product, such as including water absorption of the dough, dough machinability, better rheology properties, increased loaf volume and retarded bread staling [65-67].

The utilization of sourdough for bread making has been correlated to the increase of vitamins and nutrients, such as folate [68,69]. Thiamine and folates have been reported higher after a long fermentation time [64,65,70]. Interestingly, sourdough fermentation creates optimal acidic conditions that promote the degradation of phytic acid by activation of phytases [50].

Overall, sourdough utilization ameliorates quality and increases the shelf-life of bread [21] due to the presence of lactic acid bacteria and yeast consortia that produce a great number of metabolites showing positive effects on palatability [59]. LAB are responsible for a major acidity that positively influences the shelf life and reduces the growth of spoilage fungi and bacteria [71]. Additionally, sourdough fermentation, which is a longer process than the industrial fermentation method with microbial starters, results in an increased mineral bioavailability and reduced phytate content, and a more regular alveolation due to production of the slower and gradual carbon dioxide [22,72,73].

3. Sourdough and Biopreservation

Bread, such as other baking products, undergoes chemical and microbiological deteriorations, thus shelf life can be two or three days. For example, staling, an undesirable increase in crumb firmness associated with an increase in crust softness, is related to chemical changes in starch structure and moisture migration. Moreover, several fungi can alter end-products palatability due to their mycelia. For example, Aspergillus niger can create black sporulating bodies on bread surface, Aspergillus glaucus and Aspergillus flavus produce green blanket-like, Penicillium spp. and Neurospora sitophila can cover, respectively, bread with blue and pink sporophore, whereas, Rhizopus nigricans and Mucor sp. can visibly appear on loaf surface showing grey defects. In addition, some strains of Bacillus subtilis, Bacillus mesentericus, and Bacillus licheniformis can produce extracellular polysaccharides that give undesirable ropy texture [74].

Besides this, one of the goals of the bakery industry is to extend shelf life focusing on reducing staling and counteracting the microbiological spoilage adopting physical, chemical, and microbiological strategies. Calcium and sodium propionate, sorbate and acetate salts are often used in commercially produced products due to their effective anti-mycotic, anti-bacteria and anti-yeast action. Alternatively, loaves can be treated with ultraviolet, infrared, or microwave radiation to inactivate mold or modified atmosphere can be used to package. However, the most bio-alternative to naturally reduce bread deterioration is to rely on acidic capability of sourdough. In fact, the organic acids, such as lactic, acetic, caproic and phenyllactic acids, produced by LAB in dough create unfavorable environment for fungi growth [75]. For example, it has been shown that \( Lb. \) sanfranciscensis displays a broad antifungal activity due to the synergic action of a mixture of acetic, caproic, formic, propionic, butyric and n-valeric acids, acting against Fusarium, Penicillium, Aspergillus...
and Monilia fungi [23]. Culture filtrate of Lb. plantarum strain isolated from sourdough was selected for its antifungal activity inhibiting conidial germination of Eurotium repens, Eurotium rubrum, Penicillus corylophilum, Penicillus roqueforti, Penicillus expansum, Endomyces fibuliger, Aspergillus niger, Aspergillus flavus, Monilia sitophila, and Fusarium graminearum [76]. The fungicidal activity was attributed to phenyllactic acid and the minimum active concentration of this compound was found at a concentration of 50 mg ml⁻¹.

Moreover, antimicrobial molecules, such as bacteriocins and bacteriocin-like, can be produced by sourdough LAB. For example, the bacteriocin bavaricin, firstly found to be produced by strain of Lb. sakei (formerly named Lb. bavaricus) isolated from sourdoughs [77], and bacteriocin plantaricin, produced by L. plantarum isolated from sourdough [71], have been shown to have antimicrobial activity. Furthermore, the antibiotic reutericyclin, for the first time isolated by a strain of Lb. reuteri yielded from rye-based sourdough [78], is largely active against spoilage organisms.

Caproic acid associated with acetic, formic, propionic, butyric and n-valeric acids produced by a strain of Lb. sanfranciscensis CB1 were revealed to be the bacterial mixture inhibiting Fusarium, Penicillus, Aspergillus, and Monilia growth in bread [55]. The synergistic combination of Lb. plantarum strain and calcium propionate has been shown to lead to an increase of antifungal activity in wheat bread [79].

Two strains of Lactobacillus rossiae and Lactobacillus paralimentarius from sourdoughs for bread and panettone production were characterized for their antifungal properties against three indicator cultures, i.e., Aspergillus japonicus, Eurotium repens, and Penicillus roseopurpureum [80]. Strains of LAB isolated from rye sourdoughs, i.e., Lactobacillus sakei, Pediococcus acidilactici, Pediococcus pentosaceus, resulted active to inhibit ropes-producing Bacillus subtilis and Aspergillus, Fusarium, Mucor and Penicillus fungi through the production of bacteriocin-like inhibitory substances designated as sakacin and pediocin [81].

4. Conclusions

Sourdough is the result of a complex microbial consortium in which the different prokaryotic and eukaryotic species interact with each other by creating the best conditions to coexist and exploit the environment in which they live. The achievement of these interactions produces, from the human point of view, a unique fermented product whose origin is lost over time. The present study aimed to give an overview of microbial communities currently analyzed in different matrices and, then, to link the presence of these microorganisms to the chemical characteristics of the end-products in relation to the intrinsic factors of the flour matrix and the extrinsic factors of the process conditions. As a matter of fact, the understanding of the double relationship between chemical diversity and microbial diversity associated with a given fermented matrix could offer continuous opportunities to cope with emerging instances of the consumers. Sourdough represents an ideal model matrix in reason of the co-existence of prokaryotic and eukaryotic microorganisms and of the complex chemistry of the different flours. In terms of relevance in the innovation landscape, we particularly focus on the aspects related to bioprotection, which allow finding sustainable solutions to the needs of the market. Starting from the study of microbial ecology in sourdoughs and ways of their interaction in the different fermentation conditions, new innovative applications could be provided in term of food quality improvements as greater safety, better organoleptic and nutritional characteristics.
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