Biochar and Vermicompost Amendments Affect Substrate Properties and Plant Growth of Basil and Tomato
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1. Introduction

Biochar (BC), attracting increasing interests in recent years for its use in agriculture, can be used to replace some components of commonly used container substrates [1–3]. Biochar could be made from the pyrolysis [4,5] or gasification [6,7] of biomass. The main purpose of the bioenergy production process, pyrolysis and gasification, is to produce syngas or bio-oil [4,8,9], and BC is the by-product. The application of BC in other fields including agriculture provides extra benefits to the bioenergy producers. Biochars can be made from green waste [10,11], wheat straw [12,13], wood...
[13,14], and rice hull [15], and are renewable and quickly generated [16]. Biochars produced from various raw materials or production conditions would be different and thus cause diverse effects when being incorporated in container substrates [17,18]. Meanwhile, BCs made from the same feedstock but with different fraction sizes could have different pH and nutrient levels [19].

The incorporation of BC in container substrates has many benefits. Ecological issues caused by extracting the most commonly used horticultural substrate constituent peat from peatlands has increased the necessity of using alternative growing media components including BC in the near future [20]. Research has shown that peatlands have been drained for peat use in agriculture for a long time, which led to the loss of carbon to the atmosphere [21]. Drained peatlands would cause the release of 1.91 Gt CO₂-eq. contemporary annual greenhouse gas emission, and peatland rehabilitation is strongly needed [22]. Due to the environmental concern of using peat, the use of BC in containers substituting peat could be a more sustainable choice for the horticultural industry. Biochar in container substrates could increase water-holding capacity [23] and reduce nutrient leaching [24]. Furthermore, the incorporation of BC in peat-based substrate could increase substrate electrical conductivity (EC) and mineral nutrients uptake [25]. Many of the BCs used were alkaline and thus could be used to raise the pH of acidic substrate [10,26,27].

Although BC in container substrates has a lot of benefits, different plant species and BC mix ratios in the container may lead to different results. Kadota and Niimi [28] concluded that mixing 10% or 30% (by volume) BC to the basal medium (substrate with peat, vermiculite, soil, and sand at the ratio of 2:1:1:1, by volume) caused enhanced zinnia (Zinnia linearis Benth) shoot growth but no positive effects on marigold (Tagetes patula L.) or scarlet sage (Salvia splendens Ker Gawl). Mixes with potato anaerobic digestate and acidified wood pellet BC (1:1, by volume) increased tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.) dry weight (DW) but decreased marigold DW compared to those in the 1:1 peat:vermiculite control [29]. Mixes of 50% or 70% (by volume) sugarcane bagasse BC with the rest being bark-based container substrates led to decreased tomato total DW but no negative effects on basil DW compared to the control [30]. In addition to plant species, different percentages of BC mixed with other substrates components also led to diverse results. Gu et al. [31] has shown that the BC rate in pine bark mixes was positively correlated with gomphrena (Gomphrena globosa L.) fresh weight (FW) and DW. Housley et al. [32] found that the pansy (Viola hybrida Schur) aboveground DW was increased in the mixes with pine bark, coir, clinker ash, and coarse sand when incorporated with 2.5% (w/w) Sydney blue gum (Eucalyptus saligna Sm.) wood chip BC, while suppressed when incorporated with 10% (w/w) BC, compared to the control. Webber et al. [33] also showed that the amendment of 50% (by volume) pumice bagasse BC could increase squash (Cucurbita pepo L.) plant DW, but the amendment of 25% (by volume) BC caused no negative effect on plant DW in comparison with the control.

Vermicompost (VC) is produced by using worms to digest and thus break down organic matter, such as sewage sludge [34], animal waste [35–37], and crop residues [38]. Vermicompost is finely textured and rich in nutrients [39,40], and it has good water-holding capacity [41]. Beneficial effects have been shown in a lot of studies in which VC was used in containers with other substrates. Atiyeh et al. [40] concluded that VC addition in container substrates would enhance plant growth. The swamp rose mallow (Hibiscus moscheutos L.) grown in containers with VC showed improved plant DW [42]. Vermicompost mixed with coir at a ratio of 2:1 (w/w) as container substrate increased Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris L.) plant height and FW [43]. The substitution of peat-based growing media with VC (10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%, by volume) and BC (4%, 8%, and 12%, by volume) did not cause any negative effect on the shoot DW of petunia (Petunia hybrida E.Vilm.) and pelargonium (Pelargonium peltatum L.) except pelargonium in mixes of 4% BC and 50% VC [44]. It was also shown that the plant size, flower production, and root growth capacity of petunia and pelargonium in BC (4%, 8%, and 12%, by volume) and VC (10%, 20%, and 30%, by volume) mixes was similar to or higher than those in the peat-based substrate control [45]. However, VC made from different parent materials could have different properties [46]. The addition of VC in container substrate may not always cause positive effects on plant growth. Liu et al. [47] have shown that the DW of vegetative and flower organs and growth index of pepper (Capsicum annuum L.) in BC (70%, 80%, and 90%, by
volume) mixes with VC were lower than those in the Sunshine #1 Mix, which is a peat moss-based substrate. Therefore, although a specific percentage of certain VCs could be used as container substrates to grow plants, caution is also needed due to the complexity of VC.

Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum* L) and basil (*Ocimum basilicum* L.) are two widely consumed plants in the horticultural industry. Tomato is an important source of various antioxidant vitamins including ascorbic acid, tocopherols, vitamin C, and carotenoids [48]. Tomato plants are considered as “heavy feeder”, requiring medium to high fertility [49]. Basil is referred to as the “king of the herbs” [50]. The essential oil of basil is used in various food products, perfumes, insecticides, medicines, and industrial products [51,52]. Basil is sensitive to high fertility [53]. It was shown that high fertility (500 mg N L⁻¹) reduced the basil leaf area, when compared to the ones growing with 100 mg N L⁻¹ [54].

Few research studies have investigated combinations of BC with VC as container substrates. Due to the high cost of VC and the proneness to use more BC in containers to replace the commonly used peat-based substrate, low percentages of VC (5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%, by volume) and wide range of percentages of BC (20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%, by volume) were used in this experiment. The purpose of this experiment was to test the potential of the mixed hardwood BC and VC mixes as replacements for a commercial peat-based substrate (CS). The specific objectives were to (1) investigate the physical and chemical properties of the BC and VC mixes; and (2) compare the impacts of different mixes of BC with VC on container-grown basil and tomato plants to 100% CS.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Plant Materials and Container Substrates Treatments

Tomato ‘Roma’ (Morgan County Seeds, Barnett, MO, USA) and basil seeds (Johnny’s Selected Seeds, Winslow, ME, USA) were sown in commercial propagation mix (Propagation mix; Sun Gro® Horticulture, Agawam, MA, USA) in plug trays on 28 Oct 2016. One tomato seed and four basil seeds were sown per cell (hexagon with side length of 2.6 cm; height: 4.2 cm; volume: 20 mL). Uniform basil and tomato seedlings were selected and transplanted into the experimental substrates in pots (depth: 10.8 cm; top diameter: 15.5 cm; bottom diameter: 11.3 cm; volume: 1330 mL) on 16 Nov 2016 after true leaves emerged. Each container contained one tomato seedling or four basil seedlings. Sixteen BC and VC mixes were formulated by mixing four rates of BC (20%, 40%, 60%, and 80%, by volume; a by-product of fast pyrolysis of mixed hardwood, Proton Power, Inc., Lenior City, TN, USA) with four rates of VC (5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%, by volume; Pachamama earthworm castings; Lady Bug Brand, Conroe, TX, USA). The CS (BM7 35BKS; Berger, Saint-Modeste, QC, Canada) made up the rest of the volume when the BC and VC did not add up to 100%. The CS was used as the control (Figure 1). The CS (Berger BM7 35BKS) used in this research consisted of 55% coarse peat moss, 35% pine bark, and 10% horticultural perlite. The wholesale price for the mixed hardwood BC was $65.4 per cubic meter (Personal Communication). The wholesale price was approximately $176.6 per cubic meter for the CS (Berger BM7 35BKS) and $607.4 per cubic meter for the VC [55]. The estimated wholesale price for the 17 different substrates per cubic meter was shown in Figure 2. The estimated wholesale price for mixes of 20% BC and 10% VC by volume with the rest (70%) being the CS (20BC:10VC:70CS), 20BC:15VC:65CS, 40BC:15VC:45CS, 20BC:20VC:60CS, 40BC:20VC:40CS, and 60BC:20VC:20CS was higher than the 100% CS (control), while the other BC:VC:CS mixes were all cheaper than the control. The cheapest treatment (80BC:5VC:15CS) was only 61.6% of the price of the CS. The nutrient concentration (N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Na, and Zn) of the CS, BC, and VC were tested by the Texas A&M AgriLife Extension Service Soil, Water and Forage Testing Laboratory in College Station, TX, USA and shown in Table 1.
Figure 1. Seventeen formulated substrates including mixes of biochar (20%, 40%, 60%, or 80%, by volume) with vermicompost (5%, 10%, 15%, or 20%, by volume) and the 100% commercial peat-based substrates, Berger BM7 35BKS.

Figure 2. The estimated wholesale price ($) for the 17 formulated substrates per cubic meter. The ratios on the X-axis indicate the percentage ratio of biochar to vermicompost to commercial substrate (by volume). The control was 100% commercial substrate (Berger BM7 35BKS).

Table 1. Nutrient analysis of the commercial substrate (CS, Berger BM7 35BKS), biochar (BC), and vermicompost (VC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>S (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Zn</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CS</td>
<td>0.70 a</td>
<td>540 b</td>
<td>1265 c</td>
<td>25108 a</td>
<td>4237 a</td>
<td>1744 b</td>
<td>1508 b</td>
<td>11 b</td>
<td>17 b</td>
<td>98 c</td>
<td>953 a</td>
<td>46 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.23 c</td>
<td>456 b</td>
<td>6362 a</td>
<td>27507 a</td>
<td>1299 b</td>
<td>231 b</td>
<td>2039 b</td>
<td>15 b</td>
<td>9 b</td>
<td>905 a</td>
<td>107 c</td>
<td>13 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VC</td>
<td>2.43 a</td>
<td>4901 a</td>
<td>3714 b</td>
<td>25841 a</td>
<td>3819 a</td>
<td>5996 a</td>
<td>4835 a</td>
<td>42 a</td>
<td>165 a</td>
<td>374 b</td>
<td>351 b</td>
<td>385 a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Means within a column under each mean factor followed by the same letter are not significantly different according to the Tukey’s HSD test at p < 0.05 (n = 4).

The pH of the CS, BC, and VC was measured by using a handheld pH-EC meter (HI 98129, Hanna Instruments, Woonsocket, RI, USA), and the EC was measured by using the Bluelab Combo Meter (Bluelab Corporation Limited, Tauranga, New Zealand) according to the pour-through
extraction method [56]. The pH of the CS was 6.06, and the EC was 1.3 dS m⁻¹, respectively. The pH of the BC was 11.18 and the EC was 2.0 dS m⁻¹, respectively. The pH of the VC was 4.8 and the EC was 6.7 dS m⁻¹, respectively. The total porosity, container capacity, air space, and bulk density of the BC were 84.7%, 60.3%, 24.4%, and 0.15 g cm⁻³, respectively. Particle size distribution of the BC was determined by passing 40 g BC through 2.8, 2, 1, 0.425, and 0.25 mm sieves, and the weight was measured to determine the percentage of each particle size. Percentages of the BC particles ranging from greater than 2.8 mm, 2.0 mm to 2.8 mm, 1.0 mm to 2.0 mm, 0.425 mm to 1.0 mm, 0.25 mm to 0.425 mm, and smaller than 0.25 mm in diameter were 47.9%, 19.4%, 19.4%, 9.1%, 2.0%, and 2.2% (w/w), respectively.

Six replications of the 17 treatments (16 BC:VC:CS mixes plus control) were arranged in randomized complete blocks in the greenhouse located on Texas A&M University campus, College Station, TX, USA to control the environmental variance. The temperature, humidity, and dew point in the greenhouse were monitored using Watchdog (Spectrum Technologies Inc., Paxinos, PA, USA). During the experimental period, the average greenhouse temperature, relative humidity, and dew point were 20.5 °C, 76.0%, and 15.4 °C, respectively. The basil plants were irrigated with 200 mg nitrogen (N) L⁻¹ (20N-4.4 P-16.6K) Peters® Professional (Everris NA Inc., Dublin, OH, USA) nutrient solution. The tomato plants were irrigated with 200 mg N L⁻¹ (20N-4.4P-16.6K) Peters® Professional nutrient solution from 0 to 3 weeks after transplanting (WAT) and changed to 300 mg N L⁻¹ from 4 WAT. The total N in Peters® Professional contains 8.1% ammoniacal N and 11.9% nitrate N. The pH of the 200 mg N L⁻¹ (20N-4.4P-16.6K) Peters® Professional nutrient solution was 6.1, and the EC was 1.0 dS m⁻¹. The pH of the 300 mg N L⁻¹ (20N-4.4P-16.6K) Peters® Professional nutrient solution was 5.9, and the EC was 1.3 dS m⁻¹.

2.2. Substrate Physical Properties and Substrate Leachate pH

Four replications of each substrate were tested to determine physical properties including the bulk density, total porosity, air space, and container capacity of the 17 substrates using the porometers of the North Carolina State University Horticultural Substrates Laboratory [57]. The substrate leachate pH was measured at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 9 WAT using a handheld pH-EC meter (HI 98129, Hanna Instrument, Woonsocket, RI, USA) according to the pour-through extraction method using the same amount of leachate for each test [56].

2.3. Plant Growth and Development

The plant growth index (GI) of each plant was measured at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 9 WAT, respectively. The height of the plant was measured from the medium surface to the highest point of the plant. The widest plant canopy width and its perpendicular width were measured. The plant GI was determined by the following formula: GI = plant height/2 + (plant width 1 + plant width 2)/4 [58]. The leaf chlorophyll content of each plant was measured as soil plant analysis development (SPAD) values at 2, 4, 6, and 9 WAT, respectively using a portable SPAD 502 Plus Chlorophyll Meter (Spectrum Technologies, Inc., Plainfield, IL, USA). Plant leaves were too small to measure SPAD at 0 WAT. The leaf greenness of each plant was determined using the average of readings from three mature leaves.

At the end of nine WAT, plants were harvested to measure DW. For each tomato plant, the stems, leaves, root, and combined fruits and flowers were harvested separately. For each basil plant, the shoot and root were harvested separately. All the plant parts were oven-dried at 80 °C to constant weight before the DW measurements. The total DW of each plant was calculated by adding the DW of all parts of the plant.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using JMP Statistical Software (version Pro 12.2.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) to test the effect of different substrates on the physical and chemical properties and plant growth. The type of substrate was the main factor. Tukey’s Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) tests were used for the comparison of means among
treatments at $p < 0.05$. Tomato and basil plants were treated as independent studies and were not compared.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Physical Properties of the Container Substrates

The total porosity of all the BC:VC:CS mixes was similar to the control (Figure 3a). There was no difference between the container capacity of the mixes of BC (20% or 40%) with VC (5%, 10%, or 15%) and the control (Figure 3b). The container capacity of 80% BC mixes were significantly lower than the control, since BC had lower container capacity (60.3%) than that of CS (70.7%). The air space of 80BC:5VC:15CS, 60BC:20VC:20CS, and 80BC:20VC:0CS was higher than that of the control due to the high incorporation rate of BC with large particle size (Figure 3c), which increased the macropores and thus the air space. The fraction of BC with size greater than 2.8 mm (47.9%) was higher than that of CS (25.4%) and VC (1.2%). The past research showed the variable results of the substrates’ physical properties after BC incorporation. Tian et al. [10] reported that the total porosity and container capacity of peat substrate with or without 50% (by volume) green waste BC were similar, while others found that the total porosity and container capacity of the substrates increased with the increasing BC rate [59–61]. Guo et al. [62] found that the air space increased as the pine wood BC rate increased. Yu et al. [63] indicated that the air space increased with the increasing mixed hardwood BC incorporation rate from 10% to 100% (by volume), but the trend was totally opposite for sugarcane bagasse BC. Another research showed that the substitution of peat with 10% (by volume) sewage sludge BC caused no difference on the air space in comparison with the 100% peat substrate control [64]. The effect of BC incorporation on a substrate’s physical properties is BC-specific. Container substrates hold water in the micropores between or inside the container substrate components’ particles [65]. The container capacity would be increased if the incorporation of BC leads to a higher fraction of micropores. Air space is the proportion of air-filled macropores after the water drains [65]. Air space is closely related to the particle size distributions of BC and the other substrate components, and the changed interporosity after BC incorporation affects air space. The effect of BC on total porosity is related to container capacity and air space, since total porosity is the sum of container capacity and air space.

The bulk density of 15% VC mixes, 60BC:10VC:30CS, and 60BC:20VC:20CS was higher than that of the control (Figure 3d). The increased bulk density could be due to the high bulk density of VC (0.38 g cm$^{-3}$) and BC (0.15 g cm$^{-3}$) compared to the control (0.10 g cm$^{-3}$). Similar to our results, a lot of research has shown that substitution of the commonly used substrate with BC could increase bulk density [13,23,29].
3.2. Substrate Leachate pH

Compared to the control, the substrate leachate pH in all BC:VC:CS mixes was increased, except for those of the 20BC:5VC:75CS and 20BC:10VC:70CS mixes with tomato plants at 9 WAT (see Table S1). The increased pH was probably due to the high pH of the BC (11.18) used in this experiment. The liming effect of BC was found in a lot of research [66–68]. In addition, substrate leachate pH tended to slightly decrease during the study (see Table S1), which was possibly due to the acidifying effect of the fertilizer 20N-4.4P-16.6K Peters® Professional (a potential acidity of 188 kg calcium carbonate equivalent per 1000 kg of the fertilizer). Therefore, the reason for the similar pH of mixes of 20BC:5VC:75CS and 20BC:10VC:70CS with tomato plants at 9 WAT with the control could be due to the low percentage of the BC incorporation rate and the relative large amount of nutrient solution applied to the tomato plants for 9 weeks ‘washing down’ the substrate leachate pH.

3.3. Plant Growth and Development

For basil, BC:VC:CS mixes caused no negative effect on the SPAD readings in comparison with the control at 2, 4, 6, or 9 WAT (see Table S2). For tomato, the SPAD readings of the plants in BC:VC:CS mixes were similar to or higher than those in the control at 2, 4, and 6 WAT, while at 9 WAT, the leaf SPAD readings of tomato plants grown in the 80BC:5VC:15CS, 80BC:15VC:5CS, and 80BC:20VC:0CS mixes were lower than those in the control (see Table S2). Similarly, Liu et al. [47] found that SPAD readings of bell pepper leaves in mixes of BC (70%, 80%, and 90%, by volume) with the rest being VC were lower than those in commercial substrates. The decreased leaf SPAD readings at 9 WAT could be caused by two reasons. First, it was shown that leaf SPAD readings was closely related to leaf N concentration, and lower SPAD readings indicated lower leaf N concentration [69]. The decreased SPAD readings could be due to the BC’s ability to immobilize N [70]. Second, the increased substrate pH after the incorporation of the BC with high pH (11.18) could reduce iron (Fe) availability, causing decreased leaf greenness. It was shown that shoot Fe concentration was lower at substrate with higher pH [71], and leaf SPAD readings were significantly correlated with Fe availability, since Fe is essential for the chlorophyll synthesis [72]. The leaf chlorosis (as measured by
chlorophyll concentration) could be more severe with less iron concentration [73]. The reason for the decreased leaf SPAD readings of the tomato plants only shown at 9 WAT was due to the nutrient deficiency of the leaves caused by the strong nutrient sink (fruits and flowers) at that stage, since all the tomato plants had flowers and fruits at 9 WAT.

However, the possible N binding of BC and reduced Fe availability caused by increased pH did not decrease the plant GI and DW of either tomato or basil plants in this research. The GLs of both basil and tomato plants grown in BC:VC:CS mixes were similar to those in the control at 9 WAT (see Figure S1). All basil plants grown in BC:VC:CS mixes had similar shoot and total DWs in comparison with the control (see Figure S2). The root DWs of basil plants in BC:VC:CS mixes were similar to or higher than those in 100% CS, with those in 60BC:15VC:25CS being the highest among all treatments (Figure 4). Similarly, all tomato plants grown in BC:VC:CS mixes had similar DWs (the combined flower and fruit, leaf, stem, root, and total DW) with respect to the control (see Figure S3). The reasons for the enhanced plant growth could be the VC’s extra nutrient supply and the BC’s nutrient-holding ability. Similar results were reported by Huang et al. [74], who indicated that tomato and basil plant growth in mixes of BC (60% or 70%), by volume) with either 5% VC or chicken manure compost with the rest being CS was similar to or higher than the control. Alvarez et al. [44] also found that the amendment of VC (10%, 20%, 30%, 40%, and 50%, by volume) and BC (4%, 8%, and 12%, by volume) to a peat-based substrate did not adversely affect the petunia shoot DW.
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**Figure 4.** Root dry weight (mean ± standard error) per basil plant harvested at 9 weeks after transplanting. The ratios on the X-axis indicate the percentage ratio of biochar to vermicompost to commercial substrate (by volume). The control was 100% commercial substrate (Berger BM7 35BKS). Means indicated by the same letter are not significantly different according to Tukey’s HSD test at p < 0.05 (n = 6).

4. Conclusions

The mixes of mixed hardwood BC (20%, 40%, 60%, or 80%, by volume) made from fast pyrolysis and VC (5%, 10%, 15%, or 20%, by volume) used in this study had the potential to replace the CS to grow basil and tomato plants. Our results found difference in the substrate leachate pH between the 100% CS and BC:VC:CS mixes except for 20BC:5VC:75CS and 20BC:10VC:70CS with tomato plants at 9 WAT, which indicated the liming effect of the mixed hardwood BC used in this research. At 9 WAT, the leaf SPAD readings of tomato plants grown in 80BC:5VC:15CS, 80BC:15VC:5CS, and 80BC:20VC:0CS mixes were lower than those in the control, which was possibly due to the binding ability of BC or reduced Fe availability caused by increased substrate pH after BC incorporation. The growth index and total dry weight of basil and tomato plants in BC:VC:CS mixes were similar to those in the CS. Considering the cost of the alternative substrates, all the BC:VC:CS mixes (except for 20BC:10VC:70CS, 20BC:15VC:65CS, 40BC:15VC:45CS, 20BC:20VC:60CS, 40BC:20VC:40CS, and
60BC:20VC:20CS) in this experiment could be selected as the suitable ones to grow plants, with the 80BC:5VC:15CS being the cheapest and most recommended. This study is important for the future use of mixtures of BC with VC in container substrate for greenhouse and nursery plant production to provide a sustainable and environmentally friendly way to substitute peat use in agriculture and add value to the bioenergy process by using the by-product BC. Using the BC:VC:CS mixes with wholesale prices cheaper than the 100% CS could provide more economical ways to grow plants and benefit the growers. Tomato and basil plants were used as model plants in this study. Since results were similar for these two plants with different optimal growing conditions, these results could be applicable to many other plants. The results in this study can be only suitable for the specific mixed hardwood BC made from pyrolysis and VC (Pachamama earthworm castings; Lady Bug Brand) due to the complexity of BC and VC. More mixed hardwood BC incorporation percentages and other potential amendment candidates need to be tested for economic viability.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Table S1: The leachate pH of the 17 substrates with basil and tomato plants at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 9 weeks after transplanting (WAT), Table S2: The SPAD reading of basil and tomato leaves in 17 substrates at 2, 4, 6, and 9 weeks after transplanting (WAT), Figure S1: Cumulative growth index (mean ± standard error) per basil (a) or tomato (b) plant grown in 17 substrates at 0, 2, 4, 6, and 9 weeks after transplanting (WAT), Figure S2: Shoot (a) and total (b) dry weight (mean ± standard error) per basil plant harvested at 9 weeks after transplanting, and Figure S3: Leaves (a), stem (b), root (c), combined flower and fruit (d), and total (e) dry weight (mean ± standard error) per tomato plant harvested at 9 weeks after transplanting.
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