Biochar and Its Broad Impacts in Soil Quality and Fertility, Nutrient Leaching and Crop Productivity: A Review
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Abstract: Biochar is gaining significant attention due to its potential for carbon (C) sequestration, improvement of soil health, fertility enhancement, and crop productivity and quality. In this review, we discuss the most common available techniques for biochar production, the main physiochemical properties of biochar, and its effects on soil health, including physical, chemical, and biological parameters of soil quality and fertility, nutrient leaching, salt stress, and crop productivity and quality. In addition, the impacts of biochar addition on salt-affected and heavy metal contaminated soils were also reviewed. An ample body of literature supports the idea that soil amended with biochar has a high potential to increase crop productivity due to the concomitant improvement in soil structure, high nutrient use efficiency (NUE), aeration, porosity, and water-holding capacity (WHC), among other soil amendments. However, the increases in crop productivity in biochar-amended soils are most frequently reported in the coarse-textured and sandy soils compared with the fine-textured and fertile soils. Biochar has a significant effect on soil microbial community composition and abundance. The negative impacts that salt-affected and heavy metal polluted soils have on plant growth and yield and on components of soil quality such as soil aggregation and stability can be ameliorated by the application of biochar. Moreover, most of the positive impacts of biochar application have been observed when biochar was applied with other organic and inorganic amendments and fertilizers. Biochar addition to the soil can decrease the nitrogen (N) leaching and volatilization as well as increase NUE. However, some potential negative effects of biochar on microbial biomass and activity have been reported. There is also evidence that biochar addition can sorb and retain pesticides for long periods of time, which may result in a high weed infestation and control cost.
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1. Introduction

Biochar, known as charred biomass or black carbon, is an organic material derived from different forms of organic biomass, such as wood, crop residues, animal manure, chicken manure, and municipal sludge, through pyrolysis under a broad temperature range...
(300–1000 °C) and partial or anaerobic conditions [1,2]. Besides its major component of C, biochar also contains hydrogen (H), oxygen (O), magnesium (Mg), and macronutrients such as N, phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) that can improve crop production for most crops around the world [3–8]. Biochar has gained significant attention over the last two decades due to its potential as a C sequestration, bioremediation, soil fertility, wastewater, and overall environmental management tool in agriculture [1]. Biochar addition to the soil has shown beneficial results in terms of increasing nutrient retention, providing shelters for microorganisms, improving soil structure, and increasing the absorption of nutrients by plants, which ultimately resulted in increases in plant growth and yield [9,10]. Biochar is a recalcitrant C that degrades slowly in the soil and can take thousands of years to be fully degraded [2,11].

When biochar is incorporated in the soil, it changes the physiochemical soil properties such as C, pH, cation exchange capacity (CEC), porosity, surface area, bulk density, water-holding capacity (WHC), nutrient use efficiency (NUE), available P and total N, among the other soil amendments [2,6,12]. Moreover, it reduces the soil hardening and increases the porosity, which positively impacts the soil microbial community and nutrient cycling [11,13]. Biochar can also help in the recuperation of degraded and contaminated soils through the long-term adsorption of heavy metals (HMs) and other soil contaminants [6,14,15]. However, the final effect that biochar may have in the mentioned parameters is strongly dependent on the feedstock sources, pyrolysis temperature, particle size of biochar, and soil texture [6,16]. This review covers the physiochemical properties of biochar, feedstock source, production technology of biochar, effect of biochar on physiochemical properties of soil, nutrient cycling, soil microbial activity, NUE, water use efficiency (WUE), plant growth, production and quality of crops, and potential risks as well as limitations of biochar application on agricultural lands.

2. Physical and Chemical Properties of Biochar

The performance of biochar as a soil amendment depends on the specific physiochemical properties such as surface area, bulk density, pore structure and distribution, particle size, WHC, CEC, pH, presence and richness of different functional groups, which can vary widely among biochars produced from different feedstock sources [6,10,17]. Among others, typical feedstock biomass sources for biochar production are forest and crop residues, manures, algae, and municipal and industrial sewage [8,18]. Generally, biochar derived from animal manures, poultry manures, seaweeds, and crop residues have a greater quantity of nutrients, high pH, and less stable C, compared to biochars produced from woody biomass [10,18]. Different physical properties of biochar resulting from different feedstock sources can greatly affect the microbial activity, soil WHC, mineral and nutrient retention, and potential sorption of organic compounds [6,9,19].

2.1. Surface Area, Porosity, Particle Size, and Pore Distribution

Surface area, high porosity, pore size and distribution are critical characteristics of biochar, as they influence nutrient retention, biochar adsorption capacity, and soil microbial activity [20]. A large surface area is linked to several other properties of biochar such as CEC and WHC; therefore, this is a prerequisite for a number of biochar applications in modern agriculture [2,6]. During pyrolysis, volatiles compounds are released in the form of gases, which can generate a particularly porous honeycomb structure as well as increase the surface area of biochar. As a result, WHC, nutrient retention and their release linearly increase as the surface area and porosity of biochar increase [10,20]. In return, feedstock sources can affect the surface area of biochar. For example, Weber and Quicker [2] reported that biochar produced from sewage sludge had a surface area of 100 m² g⁻¹, while the majority of biomasses possess surface areas ranging from 100 to 800 m² g⁻¹. In another study, biochar of maize (Zea mays L.) straw had a higher surface area compared to biochar produced from cottonwood or aspen (Populus species) leaf [21]. Likewise, pore volume, size, and pore distribution differ in biochar depending on the feedstock materials and
pyrolysis temperature. Macropores (>50 nm pore diameter) and mesopores (2–50 nm) of biochar are helpful for WHC and can act as habitat for soil microorganisms, while micropores or nanopores (<0.9 nm) of biochar largely govern the chemical and sorption properties of biochar [22]. Pyrolysis temperature also affects the biochar porosity, which increases with the increases in temperature. Moreover, particle size and shape of biochar can play a key role in physiochemical and hydraulic properties of soil. Soil particles interact more easily with fine and small particles of biochar to form the aggregates [23,24] and fill the spaces between soil particles, with the consequent change in the interpore shape, and water retention and mobility within the soil [25].

2.2. Hydrophobicity

Hydrophobicity is a result of the condensation of the aliphatic compounds (tars) on the surface of biochar during pyrolysis. It can influence the water retention and microbial interaction of biochar. Biochars produced at low temperature have high hydrophobicity [26], while biochars produced at high temperatures during pyrolysis have greater surface area and pore volume. The latter can cause a higher capillary force and hydrophilicity than the former [27]. The application of biochars with a high hydrophobicity can interrupt water infiltration, turn hydrophilic soils water repellent, and increase the risk of soil erosion [27].

2.3. Reactivity of Biochar (pH)

Reactivity of biochar affects the CEC of soil, pH, mineralization, precipitation of minerals, mobility, and plant availability of different nutrients [28,29]. In general, biochars are alkaline in nature, and their alkalinity increases with the rise in temperature during the pyrolysis process [20]. High temperature during pyrolysis removes the carboxyl, formyl, or hydroxyl groups, and increases the concentration of inorganic elements and basic oxides. Thus, it can cause an increase in the pH of biochar [30]. In addition, the pH of biochars depends on the feedstock materials. For example, biochar produced from lignin-rich material, for instance, nut and shell biomass, has a pH around 8, while biochar derived from manure, waste biomass, and algae typically has the highest pH levels (~9.5) [31]. When the alkaline biochar with pH 7–9 is incorporated into the acidic soil (pH < 7), it increases the soil pH and decreases the mobility of cationic metals such as copper (Cu), zinc (Zn), cadmium (Cd), and mercury (Hg) in the soils because of less competition between metal ions and H\textsuperscript{+} ions for cation exchange sites [28,31].

2.4. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)

Biochars with high CEC can retain more nutrients, reduce nutrient leaching, and increase the absorption of ammonium (NH\textsuperscript{4+}), K\textsuperscript{+}, calcium (Ca\textsuperscript{2+}), and magnesium (Mg\textsuperscript{2+}) from soils to plant roots by releasing H\textsuperscript{+} to balance the charge in the soil [7,32,33]. The CEC of biochars decreases with the increment in the pyrolysis temperature due to the loss of functional negatively charged groups and low pyrolysis temperature (300–450 °C). On the other hand, producing biochar with a high CEC can adsorb NH\textsuperscript{4+}, among others, and decrease N leaching [7]. The variability in CEC of biochar also depends on feedstock sources and the specific functional groups formed during pyrolysis that can affect the surface properties of the biochar [34]. For example, the CEC of biochar produced from pig manure was lower (32.7 cmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}) compared to chicken manure biochar (81.4 cmol kg\textsuperscript{-1}) pyrolyzed at 500 °C [35].

2.5. Surface Functional Groups

During pyrolysis of biochar, chemical bonds break and new functional groups such as nitro–NO\textsubscript{2}, methyl–CH\textsubscript{3}, hydroxyl–OH, and carboxyl–(C=O) OH) are formed on the surface of the biochar [2,36]. Due to the existence of these functional groups as electron acceptors or donors, biochar may be acidic, simple, hydrophilic, or hydrophobic. Pyrolysis temperature influences the functional groups, with increasing temperatures resulting in the elimination of acidic groups such as formyl, carboxyl, or hydroxyl and the enrichment
of the basic cations in the biochar. As a result, this can increase the alkalinity of the biochar [2,37]. The negative functional groups increase the pH of soil, as they adsorb metal ions from the soil due to the transfer of protons (deprotonation). Some surface biochar functional groups can also retain HMs by adsorption. For example, animal manure-based biochar and sawdust biochar can increase the immobilization of Cd through high surface complexation with O-containing groups [38].

3. Biochar Feedstock Sources

The wide spectrum of biochar feedstocks, which are responsible for the extensive and dissimilar documented properties of biochar around the world, include switchgrass (*Panicum virgatum* L.), hardwoods, peanut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) hulls, maize husk, pecan (*Carya illinoinensis*) shells, bark, sugarcane (*Saccharum officinarum* L.), rice (*Oryza sativa* L.), animal manure, sewage sludge, urban yard wastes, industrial byproducts, and aquaculture waste [1,2]. In general, feedstocks for biochar production have been considered as materials that are abundantly available or waste, or acquired at low price [1,39]. Generally, biomass is divided into woody biomass, which has high bulk density, less void age, high calorific value, low moisture and ash contents, and non-woody biomass, comprised of animal manures, poultry manure, agricultural and crop residues, urban and industrial waste material [40]. Biochars produced from animal manures, crop residues, and seaweed are richer in nutrients, possess higher pH, and contain less stable carbon than woody biochar [1,31,41,42]. Omotade et al. [43] applied biochar of maize cobs, peanut shells, cow dung, and poultry litter pyrolyzed at 300–600 °C for 3 h. Results from this research showed that the highest contents of N (0.62%) and K (16.2 mg g⁻¹) were found in cow dung, P (66.4 mg g⁻¹), Mg (0.28%), and sulfur (S; 0.28%) in maize cob, and Ca (4.21 mg g⁻¹) in peanut shell. Thus, wood-based biochars are suitable when the final objective is carbon storage in soil [44,45], while biochars from animal manures and grasses are used when the objective is to increase the soil N, P, K, Ca, Mg, and S contents [45,46]. According to Ippolito et al. [47], biochars produced from hardwoods supply 0.002, 2.2 and 17% of the total N, total P, and total K, respectively, while biochars of soft softwoods can supply 27% total P and 6% K [47].

Variations in the surface area, pore volume, pore size, CEC, pH, and other properties of biochar are a consequence of different moisture, cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin contents present in the original biochar feedstocks [48,49]. Biochar produced from animal litter, poultry manure, and solid waste materials shows a high CEC but lower C content, surface area, and volatile matter compared to biochars derived from wood biomass, agricultural and crop residues [17]. Pariyar et al. [11] produced biochar from different feedstock types, including poultry litter, rice husk, pine (*Pinus pinea* L.) sawdust, food waste, and paper mill sludge, pyrolyzed at 350–650 °C for 40 min. They reported that poultry manure biochar had the highest CEC, followed, in decreasing order, by paper mill sludge, pine sawdust, rice husk and food waste. In the same study, paper mill sludge and poultry litter biochars exhibited high pH, while high surface area was found in rice husk and pine sawdust biochars.

4. Biochar Production Technologies

Biochar has attracted significant attention in the current organic farming system over the last 20 to 25 years, although its origin is older and associated with the Amazon region, where is commonly known as “terra preta” soil [50]. These dark-colored, highly fertile soils have supported the food and feed requirements of the Amazonians for centuries. At present, several methods of biochar production are available, some of which are described in this section and shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. Effect of different pyrolysis methods on biochar yields.

4.1. Traditional Method

Archaeological records show that the production and use of biochar started thousands of years ago in the Amazon basin of South America [50]. In ancient times, biochar was produced in two ways: (i) people used to pile the wood in the soil pits, cover these pits with layers of soil, and then burn it slowly with absence of or limited air, or (ii) people used to burn the wood in open air and then immediately cover the half-burned wood with layers of soil [50,51]. Over time, these ancient methods of biochar production were replaced with clay burners, firebrick pits, and brick kilns that consist of a pit enclosed by clay, bricks, or metal [50]. These methods contain a fixed-bed reactor system. In earthen or metal kilns, organic biomass is piled up and heated in the absence of air for several hours to days. In all cases, the heating rate is very low in these methods and may not be uniform for all biomass particles because of the slow heat transfer inside the reactors [52]. As a result, biomass particles get more heat the closer they are to the heating unit, and vice versa as they get farther away from it [52]. With the advancement in technology, new methods such as pyrolysis, gasification, and torrefaction, which yield more and higher quality biochar, started to be used, although the principle is the same in all cases [1].

4.2. Pyrolysis

The word pyrolysis is the combination of the words “lysis” (breaking down) and “pyro” (heat). In this process, thermal degradation of biomass occurs at temperatures ranging between 350 °C and 1000 °C in kilns, retorts, and other specific equipment under partial or total lack of oxygen to produce biochar, bio-oil, and synthetic gas (syngas) (Figure 2) [49,53]. The recovery percentage of each product depends on different factors such as feedstock source, pyrolysis temperature, and heating rate. Bio-oil is a dense mixture of cyclic compounds, which requires less reforming and thus is ready for different usages. Syngas is obtained as a byproduct during pyrolysis when plastic is used as a parent material or feedstock [54,55]. On the basis of temperature, heating rate, residence time, and operating parameters, pyrolysis is divided into slow and fast pyrolysis [1,56].
Syngas is obtained as a byproduct during pyrolysis when plastic is used as a parent material or feedstock [54,55]. On the basis of temperature, heating rate, residence time, and operating parameters, pyrolysis is divided into slow and fast pyrolysis [1,56].

**Figure 2.** Simple illustration of pyrolysis method.

### 4.2.1. Slow Pyrolysis

As the name indicates, the slow pyrolysis process takes several hours to be completed. In slow pyrolysis, biomass is decomposed at 300–800 °C and slow heating rate (0 °C s\(^{-1}\) to up to 10 °C s\(^{-1}\)) compared to fast pyrolysis [1]. The process generally occurs at atmospheric pressure with energy provided by an external source. In slow pyrolysis, the major product is biochar (35–45%) (Figure 1) followed by bio-oil (25–35%) and syngas (20–30%) [50,57]. This review describes the slow pyrolysis using an auger reactor, although rotary kilns and other reactors are also used in practice, because of its simplicity in design and operation and its wide use by small and mid-size industries [53,58]. In this method, the feedstock material is continuously supplied to a screw and the biomass moves along the axis, following the auger rotation, to reach the end of the heating zone. Another advantage of this method is that rotational speed of the screw and the residence time of biomass can be easily controlled without halting biochar production [53]. Often, an inert gas is fed to ensure no oxygen entry along with feedstock, and this gas develops a small positive pressure to transport the pyrolysis vapors [59,60]. For small diameter reactors, the biomass carrying tube is heated by a heat unit located outside of the tube, while for larger diameter reactors, a solid heat carrier (hot steel-shot or sand) that interacts with biomass particles is used in the reactor tube [60]. The gases and organic volatiles produced during the pyrolysis process leave the reactor and are collected in a condenser to form the bio-oil, while the biochar is collected in a char pot located at the bottom of the reactor [53].

### 4.2.2. Fast Pyrolysis

Fast pyrolysis is carried out at temperatures between 350 and 1000 °C in the absence of oxygen, similar to the case for slow pyrolysis, although the heating rate in this case (17 °C s\(^{-1}\)) is much higher compared to slow pyrolysis [1,56]. In fast pyrolysis, small particles of feedstock >2 mm are used, which rapidly decompose to generate biochar, vapors, and organic volatiles (Figure 3) [61]. In this process, biochar yield is less (20%), syngas similar (20%), and bio-oil production higher (60%) compared to that produced with slow pyrolysis (Figure 1) [50]. Higher pyrolysis temperature increases both the surface area and C content of biochar due to the release of organic volatiles from feedstock particles. For
example, biochar produced from rapeseed (*Brassica napus*) stem at 200 °C showed a specific surface area of 1 m² g⁻¹ while the same material pyrolyzed at 700 °C exhibited surface area of 45 m² g⁻¹ [21]. Fast pyrolysis process can be run in different pyrolysis reactors such as auger or screw rotator, rotary cone, fluidized bed, circulating fluidized bed, bubbling fluidized bed, and others [62].

![Image of fluidized bed reactor](image)

**Figure 3.** Diagram of a fluidized bed reactor typically used in the production of biochar with the fast pyrolysis process.

Fluidized bed reactors are extensively used in fast pyrolysis because of their high efficiency [59]. The biomass particles enter the fluidized bed from its bottom site and move up through preheated solid inorganic material (sand) by the introduction of pressurized inert gas from the bottom of the reactor [59]. The vigorous motion of hot sand (450–550 °C) and small biomass particles ensures proper mixing and high heat transfer rate to treated biomass [62]. As the pyrolysis process proceeds, the vapors, volatiles, aerosols, and char residues quickly leave the reactor and are collected in separate cyclones [59,62]. Due to its high C content, biochar produced with the fast pyrolysis process is best suited to be used as a soil amendment and soil C sequestration tool [62].

### 4.3. Gasification

Gasification is a thermochemical process that is carried out at high temperatures (700–1000 °C) [1,63]. As a byproduct, biochar is produced by the gasification process at a rate that is affected by the gasifying agents, oxygen equivalence ratio, gas pressure, and feedstock types used in the process [64]. Generally, biochar yield from the gasification process is very low (200 g kg⁻¹) compared to pyrolysis process (200–500 g kg⁻¹) [65], while syngas yield is much higher, reaching up to 85% of the total product outcome (Figure 1). Depending on gasifying agents used, the gasification process is further deemed as O₂ gasification (using O₂), air gasification (using air), CO₂ gasification (using CO₂), or steam gasification (using steam) [65]. The place where the gasification process takes place, commonly known as the gasifier, influences the reactions and the gasification products (Figure 4). Several types of gasifiers, for instance, the fluidized bed, fixed, updraft fixed, and downdraft fixed bed, are currently available [63].
Depending on gasifying agents used, the gasification process is further deemed as \( \text{O}_2 \) gasification (using \( \text{O}_2 \)), air gasification (using air), \( \text{CO}_2 \) gasification (using \( \text{CO}_2 \)), or steam gasification (using steam) \[63\]. The place where the gasification process takes place, commonly known as the gasifier, influences the reactions and the gasification products (Figure 4).

4.4. Torrefaction

Torrefaction, also known as mild pyrolysis, is another emerging thermochemical process for biochar production \[69\]. In a torrefaction process, feedstock is torrefied at 200–300 °C for a few minutes to hours at low heat rate (\(<1 \text{ °C s}^{-1}\)) in the absence of oxygen \[70,71\]. During the initial process, feedstock is heated at a temperature between 160 and 180 °C to remove the moisture from the feedstock, and then the temperature is increased up to 200 °C. When the temperature is between 250 and 270 °C, a partial decomposition process of biomass starts, resulting in the release of additional moisture, \( \text{CO}_2 \), and acetic acid \[71\].

The torrefaction process can be performed in various types of reactors. Broadly, there are two main categories of torrefaction reactors: the indirect and the direct heating reactor. Indirect heating reactors are further divided into auger and rotatory reactors. Likewise, direct heating reactors are divided into microwave, moving bed reactors, auger and rotatory reactors, multiple zones and vibrating belt reactors \[71,72\]. Among these types, auger reactors are the most frequently used because of their simple installation in large industrial-scale structures, less demand of inert gas, and low price. In auger reactors, feedstock is fed to the feeding screw, and then it is transported by a screw conveyor to...
the first reactor where the feedstock is directly heated by the heating material located in the wall, or indirectly by a heating device. Following drying in the first reactor, the feedstock falls by gravity into a second reactor where the torrefaction process is completed. The total length of the overall process, also known as residence feedstock time, mainly depends on the feedstock type used, length of the screw, and the reactor speed. At the end of the torrefaction process, the resulting biochar is removed from the lower portion of the torrefaction reactor, while the gas is collected in a separate condenser (Figure 5) [72]. The biochar yield from torrefaction is much higher than pyrolysis due to the lower temperature utilized in the torrefaction process (Table 1). For example, Liu et al. [73] reported that biomass heated at 290 °C for 10 and 40 min yielded 80 and 62% of biochar, respectively.

**Figure 5.** Simple illustration of torrefaction process.

<p>| Table 1. Average ranges for the process conditions in biochar production technologies most used at present, including slow and fast pyrolysis, gasification, and torrefaction, and yield of the main products for each technology [1,50,74]. |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Temperature (°C)</strong></th>
<th><strong>Slow Pyrolysis</strong></th>
<th><strong>Fast Pyrolysis</strong></th>
<th><strong>Gasification</strong></th>
<th><strong>Torrefaction</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300–800</td>
<td>350–1000</td>
<td>700–100</td>
<td>200–300</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heating rate (°C/s)</strong></td>
<td>0.1–10</td>
<td>10–200</td>
<td>5–100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2–10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Feedstock particle size (mm)</strong></td>
<td>5–50</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Solid residence time</strong></td>
<td>Hours to days</td>
<td>0.5–10 s</td>
<td>&gt;1 h</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biochar yield (%)</strong></td>
<td>35–45</td>
<td>5–20</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–80</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60–80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bio-oil yield (%)</strong></td>
<td>25–35</td>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Syngas yield (%)</strong></td>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>10–20</td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Impact of Biochar on Soil Properties

Soil physiochemical properties have significant effects on nutrient retention and their uptake, crop growth and productivity, and microbial activities [6,75]. Studies have shown that biochar (Table 2) has the potential to improve the physiochemical and biological properties of soil, creating a suitable environment for plant roots, nutrient uptake, and plant growth [1,23]. Among other characteristics, biochar application affects soil water infiltration, WHC, aggregate stability, soil aeration and porosity, bulk density, soil hardening, pH, CEC, and nutrient cycling [12,18,76,77].

5.1. Soil Porosity

Porosity indicates the pore space between soil particles and affects the soil aeration, nutrient retention, and water movement within the soil [23]. Porosity differs among different soil textures, where clayey soils have the highest and sandy soils have the lowest porosity. Biochar can increase the soil porosity by decreasing soil packing and bulk density, and increasing soil aggregation [23]. Zhang et al. [63] concluded that biochar application increased the capillary and the total soil porosity by 23 and 24%, respectively, when compared to the un-amended soil (control). Likewise, Adekiya et al. [77] reported a 65% increase in soil porosity when biochar was applied compared to the control (untreated soil). Studies showed that biochar did not equally increase the soil porosity even when the same rate was applied, likely a consequence of differences in soil type and soil textural classes in different studies. In general, coarse-textured soils have shown a significant improvement in the porosity after biochar application compared to fine-textured soil [78]. Moreover, the increases in the soil porosity can be an indirect consequence of the decreases in the soil bulk density, as reported by Blanco-Canqui [23], who observed an increase of up to 41% in the soil porosity following reduction in the soil bulk density.

5.2. Soil Water Holding Capacity (WHC)

Soil water retention or WHC indicates the maximum quantity of water that a soil can retain or hold. Several field studies have demonstrated that application of biochar increased soil water retention by positively affecting the soil porosity space between biochar particles and other structural and textural properties of soil [79,80]. Besides the porosity between biochar particles, biochar particles also have intrapore space (space inside the particles) that provide additional space for water retention or storage [81]. Soil water infiltration rate and moisture content considerably increased after biochar application at a rate of 30 Mg ha$^{-1}$ [77]. Kätterer et al. [82] reported that continuous application of biochar for 10 years significantly increased WHC of soil compared with un-amended soil. The rate of applied biochar also affects the soil moisture content. For instance, Ndor et al. [83] applied sawdust and rice husk biochar each at 5–10 Mg ha$^{-1}$ and recorded 10.8% increase in soil moisture content compared with no biochar application. Similarly, Are [84] reported a 33% increase in moisture content after application of poultry litter biochar into a sandy loam soil.

5.3. Soil Organic Matter and Soil Organic Carbon Content

Soil organic matter (SOM) is a key parameter that affects soil health, microbial activity, nutrient cycling, and water retention [85]. Many studies have shown that biochar application can increase soil C content, improve WHC, and increase aggregate formation and stability [1]. However, these responses are highly dependent on the feedstock material utilized, the pyrolysis conditions and application rates, and the types of soil where biochar is applied [86]. Following application of biochar derived from umbrella tree (*Maesopsis eminii*), silvergrass (*Miscanthus sacchariflorus*), rice straw, and crop residues, El-Naggar et al. [86] observed increases in the OM content of sandy (42–72%) and loam soils (32–48%). Adekiya et al. [77] reported that incorporation of 30 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of hardwood biochar increased the OM by an average 77, 18, and 9%, compared to un-amended control, 10, and 20 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of biochar across two years, respectively. Yang and Lu [87] also found
an increase in the SOM content after applying rapeseed stalk and rice straw biochars. Biochar amendment enhances the soil organic carbon (SOC) but, like other physical and chemical parameters of soil quality, increases are highly related to the feedstock type and the temperature used in the pyrolysis process. Biochar produced at low pyrolysis temperature typically has greater labile C content than biochar produced at higher temperature [88]. High C content of biochar indicates that biochar still contains some quantity of original organic biomass residues. Zhang et al. [63] reported that organic C content in soil increased from 3.1 to 4.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\) after incorporation of biochar. Yao et al. [25] reported an enhancement in the SOM and SOC contents after the application of maize stalks biochar at rates of 50, 100 and 200 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). According to Jiang et al. [89], biochar addition can reduce the decomposition of native SOC by adsorbing it on its surface. Thus, it can decrease the amount of native SOC that is available to the enzymatic degradation. However, easily decomposable C can be released from the surface of biochar and stimulate microbial activity [89].

### 5.4. Soil Bulk Density

Soil bulk density is an indicator of soil health, compaction and soil aeration, and influences water infiltration, rooting depth of plants and movement of nutrient [78]. Soils with high bulk density have a lower capacity than soils with high bulk density. This can increase the absorbing of water and can cause a high penetration resistance to plant roots into the soil. In a recent literature review, Omondi et al. [90] concluded that biochar addition decreased soil bulk density between 3 and 31% in 19 out of 22 soils. Likewise, Adekiya et al. [77] reported that the addition of 30 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) of biochar decreased soil bulk density up to 75% compared with the no biochar addition. Soil texture also plays an important role in the resulting bulk density after biochar application. In general, the application of biochar to the soil results in a greater reduction in the bulk density in coarse than in fine-textured soils [78]. In a series of short-term laboratory studies, maximum decreases of up to 31% in the soil bulk density after application of biochar were noted in sand, followed by coarse (14.2%), and fine-texture soils (9.2%) [81]. Similarly, Blanco-Canqui [23] reported a 14.2 and a 9.2% reduction in the soil bulk density in a coarse and a fine-texture soil, respectively, after biochar application.

It is hypothesized that at least two mechanisms are responsible for the reductions in the soil bulk density after biochar application. Firstly, soil has a higher bulk density (~1.25 g cm\(^{-3}\)) than biochar (0.6 g cm\(^{-3}\)). Thus, biochar application can reduce the soil bulk density through a dilution effect. Secondly, biochar can reduce the bulk density of soil in the long term in part due to the complex interactions that it establishes with soil particles, which further improve soil aggregation and porosity [23].

### 5.5. Soil pH

Soil pH affects the mobility and availability of different nutrients and chemical elements in the soil. Generally, application of biochar to soil increases the soil pH, although changes are strongly driven by the soil type, feedstock material, and the liming value of biochar [91,92]. Martinsen et al. [93] applied biochar rates derived from oil palm (Elaeis guineensis) shell, cacao shell (Theobroma cacao L.), and rice husk (Oryza sativa L.) to 31 acidic soils. They observed an increase in soil pH from 4.7 to 5 when cacao shell biochar was applied, which was greater than those obtained from oil palm shell and rice husk. Similarly, El-Naggar et al. [86] reported a sharp increase in pH of untreated sandy soil after application of biochar made of umbrella tree (Maesopsis eminii) residues, silvergrass, and rice straw, respectively. Chathurika et al. [94] amended soils with 1 and 2% (w/w) of Acer woodchip biochar (pH 9.7). A significant increase in the soil pH was recorded after 70 days from biochar application compared to non-biochar-amended soils. However, Sandhu et al. [95] reported no increase in pH in clay soils that received 10 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) of biochar produced from ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) wood residues, maize stover, and switchgrass (Panicum
virgatum). This could be due to the combined effect of the buffering effect of the clay soil and the low biochar application rate.

5.6. Cation Exchange Capacity (CEC)

CEC is one of the important characteristics of soil that influences the soil fertility and the adsorption of mineral nutrients and ions such as sodium (Na$^+$), Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, K$^+$, and NH$^{4+}$. Although CEC is a natural and inherent characteristic of any soil, it is not easily changed by management. However, many studies have shown that biochar amendment can increase the CEC of soil [86,94,96–98], which could be due to the presence of strong carboxylic and phenolic functional groups with negative charge on the surface of biochar particles [32,92]. Zhang et al. [63] reported a 21% increase in the soil CEC after biochar application compared to un-amended soil (control). El-Naggar et al. [86] applied biochars produced from rice straw, silvergrass residues, and umbrella tree to sandy soils and reported CEC increases of 906, 180, and 130%, respectively, compared to un-amended soil. Adekiya et al. [77] also reported an increase in the CEC of soil after application of biochar at 30 Mg ha$^{-1}$. Ndor et al. [83] observed a 21% increase in the CEC of soil following application of 5 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of biochar produced from rice husk and sawdust, and a 44 and 57% CEC increase when 10 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of each biochar was applied, respectively, compared to non-biochar application.

Table 2. Application of biochar and soil response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Plant Type</th>
<th>Biochar Source</th>
<th>Application Rate</th>
<th>Impact on Soil Parameters</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertisol silt loam</td>
<td>Sorghum</td>
<td>Acacia</td>
<td>10 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>High soil C, exchangeable K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$ and CEC</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy Loam</td>
<td>Wheat and maize</td>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>5–20 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Decreased pH; bulk density, soluble Na, increased CEC, OM, total N, available P, K, Zn, Cu and Fe in soil</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molisols</td>
<td>Maize stover</td>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>30 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>After 2 years, CO$_2$ fluxes decreased</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aridisol</td>
<td>Maize cob</td>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>45 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Decreased OM decomposition</td>
<td>[102]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soil</td>
<td>Tomato (Solanum lycopersicum L.)</td>
<td>Conocarpus</td>
<td>4–8% (w/w)</td>
<td>Decreased Na effects</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clayey</td>
<td>Wheat and maize</td>
<td>Rice straw</td>
<td>2 Mg ha$^{-1}$ biochar + 400 L of compost tea + magnetic iron ore 150 kg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Increased CEC and NPK uptake</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tidal land soil</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Rice hull</td>
<td>1–5% (w/w)</td>
<td>Increased C and decreased Na</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Maize straw</td>
<td>10–30 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Increased available P, K, total N</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Mixed hard wood</td>
<td>5% (w/w)</td>
<td>Decreased Na while increased K$^+$ and Ca$^{2+}$</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy soil</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>4 Mg ha$^{-1}$ + 5 g KNO$_3$</td>
<td>Increased uptake of N, P, and K</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)</td>
<td>Mixture of hardwood</td>
<td>5% (w/w)</td>
<td>Decreased Na$^+$ / K$^+$ ratio</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td>Radish (Raphanus raphanistrum)</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>2.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Increased N and P in soil</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqui-Entisol</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>12 Mg ha$^{-1}$ biochar + poultry manure</td>
<td>Decreased NaCl content in leaf, increased P and K</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red Ferrosol</td>
<td>willow wood (Salix spp.)</td>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>2.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Increased soil pH (1.73%)</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcareous soil</td>
<td>Mature switchgrass</td>
<td>——</td>
<td>1–10% (w/w)</td>
<td>Decreased soil pH</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40 t ha$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Increased soil pH 70%</td>
<td>[113]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Plant Type</th>
<th>Biochar Source</th>
<th>Application Rate</th>
<th>Impact on Soil Parameters</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Rice straw</td>
<td>3 Mg ha(^{-1}) biochar</td>
<td>Increased capillary porosity (23%) and total porosity of soil (24%) Increased soil C from 3.1 mg/kg to 4.9 mg/kg</td>
<td>[63]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td>30 Mg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>Soil porosity increased by 65.0%</td>
<td>[77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Different types of biochars</td>
<td>Various rates</td>
<td>Increased porosity 3 to 31% while bulk density decreased by 14 to 64%, Increased soil wet aggregate stability up to 226%</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Acacia spp.</td>
<td>50 + 50 Mg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>Increased WHC of soil and pH</td>
<td>[82]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Sawdust and rice husk-based biochar each at 5–10 t ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>5–10 Mg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>Increased 10.77% soil moisture content, 36.47% increase in porosity</td>
<td>[83]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Umbrella tree, silvergrass, rice straw, and crop residues</td>
<td>30 Mg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>Increased OM content of sandy soil (42–72%) and loam soil (32–48%), Increased pH of sandy soil by 46.75–86.26%, Increased CEC by 130–906%.</td>
<td>[84]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Rapeseed stalk and rice straw</td>
<td>1% (w/w)</td>
<td>Increased pH, SOM, CEC of soil</td>
<td>[87]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Maize stalks biochar</td>
<td>2–8%</td>
<td>Increased total C, N, total P, NO(^3)-, available K, but decreased soil bulk density</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Various feedstocks</td>
<td>Various rates</td>
<td>Decreased bulk density by 3–31%, soil porosity by 8.4%, WHC by 15.1%, aggregate stability by 8.2%, and saturated hydraulic conductivity by 25.2%</td>
<td>[90]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Oil palm, Cacao shell, and rice husk</td>
<td>30 Mg ha(^{-1})</td>
<td>Increased soil pH from 4.73 to 5 in acidic soil, increased CEC of soil</td>
<td>[93]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Inorganic fertilizers + biochar of Acer woodchip</td>
<td>10–20 g kg(^{-1})</td>
<td>Increased soil properties</td>
<td>[94]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Effect of Biochar on Nutrient Availability and Leaching

6.1. Nutrient Availability

Biochars produced through pyrolysis contain aromatic C and small quantities of N, P, K, Ca, Mg, S, and other nutrients required for plant growth [41,114]. Biochar ameliorates soil physical and chemical properties such as CEC and surface oxidation of soil, which results in an increase in the plant nutrient availability and their retention in the soil [97]. Incorporation of biochar into soil has shown a positive effect on soil C stability, particularly in soils that have less native OM [63,89].

Usually, solubility of inorganic P is low because it forms mineral precipitates with Ca\(^{2+}\), aluminum (Al\(^{3+}\)), and iron (Fe\(^{3+}\)) or tightly sorbs to the soil mineral phase (Al and Fe oxy-hydroxide) [1,4,91]. However, previous research has shown that biochar alters soil available P by (a) acting as a P source itself, (b) altering P solubility through changes in soil pH, (c) altering adsorption and desorption of specific chelates, and (d) promoting P solubilizing bacteria [16]. Thus, the use of biochar is emerging as a suitable practice to effectively decrease the usage of synthetic fertilizers and increase NUE. Incorporation of maize residue biochar in calcareous soil at 1–2% (w/w) increased total N up to 41%, available P up to 165%, K up to 160%, and manganese (Mn), Fe, Zn, and Cu up to 21, 17, 42, and 10% compared to the un-amended soil (control), respectively. Similarly, Adekiya et al. [77]
reported higher concentration of N, P, K, S, Ca, and Mg in biochar-amended soils than in the un-amended soil with biochar. These results are in conflict with those reported by Yao et al. [25], who also observed an increase in total soil N but a decrease in the total P after addition of maize stalks biochar at a rate between 50 and 200 Mg ha\(^{-1}\). In addition, Mclennon et al. [80] reported that the application of biochar, individually or in combination with N fertilization, did not enhance nutrient concentration and nutrient removal such as N and P.

Several studies indicated that nutrient contents in biochar and their release to soil solution depend on the feedstock materials and C:N ratio. For instance, El-Naggar et al. [115] observed that only 4.5% of the total N in wood biochar was soil-available after incorporation in the soil. Likewise, Figueredo et al. [116] observed that biochar derived from sewage sludge showed higher N content (3.17%) than sugarcane biochar (1.4%) and eucalyptus wastes biochar (0.4%). The benefits of biochar amendment are more evident in sandy soils, followed by sandy loam and then fine-textured soils [23]. El-Naggar et al [86] found higher total N content (125%) in sandy soil compared to sandy loam soil (22%) after addition of rice straw biochar.

6.2. Nutrient Leaching

Nutrient leaching is a major problem in agricultural systems. Mobile nutrients move downward below the rooting zone of plants and thus become unavailable for plant uptake [96]. Biochar can significantly reduce the nutrient leaching by increasing nutrient retention, soil C content, WHC of soil, and microbial activity [97,117]. It is a valuable approach to sorb nutrients such as N and P for mitigating the contamination of surface water [80]. Rubin et al. [117] observed that biochar application at 10% (w/w) reduced cumulative leaching of P (37.7%), NH\(_4^+\) (50.2%), and nitrate (NO\(_3^-\)). The reduction in NO\(_3^-\) and NH\(_4^+\) leaching could be due either to the enhanced adsorption of these ions to the surface of biochar, the increased immobilization by the greater microbial biomass resulting from biochar addition, or both [117]. Similarly, Xu et al. [118] reported that biochar reduced the NO\(_3^-\), NH\(_4^+\), and total N leaching between 19 and 28%, 16 and 19% and 19 and 20%, respectively, when compared to the un-amended soil with biochar (control). Application of biochar to the soil can considerably decrease the N leaching by increasing soil N retention, decreasing the ammonia (NH\(_3\)) volatilization, or converting it into NO\(_3^-\) by nitrification [119].

Properties of biochar such as high surface area, porosity, charge density, and CEC are helpful in increasing the retention of nutrients and other organic molecules. However, the sorption capacity of biochar also depends on the feedstock materials and the pyrolysis temperature. For instance, wood-derived biochars have a higher phosphate adsorption capacity than biochar produced from straw residues [117]. In a review from the literature, Gao et al. [96] enumerated several biochar feedstocks, including peanut hull, switchgrass, bamboo, bagasse, maize stover, stalks, pepperwood, filter cake, pecan shells, acacia whole-tree, mixed wood, and sewage sludge, that were reported as effective at decreasing NO\(_3^-\), NH\(_4^+\), phosphate (PO\(_4^{3-}\)), K\(^+\), Ca\(^{2+}\), Mg\(^{2+}\), and Zn leaching. Likewise, Muhammad et al. [120] observed that biochar decreased leaching of K (24%), born (B; 25%), Cu (80%), Mn (37%), and Zn (33%) when compared to non-biochar addition.

7. Effect of Biochar on Soil Microbial Activity

Soil microorganisms play an important role in OM decomposition, nutrient recycling, maintenance of soil structure, suppression of pests and diseases, and secretion of plant growth promoters [121]. Application of biochar can affect the soil microbial activity and community structure (Figure 6) [16,91] through its important properties such as pore space, surface area, porosity, minerals, surface volatile organic compounds, functional groups, free radicals, and pH [91,122]. Biochar contains K\(^+\), Mg\(^{2+}\), Na\(^+\), N, P, and other nutrients that can have long-term beneficial effects in microbial growth upon release into the soil solution [123]. Moreover, some bacteria and fungi that are smaller than the pore size of
certain biochars can colonize these pore to have protection from soil predators. Furthermore, soluble substances such as sugars, alcohols, acids, ketones, and water molecules stored in mesopores and micropores of biochar can promote microbial activity and alter microbial abundance and composition in soil [4,124]. For example, biochar application in soils cultivated with pepper resulted in increments in the activity and microbial population of *Pseudomonas* spp., *Filamentous* fungi and *Bacillus* species [96]. Following the application of corn residue biochar at 1 and 2% (w/w) in a calcareous soil, Karimi et al. [97] observed increases in the soil microbial biomass ranging between 20 and 124% compared to the control. Yao et al. [25] reported a 6.6 to 31.2% higher fungal abundance after application of 50, 100, and 200 Mg ha$^{-1}$ of maize stalk biochar than those obtained from un-amended soil with biochar. Biochar-amended soils showed high root nodulation by rhizobia, presumably due to more favorable conditions associated with efficient N-fixation. Seleiman et al. [125] observed that combined application of rice straw biochar and foliar silicon in sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) under water deficit conditions increased sunflower yield by about 27% and mycorrhizal spores between 182 and 277% when compared to the non-treated soil with biochar. Therefore, biochar application to soil can increase microbial activity and abundance.

![Biochar increases porosity, microorganisms (MO), water holding capacity, organic matter, nutrients and CEC of soil](image)

**Figure 6.** Beneficial effects of biochar on soil. OM = organic matter; WHC = water holding capacity; CEC = cation exchange capacity.

### 8. Impact of Biochar on Salt-Affected Soils

Soil salinity severely affects crop growth and yield, particularly in arid and semiarid areas of the world [126,127]. Globally, salt-affected areas occupied 1 billion ha, and it is estimated that this area will further expand due to global climate change and poor land and water resources management [128]. There are three types of salt-affected soils, namely, saline, sodic, and saline–sodic soils. Saline soils have a high concentration of soluble salts, while sodic soils contain a high concentration of Na$^+$ ions adsorbed at cation exchange sites. Saline–sodic soils possess characteristics of both, with exchangeable sodium >15% and electrical conductivity >0.4 S m$^{-1}$. Salt-affected soils can be cultivated if suitable management practices or measures are adopted [128]. Use of organic amendments in salt-affected soils can produce beneficial effects on plant growth by changing some physiochemical soil properties. Recent studies have shown that addition of biochar amendment in salt-affected soils has gained considerable attention from agricultural scientists [4,5,128]. Biochar application to salt-affected soils increased K$^+$, Ca$^{2+}$, Mg$^{2+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ concentration due to
a concomitant increase in the CEC, surface area, structure, and porosity of soils, and the stability of organic molecules [129]. In salt-affected soils, high Na\(^+\) concentration impairs the uptake of K\(^+\) to plants. However, biochar addition can revert this situation and enhance K\(^+\) uptake. For example, Lin et al. [130] observed that biochar applied at 16 Mg ha\(^{-1}\) in saline soil substantially increased exchangeable K\(^+\) by 44% compared to control. Biochar can improve the NUE of crops as a result of its complex pore structure and large surface area and aeration, which are conducive to enhanced adsorption of NH\(^4+\), and a reduction in the inhibition of microbial denitrification [131]. In turn, a reduction in the adsorption of NH\(^4+\) can reduce NH\(_3\) and nitrous oxide (N\(_2\)O) losses to the atmosphere [119,132]. However, biochar application rates and biochar pH can also influence the N volatilization from salt-affected soils. Research has shown that biochar with high pH (9.6–10.8) increased the NH\(_3\) volatilization from salt-affected soil whereas sandy soils amended with low pH (3.9) biochar reduced the NH\(_3\) volatilization [119,133]. Thus, biochar with low pH can effectively reduce NH\(_3\) losses from saline–sodic or sodic soils.

Phosphorus availability is higher at soil pH 5.5–7, but pH of salt-affected soil is >7, which decreases P availability. However, biochar application can increase the P availability in salt-affected soils because of its inherent fertilizer P value. Also, it can increase the P availability by providing favorable conditions for growth of soil bacteria (Flavobacterium, Pseudomonas, and Thiobacillus) that can solubilize unavailable P in soils [25,128].

9. Impact of Biochar on Soils Contaminated with Heavy Metals (HMs)

Soil contamination with HMs such as mercury (Hg), lead (Pb), cadmium (Cd), arsenic (As), titanium (Ti) and lithium (Li) is a major global concern. Heavy metals can easily enter and accumulate in the food chain because of their high mobility and bioavailability, causing neurological and immune disorders across different trophic levels. Studies have shown that use of organic materials that have high pH, CEC, surface area, and porosity such as biochar can ameliorate contaminated soil due to their efficiency at adsorbing HMs from soils (Table 3), [20,115,134]. Field and greenhouse studies have shown that application of biochar increased plant growth and reduced the uptake of HMs [6,74,135,136].

The ionic forms of metals dissolved in soil solution are bioavailable to the plants. Biochar adsorbs the metal ions from contaminated soils due to its stronger sorption sites and high affinity to metal ions [4,137]. Along with the process, both acidic functional groups (carboxylic, hydroxyl, lactonic, and carbonyl) and basic functional groups (pyrone and ketone) play a significant role in the complexation (binding) of HMs onto the surface of biochar and its inner pores [138]. For instance, HMs such as Cu, Zn, Cr, and Pb may react with carbonate, phosphate, and oxide fractions of the biochar and precipitate on the surface of biochar as insoluble carbonate and phosphate salts [139,140]. Exchange of ionic species from the soil matrix to the surface of biochar can also immobilize the target metal species in the soil [139–141]. Penido et al. [142] reported that sewage sludge-derived biochar and wood biochar reduced the bioavailability of Cd and Pb. Similarly, Meier et al. [143] applied 5% biochar derived from poultry manure to a soil with a high level of Cu and observed that biochar reduced the Cu uptake from stems and leaves of evening primrose (Oenothera biennis) from 66.9 mg kg\(^{-1}\) to 36.6 mg kg\(^{-1}\). Similarly, [144] application of soybean (Glycine max L.) biochar at 3% (w/w) rate to an As-contaminated soil decreased As bioaccumulation in the rice plants by 88%.
Table 3. Reduced uptake of different chemicals after biochar application into soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochar Type</th>
<th>Pollutant</th>
<th>Plant Type</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chicken manure</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>[145]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice straw</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>[146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holm oak</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>White lupin (Lupinus albus L.)</td>
<td>[147]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holm oak</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Spinach (Spinacia oleracea)</td>
<td>[148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar cane bagasse</td>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>Mash bean (Vigna mungo L.)</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton sticks</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Spinach</td>
<td>[150]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton sticks</td>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>[151]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine wood</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Salix (Salix alba)</td>
<td>[152]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinewood</td>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>[153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice straw</td>
<td>Methyl mercury</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>[153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sewage sludge</td>
<td>Cd and Pb</td>
<td>Soil remediation</td>
<td>[142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean residues</td>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>[144]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poultry manure</td>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>Primrose (Oenothera picensis)</td>
<td>[143]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean residues</td>
<td>As</td>
<td>Rice</td>
<td>[144]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Biochar Application in Combination with Organic and Inorganic Amendments

Studies have shown that biochar can be applied effectively with organic or inorganic fertilizers, compost, vermicompost, animal manures, and poultry manure to improve soil structure, fertility, NUE, and crop yield [112,154]. Doan et al. [155] applied biochar with vermicompost to maize and observed significant increase in crop growth and yield compared to untreated plots with biochar (control). Addition of willow (Salix alba L.)-derived biochar with compost along with synthetic fertilizer significantly enhanced the maize growth in Ferralsol soil [112]. According to Joseph et al. [156], combined application of biochar with manures, composts, or other organic material can improve NUE as a result of slower leaching rates. Zhang et al. [157] mixed organic fertilizer with biochar (1%) and observed an increase in root and shoot growth of cotton due to improved physiological activity of roots. Similarly, Omara et al. [158] applied N (50–150 kg ha⁻¹) and biochar (5–15 Mg ha⁻¹) together to maize grown on a sandy soil. Results showed that biochar along with N increased NUE and maize grain yield. Mixing poultry litter biochar with fertilizers and manure significantly increased growth and fruit yield of cucumber (Cucumis sativa L.) by improving soil fertility and WHC in a sandy soil [159]. Adekiya et al. [77] applied biochar (25–50 Mg ha⁻¹) alone and also in a mix with poultry manure (2.5–5.0 Mg ha⁻¹) in radish (Raphanus raphanistrum). At the end of the first year, authors reported an increase in radish yield for the biochar + poultry manure combination, but not for the biochar alone treatments when compared with the un-amended soil (control). Ibrahim et al. [160] reported higher N uptake by plants following application of a biochar–N fertilizer compared to either biochar or N fertilizer alone.

Seleiman et al. [125] applied rice straw biochar (10 Mg ha⁻¹) to sunflower grown under water in three water deficit stress treatments (i.e., 50%, no deficit; 70%, moderate deficit; 90%, severe deficit) [125]. After 30 and 55 d from germination, Si (150 g ha⁻¹) was exogenously applied [124]. Results showed that severe water stress reduced oil and oleic acid contents by 18 and 25.8% compared to no water stress deficit [125]. When biochar and silicon combined treatment was applied, on the other hand, oil and oleic acid contents increased by 10.2 and 12.2%, respectively [125]. Similarly, seed yield under moderate and severe water deficit increased by about 27% in both cases when the biochar + Si treatment was applied, compared with similar water deficit treatments without any amendment [125]. Therefore, for soil fertility and NUE especially, the addition of biochar with either organic or inorganic soil amendment is an appropriate practice.

11. Biochar for Improving Water Use Efficiency (WUE)

Water scarcity is predicted to worsen as increasing global warming has altered, and will continue to alter, the hydro-climatic system and created an imbalance in water supply and demand in the world [161]. Soil amendments such as biochar can enhance WUE
in agricultural system under increasing water-limited conditions [80,125,162]. Pot and field experiments have shown that biochar retains soil water, increases WHC of soil, improves water availability to plants, enhances nutrient uptake, and supports root growth in the soil [112,163]. Fischer et al. [162] observed that biochar increased WUE and crop yields (75%) compared to the un-amended soil with biochar (control). Biochar application at 25 and 50 Mg ha$^{-1}$ on tomatoes grown under water deficit conditions significantly increased WUE compared to no biochar application [164]. Contrasting results were reported by Aller et al. [165], who observed that biochar application decreased WUE in maize grown in clay loam soil but enhanced it in sandy loam soil.

Studies have shown that feedstock materials also affect the WUE of biochar. For instance, the biochar of wood chips reduced plant WUE, while maize straw biochar increased it [144,166]. Faloye et al. [167] applied biochar at 20 Mg ha$^{-1}$ and observed a substantial improvement in the soil hydro-physical properties and the WUE of maize plants. Likewise, Bitarafan et al. [168] observed an increase in WUE ranging between 10.1 and 17.3% following biochar application in fenugreek (Trigonella foenum-graecum L.).

12. Impact of Biochar on Nitrogen Use Efficiency (NUE)

As an essential component of various proteins, vitamins, amino acids, alkaloids, plant hormones, chlorophyll, ATP (adenosine triphosphate), and DNA, N is required in larger quantities than any other plant nutrient [131]. However; a large portion of the applied N is lost from agricultural soils due various factors, thereby decreasing both the crop yields and NUE. Nitrogen use efficiency can be enhanced either by increasing plant uptake of applied N and its translocation to economic parts of plants, by decreasing N losses from soil system, or both [80,169]. Research has shown that application of biochar with N fertilizers enhanced the fertilizer NUE and increased crop yields, while reducing N losses [81,170]. Again, beneficial biochar properties in terms of high surface area, porosity, CEC, and abundance of acidic and basic functional groups play key roles in the reduction of N losses from soils [171].

A recent meta-analysis from 88 peer-reviewed publications published between the beginning of 2010 and mid-2016 revealed that biochar application reduced the N$_2$O emissions from soil between 38 and 49% [172]. Furthermore, the interaction between soil and biochar can affect the N transformations in the soil profile by changing its CEC, porosity, aeration, pH, and microbial activity [173]. Abbruzzini et al. [174] reported that biochar applied at 0.4–1.9% (w/w) with N fertilizer reduced N$_2$O emissions up to 71% compared to soil where N was applied without biochar. Moreover, biochar enhanced the available P by 30% and increased wheat grain yield by 27% and shoot biomass by 16% compared to un-amended soil with biochar. Additionally, the concentration of $^{15}$N in grain was 28% higher in the biochar compared to the non-biochar treatment. Similarly, Sun et al. [175] observed that application of biochar at rates between 5 and 20 Mg ha$^{-1}$ increased wheat NUE between 5.2 and 37.9% and grain yield between 2.9 and 19.4%. However, biochar rates >30 Mg ha$^{-1}$ had a negative impact on NUE and grain yield.

13. Impact of Biochar on Plant Growth and Physiological Traits

Plant growth depends on adequate concentration of nutrients available in the soil solution, which can easily be taken up by plants. Deficiency of nutrients can decrease the plant growth and yield. Studies have suggested that biochar can increase the availability of C, N, Ca, Mg, K, and P to plants because biochar itself is a source of nutrients [123,176]. In addition, it can absorb nutrients and then release them in a slow manner, thereby improving nutrient use efficiency. Many studies have shown that biochar amendments significantly increased the growth and biomass in various plant species [125,159]. Incorporation of poultry litter biochar along with manure and fertilizers significantly enhanced biomass and fruit yield of cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) by increasing soil WHC and nutrient concentration [159]. Yield of field mustard (Brassica rapa L.) was increased by 49% after the application of biochar compared to untreated soil with biochar [177]. Similarly, Rafique et al. [178]
observed that soil amended with biochar increased the fresh and dry weight of maize by 50–55%. Sunflower growth and oil yield were increased under moderate and severe water deficit conditions after combined application of rice straw biochar and foliar spray of silicon [125]. Agegnehu et al. [112] and Omara et al. [158] reported an increase in maize growth and yield after the biochar incorporation. Similarly, Zhang et al. [157] observed higher physiological activities of cotton root in biochar-amended soil than those obtained from untreated soil with biochar.

Application of biochar increased stomatal conductance of maize, which resulted in higher photosynthesis rates and increased production of total soluble sugar in soybean plants as compared with un-amended soil control [179]. Higher chlorophyll and N content were measured in wheat plants after biochar application compared to the un-amended soil [107]. Qian et al. [179] observed a significant increase in the chlorophyll (41%) of soybean plants when grown on a biochar-amended soil compared to the un-amended one. Likewise, Younis et al. [150] reported that plantation of spinach (Spinacia oleracea) on a soil that received biochar and was subjected to moisture stress showed increases in chlorophyll a (29%) and b (52%), total chlorophyll (33%), and carotenoid (5%). Similarly, biochar application in rice augmented the plant photosynthetic rate as a result of the increase in the chlorophyll content and plant gas exchange [180]. In another study, plants grown on a biochar-amended soil showed a high concentration of nutrient in leaves, increased protein content (44%), and lower production of reactive oxygen species under Cd stress [181]. In other studies, biochar application increased the starch and ascorbic acid content [151], and the starch and soluble sugars [179].

### 14. Impact of Biochar on Crop Production and Quality

Raboin et al. [182] observed a maize yield increase ranging from 46 to 58% after applying biochar at 50 Mg ha⁻¹ along with animal manure in acidic soil. Figure 7 shows soil fertility management and crop yield improvement through biochar application. The increment in the yield was due to the liming effect of biochar, which increased the nutrient availability and improved the CEC of soil. Similarly, Agegnehu et al. [183] found 22 and 24% increase in seed and pod yields of peanut (Arachis hypogaea L.) grown on wood biochar-amended soil at a rate of 25 Mg ha⁻¹ compared to soil fertilized with inorganic fertilizers. Wood biochar improved the WHC of soil, reduced N and P leaching. Also, biochar derived from peanut shell increased the nutrient peanut kernel quality [184]. Palansooriya [33] recorded a higher sweet potato (Ipomoea batatas) yield after biochar application than un-amended soil.

Conversely, Gholizadeh et al. [52] observed no difference in maize yield after biochar application compared with control. Also, McLennon et al. [80] reported that the application of biochar individually or in combination with N fertilization did not affect the biomass production of Schedonorus arundinacea and Poa pratensis L. These contradictory results likely occurred because of variations in the physiochemical properties of biochar. For example, biochar pyrolyzed at high temperature (≥600 °C) can adsorb plant nutrients, thereby decreasing plant uptake. Agegnehu [185] recorded 98–150% increased maize yield, likely explained by the parallel increase in the plant WUE between 91 and 139% as a result of manure biochar application. Table 4 presents the effects of biochar as soil amendment on growth and yield traits of different crops.
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Figure 7. Soil fertility management and crop yield improvement through application of biochar.

Table 4. Effect of biochar on plant growth and yield.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biochar Source</th>
<th>Application Rate</th>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Plant Type</th>
<th>Plant Type</th>
<th>Crop Responses</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conocarpus biochar</td>
<td>4-8.0% (w/w)</td>
<td>Sandy soil</td>
<td>Tomato</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased vegetative growth and yield increased 14.0–43.3%</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>4 Mg ha⁻¹ + KNO₃ (5 g L⁻¹)</td>
<td>Sandy soil</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased height, leaf area, grain weight and yield by increasing uptake of N, P, and K</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>5-20 Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>Sandy Loam</td>
<td>Wheat and maize</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased yield</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed hardwood</td>
<td>5% (w/w)</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Wheat</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased growth and final yield</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixture of hardwoods</td>
<td>5% (w/w)</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
<td>Potato (Solanum tuberosum L.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased growth and tuber yield</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maize straw</td>
<td>10-30 Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>Clay loam</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased growth and yield</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>0, 20, and 40 Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>Calcareous inceptisol</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td></td>
<td>Significantly increased maize yield in both years Addition of nutrients and soil structure and moisture improvement</td>
<td>[186]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>2.5 Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
<td>Radish</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased root growth, root diameter, and yield.</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat straw</td>
<td>Biochar 12 Mg ha⁻¹ + poultry manure</td>
<td>Aqui-Entisol</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased grain yield</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice hull-derived biochar</td>
<td>1-5% (w/w)</td>
<td>Reclaimed tidal land soil</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td></td>
<td>Increased maize yield</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crushed Acacia</td>
<td>0 and 10 Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>Vertisol</td>
<td>Sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.)</td>
<td></td>
<td>No effect on yield</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar + animal manure</td>
<td>50 Mg ha⁻¹</td>
<td>acidic soil</td>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td></td>
<td>Maize yield increased by 46–58%</td>
<td>[182]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>willow wood biochar</td>
<td>Peanut</td>
<td>Peanut pod yield increased by 22-24%</td>
<td>[183]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>Sweet potato</td>
<td>Yield increased</td>
<td>[33]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biochar</td>
<td>Maize</td>
<td>Increased yield of maize by 98–150% compared to control</td>
<td>[185]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
15. Limitation of Biochar Application on Soil and Potential Risks

Use of biochar as an agricultural amendment in agricultural systems has gained notoriety over the last 20 years because of its benefits, including C sequestration, restoring degraded soils, increasing crop productivity, and decreasing ground and water pollution, among other soil amendments. At present, however, there are still some limitations to the extensive use of biochar applications in modern agriculture. For instance, the application of high doses of biochar, as those typically required to have a positive effect on crop productivity and soil health, has inhibitory effects on soil aging. Consequently, intermittent and split applications of biochar over time would be required in the long-term scenarios of biochar application for better value as a soil amendment and more efficient nutrient cycling in soil [18].

According to Anyanwu et al. [187], aged biochar in the soil has harmful effects on the growth of earthworms. Further, aged biochar has shown a reduction in the roots and shoots biomass of rice and tomato plants. Moreover, evidence demonstrated that the application of biochar can decrease the soil thermal conductivity and diffusivity; therefore, it can negatively influence the soil biochemical processes [145]. In other cases, soils amended with biochar showed less availability of Fe and P due to the precipitation/sorption of these nutrients [105,156]. Moreover, the high sorption capacity of most biochar types determines that the biochar can sorb pesticides and herbicides, which can decrease their efficacy as amendments and increase the problems of high resistance in weeds or pathogens against particular herbicides or pesticides [114]. In addition, biochar application may exacerbate the weed infestation under certain conditions. For example, biochar application at 15 Mg ha$^{-1}$ increased weed growth by 200% in an investigation of the lentil. Thus, such a result will not only decrease the crop yield, but will also increase the cost for weed control [188].

The available literature indicates that the potential beneficial effects of biochar are soil type-dependent and do not apply to all types of soils [189]. Moreover, as different biochars have already been derived from hundreds of different organic materials, the nutrient composition of biochars can differ widely, and there is not a specific application rate can be stated.

Studies have shown that some biochars can encourage pollutants such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon, dioxins, xlenols, acrolein, formaldehyde, cresols, and other toxic carbonyls, which can affect the bacterial and fungal abundances in the soil [190]. Further, biochar nanoparticles formed during biochar production and transportation can cause health hazards such as respiratory problems for humans. Correspondingly, biochar derived from rice husk and pyrolyzed at higher temperatures contains toxic crystalline substances, particularly silica, and this can affect lung health if the biochar enters the respiratory system during its application [48]. In aquatic ecosystems, biochar was shown to increase the leaching of dissolved organic carbon, and this apparently reduced the light penetration in lakes [131,191]. Finally, studies have shown that biochar application disturbed the abundance of earthworms (Lumbricus terrestris), which play a key role in soil formation, breakdown of organic matter, and nutrient cycling in agroecosystems [192].

16. Conclusions and Perspectives

Biochar application can have a profound impact on soil pH, bulk density, aeration, porosity, CEC, WHC, nutrient balances, and other parameters of soil quality due to its intrinsic structure and physicochemical properties. Higher carbon and mineral content in the biochar are beneficial for improvement of soil health, fertility, and crop growth and yields. The high CEC, surface area, pore volume, and porosity of biochar can increase the WHC and ameliorate the adverse effects of soil with high concentrations of salt and heavy metals to improve crop productivity and environmental sustainability. Since most biochars have high pH (alkaline), there is also the potential for them to be used as an acidic soil amendment. The application of biochar can increase the microbial biomass, WUE, and NUE when applied either alone or in combination with organic and inorganic sources of fertilizers. However, this array of beneficial effects of biochar application has
been more commonly reported in sandy soils than in clayey ones. Despite these benefits, biochar has some limitations. Potential limitations of biochar in arable soils must be taken into consideration prior its utilization. Additionally, soil and biochar interactions are not yet fully explored, and the current review provides numerous examples of both the positive and negative impacts of biochar application on soil organic carbon mineralization and microbial biomass. Furthermore, the effect of biochar application on soil properties, microbial communities, and greenhouse gas emissions in terms of future climate change is a topic that will gain much attention in future research endeavors.

A high level of nutrient retention can be predicted when using biochar as a soil amendment in the long term; thus it can improve soils. However, investigations on a large scale and a long-term use of biochar as a soil amendment are important to the prediction of the nutrient dynamics in the soils treated with such materials. Biochar application on a mass scale in extensive agriculture is expected to occur in the near future. To achieve this utmost goal, there is an urgent need for long-term assessments of the seasonal availability of main feedstocks for biochar production in the regions where biochar is intended to be used. Moreover, research studies should more frequently incorporate comprehensive economic analysis in addition to the more commonly studied implications of biochar in soil quality and plant health. Finally, while the logistic aspects of biochar distribution and further application in farmers’ fields still remain a main hurdle at present, we expect these shortcomings will be increasingly and effectively addressed in the mid- to long-term.
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