Article

Identification and Expression Analysis of the NAC Gene Family in *Coffea canephora*

Xiangshu Dong [1], Yuan Jiang [1], Yanan Yang [1], Ziwei Xiao [2], Xuehui Bai [2], Jing Gao [1], Shirui Tan [1], Yoonkang Hur [3], Shumei Hao [4,*], and Feifei He [1,*]

[1] School of Agriculture, Yunnan University, Kunming 650500, China; dongxiangshu@ynu.edu.cn (X.D.); jiangyuan@mail.ynu.edu.cn (Y.J.); yangyanan@mail.ynu.edu.cn (Y.Y.); jinggao@ynu.edu.cn (J.G.); shirui.tan@ynu.edu.cn (S.T.)

[2] Dehong Tropical Agriculture Research Institute, Dehong 678600, China; xzw464999488@126.com (Z.X.); 13529520059@163.com (X.B.)

[3] Department of Biological Sciences, Chungnam National University, Daejeon 34141, Korea; ykhur@cnu.ac.kr

[4] Yunnan Normal University, No.1, Yuhua Area, Chenggong District, Kunming 650500, China

* Correspondence: haosm@sina.com (S.H.) and hefeifei@ynu.edu.cn (F.H.); Tel.: +86-871-6591-1899 (S.H.) and +86-871-6503-1539 (F.H.)

Abstract: The NAC gene family is one of the largest families of transcriptional regulators in plants, and it plays important roles in the regulation of growth and development as well as in stress responses. Genome-wide analyses have been performed in diverse plant species, but there is still no systematic analysis of the *NAC* genes of *Coffea canephora* Pierre ex A. Froehner. In this study, we identified 63 *NAC* genes from the genome of *C. canephora*. The basic features and comparison analysis indicated that the *NAC* gene members increased via duplication events during the evolution of the plant. Phylogenetic analysis divided the NAC proteins from *C. canephora*, *Arabidopsis* and rice into 16 subgroups. Analysis of the expression patterns of *CocNACs* under cold stress and coffee bean development indicated that 38 *CocNACs* were differentially expressed under cold stress; six genes may play important roles in the process of cold acclimation, and four genes among 54 *CocNACs* showing a variety of expression patterns during different developmental stages of coffee beans may be positively related to the bean development. This study can expand our understanding of the functions of the *CocNAC* gene family in cold responses and bean development, thereby potentially intensifying the molecular breeding programs of *Coffea* spp. plants.

Keywords: NAC TF; cold tolerance; bean development; gene duplication; *Coffea* spp.; coffee beans

1. Introduction

The NAC transcription factor, named after the proteins of NAM (*Petunia* No Apical Meristem), ATAF1/ATAF2 (*Arabidopsis* transcription activation factors), and CUC2 (Cup-shaped cotyledon), is one of the largest plant-specific transcription factors (TFs) [1–3]. The NAC domain (around 160 amino acids) is located in the N-terminal region of the NAC family proteins and can be divided into five subdomains (A to E) that provide the DNA binding ability of NAC TFs [4,5]. In addition to the conserved NAC-domain, a variable transcription regulatory region was found in the C-terminal of NAC family proteins; this region is suggested to produce regulation diversity of transcriptional activation activities [4].

Due to the importance of *NAC* genes in the regulation of growth and development as well as plant stress responses, genome-wide analyses have been performed in various plants: *Arabidopsis* [4], rice [6], soybean [7], white pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri*) [8], Tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum*) [9],

Populus trichocarpa [10], *Vitis vinifera* [11], *Prunus mume* [12], and watermelon [13]. As a result of the transcriptome analyses, several *NAC* genes responding to low temperature have been identified: three *NAC* genes in paper mulberry [14], five *NAC* gens in *Lotus japonicas* [15], 12 cassava *NAC* genes [16], several *Citrullus lanatus NACs* (*ClNACs*) [13] and two white pear *NACs* [8]. Recently, Zhuo, *et al.* [12] found that fifteen *Prunus mume NACs* (*PmNACs*) out of 113 responded to cold treatment in the tree plant: 10 up-regulated genes (*PmNAC11/20/23/40/42/48/57/60/66/86*) and five down-regulated genes (*PmNAC59/61/82/85/107*). However, no systematic analysis of *Coffea* spp. *NAC* genes has been reported until now.

Regarding the function of *NAC* genes in response to low temperature stress, some are positive regulators, but others are negative regulators. Rice NAC transcription factor *ONAC095* [17] and apple NAC (*MdNAC029*) [18] were found to function as negative regulators. However, most *NAC* genes from various plants play a positive role in cold tolerance: three rice genes (*SNAC2/OsNAC6, OsNAC5, OsNAC04*) [19–22], *Arabidopsis ANAC019* [23], chrysanthemum *DgNAC1* [24], soybean *GmNAC20* [25], maize *ZmSNAC1* [26], wheat *TaNAC2* [27], banana *MaNAC1* [28], *Suaeda liaotungensis* stress responsive *NAC* (*SlNAC1*) [29], *Miscanthus lutarioriparius NAC* gene (*MlNAC5*) [30], and *Pyrus betulifolia NAC* transcription factor (*PbeNAC1*) [31]. All these facts suggest that some *CocNACs* (*Coffea canephora NAC* TFs) may be involved in cold tolerance and could be used to develop molecular markers for breeding as well as development of transgenic plants.

The quality of the coffee depends on the biochemical composition of the coffee bean, which is controlled by genetic and environmental factors influencing the accumulation of key components of the bean [32–34]. To investigate genetic control of these processes, studying the changes in transcripts during bean development as well as in response to stress are required, but only ESTs (Expressed Sequence Tags) are available [35]. However, the possibility that the NAC family is associated with bean development arises from studies of other plants. *Nacregulated seed morphology 1* and *-2* (*NARS1* and *NARS2*, also known as *ANAC056* and *ANAC018*, respectively) regulate embryogenesis by regulating the development and degeneration of ovule integuments in *Arabidopsis* [36]. At least three soybean NACs (*GmNAC1-GmNAC3*) are expressed at particular stages of seed development [37]. Two maize NACs (*ZmNAC128* and *ZmNAC130*) regulate carbohydrate and protein levels in seeds [38]. Recently, it was found that six of 112 strawberry *NAC* genes are positively correlated with fruit ripening: *FaNAC006, FaNAC021, FaNAC022, FaNAC035, FaNAC042,* and *FaNAC092* [39]. In the *Poaceae* family of monocotyledonous plants, the six-barley d-9 NACs in a subclade are specifically expressed in the developing grain [40], and wheat [41], rice [42] and maize homologs [43] fall into the same clade and are expressed specifically in developing grains. Therefore, the study of *CocNACs* in relation to coffee bean development will provide insights into the regulation of coffee bean development.

Coffee beans are the seeds of the coffee plant and the source for coffee, one of the major commodities in the word. *Coffea canephora* (diploid, $2n = 2x = 22$ chromosomes, 710 Mb) (Rubiaceae), known as robusta coffee, provides approximately 30% of the coffee beans for the worldwide coffee market [44,45]. *C. canephora* is considered as one of the parents of *Coffea arabica* (tetraploid), which provides about 70% of the coffee bean production in the world [46]. *C. canephora* can provide intense flavor and bitterness as well as greater abiotic and biotic resistance compared to *C. arabica* [47,48]. To produce a good quality beverage, coffee cultivation has spread towards the highlands in both Brazil and China, where the temperature occasionally reaches 0°C [45,49]. In addition to cold tolerance, another important trait, coffee bean development, is poorly understood with few published studies [34,50,51]. In order to examine both cold tolerance and coffee bean development, we carried out RNA_seq during various developmental stages of coffee beans, and we performed genome-wide analysis of *C. canephora NAC* TFs (*CocNACs*). Our results may provide insights regarding the molecular significance of *CocNACs* under cold stress and in the development of coffee beans.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Material Collection and Cold Treatment

Following the previous research [46,52], fruits of *Coffea canephora* at different stages of development (SG (small green fruit), LG (large green fruit), Y (yellow fruit), and R (red fruit)) were harvested from coffee trees cultivated in the open field of the Germplasm Repository of Coffee (*Coffea* spp.), RuiLi City, Ministry of Agriculture (RuiLi, Yunnan, China). Fruits were collected from three harvests (2016–2018), and 50 fruits were sampled from five independent trees for each of the differential developmental stages. As soon as sampling was finishing, the coffee beans were isolated and frozen immediately using liquid nitrogen, then stored at −80 °C until use.

For cold treatment, one-year-old seedlings of *C. canephora* were used. The seedlings were provided by the Germplasm Repository of Coffee (*Coffea* spp.), RuiLi City, Ministry of Agriculture (RuiLi, Yunnan, China). Briefly, the coffee plants were grown under a long-day cycle (16 h light/8 h cark) in a greenhouse at 24 °C before cold treatment. Cold treatments were carried out as described previously description [45] with some modifications. The plants were submitted to 24 °C/20 °C (day/night) for seven days in a growth chamber, followed by seven days at 13 °C/8 °C (day/night) to express cold acclimation ability, and then treated with 4 °C/4 °C (day/night) for three days. During the cold treatment, the following parameters were fixed: humidity (60%), luminosity (600–650 μmol m^{-2} s^{-1}), and photoperiod (16 h light/8 h dark). Five plants were taken out at the end of each treatment time point, and the two top pairs of recent mature leaves were sampled. After sampling, the leaves were frozen immediately in liquid nitrogen and then stored at −80°C until use. The cold treatments were carried out with three independent biological replicates.

2.2. RNA Extraction from Coffee Beans, Library Construction, Sequencing and Assembly

Total RNA was isolated from each coffee bean sample by using the RNAprep Pure Plant Plus Kit (TIANGEN BIOTECH CO., LTD, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Total RNA (20 μg) was sent to BGI (Beijing Genomics Institute, Shenzhen) where the libraries were constructed and sequenced using a BGISEQ-500. To enrich mRNA, the total RNA was fractionated using oligo-dT attached magnetic beads. Then, short mRNA fragments (approximately 200 bp) were generated by adding the fragmentation buffer. The first strand cDNA was synthesis primed by random N6 primers, and the second strand was consequently synthesized by adding the dNTPs, buffer, DNA polymerase I and RNase H. The short double stranded cDNA fragments were then prepared for ligation to the adapters and PCR amplification by adding an extra single nucleotide adenine to the 3′ end. Next, double stranded PCR products were denatured by heating and circularized by the splint oligo sequence. The ssCir DNA (single strand circle DNA) was formatted as the final sequencing library, and the library was amplified with phi29 to make DNA nanoball (DNB). Then, the libraries were sequenced using the BGISEQ-500 sequencer. All RNA-seq raw data from this study have been submitted to the NCBI Sequence Read Archive (SRA) under accession No. PRJNA561881.

The sequencing reads were mapped to the reference genome of *Coffea canephora* (http://coffee-genome.org/) using HISAT2 [53]. Before mapping, the quality of RNA-seq reads was analyzed by SOAPnuke [18]; the adapter sequence and low-quality reads were removed by Trimmomatic [54]. For gene expression, the clean reads were mapped to genes by Bowtie2 [53], and the FPKM (fragments per kilo bases of exons for per million mapped reads) value for transcripts were calculated by RSEM [55].

2.3. Data Collection and Identification of NAC Genes

The *Coffea canephora* genome sequences were downloaded from Coffee Genome Hub (http://coffee-genome.org/) [56]. For comparative analysis, all the predicted protein sequences of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Carica papaya*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *Vitis vinifera*, *Oryza sativa*, *Amborella trichopoda*, and *Physcomitrella patens* were retrieved from Phytozome 13 (https://phytozome-next.jgi.doe.gov/).

To identify the NAC genes, all the retrieved proteins were used as queries to search against the profile Hidden Markov Model (HMM) by HMMER (version 3.1b2, http://hmmer.org/) [57] with the Pfam HMM library Pfam 32.0 [58], and the proteins with NAC domains (PF02365) with *E*-values below 0.1 were isolated. For *Arabidopsis* and rice, the isolated NAC genes were compared with previously published sequences to obtain the nomenclature [4,6].

2.4. Phylogenetic Analysis

The multiple sequence alignment of the full-length proteins sequences of *NAC* genes was carried out using the MUSCLE program with default parameters [59]. The unrooted polygenetic tree was constructed by using the NJ (Neighbor-joining) approach via MEGA 6 with parameters set as follows: Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model, bootstrap values of 1000 replicates and pairwise deletion [60].

2.5. Chromosome Location, Gene Structure, and Conserved Motifs in the CocNAC Family

The information concerning the detailed chromosome locations of *CocNAC* genes was obtained from the Coffee Genome Hub with the Tool 'Advanced Search'. These data were then integrated and modified by using Inkscape software (version 0.92, Inkscape's contributors, https://inkscape.org/). Gene structures of *CocNACs* were drawn by using the GSDS (Gene Structure Display Server, version 2.0, http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/) [61]. The conserved motifs of CocNAC proteins were analyzed by using the MEME (Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation) tool (version 5.0.4, http://meme-suite.org/) [62] with the following parameters: maximum motif width: 50; minimum motif width: 6; maximum number of motifs, 10; distribution of motif occurrences, zero or one per sequence.

2.6. qRT-PCR

RNA was isolated using the RNAprep Pure Plant Plus Kit (TIANGEN BIOTECH CO., LTD, Beijing, China), according to the manufacturer's protocols. The 1st-strand cDNA was synthesized using the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TAKARA BIO INC., Shiga, Japan). The cDNA was diluted to 12.5 ng/μl for PCR. A QuantStudio™ 7 Flex Real Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems®, Foster City, CA, USA) was used for PCR analysis. The reactions were prepared in a total volume of 20 μl containing 2 μl cDNA, 1.0 μl of each primer at 10 μM, 10 μl of SYBR® *Premix Ex Taq*™ II (Tli RNaseH Plus) (TAKARA BIO INC., Shiga, Japan), and 6 μl distilled water. PCR was performed with the following program: 95 °C for 30 s, followed by 40 cycles of 95 °C for 5 s, and 56 °C for 30 s. All of the primer sequences that were used in this study are listed in Table S1. The $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_T}$ method was used for PCR data analysis [63], and the data were re-represented by a heatmap, as described previously [64,65] using MeV software [66] using the average mean values of three independent biological replicates. All qRT-PCR analyses was carried out using a sample from the three biological repeats with triplicate technique repeats for each sample.

3. Results

3.1. Identification of Putative NAC Genes in Coffea canephora

To identify the members of the *CocNAC* gene family, the entire *Coffea canephora* (V1.0) genome sequence and 25,574 protein sequences were downloaded from the Coffee Genome Hub (http://coffee-genome.org/) [56]. After HMM (Hidden Markov Models) search, 63 *CocNAC* gene models were isolated. These gene models were named from *CocNAC1* to *CocNAC63* according to their position on the *C. Canephora* chromosomes 1–11 and from top to bottom (Table 1). The protein sizes of CocNACs varied from 87 amino acids (a.a.) (CocNAC10, Cc01_g19370) to 664 a.a. (CocNAC39, Cc07_g12760), with an average length of 350 a.a. The relative molecular weight (Mw) varied from 9.91 kDa (CocNAC10, Cc01_g19370) to 75.49 kDa (CocNAC39, Cc07_g12760). The locus ID, chromosome location, protein length, introns, molecular weight (Mw), and PI (isoelectric point) of the resulting genes are shown in Table 1. *CocNACs* were widely distributed on the *C. Canephora* chromosomes with a non-homogeneous distribution: except for eight *CocNACs* (*CocNAC56,*

CocNAC57, *CocNAC58*, *CocNAC59*, *CocNAC60*, *CocNAC61*, *CocNAC62*, and *CocNAC63*), all other *CocNACs* could be mapped on chromosomes Ch1-Ch11 (Table 1 and Figure 1). No *CocNAC* was found on chromosome Chr3, and more than 61.9% of all *CocNACs* were located on five chromosomes: chromosome Chr1 (ten *CocNACs*), chromosome Chr2 (ten *CocNACs*), chromosome Chr5 (seven *CocNACs*), chromosome Chr7 (six *CocNACs*), and chromosome Chr10 (six *CocNACs*) (Figure 1).


Figure 1. Chromosomal location of 63 *CocNACs*. *CocNAC56*, *CocNAC57*, CocNAC58, *CocNAC59*, *CocNAC60*, *CocNAC61*, *CocNAC62*, and *CocNAC63* were putatively located on 'Chromosome Unknown'. The chromosomal structure and gene locations were obtained from the Coffee Genome Hub (http://coffee-genome.org/).

Table 1. Identified *NAC* genes from *Coffea Canephora* and their related information.

Gene Symbol	Gene ID	Peptide Length (a.a.)	Chr. No.	Introns	PI	Mw (KDa)	Best hit to *ANACs* (Blastp)		
							Gene ID	Gene Name	*E*−Value
CocNAC01	*Cc01_g04540*	340	Chr1	2	8.63	38.95	*AT1G52890.1*	*ANAC019*	5.3×10^{-44}
CocNAC02	*Cc01_g04560*	410	Chr1	3	5.04	47.10	*AT1G77450.1*	*ANAC032*	9.6×10^{-46}
CocNAC03	*Cc01_g04590*	350	Chr1	2	5.84	39.74	*AT3G04070.2*	*ANAC047*	5.2×10^{-39}
CocNAC04	*Cc01_g08720*	338	Chr1	2	8.91	35.87	*AT3G44290.2*	*ANAC060*	3.0×10^{-12}
CocNAC05	*Cc01_g08730*	401	Chr1	3	5.66	44.83	*AT3G44290.1*	*ANAC060*	6.3×10^{-86}
CocNAC06	*Cc01_g08900*	258	Chr1	2	6.99	29.72	*AT5G22380.1*	*ANAC090*	4.5×10^{-86}
CocNAC07	*Cc01_g11320*	346	Chr1	2	8.57	38.95	*AT1G61110.1*	*ANAC025*	2.6×10^{-74}
CocNAC08	*Cc01_g11350*	310	Chr1	2	6.67	34.92	*AT1G69490.1*	*ANAC029*	2.4×10^{-70}
CocNAC09	*Cc01_g18220*	300	Chr1	2	5.85	33.36	*AT4G28530.1*	*ANAC074*	4.0×10^{-89}
CocNAC10	*Cc01_g19370*	87	Chr1	1	8.71	9.91	*AT4G27410.3*	*ANAC072*	5.7×10^{-08}
CocNAC11	*Cc02_g00700*	632	Chr2	5	5.24	69.87	*AT5G24590.2*	*ANAC091*	3.9×10^{-86}
CocNAC12	*Cc02_g04160*	357	Chr2	2	6.02	41.16	*AT1G12260.1*	*ANAC007*	3.5×10^{-149}
CocNAC13	*Cc02_g10630*	583	Chr2	5	4.64	64.88	*AT5G04410.1*	*ANAC078*	7.2×10^{-146}
CocNAC14	*Cc02_g10640*	407	Chr2	3	5.35	45.14	*AT3G10480.2*	*ANAC050*	5.5×10^{-117}
CocNAC15	*Cc02_g13910*	315	Chr2	2	8.18	35.09	*AT4G28500.1*	*ANAC073*	5.7×10^{-121}
CocNAC16	*Cc02_g14010*	302	Chr2	2	8.96	34.10	*AT1G69490.1*	*ANAC029*	6.6×10^{-74}
CocNAC17	*Cc02_g21890*	193	Chr2	2	5.02	21.94	*AT5G64530.1*	*ANAC104*	1.9×10^{-86}
CocNAC18	*Cc02_g23810*	369	Chr2	3	8.26	41.94	*AT2G02450.2*	*ANAC035*	1.7×10^{-121}
CocNAC19	*Cc02_g33930*	323	Chr2	2	5.95	37.36	*AT1G01720.1*	*ANAC002*	6.3×10^{-123}
CocNAC20	*Cc02_g39920*	385	Chr2	4	8.29	43.69	*AT2G46770.1*	*ANAC043*	6.0×10^{-115}
CocNAC21	*Cc04_g07090*	327	Chr4	2	6.98	36.58	*AT3G18400.1*	*ANAC058*	1.5×10^{-111}
CocNAC22	*Cc04_g08930*	240	Chr4	2	4.89	27.62	*AT3G17730.1*	*ANAC057*	1.4×10^{-130}
CocNAC23	*Cc04_g09840*	251	Chr4	2	8.85	28.23	*AT5G13180.1*	*ANAC083*	5.5×10^{-80}
CocNAC24	*Cc04_g16290*	356	Chr4	3	8.18	39.65	*AT5G61430.1*	*ANAC100*	1.5×10^{-133}
CocNAC25	*Cc05_g01340*	304	Chr5	2	8.97	34.27	*AT2G43000.1*	*ANAC042*	1.1×10^{-88}
CocNAC26	*Cc05_g07770*	272	Chr5	2	8.27	30.58	*AT4G28500.1*	*ANAC073*	6.2×10^{-117}
CocNAC27	*Cc05_g11720*	359	Chr5	2	8.03	39.35	*AT5G53950.1*	*ANAC098*	1.2×10^{-112}
CocNAC28	*Cc05_g12500*	356	Chr5	2	8.61	39.61	*AT4G27410.2*	*ANAC072*	9.2×10^{-132}

CocNAC29	Cc05_g12510	330	Chr5	3	8.98	36.51	AT3G15510.1	ANAC056	3.8×10^{-120}
CocNAC30	Cc05_g15960	311	Chr5	2	8.33	35.23	AT1G56010.2	ANAC022	1.5×10^{-108}
CocNAC31	Cc05_g16190	304	Chr5	3	8.44	34.48	AT2G43000.1	ANAC042	5.0×10^{-96}
CocNAC32	Cc06_g03790	361	Chr6	3	8.81	40.62	AT1G61110.1	ANAC025	1.3×10^{-77}
CocNAC33	Cc06_g06140	253	Chr6	2	5.73	28.74	AT5G22380.1	ANAC090	4.5×10^{-81}
CocNAC34	Cc06_g10730	469	Chr6	5	6.32	52.21	AT4G29230.2	ANAC075	0.0
CocNAC35	Cc07_g01550	401	Chr7	5	5.47	44.36	AT3G01600.1	ANAC044	1.9×10^{-98}
CocNAC36	Cc07_g03320	400	Chr7	2	6.20	44.57	AT1G26870.1	ANAC009	1.5×10^{-99}
CocNAC37	Cc07_g03570	332	Chr7	3	5.67	37.39	AT5G61430.1	ANAC100	7.9×10^{-114}
CocNAC38	Cc07_g10520	350	Chr7	2	5.28	39.80	AT2G18060.3	ANAC037	8.0×10^{-151}
CocNAC39	Cc07_g12760	664	Chr7	5	5.54	75.49	AT1G65910.1	ANAC028	1.6×10^{-155}
CocNAC40	Cc07_g18360	501	Chr7	4	4.76	54.76	AT5G09330.4	ANAC082	7.1×10^{-89}
CocNAC41	Cc08_g02440	346	Chr8	3	5.53	39.04	AT4G17980.1	ANAC071	2.5×10^{-99}
CocNAC42	Cc08_g12970	326	Chr8	3	6.41	37.67	AT1G12260.2	ANAC007	2.5×10^{-139}
CocNAC43	Cc08_g16900	455	Chr8	2	5.78	49.95	AT2G46770.1	ANAC043	4.2×10^{-114}
CocNAC44	Cc08_g17070	592	Chr8	6	5.43	65.88	AT4G35580.1	ANAC118	1.0×10^{-122}
CocNAC45	Cc09_g10560	311	Chr9	3	8.12	35.18	AT2G17040.1	ANAC036	3.2×10^{-89}
CocNAC46	Cc10_g03330	356	Chr10	4	6.62	38.73	AT1G69490.1	ANAC029	8.0×10^{-13}
CocNAC47	Cc10_g04680	270	Chr10	2	7.03	30.40	AT4G27410.2	ANAC072	2.1×10^{-22}
CocNAC48	Cc10_g06320	570	Chr10	3	4.69	63.48	AT1G34190.1	ANAC017	1.3×10^{-141}
CocNAC49	Cc10_g11470	319	Chr10	2	6.32	36.73	AT1G71930.1	ANAC030	3.9×10^{-115}
CocNAC50	Cc10_g12200	310	Chr10	2	6.16	35.20	AT1G01720.1	ANAC002	9.5×10^{-141}
CocNAC51	Cc10_g16190	363	Chr10	3	7.00	40.85	AT4G10350.1	ANAC070	4.4×10^{-140}
CocNAC52	Cc11_g10610	450	Chr11	4	4.95	50.75	AT1G25580.1	ANAC008	0.0
CocNAC53	Cc11_g12740	275	Chr11	2	7.63	31.52	AT1G69490.1	ANAC029	9.6×10^{-112}
CocNAC54	Cc11_g13040	401	Chr11	3	6.45	45.19	AT1G26870.1	ANAC009	7.4×10^{-110}
CocNAC55	Cc11_g17410	279	Chr11	3	9.37	30.75	AT5G13180.1	ANAC083	4.4×10^{-84}
CocNAC56	Cc00_g05780	319	Chr un	2	5.88	36.63	AT1G71930.1	ANAC030	2.9×10^{-115}
CocNAC57	Cc00_g08030	398	Chr un	2	6.03	44.68	AT5G18270.1	ANAC087	9.4×10^{-109}
CocNAC58	Cc00_g08040	304	Chr un	2	9.00	34.03	AT2G24430.2	ANAC039	5.1×10^{-118}
CocNAC59	Cc00_g09070	358	Chr un	4	6.48	40.65	AT1G79580.5	ANAC033	2.0×10^{-112}
CocNAC60	Cc00_g14270	288	Chr un	2	8.49	33.07	AT2G17040.1	ANAC036	1.8×10^{-92}
CocNAC61	Cc00_g14290	280	Chr un	2	7.68	31.80	AT2G17040.1	ANAC036	2.7×10^{-85}
CocNAC62	Cc00_g26010	153	Chr un	1	9.79	17.94	AT1G69490.1	ANAC029	3.0×10^{-29}
CocNAC63	Cc00_g30840	196	Chr un	2	4.91	22.64	AT5G64530.1	ANAC104	7.2×10^{-47}

Mw, Molecular weight; aa, amino acid length; Chr., chromosome; no., number; PI, isoelectric point.

For comparative genomic analysis, the *NAC* genes of *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Carica papaya*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *Vitis vinifera*, *Oryza sativa*, *Amborella trichopoda*, and *Physcomitrella patens* were obtained from previous publications [4,6,10,11] or identified in this study (Figure 2). Finally, 115, 80, 169, 74, 145, 46, and 33 NACs were found from *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Carica papaya*, *Populus trichocarpa*, *Vitis vinifera*, *Oryza sativa*, *Amborella trichopoda*, and *Physcomitrella patens*, respectively. The evolutionary relationship of each species and the number of *NAC* genes are shown in Figure 2. The results showed that the number of *NAC* genes in *Coffea canephora* is larger than in *Amborella trichopoda* and *Physcomitrella patens* and less than in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *Populus trichocarpa*, and *Oryza sativa*. This observation implies that the number of *NAC* genes was not dependent the genome size, and that various forms of regulation might be present in NAC function.

Species	Ploidy	No. of *NACs*	Genome size	Number/Mb
Arabidopsis thaliana	2	115	135 Mb	0.85
Carica papaya	2	80	135 Mb	0.59
Populus trichocarpa	2	169	422.9 Mb	0.40
Vitis vinifera	2	74	487 Mb	0.15
Coffea canephora	2	63	580 Mb	0.11
Oryza sativa	2	145	372 Mb	0.39
Amborella trichopoda	2	46	748 Mb	0.06
Physcomitrella patens	2	33	473 Mb	0.07

Figure 2. The evolutionary relationships of the species and the number details of the *NAC* family member of each species. The left part indicates the evolutionary relationship of the analyzed species; the right of the figure shows the details concerning the numbers of *NAC* genes in each species. Ovals indicate the generally accepted genome duplications identified in genome sequences. The diamond refers to the triplication event probably shared by all core eudicots. The red lines trace species that have not undergone further polyploidization.

3.2. Phylogenetic Analysis of the CocNACs

To obtain insight into the evolutionary relationships of the CocNAC genes, a phylogenetic analysis of CocNACs, ANACs (*Arabidopsis thaliana* NAC proteins) and OsNACs (*Oryza sativa* L. NAC proteins) was carried out using MEGA6 [60]. The ANACs and OsNACs were also isolated from the *Arabidopsis* and rice genomes (Araport11) as described above for *C. canephora*. After HMM search, 120 ANAC and 145 OsNAC proteins were obtained (Table S2). For rice, the "LOC_" prefix was removed from the RGAP (Rice Genome Annotation Project) locus IDs as the description of previous research [6]. After comparing with the phylogenetic analysis of rice and *Arabidopsis*, the CocNACs, ANACs and OsNACs were divided into 16 subgroups, NAC-a to NAC-p (Figure 3). The CocNAC genes were found to be unequally distributed in all the subgroups except the NAC-l subgroup, in which all the NAC members were from *Arabidopsis*. No *Arabidopsis NAC* gene was present in subgroup NAC-n.

3.3. Gene Structure and Protein Motif Analysis of C. canephorae NAC Gene Family

In order to identify the structural diversity of the *CocNACs*, the exon-intron content in the coding sequences of the individual *CocNACs* was analyzed (Figure 4B). Additionally, we built a separate unrooted phylogenetic tree using the protein sequences of all the CocNACs, which divided the CocNACs into 13 subfamilies, subgroup I to XIII (Figure 4A). All *CocNAC* genes contained intron(s), implying possible alternative splicing. With few exceptions, *CocNAC* genes in the same group contained similar numbers of exons and introns. *CocNAC* genes in subgroups I, II, IV, V, X, and XI contained two or three introns. More variable gene structures were found in subgroups VI, IX, XII and XIII. Several genes, such as *CocNAC25, CocNAC44, CocNAC22* and *CocNAC30*, included relatively large introns.

The MEME program was used to investigate the structure of CocNAC proteins. In total, 10 motifs, motifs 1–10, were detected from the CocNAC proteins. As expected, similar motif compositions were found among the closely related CocNAC members (Figure 4C; Figure S1). Motif 3, motif 4, motif 2/5, motif 1/7, and motif 6 comprise the subdomains A, B, C, D, and E of NAC, respectively (Figure S1). All of the CocNACs in subgroups III, IV and IX lacked motif 4, but IX contained motif 8. Most of subgroup XIII contained motif 9, and two out of three CocNACs in subgroup IV contained motif 10. This pattern suggests that the specific motifs may be related to specific functions of different subgroups.

Figure 3. Phylogenetic tree of NAC proteins of *Arabidopsis*, rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) and *C. Canephora*. Multiple sequence alignments of NAC proteins were carried out using the MUSCLE program with default parameters. The un-rooted phylogenetic tree was constructed by MEGA 6.

3.4. qPCR Analysis of CocNAC Genes under Low Temperature Treatment

To investigate the response of *CocNACs* to low temperature treatments, one-year-old *C. canephora* seedlings were subject to 13 °C (acclimation) and 4 °C (cold temperature), and the top two pairs of recent mature leaves were sampled for qRT-PCR. After removing the genes with Ct values above 35 cycles, 38 *CocNAC* genes were found to be differentially responsive to low temperature in *C. canephora* (Figure 5). Four genes including *CocNAC18* were up-regulated by 13°C treatment and sustained the up-regulation at 4 °C (Figure 5A). Six genes including *CocNAC36* were found to be down-regulated by 13 °C treatment when it was considered a cold acclimation process (Figure 5B). Seven genes including *CocNAC47* showed preferential up-regulation by 4 °C treatment (Figure 5C). Four genes were downregulated by 13 °C/8 °C, but slightly upregulated by 4 °C/4 °C cold treatments (Figure 5D). Seventeen genes including *CocNAC23* were continuously down-regulated during the treatment (Figure 5E). Grouping by the expression pattern was not consistent with classification by sequence motifs (Figure 4C), indicating that genes showing similar evolutionary relationships might be differentially responding to temperatures.

Figure 4. Gene structures and motif clades of *CocNAC* genes. (**A**) The phylogenetic tree of *CocNAC* genes. The full-length protein sequences of *CocNAC* genes were carried out using the MUSCLE program with default parameters. The polygenetic tree was constructed by using NJ (Neighbor-joining) approach via MEGA 6 with parameters set as follows: Jones-Taylor-Thornton (JTT) model, bootstrap values of 1000 replicates and pairwise deletion. (**B**) Exons and introns are represented by blue boxes and black lines, respectively. (**C**) The conserved motifs represented by the MEME tool.

Figure 5. qRT-PCR analysis of expression patterns of *CocNAC* genes under cold stress. **A**, genes were upregulated by 13 °C treatment and sustained the upregulation at 4 °C. **B**, genes were mainly downregulated by 13 °C/8 °C cold treatments. **C**, genes were preferentially upregulated by 4 °C /4 °C cold treatments. D, genes were downregulated by 13 °C /8 °C, but upregulated by 4 °C /4 °C cold treatments. **E**, genes continuously downregulated by cold treatments.

3.5. CocNAC Genes Involved in Coffee Bean Development

Since several *NAC* genes are involved in seed size and quality as mentioned in the Introduction, identification of *CocNACs* expressed specifically during coffee bean development is a promising topic. To explore the patterns of *CocNACs* expression and highlight the roles of *CocNACs* in developing coffee beans, four differential developmental stages of coffee beans were collected, and RNA_seq data were analyzed regarding the *CocNAC* expression patterns. Based on the FPKM (fragments per kilobases of exons for per million mapped reads) values, 54 out of 63 *CocNAC* genes showed a variety of expression patterns during different developmental stages of coffee beans, in which transcription patterns were distributed into five clades (Figure 6A). Clade I contained four genes, including *CocNAC04*, that showed preferential expression in Y (coffee bean from yellow fruit) and slightly upregulated in R (coffee bean from red fruit). Clade II Included nine genes highly expressed in R. Clade III Included 15 genes, displaying the highest expression in Y and downregulated in R. Clade IV included 16 genes whose relative transcription levels were high at the LG stage (coffee bean from large green fruit), and six of these also were highly expressed at the SG stage (coffee bean from small green fruit). Clade V was composed of ten genes displaying the highest expression in the SG stage, and five were highly accumulated until the LG stage. To confirm the

expression pattern of detected *CocNACs* in developing coffee beans, ten genes were randomly selected for qRT-PCR analysis, and each showed similar expression patterns with the RNA sequencing results (Figure 6B). Based on the expression patterns, these genes showed a coffee bean development-associated expression pattern with either development onset or the dynamic process. Therefore, some genes might be highlighted as positive or negative regulators associated with coffee bean development: three genes from clade II (*CocNAC30*, *CocNAC44*, and *CocNAC31*) were continuously upregulated and were considered as the positive regulators, while six genes from clade IV (*CocNAC45*, *CocNAC38*, *CocNAC25*, *CocNAC29*, *CocNAC33*, and *CocNAC23*) and five genes (*CocNAC62*, *CocNAC03*, *CocNAC26*, *CocNAC39*, and *CocNAC12*) from clade V were mostly downregulated and negatively correlated with coffee bean development.

Figure 6. Expression patterns of *CocNAC* genes in different developmental stages of coffee beans. **A**. Heat map of FPKM values of *CocNAC* genes from four different developmental stages of coffee beans. The cluster was generated using the Pearson clustering algorithm according to gene expression profile analysis by RNA-sequencing. For each row, blue and red correspond to low and high values of gene expression, respectively, after *z*-score-normalized transformation. The FPKM value of each gene was calculated by averaging the FPKM values of three replicates corresponding to four developmental stages, SG (small green fruit), LG (large green fruit), Y (yellow fruit), and R (red fruit). **B**. qRT-PCR analysis of ten genes for verification. SG, small green fruit; LG, large green fruit; Y, yellow fruit; R, red fruit.

4. Discussion

4.1. CocNAC Gene Identification and Evolutionary Analysis in C. canephora

Plant-specific NAC transcription factors (TFs) are one of the largest families of transcriptional regulators in plants, and they play important roles in the regulation of growth and development as well as in plant stress responses [67–69]. Due to the importance of *NAC* genes in plants, genome-wide identification and classification of *NAC* genes have been carried out in many plant species, but little is known about this gene family in the tropical tree *C. canephora*. In this study, we identified 63 *CocNAC* genes based on the *C. canephora* genome sequence [56] and named these genes as *CocNAC01* to *CocNAC63* based on their chromosome locations (Table 1 and Figure 1). To obtain insights into the evolution of *CocNACs*, comparative genomic analysis was carried out among *C. canephora*, *A. thaliana*, *C. papaya*, *P. trichocarpa*, *V. vinifera*, *O. sativa*, *A. trichopoda*, and *P. patens* (Figure 2). Clearly, the size of the *NAC* gene family in *C. canephora* is similar to those of *C. papaya* and *V. vinifera*, larger than in *A. trichopoda*, and *P. patens*, but smaller than in *A. thaliana*, *P. trichocarpa*, and *O. sativa*. The size difference might due to whole-genome polyploidization events during the evolution and differentiation of each species; for example, the *C. canephora* genome experienced three genome duplication events, and the *Arabidopsis* genome went through five such events [56,70]. Furthermore, the number of *NAC* genes did not match with the genome size of each species, indicating the stable features of *NAC* genes in different species during evolution.

Based the analysis of basic characteristics of *CocNAC* genes, the gene sizes and isoelectric points (PI) were very different, but relatively conservative gene structure was observed (Table 1 and Figure 4B). Of the 63 *CocNACs*, 34 had two introns, while the number of introns varied from one to six. Similar results were observed in *F. tataricum* [9], pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) [71], tobacco [72], and *P. trichocarpa* [10]. The presence of introns in *CocNACs* implied the presence of alternative splicing (AS) depending upon developmental and environmental changes. AS is a novel means of regulating the environmental fitness of plants [73], especially for controlling abiotic stress responses such as cold stress [74]. More introns produce more alternatively spliced mRNA isoforms, resulting in production of more diverse proteins [75].

The unrooted tree was constructed using NAC protein sequences from *C. canephora*, *Arabidopsis* and rice to explain the phylogenetic relationships existing among the three species, and all the NAC protein sequences were grouped into 16 subgroups (Figure 3). Most of the subgroups contained *NAC* members similar to those of *Arabidopsis* and rice previously published [4,6]. Consistent with previous studies, the bootstrap values were somewhat low due to the large number of NAC proteins [10,76]. Remarkably, the NAC-l subgroup did not include any CocNAC members, while no *Arabidopsis* NAC members were found in subgroup NAC-n, indicating that the NACs were differentially acquired and retained in each species during evolution (Figure 3).

The MEME program was used to examine the structure of CocNAC proteins. Ten conserved motifs were found, and the conserved motifs were present in the N-terminal of the NAC proteins (Figure 4C). The five subdomains (A to E) of NAC domains were represented by motif 3, 4, 2/5, 1/7, and 6, respectively (Figure S1). In subgroup IX, the motifs 2 (subdomain C), 3 (subdomain A), and 4 (subdomain B) were replaced by motif 8 (Figure 4C), indicating that NACs in this subgroup might have different functions. This pattern was similar to the results for *F. tataricum* [9].

4.2. Identification of CocNACs Responding to Cold Stress in C. canephora

Low temperature can cause irreversible damage and can impact plant growth and development. Many plants can improve their cold tolerance by cold acclimation [77]. Under cold stress, the *COR* (cold-regulated) genes, governed by multiple TFs, can be induced to change the features of plant physiology and biochemistry [77,78]. Among these TFs, CBFs (C-repeat binding factors) are well known [79]. However, it has been reported that only 10%–25% of *COR* genes are mediated by CBFs, indicating that other TFs may be involved in cold tolerance [80].

Low temperature negatively impacts coffee plant growth and yield [49] and also influences biochemical composition of green *Arabica* coffee beans [34]. In particular, cold stress affects

photosynthesis, antioxidative systems, membrane lipid composition, and expression of genes involved in these aspects of plant physiology [44,81]. This situation led to the identification of TFs responding or controlling cold stress in *C. canephora*. As mentioned in the Introduction, NAC TFs play both positive and negative roles in cold stress. Apple NAC 29 (MdNAC029) is a negative regulator that acts by repressing CBF genes under cold stress [82], while banana MaNAC1 is a positive regulator of cold stress that acts by interacting with MaCBF1 [28].

A large number of NAC TFs confer cold tolerance in various plant species. In our study, 38 of 63 *CocNACs* differentially responded to cold stress in terms of transcript levels (Figure 5). Six genes were downregulated by 13 °C treatment, indicating that they might be involved in the cold acclimation process (Figure 5B). Seven genes were preferentially up-regulated by the 4 °C treatment (Figure 5C), suggesting that they might play roles in cold acclimation. Seventeen genes were continuously downregulated by the cold treatment (Figure 5E), and they may act as negative regulators during cold treatments. Notably, the functions of some *CocNACs* (*CocNAC18*, *CocNAC23* and *CocNAC47*) can be predicted based their orthologs in the model plant *Arabidopsis* [83–85]. Overexpression of *ANAC035*, the ortholog of *CocNAC18*, confers cold tolerance by induction of *COR* genes [83], and the *CocNAC47* ortholog *ANAC072* functions as a transcription activator under abiotic stress [84]. *CocNAC23* seems to be function in cold stress as well as in coffee bean development (Figures 4 and 5). Its *Arabidopsis* ortholog, *ANAC083/VNI2*, plays an integrator role in the signals for leaf senesce and *COR* genes [85]. Taken together, our analysis extends the knowledge regarding *NACs* functioning for cold responses in plants. After elucidation of these genes' functions, some could be employed for production of cold-tolerant coffee plants.

4.3. CocNAC Genes May Play an Important Role in Coffee Bean Development

Coffee beans, the seeds of the coffee plant, contain all the precursors essential for the generation of the coffee aroma during roasting [34,51]. Establishing the relationships between the beverage quality and chemical composition of green coffee beans is the main target of research into coffee quality. Characterization of gene profiles during coffee bean development and identification of key quality-related genes can assist in the coffee breeding industry [51]. In *Arabidopsis*, seed development is a complex process, and many of TFs are involved [86]. Regarding NAC TFs and seed development, promising results have been obtained from the study of the Poaceae family of monocotyledonous plants [40]. Notably, the six barley NACs in subclade NAC-d-9 are specifically expressed in the developing grain [40]. Wheat homologs of these genes show strong and exclusive expression patterns in wheat grain tissues [41]. In addition, two rice NAC TFs, ONAC020 and ONAC026 that fall into the same clade, are highly expressed specifically in grains during maturation, determining grain size and weight [42]. Furthermore, two maize NACs that also fall into the same clade I are specifically expressed in grains [43]. However, this is not the case for other plant systems such as *Arabidopsis*, implying the possible presence of other CocNACs for bean development.

Similar to previous reports, several CocNACs appeared to be involved in coffee bean development. Fifty-four out of 63 *CocNAC* genes were highlighted by RNA_seq data as being involved in coffee bean development, and these could be divided into five clades (Figure 6A), indicating the variety of functions of *CocNACs* during coffee bean development. Ten of those genes were confirmed by qRT-PCR (Figure 6B). *CocNAC29* showed continuous down-regulation during coffee bean development and was considered as one of the negative regulators. *CocNAC29* belongs to subgroup NAC-f, and its *Arabidopsis* homolog is *ANAC056* (also called *NARS1*) (Figures 3 and 6A). *ANAC056* (*NARS1*) and *ANAC018* (*NARS2*) redundantly regulated embryogenesis in *Arabidopsis* [36] and both were grouped into NAC-f. In subgroup NAC-f, six *CocNACs* (*CocNAC16*, *07*, *08*, *32*, *29*, and *53*) were found, and five (*CocNAC07*, *08*, *32*, *29*, and *53*) of them were expressed in coffee beans (Figures 3 and 6A), indicating that all the NAC-f members have conserved functions during seed development. *Os01g01470* (*ONAC020*) and *Os01g29840* (*ONAC026*) were reportedly related to seed size and weight in rice [42], and both were grouped into subgroup NAC-k (Figures 3 and 6A). Furthermore, we found that *CocNAC57*, closest to *Os01g01470* and *Os01g29840*, was highly expressed in the LG and Y stages. As is well known, the coffee bean size is determined at the stages of LG and

Y, indicating that *CocNAC57* might also have a similar function. Notably, the heat map III in Figure 6 may include important *CocNACs* (*CocNAC10*, *CocNAC32*, *CocNAC57* and *CocNAC60*) for coffee bean development, but none of them except *CocNAC57* have been characterized. According to the expression patterns of *CocNAC* genes, it can be hypothesized that these genes may have conserved functions during coffee bean development; the specific functions of *CocNACs* need to be further verified.

5. Conclusions

In this study, we performed a comprehensive analysis of gene structure, chromosomal location, and phylogenetic relationships of the *NAC* gene family in *C. canephora* and examined the expression patterns of *CocNAC* genes in developing coffee beans by RNA_seq followed by qRT-PCR, and under cold stress using qRT-PCR. Analysis of 63 *CocNAC* genes provided evolutionary relationships, putative function with distinct motifs and diversity of regulation of gene expression. Several genes appeared to be positive or negative regulators under cold stress. At least four CocNACs may be positive regulators of coffee bean development. Our systematic analysis of *CocNAC* genes lays the foundation for functional characterization of *CocNAC* genes and development of cold tolerance in coffee plants.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1. Sequence logos for conserved motifs identified in CocNAC proteins by MEME tools, Table S1. List of primers used in this study, Table S2. NAC genes from Arabidopsis thaliana and Oryza sativa L.

Author Contributions: Data curation, Y.Y. and S.T.; Formal analysis, Y.J.; Investigation, X.D.; Project administration, F.H.; Resources, Z.X. and X.B.; Supervision, S.H.; Validation, J.G.; Writing – original draft, X.D.; Writing – review & editing, Y.H. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript, or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Jensen, M.K.; Hagedorn, P.H.; de Torres-Zabala, M.; Grant, M.R.; Rung, J.H.; Collinge, D.B.; Lyngkjaer, M.F. Transcriptional regulation by an NAC (NAM-ATAF1,2-CUC2) transcription factor attenuates ABA signalling for efficient basal defence towards *Blumeria graminis f.* sp. hordei in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* **2008**, *56*, 867–880, doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03646.x.
2. Sablowski, R.W.; Meyerowitz, E.M. A homolog of NO APICAL MERISTEM is an immediate target of the floral homeotic genes APETALA3/PISTILLATA. *Cell* **1998**, *92*, 93–103, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80902-2.
3. Souer, E.; van Houwelingen, A.; Kloos, D.; Mol, J.; Koes, R. The no apical meristem gene of Petunia is required for pattern formation in embryos and flowers and is expressed at meristem and primordia boundaries. *Cell* **1996**, *85*, 159–170, doi:10.1016/s0092-8674(00)81093-4.
4. Ooka, H.; Satoh, K.; Doi, K.; Nagata, T.; Otomo, Y.; Murakami, K.; Matsubara, K.; Osato, N.; Kawai, J.; Carninci, P.; et al. Comprehensive analysis of NAC family genes in Oryza sativa and Arabidopsis thaliana. *DNA Res.* **2003**, *10*, 239–247, doi:10.1093/dnares/10.6.239.
5. Duval, M.; Hsieh, T.F.; Kim, S.Y.; Thomas, T.L. Molecular characterization of AtNAM: A member of the Arabidopsis NAC domain superfamily. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2002**, *50*, 237–248.
6. Nuruzzaman, M.; Manimekalai, R.; Sharoni, A.M.; Satoh, K.; Kondoh, H.; Ooka, H.; Kikuchi, S. Genome-wide analysis of NAC transcription factor family in rice. *Gene* **2010**, *465*, 30–44, doi:10.1016/j.gene.2010.06.008.

7. Hussain, R.M.; Ali, M.; Feng, X.; Li, X. The essence of NAC gene family to the cultivation of drought-resistant soybean (*Glycine max* L. Merr.) cultivars. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2017**, *17*, 55, doi:10.1186/s12870-017-1001-y.

8. Gong, X.; Zhao, L.; Song, X.; Lin, Z.; Gu, B.; Yan, J.; Zhang, S.; Tao, S.; Huang, X. Genome-wide analyses and expression patterns under abiotic stress of NAC transcription factors in white pear (*Pyrus bretschneideri*). *BMC Plant Biol.* **2019**, *19*, 161, doi:10.1186/s12870-019-1760-8.

9. Liu, M.; Ma, Z.; Sun, W.; Huang, L.; Wu, Q.; Tang, Z.; Bu, T.; Li, C.; Chen, H. Genome-wide analysis of the NAC transcription factor family in Tartary buckwheat (*Fagopyrum tataricum*). *BMC Genom.* **2019**, *20*, 113, doi:10.1186/s12864-019-5500-0.

10. Hu, R.; Qi, G.; Kong, Y.; Kong, D.; Gao, Q.; Zhou, G. Comprehensive Analysis of NAC Domain Transcription Factor Gene Family in Populus trichocarpa. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2010**, *10*, 145–145.

11. Wang, N.; Zheng, Y.; Xin, H.; Fang, L.; Li, S. Comprehensive analysis of NAC domain transcription factor gene family in Vitis vinifera. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2013**, *32*, 61–75

12. Zhuo, X.; Zheng, T.; Zhang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Jiang, L.; Ahmad, S.; Sun, L.; Wang, J.; Cheng, T.; Zhang, Q. Genome-Wide Analysis of the NAC Transcription Factor Gene Family Reveals Differential Expression Patterns and Cold-Stress Responses in the Woody Plant Prunus mume. *Genes* **2018**, *9*, doi:10.3390/genes9100494.

13. Lv, X.; Lan, S.; Guy, K.M.; Yang, J.; Zhang, M.; Hu, Z. Global Expressions Landscape of NAC Transcription Factor Family and Their Responses to Abiotic Stresses in Citrullus lanatus. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 30574, doi:10.1038/srep30574.

14. Peng, X.; Wu, Q.; Teng, L.; Tang, F.; Pi, Z.; Shen, S. Transcriptional regulation of the paper mulberry under cold stress as revealed by a comprehensive analysis of transcription factors. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2015**, *15*, 108–108, doi:10.1186/s12870-015-0489-2.

15. Calzadilla, P.I.; Maiale, S.J.; Ruiz, O.A.; Escaray, F.J. Transcriptome Response Mediated by Cold Stress in Lotus japonicus. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 374, doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.00374.

16. Hu, W.; Wei, Y.; Xia, Z.; Yan, Y.; Hou, X.; Zou, M.; Lu, C.; Wang, W.; Peng, M. Genome-Wide Identification and Expression Analysis of the NAC Transcription Factor Family in Cassava. *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0136993, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136993.

17. Huang, L.; Hong, Y.; Zhang, H.; Li, D.; Song, F. Rice NAC transcription factor ONAC095 plays opposite roles in drought and cold stress tolerance. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2016**, *16*, 203, doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0897-y.

18. Chen, Y.; Chen, Y.; Shi, C.; Huang, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Li, S.; Li, Y.; Ye, J.; Yu, C.; Li, Z. SOAPnuke: A MapReduce acceleration-supported software for integrated quality control and preprocessing of high-throughput sequencing data. *GigaScience* **2018**, *7*, 1–6.

19. Zheng, X.; Chen, B.; Lu, G.; Han, B. Overexpression of a NAC transcription factor enhances rice drought and salt tolerance. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **2009**, *379*, 985–989

20. Sperotto, R.A.; Ricachenevsky, F.K.; Duarte, G.L.; Boff, T.; Lopes, K.L.; Sperb, E.R.; Grusak, M.A.; Fett, J.P. Identification of up-regulated genes in flag leaves during rice grain filling and characterization of OsNAC5, a new ABA-dependent transcription factor. *Planta* **2009**, *230*, 985–1002.

21. Sindhu, A.; Chintamanani, S.; Brandt, A.S.; Zanis, M.J.; Scofield, S.R.; Johal, G.S. A guardian of grasses: Specific origin and conservation of a unique disease-resistance gene in the grass lineage. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2008**, *105*, 1762–1767.

22. Hu, H.; You, J.; Fang, Y.; Zhu, X.; Qi, Z.; Xiong, L. Characterization of transcription factor gene SNAC2 conferring cold and salt tolerance in rice. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2008**, *67*, 169–181, doi:10.1007/s11103-008-9309-5.

23. Jensen, M.K.; Kjaersgaard, T.; Nielsen, M.M.; Galberg, P.; Petersen, K.; O'Shea, C.; Skriver, K. The Arabidopsis thaliana NAC transcription factor family: Structure-function relationships and determinants of ANAC019 stress signalling. *Biochem. J.* **2010**, *426*, 183–196, doi:10.1042/BJ20091234.

24. Liu, Q.L.; Xu, K.D.; Zhao, L.J.; Pan, Y.Z.; Jiang, B.B.; Zhang, H.Q.; Liu, G.L. Overexpression of a novel chrysanthemum NAC transcription factor gene enhances salt tolerance in tobacco. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **2011**, *33*, 2073–2082, doi:10.1007/s10529-011-0659-8.

25. Hao, Y.J.; Wei, W.; Song, Q.X.; Chen, H.W.; Zhang, Y.Q.; Wang, F.; Zou, H.F.; Lei, G.; Tian, A.G.; Zhang, W.K.; et al. Soybean NAC transcription factors promote abiotic stress tolerance and lateral root formation in transgenic plants. *Plant J.* **2011**, *68*, 302–313, doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04687.x.

26.	Lu, M.; Ying, S.; Zhang, D.F.; Shi, Y.S.; Song, Y.C.; Wang, T.Y.; Li, Y. A maize stress-responsive NAC transcription factor, ZmSNAC1, confers enhanced tolerance to dehydration in transgenic Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2012**, *31*, 1701–1711, doi:10.1007/s00299-012-1284-2.

27.	Mao, X.; Zhang, H.; Qian, X.; Li, A.; Zhao, G.; Jing, R. TaNAC2, a NAC-type wheat transcription factor conferring enhanced multiple abiotic stress tolerances in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2012**, *63*, 2933–2946, doi:10.1093/jxb/err462.

28.	Shan, W.; Kuang, J.; Lu, W.; Chen, J. Banana fruit NAC transcription factor MaNAC1 is a direct target of MaICE1 and involved in cold stress through interacting with MaCBF1. *Plant Cell Environ.* **2014**, *37*, 2116–2127, doi:10.1111/pce.12303.

29.	Li, X.L.; Yang, X.; Hu, Y.X.; Yu, X.D.; Li, Q.L. A novel NAC transcription factor from Suaeda liaotungensis K. enhanced transgenic Arabidopsis drought, salt, and cold stress tolerance. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2014**, *33*, 767–778, doi:10.1007/s00299-014-1602-y.

30.	Yang, X.; Wang, X.; Ji, L.; Yi, Z.; Fu, C.; Ran, J.; Hu, R.; Zhou, G. Overexpression of a Miscanthus lutarioriparius NAC gene MlNAC5 confers enhanced drought and cold tolerance in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2015**, *34*, 943–958, doi:10.1007/s00299-015-1756-2.

31.	Jin, C.; Li, K.Q.; Xu, X.Y.; Zhang, H.P.; Chen, H.X.; Chen, Y.H.; Hao, J.; Wang, Y.; Huang, X.S.; Zhang, S.L. A Novel NAC Transcription Factor, PbeNAC1, of Pyrus betulifolia Confers Cold and Drought Tolerance via Interacting with PbeDREBs and Activating the Expression of Stress-Responsive Genes. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2017**, *8*, 1049, doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01049.

32.	Sant'Ana, G.C.; Pereira, L.F.P.; Pot, D.; Ivamoto, S.T.; Domingues, D.S.; Ferreira, R.V.; Pagiatto, N.F.; da Silva, B.S.R.; Nogueira, L.M.; Kitzberger, C.S.G.; et al. Genome-wide association study reveals candidate genes influencing lipids and diterpenes contents in *Coffea arabica* L. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 465, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-18800-1.

33.	Cheng, B.; Furtado, A.; Smyth, H.E.; Henry, R.J. Influence of genotype and environment on coffee quality. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *57*, 20–30.

34.	Joët, T.; Laffargue, A.; Salmona, J.; Doulbeau, S.; Descroix, F.; Bertrand, B.; de Kochko, A.; Dussert, S. Metabolic pathways in tropical dicotyledonous albuminous seeds: Coffea arabica as a case study. *New Phytol.* **2009**, *182*, 146–162, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02742.x.

35.	Tran, H.T.M.; Lee, L.S.; Furtado, A.; Smyth, H.E.; Henry, R.J. Advances in genomics for the improvement of quality in Coffee. *J. Sci. Food Agric.* **2016**, *96*, 3300–3312.

36.	Kunieda, T.; Mitsuda, N.; Ohme-Takagi, M.; Takeda, S.; Aida, M.; Tasaka, M.; Kondo, M.; Nishimura, M.; Hara-Nishimura, I. NAC family proteins NARS1/NAC2 and NARS2/NAM in the outer integument regulate embryogenesis in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **2008**, *20*, 2631–2642, doi:10.1105/tpc.108.060160.

37.	Meng, Q.; Zhang, C.; Gai, J.; Yu, D. Molecular cloning, sequence characterization and tissue-specific expression of six NAC-like genes in soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.). *J. Plant Physiol.* **2007**, *164*, 1002–1012, doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2006.05.019.

38.	Zhang, Z.; Dong, J.; Ji, C.; Wu, Y.; Messing, J. NAC-type transcription factors regulate accumulation of starch and protein in maize seeds. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 11223–11228, doi:10.1073/pnas.1904995116.

39.	Moyano, E.; Martínez-Rivas, F.J.; Blanco-Portales, R.; Molina-Hidalgo, F.J.; Ric-Varas, P.; Matas-Arroyo, A.J.; Caballero, J.L.; Muñoz-Blanco, J.; Rodríguez-Franco, A. Genome-wide analysis of the NAC transcription factor family and their expression during the development and ripening of the Fragaria × ananassa fruits. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0196953, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0196953.

40.	Murozuka, E.; Massange-Sanchez, J.A.; Nielsen, K.; Gregersen, P.L.; Braumann, I. Genome wide characterization of barley NAC transcription factors enables the identification of grain-specific transcription factors exclusive for the Poaceae family of monocotyledonous plants. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0209769, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0209769.

41.	Borrill, P.; Harrington, S.A.; Uauy, C. Genome-Wide Sequence and Expression Analysis of the NAC Transcription Factor Family in Polyploid Wheat. *G3 Genes Genomes Genet.* **2017**, *7*, 3019–3029, doi:10.1534/g3.117.043679.

42.	Mathew, I.E.; Das, S.; Mahto, A.; Agarwal, P. Three Rice NAC Transcription Factors Heteromerize and Are Associated with Seed Size. *Front. Plant Sci.* **2016**, *7*, 1638, doi:10.3389/fpls.2016.01638.

43.	Zimmermann, R.; Werr, W. Pattern Formation in the Monocot Embryo as Revealed by NAMand CUC3 Orthologues from Zea mays L. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2005**, *58*, 669–685.

44. Ramalho, J.C.; DaMatta, F.M.; Rodrigues, A.P.; Scotti-Campos, P.; Pais, I.; Batista-Santos, P.; Partelli, F.L.; Ribeiro, A.; Lidon, F.C.; Leitão, A.E. Cold impact and acclimation response of Coffea spp. plants. *Theor. and Exp. Plant Physiol.* **2014**, *26*, 5–18, doi:10.1007/s40626-014-0001-7.

45. Batista-Santos, P.; Lidon, F.C.; Fortunato, A.; Leitao, A.E.; Lopes, E.; Partelli, F.; Ribeiro, A.I.; Ramalho, J.C. The impact of cold on photosynthesis in genotypes of Coffea spp.--photosystem sensitivity, photoprotective mechanisms and gene expression. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2011**, *168*, 792–806, doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2010.11.013.

46. Privat, I.; Foucrier, S.; Prins, A.; Epalle, T.; Eychenne, M.; Kandalaft, L.; Caillet, V.; Lin, C.; Tanksley, S.; Foyer, C.; et al. Differential regulation of grain sucrose accumulation and metabolism in Coffea arabica (Arabica) and Coffea canephora (Robusta) revealed through gene expression and enzyme activity analysis. *New Phytol.* **2008**, *178*, 781–797, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02425.x.

47. Santos, T.B.; de Lima, R.B.; Nagashima, G.T.; Petkowicz, C.L.; Carpentieri-Pipolo, V.; Pereira, L.F.; Domingues, D.S.; Vieira, L.G. Galactinol synthase transcriptional profile in two genotypes of Coffea canephora with contrasting tolerance to drought. *Genet Mol. Biol.* **2015**, *38*, 182–190, doi:10.1590/S1415-475738220140171.

48. Castro Caicedo, B.L.; Cortina Guerrero, H.A.; Roux, J.; Wingfield, M.J. New coffee (*Coffea arabica*) genotypes derived from Coffea canephora exhibiting high levels of resistance to leaf rust and Ceratocystis canker. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* **2013**, *38*, 485–494.

49. Damatta, F.M.; Ramalho, J.C. Impacts of drought and temperature stress on coffee physiology and production: A review. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.* **2006**, *18*, 55–81.

50. Figueiredo, S.A.; Lashermes, P.; Aragão, F.J. Molecular characterization and functional analysis of the β-galactosidase gene during *Coffea arabica* (L.) fruit development. *J. Exp. Bot.* **2011**, *62*, 2691–2703.

51. Salmona, J.; Dussert, S.; Descroix, F.; De Kochko, A.; Bertrand, B.; Joet, T. Deciphering transcriptional networks that govern Coffea arabica seed development using combined cDNA array and real-time RT-PCR approaches. *Plant Mol. Biol.* **2008**, *66*, 105–124.

52. Simkin, A.J.; Qian, T.; Caillet, V.; Michoux, F.; Ben Amor, M.; Lin, C.; Tanksley, S.; McCarthy, J. Oleosin gene family of Coffea canephora: Quantitative expression analysis of five oleosin genes in developing and germinating coffee grain. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2006**, *163*, 691–708, doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2005.11.008.

53. Kim, D.; Langmead, B.; Salzberg, S.L. HISAT: A fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements. *Nat. Methods* **2015**, *12*, 357–360, doi:10.1038/nmeth.3317.

54. Bolger, A.; Lohse, M.; Usadel, B. Trimmomatic: A flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **2014**, *30*, 2114–2120.

55. Li, B.; Dewey, C.N. RSEM: Accurate transcript quantification from RNA-Seq data with or without a reference genome. *BMC Bioinform.* **2011**, *12*, 323, doi:10.1186/1471-2105-12-323.

56. Denoeud, F.; Carretero-Paulet, L.; Dereeper, A.; Droc, G.; Guyot, R.; Pietrella, M.; Zheng, C.; Alberti, A.; Anthony, F.; Aprea, G.; et al. The coffee genome provides insight into the convergent evolution of caffeine biosynthesis. *Science* **2014**, *345*, 1181–1184, doi:10.1126/science.1255274.

57. Finn, R.D.; Clements, J.; Eddy, S.R. HMMER web server: Interactive sequence similarity searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2011**, *39*, W29–W37, doi:10.1093/nar/gkr367.

58. El-Gebali, S.; Mistry, J.; Bateman, A.; Eddy, S.R.; Luciani, A.; Potter, S.C.; Qureshi, M.; Richardson, L.J.; Salazar, G.A.; Smart, A.; et al. The Pfam protein families database in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2019**, *47*, D427–D432, doi:10.1093/nar/gky995.

59. Edgar, R.C. MUSCLE: Multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2004**, *32*, 1792–1797, doi:10.1093/nar/gkh340.

60. Tamura, K.; Stecher, G.; Peterson, D.; Filipski, A.; Kumar, S. MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **2013**, *30*, 2725–2729, doi:10.1093/molbev/mst197.

61. Hu, B.; Jin, J.; Guo, A.Y.; Zhang, H.; Luo, J.; Gao, G. GSDS 2.0: An upgraded gene feature visualization server. *Bioinformatics* **2015**, *31*, 1296–1297, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu817.

62. Bailey, T.L.; Boden, M.; Buske, F.A.; Frith, M.; Grant, C.E.; Clementi, L.; Ren, J.; Li, W.W.; Noble, W.S. MEME SUITE: Tools for motif discovery and searching. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **2009**, *37*, W202–W208, doi:10.1093/nar/gkp335.

63. Livak, K.J.; Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of Relative Gene Expression Data Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT Method. *Methods* **2001**, *25*, 402–408.

64. Dong, X.; Yang, Y.; Zhang, Z.; Xiao, Z.; Bai, X.; Gao, J.; Hur, Y.; Hao, S.; He, F. Genome-Wide Identification of WRKY Genes and Their Response to Cold Stress in Coffea canephora. *Forests* **2019**, *10*, 335

65. Chen, M.; Tan, Q.; Sun, M.; Li, D.; Fu, X.; Chen, X.; Xiao, W.; Li, L.; Gao, D. Genome-wide identification of WRKY family genes in peach and analysis of WRKY expression during bud dormancy. *Mol. Genet. Genom.* **2016**, *291*, 1319–1332.

66. Saeed, A.I.; Sharov, V.; White, J.; Li, J.; Liang, W.; Bhagabati, N.; Braisted, J.; Klapa, M.; Currier, T.; Thiagarajan, M.; et al. TM4: A free, open-source system for microarray data management and analysis. *Biotechniques* **2003**, *34*, 374–378, doi:10.2144/03342mt01.

67. Dalman, K.; Wind, J.J.; Nemesio-Gorriz, M.; Hammerbacher, A.; Lunden, K.; Ezcurra, I.; Elfstrand, M. Overexpression of *PaNAC03*, a stress induced NAC gene family transcription factor in Norway spruce leads to reduced flavonol biosynthesis and aberrant embryo development. *BMC Plant Biol.* **2017**, *17*, 6, doi:10.1186/s12870-016-0952-8.

68. Wang, Z.; Rashotte, A.M.; Moss, A.G.; Dane, F. Two NAC transcription factors from *Citrullus colocynthis*, CcNAC1, CcNAC2 implicated in multiple stress responses. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* **2014**, *36*, 621–634doi:10.1007/s11738-013-1440-5.

69. Wang, Z.; Rashotte, A.M.; Dane, F. *Citrullus colocynthis* NAC transcription factors CcNAC1 and CcNAC2 are involved in light and auxin signaling. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2014**, *33*, 1673–1686, doi:10.1007/s00299-014-1646-z.

70. Blanc, G.; Hokamp, K.; Wolfe, K.H. A Recent Polyploidy Superimposed on Older Large-Scale Duplications in the Arabidopsis Genome. *Genome Res.* **2003**, *13*, 137–144.

71. Diao, W.; Snyder, J.C.; Wang, S.; Liu, J.; Pan, B.; Guo, G.; Ge, W.; Dawood, M. Genome-Wide Analyses of the NAC Transcription Factor Gene Family in Pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.): Chromosome Location, Phylogeny, Structure, Expression Patterns, Cis-Elements in the Promoter, and Interaction Network. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, doi:10.3390/ijms19041028.

72. Li, W.; Li, X.; Chao, J.; Zhang, Z.; Wang, W.; Guo, Y. NAC Family Transcription Factors in Tobacco and Their Potential Role in Regulating Leaf Senescence. *Front.Plant Sci.* **2018**, *9*, doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01900.

73. Shang, X.; Cao, Y.; Ma, L. Alternative Splicing in Plant Genes: A Means of Regulating the Environmental Fitness of Plants. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2017**, *18*, 432.

74. Laloum, T.; Martín, G.; Duque, P. Alternative Splicing Control of Abiotic Stress Responses. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2018**, *23*, 140–150.

75. Lee, Y.J.; Rio, D.C. Mechanisms and Regulation of Alternative Pre-mRNA Splicing. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2015**, *84*, 291–323.

76. Li, X.; Duan, X.; Jiang, H.; Sun, Y.; Tang, Y.; Yuan, Z.; Guo, J.; Liang, W.; Chen, L.; Yin, J.; et al. Genome-wide analysis of basic/helix-loop-helix transcription factor family in rice and Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol.* **2006**, *141*, 1167–1184, doi:10.1104/pp.106.080580.

77. Kazemi-Shahandashti, S.S.; Maali-Amiri, R. Global insights of protein responses to cold stress in plants: Signaling, defence, and degradation. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2018**, *226*, 123–135, doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2018.03.022.

78. Chinnusamy, V.; Zhu, J.; Zhu, J.K. Cold stress regulation of gene expression in plants. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2007**, *12*, 444–451, doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2007.07.002.

79. Liu, Y.; Dang, P.; Liu, L.; He, C. Cold acclimation by the CBF-COR pathway in a changing climate: Lessons from Arabidopsis thaliana. *Plant Cell Rep.* **2019**, *38*, 511–519, doi:10.1007/s00299-019-02376-3.

80. Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K.; Shinozaki, K. Organization of cis-acting regulatory elements in osmotic- and cold-stress-responsive promoters. *Trends Plant Sci.* **2005**, *10*, 88–94, doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2004.12.012.

81. Ramalho, J.C.; Rodrigues, A.P.; Lidon, F.C.; Marques, L.; Leitao, A.E.; Fortunato, A.S.; Pais, I.P.; Silva, M.J.; Scotticampos, P.; Lopes, A.M. Stress cross-response of the antioxidative system promoted by superimposed drought and cold conditions in *Coffea* spp. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0198694.

82. An, J.P.; Li, R.; Qu, F.J.; You, C.X.; Wang, X.F.; Hao, Y.J. An apple NAC transcription factor negatively regulates cold tolerance via CBF-dependent pathway. *J. Plant Physiol.* **2018**, *221*, 74–80, doi:10.1016/j.jplph.2017.12.009.

83. Yoo, S.Y.; Kim, Y.; Kim, S.Y.; Lee, J.S.; Ahn, J.H. Control of flowering time and cold response by a NAC-domain protein in Arabidopsis. *PLoS ONE* **2007**, *2*, e642, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0000642.

84. Fujita, M.; Fujita, Y.; Maruyama, K.; Seki, M.; Hiratsu, K.; Ohmetakagi, M.; Tran, L.P.; Yamaguchishinozaki, K.; Shinozaki, K. A dehydration-induced NAC protein, RD26, is involved in a novel ABA-dependent stress-signaling pathway. *Plant J.* **2004**, *39*, 863–876.

85.   Yang, S.B.; Seo, P.J.; Yoon, H.; Park, C. The Arabidopsis NAC Transcription Factor VNI2 Integrates Abscisic Acid Signals into Leaf Senescence via the COR/RD Genes. *Plant Cell* **2011**, *23*, 2155–2168.

86.   Le, B.H.; Cheng, C.; Bui, A.Q.; Wagmaister, J.A.; Henry, K.F.; Pelletier, J.; Kwong, L.; Belmonte, M.; Kirkbride, R.; Horvath, S.; et al. Global analysis of gene activity during Arabidopsis seed development and identification of seed-specific transcription factors. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 8063–8070doi:10.1073/pnas.1003530107.