Abstract: Plant biostimulants (PBs) such as protein hydrolysates and seaweed extracts are attracting the increasing interest of scientists and vegetable growers for their potential to enhance yield and nutritional quality. The current study assessed crop productivity, leaf colorimetry, mineral profile and bioactive compounds of greenhouse spinach in response to the foliar application of three PBs: legume-derived protein hydrolysate [PH], extract of seaweed *Ecklonia maxima* or mixture of vegetal oils, herbal and seaweed *Ascophyllum nodosum* extracts. Plants were PB-treated at a rate of 3 mL L$^{-1}$ four times during their growth cycle at weekly intervals. Foliar PB applications enhanced fresh yield, dry biomass and leaf area of spinach in comparison with untreated plants. Improved yield performance with PB applications was associated with improved chlorophyll biosynthesis (higher SPAD index). The three PB treatments elicited an increase in bioactive compounds (total phenols and ascorbic acid), thus raised the functional quality of spinach. The application of PH enhanced K and Mg concentrations and did not result in increased nitrate accumulation as observed with the other two PB treatments. Our findings can assist vegetable farmers and the agro-food industry in adopting innovative and sustainable tools such as PB for complementing a high yield with premium quality.
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1. Introduction

The pressing issue of global food security coupled with the projections for global population increase and climate change pose major challenges for the horticultural industry and researchers with respect to sustainability, dictating maximization of the production per unit area while minimizing the environmental impact of vegetable cropping systems [1]. One of the most promising tools to tackle these rising concerns appears to be the use of plant biostimulants (PBs) which include natural substances (humic acids, protein hydrolysates and seaweed extracts) and beneficial microorganisms (mycorrhizal fungi and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria of strains belonging to the genera...
Azospirillum, Azotobacter and Rhizobium spp.) [2–6]. As defined by the European Biostimulant Industry Council (EBIC), PBs are ‘substances and/or microorganisms applied to plants with the intention to enhance nutrition efficiency, abiotic stress tolerance and/or crop quality traits, regardless of its nutrients content’ [7]. According to Colla et al. [8,9] and Battacharyya et al. [10], protein hydrolysates (PHs) along with macro-algae seaweed extracts (SWE) represent two important categories of natural substances PBs. The main components of commercial PHs are a mixture of free amino acids, oligo- and polypeptides sourced from animal or plant origins [11]; whereas commercial SWE, in particular the brown macro-algae (Asphophyllum nodosum and Ecklonia maxima), are important sources of polysaccharides (alginites, fucoidans, laminarans and mannitol), phenolic compounds as well as phytohormones (auxins, cytokinins, gibberellins, and brassinosteroids) [10,12,13].

Several studies conducted on a wide range of horticultural crops reported that foliar or substrate drench application of PHs, SWE as well as plant extracts (PEs) can stimulate the primary and secondary metabolism in plants by improving nutrient uptake and assimilation, by promoting the synthesis and accumulation of phytochemicals as well as enhancing the tolerance to abiotic stressors thus boosting crop yields [14–23]. The increase in crop productivity induced by PHs, SWEs and PEs application under both optimal and sub-optimal conditions could be associated with several direct and indirect interactive mechanisms, including: (i) stimulation of enzymatic activities involved in C and N metabolism, the Krebs cycle and glycolysis; (ii) elicitation of hormone-like activity, particularly that attributed to auxin and gibberellins; and (iii) enhancement of the nutritional status of treated plants through the modulation of root system architecture (length, density and number of lateral roots) [2,8–10].

In addition to the pressing issue of maximizing the production of vegetable crops, the demand for high quality horticultural products is also on the rise, driven by the growing interest of society in fresh products of high nutritional and functional quality. The quality of fresh horticultural crops has been recently defined as ‘a dynamic composite of their physicochemical properties and evolving consumer perception, which embraces organoleptic, nutritional and bioactive components’ [24]. A paucity of information nonetheless exists in scientific literature concerning the biostimulant-mediated effects on the organoleptic, nutritional and bioactive components of quality in fresh horticultural products [25,26]. For instance, Ertani et al. [26] reported that foliar application of a PH derived from alfalfa plants at 50 mL L$^{-1}$ increased the concentration of chlorogenic acid and the antioxidant capacity of green pepper fruits. Similarly, Fan et al. [25] revealed that root application of a commercial extract of brown seaweed (Asphophyllum nodosum) at 1.0 g L$^{-1}$ stimulated flavonoid synthesis and induced significant increase in total phenolic concentration and antioxidant activity in spinach. Spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) is an important leafy vegetable crop widely cultivated in the Mediterranean area under both open-field and greenhouse conditions. Italy is the European leader in the production of leafy vegetables destined as fresh-cut produce (mainly leaf lettuce, rocket and spinach) with roughly 15,000 ha and 160 kilotons per year in protected cultivation (http://agri.istat.it). However, the potential effects of biostimulants on yield and especially on nutritional quality of horticultural crops including spinach have been mainly investigated in pot experiments and in soilless conditions, whereas limited information is available concerning their effects on vegetable crop performance in soil culture [9].

Taking into consideration that the biostimulant action of PHs, SWEs and PEs can vary depending on several interacting variables, such as species, product type (fruit vs. leafy vegetables) and growing conditions (soilless vs. soil), a greenhouse experiment was conducted to examine the response of spinach crop to three PBs delivered by foliar application: (a) a legume-derived PH; (b) an extract of seaweed Ecklonia maxima; and (c) a mixture of vegetal oils, herbal and seaweed Asphophyllum nodosum extracts. The response of the spinach crop was assessed in terms of yield, leaf colorimetric components, mineral profile and physicochemical composition of the leaves.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Greenhouse Conditions and Plant Material

The experiment was carried out in the 2017 growing season from 20th March to 21st April, in an unheated polyethylene greenhouse located at the Department of Agricultural Sciences, University of Naples Federico II, Portici (NA), south of Italy (40° 49’N, 14° 15’E; 72 m a.s.l.). The soil was a sandy loam (76% sand, 17% silt, 7% clay), with a pH of 6.8, electrical conductivity of 0.64 mS cm⁻¹, organic matter of 1.25% (w/w), C:N of 10.8, total N at 0.15%, carbonates at 0.3%, NO₃-N and NH₄-N at 110 and 19 mg kg⁻¹, respectively, P at 48 mg kg⁻¹, and exchangeable K at 1080 mg kg⁻¹.

The crop selected for the current greenhouse trial was baby spinach (Spinacia oleracea L.) ‘DONKEY F1’ (RijkZwaan, Bologna, Italy). This spinach F1 hybrid is an early semi-savoy baby leaf variety characterized by dark, glossy and oval shape leaves with an upright growth habit. The ‘DONKEY F1’ was selected as the most representative commercial variety used in Italy during the spring and autumn growing seasons under greenhouse conditions.

2.2. Experimental Design, Plant Biostimulants Application and Cultural Practices

Four treatments were derived from the application of four plant biostimulants (PBs): non-treated (control), legume-derived protein hydrolysate (PH), extract of seaweed Ecklonia maxima (SWE), and mixture of vegetal oils, herbal and seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum fatty acids in oil/water emulsion, and extract of the seaweed E. maxima (SWE), and 3 mL L⁻¹ Amalgerol® (VO + SWE) using a 16-L stainless steel sprayer ‘Vibi Sprayer’ (Volpi, Piadena, Italy). The PB-treated spinach plants were uniformly sprayed four times during the growing cycle at weekly intervals starting 17 days after sowing (DAS; 5th April) with a solution containing 3 mL L⁻¹ of Trainer® (PH), 3 mL L⁻¹ Kelpak® (SWE), and 3 mL L⁻¹ Amalgerol® (VO + SWE) using a 16-L stainless steel sprayer ‘Vibi Sprayer’ (Volpi, Piadena, Italy). The concentrations of the three commercial PBs used were based on the manufacturers’ recommendations as well as on previous published work [21,28,29].

No phosphorus and potassium fertilization were performed due to the high content of these macro elements present in the soil. Nitrogen was applied as ammonium nitrate (34%; 90 kg N ha⁻¹) through the overhead irrigation system at 7, 14 and 21 DAS. During the growing season, spinach
plants were kept free from pathogens, pests and weeds according to the standard practices adopted among greenhouse leafy vegetable growers in Italy.

2.3. Sampling, Yield and Growth Assessments

Spinach plants were harvested on 28 April (40 DAS) and fresh yield was determined in sampling of one square meter from the center of each experimental plot. Spinach leaves were dried at 70 °C for 72 h until they reached a constant weight to determine the corresponding dry biomass. Fresh yield as well as leaf dry biomass were expressed in kg m⁻². The number of leaves per plant as well as the total leaf area were recorded on 15 plants per experimental unit. The total leaf area per plant was also measured using an electronic area meter (Li-Cor3000, Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA).

For the determination of nitrate content, mineral profile, total phenolics and ascorbic acid contents, samples of fresh matter from randomly selected baby spinach were instantly frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80 °C until phytochemical analysis.

2.4. Leaf Color Measurements

The Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) index was measured on fully expanded spinach leaves by means of a portable chlorophyll meter SPAD-502 (Konica Minolta, Tokyo, Japan) twice during the growing cycle, at 25 and 39 DAS. Twenty healthy and fully expanded leaves were randomly measured and averaged to a single SPAD value for each experimental plot. Just before harvesting, baby spinach color was measured at the upper leaf surface using an 8 mm-aperture Minolta CR-300 Chroma Meter (Minolta Camera Co. Ltd., Osaka, Japan) calibrated with a Minolta standard white plate before measurements were performed. Measurements were obtained in the Commission internationale de l'éclairage CIELAB color space parameters: L* (lightness, ranging from 0 = black to 100 = white), a* [chroma component ranging from green (−60) to red (+60)], b* [chroma component ranging from blue (−60) to yellow (+60)].

2.5. Dry matter, Protein, Nitrate and Mineral Content Analysis

The leaf dry matter percentage was determined in triplicates as a percentage of fresh mass following leaf desiccation to constant weight in a forced-air oven at 70 °C for 72 h, and weighed using an analytical balance (Denver Instruments, Denver, Colorado, CO USA). The total protein content was assessed by the Kjeldahl method [30], with nitrogen to protein conversion factor of 6.25.

Leaf dry tissues were ground in a Wiley Mill to pass through an 841-microns screen, baby spinach plants were assayed for concentrations of NO₃-N and the following macro elements: P, K, Ca, Mg and Na. Briefly, 250 mg of finely ground dried tissues were suspended in 50 mL of ultrapure water (Milli-Q, Merck Millipore, Darmstadt, Germany) and subjected to three freeze-thaw cycles in liquid nitrogen followed by shaking water bath (ShakeTemp SW22, Julabo, Seelbach, Germany) at 80 °C for 10 min. The mixture was centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 10 min (R⁻10M, Remi Elektrotechnik Limited, India), then filtered through a 0.20 μm filter paper (Whatman International Ltd., Maidstone, UK.), as described previously by Rouphael et al. [20]. Potassium, Ca, Mg and Na were separated by ion chromatography (ICS-3000, Dionex, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) and quantified through an electrical conductivity detector. Chromatographic separation was achieved in isocratic mode on an IonPac CS12A analytical column (4 × 250 mm, Dionex, Corporation) equipped with an IonPac CG12A precolumn (4 × 250 mm, Dionex, Corporation) and a self-regenerating suppressor CERS500 (4 mm, Dionex, Corporation). The nitrate and phosphorus contents were also quantified through ion chromatography coupled to a conductivity detector. An IonPac ATC-HC anion trap (9 × 75 mm), and a AS11-HC analytical column (4 × 250 mm) equipped with an AG11-HC precolumn (4 × 50 mm) and a self-regenerating suppressor AERS500 (4 mm) were used for separation. Nitrate was expressed as mg kg⁻¹ fresh weight on the basis of each sample’s original dry matter content, while P, K, Ca, Mg and Na were expressed as g kg⁻¹ dry weight.
2.6. Phenolics and Ascorbic Acid Analysis

The total phenols content in methanolic extracts was assessed using the Folin–Ciocalteu method [31] with gallic acid as a standard. Briefly, 100 mL aliquot of the supernatant was combined with 500 mL of Folin–Ciocalteau’s reagent (Sigma-Aldrich Inc., Milano, Italy) and 400 mL of 7.5% sodium carbonate/water (w/v). The absorbance of the solution was measured after 30 min at 765 nm by using an ultraviolet-visible spectrophotometer, and the result was expressed as mg gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich Inc.) per 100 g of dry weight.

The total ascorbic acid was also assessed by spectrophotometric detection as described by Kampfenkel et al. [32]. Briefly, the dehydroascorbate is reduced to ascorbic acid by pre-incubation of the sample with dithiothreitol. The absorbance of the solution was measured at 525 nm, and the results were expressed as mg ascorbic acid on 100 g fresh weight.

2.7. Statistical Analysis

Analysis of variance (one way-ANOVA) of the experimental data was performed using the IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software package. To separate treatment means within each measured parameter, the Duncan’s Multiple Range Test was performed at \( p \leq 0.05 \). Yield and growth performance characteristics, leaf colorimetry, mineral composition and bioactive phytochemical content of non-treated and PB-treated plants were subjected to Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to explore relationships among variables and treatments and to determine which yield and quality traits were the most effective in discriminating between PB application treatments. The PCA outputs include variable loading to each selected component and treatment component scores. Principal component analysis was carried out using function ‘PCA’ from the IBM SPSS Statistics 20 software package.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Implications of PBs Application on Yield and Morphological Parameters

The fresh yield at harvest was significantly affected by the plant biostimulant (PB= treatments (Table 1). The foliar application at 3 mL L\(^{-1}\) of legume-derived protein hydrolysate (PH), extract of seaweed Ecklonia maxima (SWE) or mixture of vegetal oils, herbal and seaweed Ascophyllum nodosum-based extracts (VO + SWE) induced a significant increase in fresh yield (by 51.5% on average) over untreated plants with no significant difference between the three commercial PB treatments (Table 1 and Figure 1). Interestingly, the higher spinach fresh yield observed in PB-treated plants in comparison to the control treatment was due to an increase in the leaf area and not in the number of leaves per plant (Table 1). In fact, irrespective of PB origin, the mean total leaf area obtained in treated plants was significantly higher than that in untreated ones with a respective increase by 12% (Table 1). Similarly to the effects on fresh yield, the leaf dry biomass in PB-treated plants was significantly higher (by 53% on average) than that obtained in untreated spinach plants irrespective of the biostimulant treatments (Table 1).

Table 1. The effect of biostimulant treatments on fresh yield, dry biomass, leaf number and total area of greenhouse spinach plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biostimulant</th>
<th>Yield (kg.m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Leaf Dry Weight (kg.m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>Leaf Number (no. plant(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Leaf Area (cm(^2) plant(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>1.45 c</td>
<td>0.16 b</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>97.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume-derived protein hydrolysate</td>
<td>2.29 a</td>
<td>0.16 a</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>115.8 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaweed extract of E. maxima</td>
<td>2.22 a</td>
<td>0.15 a</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>112.5 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetal oils, herbal extracts and A. nodosum-based extract</td>
<td>2.08 a</td>
<td>0.15 a</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>111.9 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^* \) Non-significant or significant at \( p < 0.05 \) or \( p < 0.01 \), respectively. Different letters within each column indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s multiple range test \( p < 0.05 \).
The increase in yield and growth performance characteristics of spinach plants grown under soil conditions has been reported in a recent research study testing the actions of three commercial PBs (tropical plant extract, seaweed extract *E. maxima* and legume-derived protein hydrolysate) on greenhouse fresh tomato [9]. However, the stimulation effect (higher fresh yield by 51.5%) recorded in the current experiment was far higher than those recorded on both early and marketable yields of fresh tomato (14–30% and 7–12%, respectively; [9]) indicating crop-specific differential response to biostimulant treatments and therefore warranting additional crop-specific research to optimize biostimulant application [33].

Our findings on the beneficial foliar application of brown seaweed extracts with *E. maxima* or *A. nodosum* were consistent with the results of Colla et al. [9] and Ali et al. [34] who demonstrated that the foliar application of *E. maxima* (3 mL L\(^{-1}\)) or *A. nodosum* (0.5%) improved the tomato crop productivity compared to untreated control. Both research groups attributed the higher plant productivity to the major components of commercial seaweed extracts (30–40% of the extract on dry weight basis), such as polysaccharides (alginates, fucoidan and laminarins), which are well known for triggering endogenous hormone homeostasis [35,36].

As with the applications of *E. maxima* seaweed extract or of the mixture of vegetal oils, herbal extracts and seaweed extract of *A. nodosum*, spinach plants treated with legume-derived PH incurred increased fresh yield by 53%. A presumed mechanism behind the plant-growth stimulation effect is the presence of signaling molecules such as small peptides which are typical components of the commercial PH Trainer\textsuperscript{®} used in the current experiment. The bioactive peptides in the Trainer\textsuperscript{®} formulation could act as elicitors since they are easily received by both leaf and root, thus may have triggered a signal transduction pathway through modulation of endogenous phytohormone biosynthesis (auxin- and/or gibberellin-like activities; [28,37]) thus boosting crop yield. Another putative mode of action behind the stimulation effect of legume-derived PH on fresh yield is the modulation of the root system architecture in particular the increase in root hair length and density [38], which may improve N use efficiency, thus boosting total fresh and dry biomasses.

3.2. Implications of PBs Application on SPAD index and Leaf Colorimetry

Among the physical properties of vegetable species that significantly influence consumer preference is the colorimetric CIELAB components in particular brightness (L\(^{*}\)), redness (a\(^{*}\)) and yellowness (b\(^{*}\)) [39]. In the current study, neither the application with legume-derived PH nor biostimulant treatment with *E. maxima* or vegetable oils, herbal extracts in addition to *A. nodosum* had a significant effect on L\(^{*}\) (average 42.2), a\(^{*}\) (average –15.2), or b\(^{*}\) (average 21.5) parameters (Table 2). However, the SPAD index values, widely used as a non-invasive and non-destructive estimate of chlorophyll content were highly influenced biostimulant treatments (Table 2). For instance, SPAD index values were higher by 15.6% and 18.6% at 25 and 39 DAS, respectively, in the three biostimulant treatments.
treatments (average 37.5 and 35.8, respectively) compared to untreated spinach plants (32.5 and 30.2, respectively; Table 2). These findings have been observed in a wide range of horticultural crops including tomato, spinach, lettuce, melon treated with biostimulants of different origin including amino acids, protein hydrolysate as well as plant and seaweed extracts [7,13,18,26,38–41]. The higher SPAD index values observed in spinach plants treated with biostimulants (PH, SWE or VO + SWE) could be also considered a mechanism by which biostimulant application can promote N uptake efficiency. In fact, SPAD index is widely considered as a key indicator of chlorophyll biosynthesis and photosynthetic apparatus functioning, that contributed to the translocation of photosynthates (i.e., soluble sugars) via the phloem from sources to the sinks, thus boosting crop performance [2,37].

Table 2. The effect of biostimulant treatments on the Soil Plant Analysis Development (SPAD) index and Hunter color parameters L* (brightness), a* (+a* = red; −a* = green) and b* (+b* = yellow; −b* = blue) of leaves in greenhouse spinach plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biostimulant</th>
<th>SPAD</th>
<th>L</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>32.5 b</td>
<td>30.2 b</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>−15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume-derived protein hydrolysate</td>
<td>37.5 a</td>
<td>35.9 a</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>−15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaweed extract of <em>E. maxima</em></td>
<td>37.1 a</td>
<td>36.2 a</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>−14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetal oils, herbal extracts and <em>A. nodosum</em>-based extract</td>
<td>38.1 a</td>
<td>35.4 a</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>−14.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Z NS, **, ***Non-significant or significant at p < 0.01 or 0.001, respectively. Different letters within each column indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s multiple range test p < 0.05. DAS, days after sowing.

3.3. Implications of PBs Application on Protein, Mineral Profile and Nitrate Content

No significant differences between the four biostimulant foliar applications were recorded for the leaf dry matter percentage (average 6.8%), whereas the protein content was highly influenced by the biostimulant treatments, which was higher by 12.4% in treated (average 32.8 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw) than in untreated (average 29.2 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw) spinach plants (Table 3). The highest protein content in PB-treated plants has been frequently associated with better N uptake and translocation [42]. Our findings were also confirmed by the significant correlation (p < 0.05) observed between SPAD index and total leaf N content (Pearson’s coefficient 0.961; data not shown).

Concerning the implications of PBs effect on plant mineral profile, it is well established that major minerals in the diet are important to avoid nutritional disorders and disease symptoms due to their well-known potentials and functionalities in the body homeostasis and metabolism [43]. Levander [44] reported that the contribution of fruits and vegetables to dietary intake of P, K, Ca, Mg and Na is 11%, 35%, 7%, 24% and 11%, respectively. Among the macro-minerals studied K was by far the most abundant constituent irrespective of the biostimulant treatments ranging from 67.5 to 92.1 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw, followed by Ca (12.1–13.5 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw), Mg (7.2–9.0 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw), P (3.3–3.7 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw) and inally Na (1.2–1.7 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw) (Table 3). Our findings are in line with those of Colonna et al. [45], who reported that K was the predominant macronutrient present in baby spinach under greenhouse conditions.

The concentrations of nutrients in cultivated baby spinach revealed significant differences among biostimulant treatments for K, Mg and Na, whereas no significant effects among foliar biostimulant applications were recorded for P (average 3.5 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw) and Ca (average 12.5 g kg\(^{-1}\)dw) (Table 3). For instance, our results showed that foliar application of legume-derived PH Trainer® elicited significant increase (36.4% and 25.0%) of K and Mg contents compared to untreated plants, whereas foliar spray treatment with SWE and VO + SWE exhibited intermediate values (Table 3). Interestingly, a very low Na/K ratio (average 0.014) in PB-treated plants was recorded in comparison to untreated spinach (0.025). The lowest ratio observed in treated spinach is important from a nutritional point of view, as diets with low Na/K ratio are correlated with lower incidence of heart attacks and hypertension [46]. The better nutritional status recorded in legume-derived PH plants has been
observed previously on fruit vegetables such as tomato grown under greenhouse conditions [9]. Our findings indicated that the increased ‘nutrient acquisition response’ (higher K and Mg) may be associated to several modes of action like: (i) the presence of signaling molecules in the PH product, such as free amino acids and soluble peptides [2,16]; (ii) the greater uptake, translocation and accumulation of nutrients effecting stimulation of the root system architecture [18,28]; and (iii) the expression of genes encoding for macronutrients transporters in cell membranes [37,47].

Table 3. The effect of biostimulant treatments on dry matter percentage, protein content, and mineral concentration of leaves in greenhouse spinach plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biostimulant</th>
<th>Dry Matter (%)</th>
<th>Protein (g·kg⁻¹dw)</th>
<th>Mineral Elements (g·kg⁻¹dw)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>29.2 b</td>
<td>3.6 67.5 c 12.3 7.2 c 1.7 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legume-derived protein hydrolysate</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>32.4 a</td>
<td>3.7 92.1 a 12.3 9.0 a 1.3 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seaweed extract of E. maxima</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>32.5 a</td>
<td>3.3 82.9 b 12.1 8.7 b 1.4 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetal oils, herbal extracts and A. nodosum-based extract</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>33.6 a</td>
<td>3.3 86.6 ab 13.5 8.6 b 1.2 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance *</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>** ** NS NS *** ***</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* NS, **, ***Non-significant or significant at p < 0.05, p < 0.01 or p < 0.001, respectively. Different letters within each column indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s multiple range test p < 0.05.

From a botanical point of view, spinach, which belongs to the Chenopodiaceae family, is normally considered a nitrate-accumulating leafy vegetable species [48]. Nitrate content was significantly (p < 0.01) influenced by biostimulant applications with the highest values recorded in both SWE and VO + SWE treatments (3227 and 3485 mg·kg⁻¹ fw, respectively; Figure 2). Because the maximum level set by EC Regulation No 1258/2011 for fresh spinach is 3500 mg·kg⁻¹ fw, the spinach treated with PB can be marketed in European Union. Interestingly, the nitrate content in legume-derived PH-treated plants was lower than in plants treated with the other PB, and it was not significantly different from the control (2379 and 2040 mg·kg⁻¹ fw, respectively; Figure 2). The ability of PH containing free amino acids and peptides to avoid accumulation of nitrates in leaf tissue could be associated to an up-regulation of the key nitrogen assimilation genes like nitrate reductase, thus contributing to a higher assimilation of nitrates into amino acids [49].

Figure 2. The effect of biostimulant treatments on leaf nitrate content of greenhouse spinach plants. The values are means of three replicates. Vertical bars indicate ± SE of means. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (p < 0.05).
3.4. Implications of PBs Application on Phenolics and Ascorbic Acid Content

Spinach has been always considered as one of the most important leafy greens due to the high antioxidant activity as well as the large quantities of bioactive compounds (phenolics, ascorbate, carotenoids and tocopherols) it contains [50]. Foliar application of Trainer® and Amalgerol® elicited significant increase (30.7%) of phenolics compared to untreated plants, whereas foliar spray treatment with SWE of *E. maxima* exhibited intermediate values (Figure 3).
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**Figure 3.** The effect of biostimulant treatments on total phenols content of leaves in greenhouse spinach plants. The values are means of three replicates. Vertical bars indicate ± SE of means. Different letters indicate significant differences according to Duncan’s test (p < 0.05).

As with total polyphenols content, the total ascorbic acid content was highly affected by biostimulant treatment. Compared to untreated spinach plants, total ascorbic content was enhanced by 79.1% in PB-treated spinach plants, with no significant differences recorded between the three commercial biostimulant applications (Figure 4).
The synthesis and accumulation of these secondary metabolites (total phenols and ascorbic acid) could be associated to the activity of key enzymes involved in phytochemical homeostasis (direct effect) as reported by Ertani et al. [26] and Rouphael et al. [3] as well as changes in nutritional status (K and Mg; indirect effect) which may positively affect the synthesis and accumulation of antioxidant molecules in vegetables [20]. Our data were in line with previous research on PH conducted by Ertani and co-workers [26], in which foliar application with alfalfa-derived and red-grape products based biostimulants had higher p-coumaric, chlorogenic acid and capsaicin in green and red greenhouse pepper fruits. Similarly, pre-harvest root treatment of spinach with commercial extract of brown seaweed A. nodosum at 1 and 5 g L\(^{-1}\) showed a 50% and 20% increase in flavonoids as compared to untreated plants [25]. The increase in the bioactive compounds could be mediated through the mechanism involving the stimulation of key enzymes, like chalcone isomerase involved in the biosynthesis of flavanone precursors [41]. Overall, the incurred synthesis and accumulation of phytochemical compounds in leafy vegetables such as spinach could be considered an added value to human diet in support of health and longevity [51].

### 3.5. Principal Component Analysis

To provide a broad overview on the morphometric traits as well as on nutritional and functional quality of greenhouse spinach in response to biostimulant applications of legume-derived PH, SWE of E. maxima and mixture of vegetal oils, herbal extracts and SWE of A. nodosum the principal component analysis (PCA) was conducted. Based on our experimental data, the first three principal components (PCs) were associated with Eigenvalues higher than one and accounted for 100% of the total variance, with PC1, PC2 and PC3 accounting for 73.5%, 17.7% and 8.8%, respectively (Table 4). PC1 was
positively correlated with yield, dry biomass, SPAD index, total phenols and ascorbic acid, protein, leaf dry matter, and mineral composition (K, Ca and Mg); it was negatively correlated with Na content. PC2 was positively associated with Ca and L* and negatively correlated with phosphorus content (Table 4).

Table 4. Eigenvalues, relative and cumulative percentage of total variance, and correlation coefficients for each spinach trait with respect to the two principal components (PC1 and PC2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Principal Components</th>
<th>PC1</th>
<th>PC2</th>
<th>PC3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eigen value</strong></td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Relative variance (%)</strong></td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cumulative variance (%)</strong></td>
<td>73.5</td>
<td>91.2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eigen vectors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>0.134</td>
<td>−0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ascorbic acid</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>−0.120</td>
<td>−0.229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAD index</td>
<td>0.955</td>
<td>−0.167</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>−0.946</td>
<td>−0.132</td>
<td>0.295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry biomass</td>
<td>0.943</td>
<td>−0.187</td>
<td>0.275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.940</td>
<td>−0.321</td>
<td>0.114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.927</td>
<td>−0.356</td>
<td>−0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield</td>
<td>0.921</td>
<td>−0.218</td>
<td>0.324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter percentage</td>
<td>0.909</td>
<td>0.311</td>
<td>−0.279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>0.602</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>−0.457</td>
<td>−0.842</td>
<td>−0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L*</td>
<td>−0.569</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td>0.416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>−0.613</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boldface factor loadings indicate the most relevant characters for each principal component.

Concerning the correlation among morphometric and physicochemical quality traits Figure 5 showed that K was more closely aligned with Mg content and variation in total phenols was more strongly associated with total ascorbic acid (two vectors with an angle less than 90) (Figure 5).

The effectiveness of PCA in elucidating the effects of genetic materials and several pre and postharvest factors on productivity and especially on quality parameters of several horticultural crops has been documented in several greenhouse and open-field studies [9,20,45,52,53]. This was also the case in the current study, since the score plot of the PCA clearly divided the PB-treated and untreated plants along PC1 with biostimulant treatments concentrating most of the morphometric (yield and dry biomass) and physicochemical parameters (leaf dry matter, protein, total phenols and ascorbic acid as well as K, Ca and Mg contents (Figure 5). The upper right quadrant (the positive side of PC1) included plants treated with legume-derived PH representing spinach with high productivity (on fresh and dry basis) and premium quality in terms of bioactive compounds and especially high K and Mg concentrations and lower nitrate level (Figure 5). A second group clustered in the lower right quadrant included plants treated with both SWE of E. maxima and vegetal oils, herbal extracts and seaweed extract of A. nodosum characterized by leaf spinach having good concentration of ascorbic acid and protein but on the other hand high nitrate concentration (Figure 5). Finally, the untreated spinach plants had the lowest nutritional quality among treatments (Figure 5).
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