The Potential of a Nanostructured Titanium Oxide Layer with Self-Assembled Monolayers for Biomedical Applications: Surface Properties and Biomechanical Behaviors
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Abstract: This study investigated the surface properties and biomechanical behaviors of a nanostructured titanium oxide (TiO) layer with different self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of phosphonate on the surface of microscope slides. The surface properties of SAMs were analyzed using scanning electron microscopy, X-ray photoemission spectroscopy, and contact angle goniometry. Biomechanical behaviors were evaluated using nanoindentation with a diamond Berkovich indenter. Analytical results indicated that the homogenous nanostructured TiO surface was formed on the substrate surface after the plasma oxidation treatment. As the TiO surface was immersed with 11-phosphonoundecanoic acid solution (PUA-SAM/TiO), the formation of a uniform SAM can be observed on the sample surface. Moreover, the binding energy of O 1s demonstrated the presence of the bisphosphonate monolayer on the SAMs-coated samples. It was also found that the PUA-SAM/TiO sample not only possessed a higher wettability performance, but also exhibited low surface contact stiffness. A SAM surface with a high wettability and low contact stiffness could potentially promote biocompatibility and prevent the formation of a stress shielding effect. Therefore, the self-assembled technology is a promising approach that can be applied to the surface modification of biomedical implants for facilitating bone healing and osseointegration.
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1. Introduction

Pure titanium (Ti) is one of the most common metallic biomaterials that has been used in artificial implants owing to its favorable mechanical properties, anti-corrosion performances, excellent biocompatibility, and so on [1–5]. However, there are still some drawbacks from Ti implants that should be considered for improvement, such as the native oxide on Ti being bioinert, which only physically contacts with bone tissue, and the mismatch in Young’s modulus between the Ti implant (70–110 GPa) and alveolar bone tissue (3–40 GPa) [6,7]. The mismatch in Young’s modulus leads to the formation of stress shielding, and bone resorption and loss, as well as poor in vivo osseointegration. Moreover, the surface characteristics of a Ti implant will affect the resulting cell adhesion, proliferation, differentiation, and active-matrix remodeling behaviors [8–11]. In order to improve these drawbacks, numerous studies have been done to create functionalized surfaces on Ti implants through different surface modification methods [9,12–14]. It is well known that sand-blasting or plasma spraying method is applied to increase the surface roughness of Ti implants [15,16]. An appropriate surface roughness results in an improved mechanical interlock between the Ti implant and bone tissue [17,18]. For chemical etching, alkaline treatment, and chemical deposition methods, many reports have demonstrated that the surface morphology and chemical composition of Ti implants could be modified effectively [19,20]. Thus, these kinds of surface modification methods were adopted to alter the physical and chemical properties of Ti surface in the present study.

Recently, our research team has focused on developing a novel and efficient method to immobilize biomolecules on the Ti oxide (TiO) layer [14] to attract cells of the host tissue, which then produce their own extracellular matrix and actively remodel the environment. However, we also found that using a self-assembled monolayer (SAM) is a promising method that can be used to immobilize the molecular chain on materials for fabricating surfaces with highly controlled chemical properties [21–23]. SAMs have been applied in industrial fields, such as to protect metal from corrosion, promote coating adhesion, enhance lubrication, lithography resists and photonic devices, etc. Nevertheless, there is a great idea of interest in forming SAMs of phosphonate on the surface of a metal oxide layer using alkyl phosphate and phosphonic acid solutions as appropriate anchor groups for biomedical applications [24–26]. The SAM plays an important role in modifying the surface properties of Ti. Based on the improvement of Ti implants, the purpose of the present study was to fabricate the biocompatible SAMs on a TiO layer using four kinds of phosphonic acid solutions via self-assembly technology and investigate their surface properties and biomechanical behaviors. The research findings could provide new scientific information in the dentistry fields.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Substrata

Microscope slides with a thickness of 1 mm were used as the substrata in this study. The substrata were prepared as a square with dimensions of 10 mm × 10 mm. Before the surface treatment, the glass substrata were ultrasonically cleaned according to the sequence of acetone (30 min), ethanol (30 min), and distilled water (30 min), and then dried thoroughly in an electric drying oven at 30 °C.

2.2. Preparation of SAMs on the Nanostructured TiO layer

The radio-frequency plasma (13.56 MHz generator) combined with a magnetron sputtering (Ti target, 99.99% purity) system was employed to deposit the TiO film on the microscope slides at 1 kW for 30 min under a chamber running pressure of 5.0 × 10⁻³ Torr, oxygen flow rate of 30 sccm, and temperature of 25 °C (TiO is an inorganic chemical compound of Ti and O, which can be formed on a Ti metal surface through the plasma ion bombardment method [27]; the plasma exothermic reaction provides a vital driving force for the transport of O species at lattice or interstitial sites to form the TiO/Ti). After the deposition process, the TiO-coated samples were ultrasonically cleaned according to the sequence of ethanol (10 min) and distilled water (10 min). Subsequently, the TiO-coated
samples were immediately immersed in four kinds of self-assembled phosphonic acid solutions (hexadecylphosphonic acid (HDPA, Sigma-Aldrich, Taipei, Taiwan), decylphosphonic acid (NDPA, Sigma-Aldrich, Taipei, Taiwan), 11-phosphonoundecanoic acid (PUA, Sigma-Aldrich, Taipei, Taiwan), or 16-phosphonohexadecanoic acid (PHA, Sigma-Aldrich, Taipei, Taiwan)) with a concentration of 1 mM at 25 °C for 24 h. Hereafter, the investigated samples were rinsed twice with distilled water for 10 min and finally annealed at 120 °C for 24 h in an oven. For easy classification, the TiO surface immersed with HDPA, NDPA, PUA, or PHA is denoted as HDPA-SAM/TiO, NDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO, respectively. Moreover, the TiO surface without treatment is denoted as the control group. The chemical structure of the four kinds of self-assembled phosphonic acid solutions are shown in Figure 1.

![Chemical structures of the four kinds of self-assembled phosphonic acid solutions.](image)

**Figure 1.** Chemical structures of the four kinds of self-assembled phosphonic acid solutions.

2.3. Analysis of Surface Characteristics

The field-emission scanning electron microscope (FE-SEM; JEOL JSM-6500F, Tokyo, Japan) accompanied by an energy-dispersive X-ray spectrometer (INCA, Abingdon, UK) was utilized to observe the surface morphology of the investigated samples under an operating voltage of 20 kV. The X-ray photoemission spectrometer with a monochromatic Al Kα radiation source (XPS; MICROTECH MT-500, Manchester, UK) was employed to analyze the investigated samples under an incidence angle of 2°. For the detection of chemical compositions, a 15-nm diameter X-ray beam was used to obtain high-resolution scans. The spectrum was curve-fitted with a Gaussian–Lorentzian peak model before analysis.

2.4. Wettability Evaluation

Based on the sessile drop method, the wettability of the investigated samples was evaluated using phosphate buffer saline solution (Gibco pH 7.4, Waltham, MA, USA) as a measurement substance (phosphate buffer saline solution is a nonpolar, unbondable, and low-viscosity liquid, and thus it is one of the adequate measurement substances used for wettability evaluation [28]). A droplet with a volume of ≈5 µL was dropped on each investigated sample and the droplet was kept for 5 s through its own surface tension. Hereafter, the contact angle of the droplet on each investigated sample was measured using the contact angle goniometer with an optical subsystem (GBX DGD-DL, Drôme, France). An average of five drops per sample were used in the wettability evaluation.
2.5. Nano-Indentation Measurement

The surface contact stiffness of the investigated samples was measured using nano-indentation with a diamond Berkovich indenter (Asmec-UNAT-M, Dresden, Germany) under a 10 mN of load force with 5 s of dwell time. An average of three tests per sample was conducted in the study.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

The measured data are presented as mean ± standard deviation. Student’s t-test was applied to analyze the measured data. Measurements were considered to be statistically significant when the p-value was less than or equal to 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Topography Characteristics

Figure 2 shows the FE-SEM micrographs of the investigated samples. It is clear that the homogenous nanostructured TiO layer was deposited on the substrate surface (Figure 2a). After being immersed with four kinds of self-assembled phosphonic acid solutions, it was found that some aggregated island-like structures (as indicated by black arrows) were formed on the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample surface (Figure 2b). A similar topography feature can also be observed on the NDPA-SAM/TiO sample surface (Figure 2c). When the TiO sample was immersed with the PUA solution, the formation of larger aggregated island-like structures on the surface of the PHA-SAM/TiO sample took place (Figure 2e). The amount of the aggregated island-like structures in the PHA-SAM/TiO sample was more than that of the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample and NDPA-SAM/TiO sample.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** The SEM micrographs of investigated samples: (a) control TiO sample, (b) HDPA-SAM/TiO sample, (c) NDPA-SAM/TiO sample, (d) PUA-SAM/TiO sample, and (e) PHA-SAM/TiO sample.

3.2. Surface Chemical Bonding States

Figure 3a presents the high-resolution XPS survey spectrum of the TiO sample. It indicated that different chemical compositions of O, Ti, and C could be detected in the oxide layer using XPS. The O 1s, Ti 2p, and C 1s peaks were observed at ≈530 eV, ≈458 eV, and ≈285 eV, respectively. The regional scan of the O 1s peak taken from the oxide layer of the TiO sample is displayed in Figure 3b. The binding energy revealed that three characteristic components were mainly bonded on the surface layer after the plasma treatment. The three dominant peaks at ≈531 eV, ≈530 eV, and ≈529 eV corresponded to O–Ti, Ti–O\(_2\), and O–Ti–O, respectively. The three dominant peaks proved that there was an oxide layer.
formation. It was also found that the concentration percentage of O and Ti in the surface layer of the TiO sample was around 32.4% and 10.1%, respectively. The result demonstrated that the TiO film could be completely synthesized on the substrate surface. There was no presence of P in the surface layer of the TiO sample. However, additional peaks assigned to P could be detected at ≈133 eV (as indicated by black arrows) on the SAMs’ coated surfaces, as illustrated in Figure 4. The concentration percentage of P in the surface layer of the HDPA-SAM/TiO, NDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples was around 2.3%, 4.1%, 1.4%, and 2.6%, respectively. Figure 5a displays the high-resolution XPS spectrum of O 1s of the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample. Clearly, the bonding states of P–O (≈532 eV), P–Ti–O (≈530 eV), and O–Ti (≈529 eV) were detected in the surface layer using XPS. In the regional scan of the P 2p peak (Figure 5b), the binding energy indicated that the component characteristics of P–O (≈133 eV) and P=O (≈132 eV) were bonded in the surface layer. A similar tendency of the bonding states could also be found in the surface layer of the NDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples. Accordingly, the XPS results demonstrated that the SAMs of phosphonate could be formed on the surface of the TiO layer through Ti–O–P linkages.

![XPS spectrum of O 1s of the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample](image)

**Figure 3.** (a) The high-resolution XPS survey spectrum of the TiO sample and (b) the regional scans of O 1s peaks taken from the TiO sample surface.
Figure 3. (a) The high-resolution XPS survey spectrum of the TiO sample and (b) the regional scans of O 1s peaks taken from the TiO sample surface.

Figure 4. The high-resolution XPS survey spectra of the SAMs-coated samples.

Figure 5. (a) the high-resolution XPS spectrum of O 1s of the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample and (b) the regional scans of P 2p peaks taken from the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample surface.

Figure 6. The average contact angle results of the investigated samples. According to the optical subsystem measurement, it was found that the average contact angles of the control, HDPA-SAM/TiO, NDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples were 81.7 ± 0.9°, 83.3 ± 0.9°, 90.3 ± 0.4°, 68.8 ± 0.7°, and 78.9 ± 1.2°, respectively (*p < 0.05). Evidently, it indicated that the NDPA-SAM/TiO sample exhibited surface hydrophobicity since its contact angle was slightly larger than 90°. Hydrophobic surfaces are usually defined as having water contact angles greater than or equal to 90°. Contrarily, the water contact angle of a hydrophilic surface is typically less than 90°. Thus, the control, HDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples possessed hydrophilic surfaces. However, the PUA-SAM/TiO sample had the best hydrophilic surface as compared to the other investigated samples.
3.3. Characterization of the Surface Wettability

Figure 6 gives the average contact angle results of the investigated samples. According to the optical subsystem measurement, it was found that the average contact angles of the control, HDPA-SAM/TiO, NDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples were 81.7 ± 0.9°, 83.3 ± 0.9°, 90.3 ± 0.4°, 68.8 ± 0.7°, and 78.9 ± 1.2°, respectively (* p < 0.05). Evidently, it indicated that the NDPA-SAM/TiO sample exhibited surface hydrophobicity since its contact angle was slightly larger than 90°. Hydrophobic surfaces are usually defined as having water contact angles greater than or equal to 90°. Contrarily, the water contact angle of a hydrophilic surface is typically less than 90°. Thus, the control, HDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples possessed hydrophilic surfaces. However, the PUA-SAM/TiO sample had the best hydrophilic surface as compared to the other investigated samples.
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**Figure 6.** The average contact angle results of the investigated samples (* p < 0.05).

3.4. Variation of the Surface Contact Stiffness

Figure 7 depicts the surface contact stiffness results of the investigated samples after nano-indentation. As shown, the surface contact stiffness slightly increased with increasing penetration depth. Based on the nano-indentation measurement, it was found that the average surface contact stiffness of the control, HDPA-SAM/TiO, NDPA-SAM/TiO, PUA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples were approximately 20.7 ± 1.2 mN/µm, 13.8 ± 0.7 mN/µm, 12.5 ± 1.1 mN/µm, 12.1 ± 0.8 mN/µm, and 11.7 ± 0.5 mN/µm, respectively (* p < 0.05). The control TiO sample exhibited the maximum surface contact stiffness in comparison to the SAMs-coated samples. However, there was no significant difference between the SAMs-coated samples in terms of the surface contact stiffness.
which induced more organophosphorus compounds to bond with the O atoms. Typically, there are two phosphonic acids of different chain lengths and terminal functional groups were chosen: (i) CH₂−A=alkyl, aryl) contained in the phosphonic acids [29,30]. They easily bond with O in the oxide layer to form the SAMs via Ti−O−P linkages [26]. Therefore, the SAMs-coated samples with a higher molecular weight caused the formation of non-uniform layered interfaces. Similar topography characteristics can also be found in a report by Diebold and Clarke [31]. On the contrary, the PUA-SAM/TiO sample had the great potential to form a uniform SAM surface. Moreover, chemical bonding states of the control and SAMs-coated samples were further analyzed using XPS. Based on the XPS results, the formation of a homogenous nanostructured TiO layer on the substrate surface can be fabricated using an oxygen plasma treatment [13,32]. An exothermic plasma reaction generates some of O₂, O*, and O²− species or radicals to fix at lattice or interstitial sites [13]. This reaction offers a key driving force for the transport of O species to form the TiO/Ti or TiO₂/Ti [33]. There was no detectable P at its corresponding binding energy, which demonstrated that there was no organic compound contaminants on the surface of the control TiO sample. After being coated with different SAMs, the binding energy of O 1s indicated that the component characteristics of P=O (≈532 eV), P−Ti−O (≈530 eV), and O−Ti (≈529 eV) were bonded in the surface layer. The three O 1s signals confirmed the formation of the bisphosphonate monolayer on the SAMs-coated samples [34,35]. Meanwhile the use of the C 1s signal for quantification purposes was not considered because it is difficult to accurately determine the uncertainty of C on the Ti surface [36]. Furthermore, Zdziennicka et al. [37] indicated that the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance of the investigated surface covered by various substances depends on the orientation of the surface molecules and density of the surface layer. For surface modification of the TiO surface, phosphonic acids of different chain lengths and terminal functional groups were chosen: (i) CH₃ as a

The surface contact stiffness results of the investigated samples after nano-indentation (* p < 0.05).

4. Discussion

In this study, four kinds of self-assembled phosphonic acid solutions were used to coat the SAMs of phosphonate on the TiO layers. However, it was clear that there was the presence of larger aggregated island-like structures on the surfaces of the HDPA-SAM/TiO, NDPA-SAM/TiO, and PHA-SAM/TiO samples, as shown in Figure 2. The amount of the aggregated island-like structures in the PHA-SAM/TiO sample was more than that of the HDPA-SAM/TiO sample and NDPA-SAM/TiO sample. The formation of this feature could be attributed to the higher molecular weights in HDPA, NDPA, and PHA, which induced more organophosphorus compounds to bond with the O atoms. Typically, there are two organophosphorus compounds C−PO(OH)₂ or C−PO(OA)₂ groups (where A = alkyl, aryl) contained in the phosphonic acids [29,30]. They easily bond with O in the oxide layer to form the SAMs via Ti−O−P linkages [26]. Therefore, the SAMs-coated samples with a higher molecular weight caused the formation of non-uniform layered interfaces. Similar topography characteristics can also be found in a report by Diebold and Clarke [31]. On the contrary, the PUA-SAM/TiO sample had the great potential to form a uniform SAM surface. Moreover, chemical bonding states of the control and SAMs-coated samples were further analyzed using XPS. Based on the XPS results, the formation of a homogenous nanostructured TiO layer on the substrate surface can be fabricated using an oxygen plasma treatment [13,32]. An exothermic plasma reaction generates some of O₂, O*, and O²− species or radicals to fix at lattice or interstitial sites [13]. This reaction offers a key driving force for the transport of O species to form the TiO/Ti or TiO₂/Ti [33]. There was no detectable P at its corresponding binding energy, which demonstrated that there was no organic compound contaminants on the surface of the control TiO sample. After being coated with different SAMs, the binding energy of O 1s indicated that the component characteristics of P=O (≈532 eV), P−Ti−O (≈530 eV), and O−Ti (≈529 eV) were bonded in the surface layer. The three O 1s signals confirmed the formation of the bisphosphonate monolayer on the SAMs-coated samples [34,35]. Meanwhile the use of the C 1s signal for quantification purposes was not considered because it is difficult to accurately determine the uncertainty of C on the Ti surface [36]. Furthermore, Zdziennicka et al. [37] indicated that the hydrophilic–hydrophobic balance of the investigated surface covered by various substances depends on the orientation of the surface molecules and density of the surface layer. For surface modification of the TiO surface, phosphonic acids of different chain lengths and terminal functional groups were chosen: (i) CH₃ as a
hydrophobic and non-reactive group (HDPA-SAM/TiO and NDPA-SAM/TiO samples) and (ii) COOH as a hydrophilic and reactive group (PUA-SAM/TiO and PHA-SAM/TiO samples). Thus, their terminal functional groups (CH$_3$/COOH) will determine the surface properties of the modified substrates. According to the results (Figure 6), surfaces with CH$_3$-terminated SAMs were slightly hydrophobic and surfaces with COOH-terminated SAMs were more hydrophilic compared with the TiO surface. The results for these investigated SAMs were quite reasonable. However, surfaces with CH$_3$-terminated SAMs should be more hydrophobic than the TiO surface if their SAMs are compact and homogeneous. In the case of stable, compact SAM where alkyl chains are connected via van der Waals interactions, only a terminal functional group is in direct contact with the solvent drop. Therefore, the presence of a COOH-terminated group on the compact and homogeneous SAM surface (PUA-SAM/TiO sample), which contributes to the reactive group of hydrophilic, thus enhances the surface wettability [26]. It is well known that a surface with a higher wettability property promotes protein adsorption and improves surface biocompatibility [38,39]. In addition, the contact stiffness values did differ significantly between TiO and SAMs-coated surfaces (Figure 7). The SAMs-coated surfaces exhibited a decrease in stiffness as a consequence of the formation of the bisphosphonate monolayer, which could disperse stress concentration, hence decreasing the stress shielding effect [40]. The formation of stress shielding can cause a loss of bone tissue around the implant and poor osseointegration [41–43]. Accordingly, the PUA-SAM/TiO sample is believed to prevent the stress shielding effect and possess better in vivo bone regeneration ability in comparison to the control sample and the other SAMs-coated samples. However, further studies should be performed to provide additional information concerning the biocompatibility, cell morphology, and bone healing in the presence of a PUA-SAM/TiO sample.

5. Conclusions

The homogenous nanostructured TiO layer could be deposited on the glass substrate surface by means of the plasma oxidation method. After being immersed with four kinds of phosphonic acid solutions, the SAMs of phosphonate could be tightly bonded with the TiO layer through Ti–O–P linkages. The PUA-SAM/TiO sample exhibited a uniform surface topography, higher wettability, and low surface contact stiffness compared with other SAMs-coated samples. Therefore, the formation of SAMs of phosphonate onto TiO oxide layer provides an effective method to anchor bone-promoting bisphosphonates from a phosphonic acid solution onto biomedical Ti implants with a facilitated bone regeneration capacity. It has great potential for being a biofunctional surface for biomedical Ti implant applications.
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