Determination of Young Elasticity Modulus in Bored Piles Through the Global Strain Extensometer Sensors and Real-Time Monitoring Data
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Abstract: For friction piles depending on the friction resistance, accurate prediction of unit skin friction around the pile shaft is the dominant resistance to measure the final bearing capacity of a bored-pile. The present study measures the stress–strain transferring in two instrumented bored-piles (BP #1 & BP# 2) embedded within the soil layer in Kuala Lumpur by real-time monitoring global strain extensometer (GSE) sensors. Two bored-piles (i.e., having 1.80 m and 1.0 m diameters, as well as 36 m and 32 m lengths) have been loaded with two times to their design working loads. Extensive data are analyzed to measure the changes in stress–strain in the bored-pile. The effect of loading and unloading stages on the pile’s head and base settlement has been monitored, indicating that Young modulus of elasticity in concrete bored-pile ($E_c$), average strain, and unit skin friction changed along the bored-pile based on the ground site conditions and stress registered. One example of two case studies with great real-time monitoring data has been provided to further design.
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1. Introduction

In recent years, calculation of pile bearing capacity data in-situ test has been broadly applied by geotechnical engineers and building foundations, because these data are more accurate and reliable than small-scale laboratory tests. In fact, bored-piles have been considered empirically more as an art-work than science [1], and are formed using appropriate machines (capacity-type) to fill the holes with applicable concrete and reinforcement. Their usual sizes are 400 mm to 3,000 mm diameter, with a capacity that reaches up 45,000 kN based on the pile size and geological profile close to the pile, so an excellent pile capacity has reduced the pile cap size and pile numbers in the group [2,3]. Therefore, bored-pile designing in most countries has relied on the results of the conventional standard penetration test (SPT). According to the literature, the procedure of bored-pile design has consisted of stages such as: (1) the calculation of the end bearing capacity ($f_b$) in bored-pile; (2) calculation of the shaft bearing capacity in bored-pile ($f_s$), note that, the sum of both values is the ultimate bearing capacity of a bored-pile.
capacity of an individual pile; and (3) pile working load which has been presumed from the ultimate bearing capacity by using a safety factor that permits the piles’ interaction within the group [4,5].

Regarding the empirical approach of $f_s$ in relation to $K_s \times SPT$, $f_b$ has been related to $K_b \times SPT$ widely applied in pile designing. To evaluate $K_s$ (i.e., skin friction ratio, $f_s/SPT$) and $K_b$ (i.e., end bearing ratio, $f_b/SPT$), the value including local soil condition has required vibrating wire strain gauges (VWSG) and a mechanical tell-tale rod installed within the pile to measure the axial stress–strain relation and movement in different levels down to the pile’s toe and shaft. Bored-pile of length ($L$) and diameter ($D_s$) supporting a vertical head load ($P_h$) by the mobilized shaft and base resistance ($P_s - P_b$) is illustrated in Figure 1a. The vertical displacement of the pile’s base and head are defined as $\Delta_b - \Delta_h$, followed by the pile compressing (shortening) as $e_p$ ignoring the pile weight as it is in Equation (1) [6]:

$$P_h = P_s + P_b$$

(1)

According to the cross-sectional area of concrete $A$, the stress along with the pile $\sigma_h$ is as Equation (2) [6]:

$$\sigma_h = \frac{P_h}{A}$$

(2)

$$\Delta_h = e_p + \Delta_b$$

(3)

The length $\delta$ has been located at depth $z$ below the pile head level as shown in Figure 1a–c. Shaft resistance $\delta P_s$ mobilized along the segment $\delta$ equals the axial force changing in axial force $\delta P_z$. The unit shaft resistance $f_s(z)$ mobilized in $\delta$ has been related to $P(z)$ as shown in Equation (4):

$$\frac{dP(z)}{dz} = -\pi D_s f_s(z)$$

(4)

The negative sign shows that $P_z$ decreased as $z$ increased (Figure 1c). Omer et al. [7] mention that if the pile’s elastic feature has been denoted ($E_p$), ignoring all vertical soil moving and pile displacement $w(z)$ (e.g., pile movement at depth $z$ below the pile head level) at depth $z$ have been offered as Equation (5):

$$\frac{dw(z)}{dz} = -\frac{4P(z)}{\pi D_s^2 E_p}$$

(5)

Equation (5) is different with $z$ and $dP(z)/dz$, replaced by using Equations (1)–(4) as Equation (6):

$$\frac{d^2w(z)}{dz^2} = \frac{4}{D_s E_p} f_s(z)$$

(6)

All the mentioned equations according to force equilibrium and displacement correspondents are valid irrespective of pile type and soil grouping [7].
(a) schematic representation  
(b) forces on a pile element in “equilibrium”.  
(c) force details in element with length of $\delta z$. 

**Figure 1.** Displacement and force of loaded bored pile–soil; (a) schematic representation, (b) forces on a pile element in “equilibrium”, (c) force details in element with length of $\delta z$. 
Few more studies have been performed on longitude strain, which have measured the instrumented piles [8–13], transferring of load in rapid pile axial loading [14], static, dynamic, seismic, and cyclic lateral load of pile classifications [15–17], rigid and flexible pile behaviors in diverse soft soils [18–20], and skin friction resistance measurement in piles [21]. Some studies have been conducted based on numerical simulations, however, others have been performed on field monitoring (Ng and Sritharan [22]; Hung et al. [23]; Tafreshi et al. [24]; Mascarucci et al. [25]; and Lee et al. [26]). Ochiai et al. [27] propose a reliable designing model for bored-piles following in-situ tests by SPT. Poulos [28] has also introduced an appropriate design for piled rafts, comprising three stages: (1) assessing the feasibility of piled raft application accompanied by the required pile number, (2) evaluating where piles are needed and its general features, and (3) obtaining the optimum number, location, and configuration of a pile and computing the settlement distributions, bending moment and shear in the raft, and the pile loads and moments.

Sego et al. [29] studied the effect of an enlarged base on the total and end bearing resistance of a pile for use in ice-rich permafrost. Therefore, the total capacity of a bored-pile has mainly inclined by belled-pile usage. Shariatmadari et al. [30] studied the bearing capacity of driven piles in sands following SPT by applying 60 previous cases. Data included 43 full-scale, 17 dynamic tests, and static pile load testing analyzed by control and provisioning of wireless access points (CAPWAP). Note that, SPT data have been used close to the pile locations. Another model, as standard penetration test N(SPT-N), has been conducted and proved to have less scatter with higher accuracy. Zhang et al. [31] applied an elastic–plastic model showing the load–settlement relationship, and provided a simple method to analyze the behavior of a pile group and/or a single pile embedded in multilayered soils using two methods like an approach to enable a quick estimation of pile group settlement and/or a single pile embedded in multilayered soils providing time and cost saving. Ruan and Zuo [32] explained the relations between the ultimate vertical bearing capacity and SPT for the jacked pile. These case studies confirm the accuracy and reliability of the formula, mainly in silent pipe pile bearing capacity calculation. Assessing the friction capacity of driven piles in clay has been performed through the use of multivariate adaptive regression spline (MARS) by Samui [33], which led to D (diameter), L (length), undrained shear strength, and effective vertical stress as MARS input and friction capacity as output compared using artificial neural network (ANN). MARS, as a robust model, has been applied to predict the friction capacity in driven piles installed in clay.

Meanwhile, another research carrying the same approach has been conducted on cohesionless soil by Samui [34]. Chae et al. [35] investigated the uplift capacity of belled-piles in weathered sandstones of the Persian Gulf coast. Accordingly, few full-scale pullout load tests on belled tension piles in Abu Dhabi have also been performed. Comparing the results from the field, 3D finite element (FE), and theoretical methods have overestimated the ultimate pullout resistance of belled-pile without bell shape considerations [35]. Sakr [36] compared the results of high strain dynamic and static load tests of single helical-screw piles in cohesive soils. High strain dynamic pile load experiments have been performed on both driven steel open-pipe and helical piles. Case Pile Wave Analysis Program (CAPWAP) and full-scale static load test have confirmed high strain dynamic testing (HSDT) as a reliable tool for assessing static helical pile capacity. Zhang et al. [37] stated that in a typical design, the skin friction and the base resistance are majorly independent. Furthermore, the ultimate bearing capacity of a single pile has been composed in ultimate end resistance and confining skin friction.

Yao and Chen [38] promoted a flexible plastic solution for the uplift belled-pile. In the comparison of the provided solution outcome to the theoretical calculation one, the theoretical method results revealed that the elastic–plastic analytical solutions is a good method. Aksoy et al. [39] developed a new chart to estimate the friction angle between the pile and soil materials. Accordingly, in the current research, soil including different internal friction angles (θ) has been initially provided, then the skin friction angles (delta) of the mentioned soils with fiber-reinforced plastic (FRP) like a composite material, wood (pine), and steel (st37) have been defined by undertaking the interface shear test to provide a pile design diagram to determine skin friction angles of the soils and pile materials.
Wang et al. [40] investigated the controlling effectiveness and settlement behavior of two types of rigid pile structure embankments (PSE) constructed on collapsible loess soils beneath a high-speed railway. The results have shown that this type of PSE has mainly reduced embankment settlement so that embankments have to be maintained on collapsible loess. Meanwhile, pile spacing has significant efficiency in settlement reduction. Therefore, the current study has focused on stress–strain transferring throughout the instrumented bored-pile within the layered soil to measure the parameters of soil-pile interaction comprising Young elasticity module in concrete ($E_c$), average strain, and unit skin friction changed along with the pile.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Testing

Maintained load test (MLT), is known as static loading test (i.e., the load will remain constant until the settlement ended to small values), has been followed by ASTM Standard (D1143/D1143M-07). An apparent distance between the reaction pile and test pile should not be less than five times to diameter (D) of the immense pile. Considering setup, the piles are loaded by applying hydraulic jacks toward the main beam operated by an electric pump. Then, the applied load is accurately calibrated by vibrating wire load cells (VWLC) (Table 1). Both instrumental piles are located in Jalan Ampang and Kuchai Lama, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

Table 1. Instrumental bored pile load test summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pile No</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Working Load (kN)</th>
<th>Pile Length (m)</th>
<th>Pile Area ($m^2$)</th>
<th>Test Load (kN)</th>
<th>Type of Instrument</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP# 1</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>22,200</td>
<td>36.95</td>
<td>2.5447</td>
<td>44,400</td>
<td>GSE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP# 2</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>6750</td>
<td>32.56</td>
<td>0.7854</td>
<td>13,500</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Loading Procedure

Poulos [28] states that applied loading is crucial to the bending moment and differential settlements, but less critical to load-sharing or maximum settlement between the piles and raft. In bored-piles, time for loading test has been determined by the piles’ concrete strength (Zhang et al. [37]). In addition, Tomlinson and Woodward [1] suggest that at the testing time, concrete should be in its seven days (at least) with at least doubled strength of applied stress, moreover, in the current pile testing, the load cycles have started 28 days after pile construction. A schematic view of the MLT is shown in Figure 2. Instrumented piles are tested by MLT per two loading-cycle calculated by calibrated VWLCs in every 10 min for one hour (Figure 3).
Figure 2. Schematic view of the maintained load test (MLT)

Figure 3. Cont.
2.3. Instruments Monitoring System

The influence of geologically weak zones through multilayer site conditions has dramatically changed the designing parameters when the majority of these parameters change by depth. In other words, new global strain extensometer (GSE) sensors have recently measured the change of design parameters through the depth, so the pile top settlement has been monitored using: (1) four linear variation displacement transducers (LVDTs) mounted on the reference beams with their plungers vertically placed against glass plates fixed on the pile top, and (2) vertical scale rules attached to the reference beams to check any movement in the loading test. Indeed, VWLC, global strain extensometer (GSE) sensors, VWSG, and LVDTs have been automatically logged across the use of Micro-10x data logger and Multi logger at 5-minute spaces for precise controlling during loading/unloading stages, adding that only accurate level readings have been taken manually. Figure 4 shows the cross section of BP# 1 (D = 1,800 mm) and BP# 2 (D = 1,000 mm) and the sensors’ placement along the main steel rods.

![Figure 3. Variation of the total applied static stress on pile versus time, (a) Bored pill (BP)# 1 and (b) BP# 2.](image)

2.3. Instruments Monitoring System

The influence of geologically weak zones through multilayer site conditions has dramatically changed the designing parameters when the majority of these parameters change by depth. In other words, new global strain extensometer (GSE) sensors have recently measured the change of design parameters through the depth, so the pile top settlement has been monitored using: (1) four linear variation displacement transducers (LVDTs) mounted on the reference beams with their plungers vertically placed against glass plates fixed on the pile top, and (2) vertical scale rules attached to the reference beams to check any movement in the loading test. Indeed, VWLC, global strain extensometer (GSE) sensors, VWSG, and LVDTs have been automatically logged across the use of Micro-10x data logger and Multi logger at 5-minute spaces for precise controlling during loading/unloading stages, adding that only accurate level readings have been taken manually. Figure 4 shows the cross section of BP# 1 (D = 1,800 mm) and BP# 2 (D = 1,000 mm) and the sensors’ placement along the main steel rods.
Accordingly, the bored-pile has been tested using MLT through the reaction pile. All instruments using Micro-10 data logger and multilevel software have been automatically logged. The conventional instrumented method used VWSG and mechanical tell-tales. While being attached to the steel cage of bored-pile, VWSG and mechanical tell-tales have permanently been embedded in the concrete. GSE is the second instrument applied in axial load measurement and settlement distribution in the bored-pile.

On static load testing, loaded pile deformation has produced a related moving between every two anchored intervals changed in strain gauge wire tension, in addition to a corresponding change in its resonant vibration-frequency measured by plucking GSE sensors/transducers through a signal cable to readout box/data logger to measure the frequency and display of the shortening and strain reading. Considering the installation set up, GSE has measured shortening and strains on all test pile sections in every load step of a static pile load test, so it has integrated the strains on a larger and more representative sample. Therefore, using a defined instrumental scheme, data derived from instrumented load testing have provided reliable information. The results of GSE have been compared to the conventional instrumentation bored pile results. Subsequently, regarding the test piles, including BP# 2, the Geokon VWSG and tell-tale extensometers have been installed internally to monitor the strain developing and shortening of pile behavior on the test. BP# 1, with the instrumentation of GSE, has been placed with seven levels depending on the pile length and vertical varieties of sub-soil cases in sonic logging tubes (Figure 5).

Due to calibration of the applied axial load and the measured average strain, a calibrated GSE sensor has been installed near the pile’s head, in which there is no interaction between the soil friction and pile shaft. The GSE sensor measured the strain of other levels to determine the axial load transferring in all pile shaft sections, also to measure the loads contributed from the toe or/to end bearing resistance. VW Extensometer is installed on the anchored interval at eight levels (Figure 6). Pile deformation in loading has produced a related motion between every two anchored intervals producing strain gauge wire tension alteration in VW transducers, in addition to a correspondent variation in its frequent resonant vibration measured by plucking GSE sensors to the readout box/data logger. Therefore, the process measured the frequency displayed by the shortening reading and strain reading. VWSG for BP# 2 is also installed at five levels (A to E) in four numbers per level (Figure 6). The connected VWSG to the steel cage and electrical lead wires from the sensors coming to the top, have been illustrated in Figures 7 and 8.

The pile head displacement has also been analyzed using dial gauges and LVDTs, resulting in a reading with 0.01 mm accuracy mounted on stable reference beams and protected from direct sunlight and disturbance of personnel in the whole system. Settlement measuring through the use of proper leveling techniques has also been implemented as a useful backup to check the reference beams’ movement. Vibrating wire load cells, strain gauges, retrievable extensometers, and LVDTs have been automatically logged by applying a Micro-10x Datalogger and Multilogger software at the 3-minute spacing for precise control during load/unload stages. Only accurate level readings are manually considered.

Briefly, the pile movement monitoring system, such as pile top and bottom settlements, has been monitored using the vertical scale rule fixed to the pile top observed by tunnel boring machine (TBM) for correction purposes. On the other hand, LVDTs and GSEs mounted to the reference beam accompanied by a plunger pressed vertically against a glass plate fixed to the pile’s top. The vertical scales have been provided to monitor any movement in the load test. Indeed, strain gauges are manufactured in Geokon, USA. VWSG principles in strain measurement were presented due to the frequent natural vibration of taut wire restrained at both ends and varied with the square root of wire tension. Indeed, this change of tension in the wire has shown all the strain alterations in the structural steel member where the gauge is mounted. Moreover, all strain gauge has been mounted to two end blocks as arc-welded to the main reinforced bar at a proper level. The signal cables of the picked-up sensors fixed to the strain gauges have been tightly tied in the reinforced bars to the top of the piles terminated in a multiplexer box and observed using a Micro-10 Datalogger.
Figure 5. Various instrumental installments at different global strain extensometer sensors levels in BP# 1.
Figure 6. Vibrating wire strain gauges and tell-tales’ extensometer arrangement in BP# 2.
The gauges were investigated prior and after installation, after cage placement in the borehole, and after concreting. The strain gauges' signal cables were reserved for testing approximately after 28 days, allowing for the concrete to achieve the design strength. Therefore, on test day, the strain gauges' cable was linked to the switch box connected to the data logger to ensure the functional sequences. Regarding the rod extensometer, galvanized iron (GI) pipes were tied to the main reinforced cage with steel wires at each terminating depth (Figure 9). A mild steel rod (10 mm) was inserted until it touched the bottom of the pipe. In addition, a steel plate was welded to the rod's end for the plunger to sit on along the experiment (Figure 10).

2.4. Site Condition

In the current paper, two full-scale maintained static load experiments on bored-piles are conducted. The first experiment (BP# 1) was performed at Cadangan Pembangunan, Lorong Stonor, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. The test pile was initially loaded up to 2 times to pile structural capacity, therefore, regarding BP# 1 with the structural capacity of 22,200 kN, the nominal diameter of 1,800 mm with a penetration depth of 36.95 m from the current piling platform level is RL 36.25 m. The pile was initially examined by up to 44,400 kN (2 x working load) in two loading cycles. The second test (BP# 2) was applied
at Utama Lodge, Jalan Senangria, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. The summary of soil types, besides the SPT-N values measured near the pile location, are illustrated in Table 2. Noting that the soil stratum was classified according to the unified soil classification system.

**Table 2.** Soil profile for tested bored pile after the standard penetration test (SPT).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Pile</th>
<th>Soil Stratum</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>SPT-N Values *</th>
<th>Average SPT-N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BP# 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Stiff Sandy Silt with little gravel</td>
<td>0–8</td>
<td>3–16</td>
<td>15.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Very Stiff Sandy Silt with little gravel</td>
<td>8–10</td>
<td>16–50</td>
<td>27.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>Hard Yellowish Sandy Silt with little gravel</td>
<td>10–17</td>
<td>50–111</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>Hard Yellowish Sandy Silt with little gravel</td>
<td>17–24</td>
<td>111–150</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>Fractured limestone</td>
<td>24–36.95</td>
<td>143–150</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP# 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1</td>
<td>Sandy SILT</td>
<td>0–12</td>
<td>4–30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2</td>
<td>Sandy CLAY</td>
<td>12–17</td>
<td>19–39</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>SILT</td>
<td>18–23</td>
<td>54–125</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>Weathered Sandstone</td>
<td>25–31.65</td>
<td>176–200</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Note: SPT-N is the number of SPT driven into the ground (e.g., at the bottom of a borehole) by blows from a slide hammer with a mass of 63.5 kg.

The gauges were investigated prior and after installation, after cage placement in the borehole, and after concreting. The strain gauges’ signal cables were reserved for testing approximately after 28 days, allowing for the concrete to achieve the design strength. Therefore, on test day, the strain gauges’ cable was linked to the switch box connected to the data logger to ensure the functional sequences. Regarding the rod extensometer, galvanized iron (GI) pipes were tied to the main reinforced cage with steel wires at each terminating depth (Figure 9). A mild steel rod (10 mm) was inserted until it touched the bottom of the pipe. In addition, a steel plate was welded to the rod’s end for the plunger to sit on along the experiment (Figure 10).
3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Stress–Strain Variation in the Piles

Stress distributions along the piles (BP# 1 and BP# 2) in two continuous loading/unloading cycles have been illustrated in Figures 11–14, showing that in a specified load, normal stress used in the pile’s surface area is reduced by depth. Therefore, the reduction rate varied along with the pile—it is low in soft soil layers (having low SPT-N) but sharp in stiff layers (with high SPT-N). These results indicate great skin friction capacity of soil where rapid change between two different depths.

Tosini et al. [41] have declared that the forecast of deep foundation settlements in a layered soil profile is not always straightforward due to the problems in value defining of mechanical parameters affecting them. Distribution of average change and their cycles, in both strains (BP# 1 & BP# 2), in addition to the distribution of back-calculated modulus of concrete as $E_c$ (kN/mm$^2$) in both strains (BP# 1 & BP# 2) are shown in Figures 15 and 16. In BP# 1, $E_c$ for the entire length remained constant at 25 kN/mm$^2$ except at depths below 5 m within stiff sandy silt with little gravel when the higher load showed slightly lower $E_c$ (Figure 15a). Therefore, the results obtained for $E_c$ (in BP# 2) changed based on the depth and applied load. The lower applied stress through the first cycle provided minor changes in $E_c$ distribution along the pile length. However, higher applied load amounts caused a higher change in $E_c$ with depth (Figure 15). The highest and lowest $E_c$ for the depth = 17.816 m (in BP# 2) were 38.2 and 33.9 kN/mm$^2$, respectively, for the applied stress of 1,750 kPa and 12,904 kPa. The reverse relation of $E_c$ with applied stress occurred when higher applied stress on the pile cap showed lower $E_c$ (Figure 16a). Consequently, the highest variation in $E_c$ was observed within the silty and/or sandy silt layers, while the lowest change in $E_c$ was measured at the weathered sandstone zone at depths above 20 m (Figure 16b).
These results indicate great skin friction capacity of soil where rapid change between two different depths. Tosini et al. [41] have declared that the forecast of deep foundation settlements in a layered soil profile is not always straightforward due to the problems in value defining of mechanical parameters affecting them. Distribution of average change and their cycles, in both strains (BP# 1 & BP# 2), in addition to the distribution of back-calculated modulus of concrete as $E_o (kN/mm^2)$ in both strains (BP# 1 & BP# 2) are shown in Figures 15 and 16. In BP# 1, $E_o$ for the entire length remained constant at 25 kN/m$^2$ except at depths below 5 m within stiff sandy silt with little gravel when the higher load showed slightly lower $E_o$ (Figure 15a). Therefore, the results obtained for $E_o$ (in BP# 2) changed based on the depth and applied load. The lower applied stress through the first cycle provided minor changes in $E_o$ distribution along the pile length. However, higher applied load amounts caused a higher change in $E_o$ with depth (Figure 15). The highest and lowest $E_o$ for the depth $= 17.816$ m (in BP # 2) were 38.2 and 33.9 kN/m$^2$, respectively, for the applied stress of 1,750 kPa and 12,904 kPa. The reverse relation of $E_o$ with applied stress occurred when higher applied stress on the pile cap showed lower $E_o$ (Figure 16a). Consequently, the highest variation in $E_o$ was observed within the silty and/or sandy silt layers, while the lowest change in $E_o$ was measured at the weathered sandstone zone at depths above 20 m (Figure 16b). Average SPT-N and unit skin friction in BP# 1 & BP# 2 presented in Figure 17, indicate the range of ultimate skin friction with value change of SPT-N. Furthermore, in multilayer site conditions, the least SPT-N sum for a soil layer provided the least unit skin friction and vice versa. The outcome derived from GSE sensors was computed according to the displacement amounts recorded by the sensors. A higher alteration for the recorded axial force within two continuous levels provided a larger unit skin friction for a specified soil layer (Figure 17).

Figure 11. Stress distribution measured along BP# 1 in (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.
Figure 11. Stress distribution measured along BP# 1 in (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.

Figure 12. Stress distribution measured along BP# 2 in (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.

Figure 12. Stress distribution measured along BP# 2 in (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.
Figure 13. Distribution of average change in strain measured along BP# 1 in (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.
Figure 14. Distribution of average change in strain measured along BP# 2 in (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.
Figure 15. Distribution of back-calculated elastic modulus of concrete, $E_c$ (kN/mm$^2$) for BP# 1; (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.
Figure 16. Distribution of back-calculated elastic modulus of concrete, $E_c$ (kN/mm$^2$) for BP# 2; (a) 1st cycle and (b) 2nd cycle.
Average SPT-N and unit skin friction in BP# 1 & BP# 2 presented in Figure 17, indicate the range of ultimate skin friction with value change of SPT-N. Furthermore, in multilayer site conditions, the least SPT-N sum for a soil layer provided the least unit skin friction and vice versa. The outcome derived from GSE sensors was computed according to the displacement amounts recorded by the sensors. A higher alteration for the recorded axial force within two continuous levels provided a larger unit skin friction for a specified soil layer (Figure 17).

3.2. Pile Movement Monitoring

Zhang et al. [42] state that the stress load-settlement curves reflect (1) the pile–soil interaction law, (2) the load transfer law, and (3) the pile load destruction mode. According to the previous explanations, the pile top and base settlements have been monitored for each load increment by applying the dial and strain gauges. Applied stress alteration versus total pile top and base settlement for two well-instrumented field tests (BP# 1, and BP# 2) in multilayered soils are presented in Figures 18 and 19, respectively. In the 1st cycle, the highest sighted pile top settlement at loading 22,418 kN was 9.60 mm. During unloading to zero, the pile was rebounded to a residual settlement of 0.36 mm. On the contrary, in the 2nd cycle, the maximum sighted pile top settlement at the peak load of 44,036 kN was 24.63 mm, so during unloading to zero, the pile was rebounded to a residual settlement of 5.34 mm. Similarly, residual settlements of 2.4 mm and 6.58 mm were recorded for the BP# 1 and BP# 2, respectively. According to Omer et al. [7], the variation of $f_s(z)$ with depth ($z$) is affected by different parameters including pile–soil properties, such as (1) pile–soil interface geometry and slip properties, (2) stress performance on the pile–soil interface, (3) pile installment technique, and (4) pile load method and ratio.
Figure 18. Different applied stresses versus total pile top settlement in (a) BP# 1 and (b) BP# 2.
Different total pile settlement versus time during BP# 1 and BP# 2 is presented in Figure 20. The settlement rate in the pile’s head is almost linear in the loading steps. However, when unloading begins, the settlement rate of unloading steps significantly increased depending on the loading/unloading sequences, showing that the loading time for the second cycle of BP# 1 and BP# 2 were 400 and 600 min. The measured settlement on the pile’s head was rebounded to permanent vertical deformation of 5.34 mm and 8.55 mm for both tests (BP# 1 and BP# 2) after unloading to zero.
Correspondingly, the highest settlements of 24.63 mm and 19.54 mm were recorded for the pile’s head vertical deformation.

Some of the critical factors that were considered to be constant during pile load tests (e.g., BP# 1 and BP# 2.) were (i) piling technology, (ii) concrete maturity, (iii) the location of the groundwater, and most importantly, and (iv) the soil parameters (e.g., both mechanical and physical properties changes). Such a problem may affect $E_c$ measurement during the pile servicing period. For instance, it is established that piling technology will influence soil–pile interactions [43–45]. Piling techniques

Figure 20. Variation of the total pile settlement versus time during pile test in (a) BP# 1 and (b) BP# 2.
that lead to changes in soil properties can affect the axial force, load-displacement response, and tip resistance of each model pile. In most cases, to assess such influences, a large number of small-scale experimental works, or real-scale numerical and analytical studies are helpful.

On the one hand, the other factor that can influence the results of such investigations is concrete maturity [46,47]. Concrete maturity factor reveals the amount of concrete strength gain during the curing period, which is typically challenging to be taken into consideration as a separate variable on the full-scale experimental programme. It is important to note that the lack of such information may cause a significant change in the load-settlement behaviors of the pile during its working lifetime. Factors such as soil properties (e.g., shear strength parameters such as soil internal friction angle, cohesion, etc.) as well as groundwater levels, are primary terms that can remarkably alter the soil–pile responses to heavy external loadings. As an example, saturating the soil can cause soil shear strength reduction, which will influence the pile settlement as well as reducing the pile bearing capacities [48]. Another critical issue that can increase the complexity of soil–pile reactions, as well as load-settlement responses, as highlighted by Chisari et al. [49]. In the study provided by Chisari et al. [49], the influence of dynamic and static loading conditions (e.g., for identification of the primary material properties of a base-isolated bridge) are investigated. Their results showed that static identification is much less complicated compared to dynamic analysis. Although the current study covers the static load test, future work could evaluate the effect of a dynamic loading test in real-time monitoring of $Y_c$.

4. Conclusions

The main objective of this study was to find a reliable estimation of the $E_c$ in the installed bored pile. Two full-scale maintained a static loads test on instrumented bored-piles had been conducted in Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia to obtain a reliable range for ultimate skin friction with SPT-N value (i.e., blow counts) alteration. The effects of geologically weak zones through the layered soil ground conditions in crucial parameter-design changing such as elastic concrete modulus and strain–stress along the piles have also been researched. The details of the conclusion are as follows.

- Distribution of concrete modulus has been measured from the stress–strain behavior of tested piles and using back analysis. In BP# 1, along with the pile, the $E_c$ value is almost constant at 25 kN/mm², however, this value is between 30 and 45 kN/mm² in BP# 2. This has indicated that the applied stress is the dominant factor in $E_c$ alteration because of the various soil reaction systems responding to the stresses released from the pile. The maximum change has been measured at the pile’s head where the maximum stresses have been recorded, in contrast, $E_c$ variation at the pile’s toe is negligible since the least stresses have been applied in the pile base. The stresses of the pile have been declined by the depth because the skin friction of the pile has carried a large portion of applied load in the pile. Maximum permanent (plastic) deformations of 24.63 mm and 19.54 mm have been measured in the pile head for BP# 1 & BP# 2 correspondent to applied stresses of 17305 kPa ($p = 44306 $kN) and 16430 kPa ($p = 12905 $kN). The pile top settlement has been rebounded to a residual value of 2.4 mm and 8.55 mm in BP# 1 & BP# 2 after unloading to zero.

- The obtained result will be helpful for real-time assessment of bored pile during its service life. However, this should be noted that due to the heterogeneous characteristics of the soil, the measured $E_c$ of the tested soil may vary. Variables such as concrete maturity, piling technology, soil parameters, and groundwater level can have a significant influence on the soil-pile interactions as well as concrete pile characteristics.
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List of Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAPWAP</td>
<td>control and provisioning of wireless access points</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ds</td>
<td>shaft diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FE</td>
<td>finite element</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRP</td>
<td>fiber-reinforced plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GI</td>
<td>galvanized iron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSE</td>
<td>global strain extensometer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSDT</td>
<td>high strain dynamic testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVDTs</td>
<td>linear variation displacement transducers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARS</td>
<td>multivariate adaptive regression spline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLT</td>
<td>maintained load test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSE</td>
<td>pile structure embankments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>standard penetration test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPT-N</td>
<td>standard penetration test—number of blows</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBM</td>
<td>tunnel boring machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWLC</td>
<td>vibrating wire load cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VWSG</td>
<td>vibrating wire strain gauges</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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