Process Parameters Optimization of Thin-Wall Machining for Wire Arc Additive Manufactured Parts
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Featured Application: This work aims at supporting process parameter selection for machining thin-walled components made by additive manufacturing. Machining industries, especially the ones performing both additive and milling, could benefit from the potential application of such an approach.

Abstract: Additive manufacturing (AM) is an arising production process due to the possibility to produce monolithic components with complex shapes with one single process and without the need for special tooling. AM-produced parts still often require a machining phase, since their surface finish is not compliant with the strict requirements of the most advanced markets, such as aerospace, energy, and defense. Since reduced weight is a key requirement for these parts, they feature thin walls and webs, usually characterized by low stiffness, requiring the usage of low productivity machining parameters. The idea of this paper is to set up an approach which is able to predict the dynamics of a thin-walled part produced using AM. The knowledge of the workpiece dynamics evolution throughout the machining process can be used to carry out cutting parameter optimization with different objectives (e.g., chatter avoidance, force vibrations reduction). The developed approach exploits finite element (FE) analysis to predict the workpiece dynamics during the machining process, updating its changing geometry. The developed solution can automatically optimize the toolpath for the machining operation, generated by any Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM) software updating spindle speed in accordance with the selected optimization strategies. The developed approach was tested using as a test case an airfoil.
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1. Introduction

Lightweight constructions are becoming more and more important for many industries, such as power generation, aerospace, automotive and medical technology. The functional requirements of these parts often lead to the inclusion of thin-walled features in the design of such components. Due to the strict strength and fatigue requirements, such structures are often machined from the bulk as monolithic components, removing a large amount of material to create the final product. Usually this is achieved through intensive milling operations, removing up to 95% of the stock volume, to create the final geometry [1].

An arising trend in thin-walled parts manufacturing is the introduction of additive manufacturing (AM) in the process chain. Indeed, AM allows one to deposit only the material needed for the thin-walled structures, considerably reducing the material waste. This is a critical issue, especially when high cost materials are used, such as titanium or nickel-based alloys. Nowadays, many different AM processes are available on the market [2]: powder bed fusion processes such as electron beam...
melting or selective laser melting enable the manufacturing of extremely complex geometries, but have strict limitations on the part size [3]; on the other hand, directed energy deposition processes such as laser deposition [4] or wire-arc-additive-manufacturing (WAAM) [5] enable the manufacturing of large parts with a limited features resolution. Despite the great advancements undergone by the AM processes in terms of material quality [6] and repeatability, these technologies often do not meet the dimensional and surface finishing requirements prescribed by the applications [7]. For this reason, milling operations are usually carried out after AM, to correct inaccuracies and improve the surface quality [8]. Therefore, including AM in the process chain requires carrying out machining of thin-walled components. This is a critical operation due to the low stiffness of such workpieces, making them prone to forced vibrations, chatter [9], and deflection issues [10], being responsible for surface location errors [11] and poor surface finish [12,13].

The general approach used to prevent these issues is to limit the material removal rate (MRR) by means of conservative machining parameters. This generates a reduction in the cutting forces, hence limiting workpieces’ vibrations at the expense of reduced productivity. Counteracting process vibrations without affecting the productivity requires the knowledge of workpiece dynamic behavior, i.e., the frequency response functions (FRFs), at each driving point triggered during the milling cycle [14,15]. This is hardly practicable through experimental techniques, such as impact testing, since the workpiece FRFs change during the milling operation, due to the material removal process [16]. Moreover, in a thin-walled workpiece, the driving points’ FRFs are strongly dependent on the excitation point, resulting in a different dynamic behavior over the component. Finite element (FE) models are a convenient way of overcoming these issues, enabling a virtual identification of workpiece dynamic behavior at different driving points [17].

This paper proposes an innovative approach to identify the dynamic behavior of thin-walled workpieces during milling operations. The basis of the proposed technique is the identification of the workpiece dynamics through FE modelling using 2D shell elements [18]. This approach enables an efficient and accurate description of thin-walled structures’ dynamics. Moreover, the generation of a shell model could be easily automated exploiting AM deposition path, since it provides the information concerning the workpiece skeleton surface.

The proposed technique is divided into three main stages: (i) AM stock modelling, (ii) stock thickness updating and (iii) FRF identification. In the first step, a shell FE model of the deposed material is created. Then, the machine tool numerical control (NC) programming language, known as G-code, is analyzed through an automated algorithm that identifies the position of the tool–workpiece contact point and updates the stock geometry, modifying the shell element’s thickness. This information allows the system to generate an updated FE model of the workpiece that takes the material removal effect into account, enabling the accurate identification of its dynamic behavior. In the final step, the workpiece FRFs are calculated at the driving points (i.e., the tool–workpiece contact point) for every step of the machining process. This provides all the required information to optimize the milling process.

To prove the effectiveness and accuracy of the proposed dynamics identification technique, two specimens of a test case thin-walled component were manufactured through the WAAM process. In this work, the WAAM process was selected because its high productivity has the drawback of low accuracy and the need for an extensive subtractive process to realize the final product. However, the proposed approach could be applied to other directed energy deposition processes. A five-axis milling cycle was defined and analyzed using the proposed technique, identifying the evolution of workpiece dynamics. Then, the machining parameters (i.e., spindle speed and feed rate) were adjusted following two different optimization techniques. The two specimens were machined, interrupting the process to perform modal analysis, required to verify the accuracy of the proposed modelling technique. At the end of the milling process, both specimens were analyzed through surface measurements.
2. Additive Production of Thin Walled Component

The selected test case is an National Advisory Committee for Aeronautics 9403 airfoil, (NACA, USA) as shown in Figure 1. The stock to be machined was initially created using WAAM (Figure 1a) and a subsequent 5-axis milling step was used to obtain the final shape (in Figure 1b). In WAAM, subsequent layers of metal are selectively deposed using a gas metal arc-welding source, in which raw material in the form of wire is molten through an electric arc. This enables one to achieve high productivity and to manufacture very large components if compared with alternative AM processes [19].

![Diagram of deposition and milling process](image)

*Figure 1.* The selected test case after the deposition (a) and the milling process (b).

The airfoil was created depositing 41 layers of ER70S-6 (i.e., a standard filler metal for carbon steels) onto a brick-shaped substrate made of AISI 1040. The deposition was carried out using the process parameters presented in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current (A)</th>
<th>Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Deposition Speed (mm/min)</th>
<th>Wire Feed Speed (m/min)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Such parameters resulted in an average layer height of 1.8 mm and in a layer width of about 6.8 mm and have been selected based on previous studies [20]. The filler material was deposited following the airfoil camber line, creating a constant cross-sectional profile. The final shape of airfoil was achieved by the subsequent 5-axis milling process. The WAAM machine prototype developed by the Manufacturing technologies research laboratory (MTRL) of the University of Firenze was used to carry out the deposition [21]. The deposition process is shown in Figure 2a, while Figure 2b shows the airfoil after the WAAM step. Figure 2b highlights that a significant surface waviness issue affects the WAAM component, requiring a milling step, performed on Mori Seiki (Nagoya, Japan) NMV 1500 DCG milling machine, to meet the surface finishing requirement. As stated in the introduction, two specimens of the selected test case were manufactured. After the deposition step, the geometry of the WAAM parts was acquired through a Euro Apex C776 coordinates-measurement-machine (CMM), (Mitutoyo, Japan). This step allowed us to check the required machining allowances for the milling process. The CMM measurement operation is shown in Figure 3a, while Figure 3b shows the CMM measurements results. CMM measurements highlighted that the airfoil surfaces presented an average deviation from the reference surfaces of about 0.24 mm.
Figure 2. Wire-arc-additive-manufacturing (WAAM) deposition (a), airfoil after the deposition (b).

Figure 3. Coordinates-measurement-machine (CMM) measurement of the deposed airfoil (a), measured airfoil geometry (b).

3. Adopted Machining Cycle

The machining cycle adopted to produce the airfoil requires 5-axis machining capabilities to ensure the manufacturability of the part. The cycle is constituted by three phases: roughing, semi-finishing and finishing. The tool used for all the operations is a 2-flute 10 mm carbide ball end mill produced by cod. 207280 (Garant, Lengerich, Germany) designed for dry cutting condition on carbon steel. A morphing strategy was applied for the roughing phase to reach an offset geometry of the airfoil starting from the nearly constant thickness geometry produced by WAAM process. A 2 mm axial depth of cut was set for this operation. In Figure 4, the roughing operation is presented. The following machining parameters were selected, based on previous experiences of WAAM parts machining [22]: cutting speed 180 m/min and feed per tooth 0.062 mm/tooth. A machining allowance of 0.5 mm was left on the product, to be removed in the following operations. The Rotation Tool Center Point (RTCP) function was activated for the G-code set-up and a constant 30° tilt angle of the tool with respect to the airfoil surface was used. As described in Section 2, CMM measurement of the WAAM part was used to optimize the alignment between the final part and the stock. The oriented geometry of the airfoil was then used to create the toolpath thanks to the ESPRIT® (DP Technology, Camarillo, CA, USA) Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM) software. After the roughing cycle, semi-finishing and finishing operations were carried out to complete the manufacturing of the airfoil. The two cycles used
the same tilt angle of the roughing phase. The axial depth of cut adopted for the machining operations was 1 mm for semi-finishing and 0.5 mm for finishing. These steps were selected considering the expected surface finish.

4. Thin-Walled Dynamics Prediction

Thin wall dynamics can be proficiently estimated using finite element analysis. To increase modelling reliability and to reduce the computational effort, shell elements were considered. Moreover, considering the application of the proposed technique to the WAAM process, the deposition path can be used to automatically generate the shell FE mesh. Each point of the NC code generated to create the deposition toolpath can be used to become a node of the FE model, where only the properties and thickness of the shell elements shall be adjusted to create a realistic model. This enables a fast and automatic mesh and model creation starting from the simple G-code of the deposition process (see Figure 5). To take the material removal into account, the FE model must be continuously updated, according to the tool position along to the CAM generated toolpath. For this reason, a general algorithm was developed to modify the nodal thicknesses, according to the tool engagement obtained by a post-processing of the G-code (Figure 6).
For each node of the FE model, the corresponding block of the G-code is determined, computed as the minimum distance between the tooltip and the node considered. The nodal thickness is then updated considering the intersection of the tooltip geometry (a ball nose mill for the considered test case) with the external surface of the machined component (Figure 7). The predicted frequency response (FR) of the machined part can thus be easily obtained, performing a numerical frequency response analysis. For the test case considered, a modal frequency response was preferred. A unit force was applied to the node closest to the tool-tip position (obtained by G-code), directed normal to the surface. Linear behavior was assumed, and dynamic compliance in the other direction was neglected. This allows for obtaining a good description of thin wall dynamics in a wide frequency range using a limited number of excitation frequencies, notably reducing the computational effort: a FR analysis took about 3 s on a PC using a commercial FE solver (MSC Nastran 2014.1, Swedish Hexagon AB, Newport Beach, CA, USA). The accuracy of the FE model was tested after the roughing machining phase, considering the FE model, updated according to the aforementioned algorithm (Figure 8). The following mechanical characteristics were considered: elastic modulus: 200 GPa, density: 7850 kg/m³, Poisson’s ratio: 0.31, structural damping: 0.005. According to the results in Figure 1, the proposed approach allows for predicting the changing of workpiece dynamics along the toolpath, taking the material removal into account at the same time.

Figure 6. Roughing cycle toolpath post-processing.

Figure 7. Finite element (FE) model updating phase: (a) FE model (b) tooltip position.
Simulated workpiece FRFs can be then composed, according with the orientation of the tool (lead and tilt angle) with the tooltip FRFs (experimentally determined), to obtain the dynamics of the system (tool + workpiece), changing with respect to the cutting point (see Figure 9). Combination of tool–workpiece compliance was used in the optimization strategy.

Figure 8. Workpiece driving point frequency response functions (FRFs): (a) experimental setup (b) comparison with numerical FRF.

Simulated workpiece FRFs can be then composed, according with the orientation of the tool (lead and tilt angle) with the tooltip FRFs (experimentally determined), to obtain the dynamics of the system (tool + workpiece), changing with respect to the cutting point (see Figure 9). Combination of tool–workpiece compliance was used in the optimization strategy.

Figure 9. Compliance at the tip of the blade moving from the trailing to the leading edge.

5. Optimization Strategy

The proposed approach allows for identifying the dynamics of the tool–workpiece system during the whole machining cycle and could be coupled with any optimization strategy to achieve different goals, computing an optimized machining cycle.

Two main dynamic phenomena could arise in machining thin-walled components: forced vibrations and chatter vibrations. Both the effects are minimized by increasing the stiffness of the system or reducing the MRR (i.e., depth of cut). The first approach is hard to follow since workpiece shape and tooling system are generally fixed. The second one affects the productivity of the process.

Therefore, optimization strategies are generally based on the selection of spindle speed [23], which governs the frequency content of the cutting forces and thus could reduce vibration levels, without affecting productivity or altering system layout. However, the selection of spindle speed has conflicting
requirements for forced and chatter vibrations. Indeed, to reduce forced vibrations, the spindle speed should be far from the resonance frequencies of the system, hence reducing the relative tool–workpiece displacements during the cycle. On the contrary, for chatter avoidance, the optimal spindle speeds excite the resonance frequency of the dominant mode [24].

According to these considerations, the machining cycle optimization strategy should be tailored for the specific application. In this work, two simple optimization strategies were applied, with the aim of showing the potential applications of the proposed approach.

- Strategy A: ensuring chatter-free machining by selecting a spindle speed, exciting the resonance of the dominant mode
- Strategy B: minimizing forced vibrations by selecting spindle speeds far from the resonances of the systems

Both the strategies were applied to the test case, starting from the dynamic behavior of the system at the cutting point computed by the proposed technique, presented in the previous section.

For strategy A, the dominant mode of the system was identified in the different steps of the machining cycle and a single optimized spindle speed was selected, ensuring the excitation of the dominant mode with one of the tooth pass frequency (f_{tp}) harmonics. The procedure is exemplified in Figure 10, where FRFs of system during the machining cycle at the trailing edge are presented. The figure shows the dominant mode of the system around 1300 Hz. A single spindle speed equal to 5580 rpm (f_{tp} = 186 Hz) was selected to ensure the system to work close to the dominant mode resonance frequency with one of the f_{tp} harmonics (7th).

For strategy B, machining parameters were selected to minimize the relative displacement of the tool–workpiece under the effect of cutting force (i.e., force vibrations). These vibrations were assessed by computing the system compliance at the f_{tp} and harmonics (till 12th).

The harmonics were weighted based on the results of a preliminary analysis in which cutting forces were simulated in the finishing cutting conditions (i.e., radial depth of cut (a_r) 0.2 mm, axial depth of cut (a_p) 0.5 mm). Fast Fourier Transform (FFTs) of the cutting force and weights adopted are presented in Figure 11. This approach allows for identifying the relative displacement of the tool and workpiece in the case of stable cutting (i.e., without chatter) and, based on this information, selecting the optimal speed in a defined range (in this case, 140 to 235 m/min). The interesting advantage of such approach is not considering a cutting force model and the consequently need of cutting force
coefficients [25]—this allows us to perform the proposed optimization but not to predict the actual dynamic deflection.

To effectively minimize the forced vibrations, this procedure was repeated for each step of the cycle, and with respect to the previous strategy, the spindle speed was changed continuously during the machining cycle. Feed rate was changed accordingly to keep feed per tooth constant (0.062 mm/tooth). The optimal cutting speeds trend for the finishing of the airfoil, using strategy B, is presented in Figure 12.

6. Results and Discussion

The two strategies were tested on the finishing phase of the test-case to assess their performances. During strategy B machining, chatter occurred, as was clear from the sound during cutting and the surface quality of the product. Strategy B was defined to minimize forced vibrations assuming a chatter-free condition; however, adopting such a solution leads to the selection of spindle speeds more prone to chatter vibrations, as explained in the previous section. On the contrary, no chatter was detected during the strategy A machining cycle: the selection of the suitable spindle speed avoided the occurrence of the phenomenon.

To further investigate the results, airfoils surfaces were acquiring by the CMM and analyzed. Both pressure and suction sides were scanned using a 2 mm spatial step along length and height. First, form errors of the surfaces respect to the nominal NACA 9403 were studied. Mid-surfaces were computed and compared with the reference camber line and results are shown at three heights (Figure 13): Tip is close to the upper part of the airfoil, Mid is in the middle and Base is close to the fixed root part but
higher because of measurement limits. Both strategies could accurately reproduce the camber line with very small deviations with respect to the reference (less than 0.1 mm). Indeed, this behavior is in line with the model results: almost the same level of vibrations in the same point of the camber line on the two sides of the airfoil (pressure and suction) was predicted, and therefore no significant modifications of the airfoil camber line were expected.

On the contrary, significant thickness differences are expected along the camber line, caused by static deflection and forced vibrations. To assess this effect, the thickness of the product was computed at the different levels and compared to the desired one Figure 14. The results show a higher thickness at the borders, especially at the trailing edge, increasing from the base to the tip. This is due to compliance of the system, causing workpiece deflection under the cutting force effect. This deflection increases where tool–workpiece relative displacements are higher, i.e., at the trailing and leading edges and at the tip, producing an increased thickness. Moreover, in Figure 14 on the right, errors on both sides are shown, highlighting similar errors between the two strategies and the same level of error on both sides, as predicted.
The thickness error along the entire surface is presented in Figures 15 and 16. The results present the thickness error trend, higher at the two edges and increasing the height. It is interesting to point out that the thickness error is very similar between the two strategies. This could be explained by the fact that strategy A allowed us to avoid chatter vibrations at the price of working at the resonance of the system, thus increasing forced vibrations and causing thickness errors. Strategy B was selected to reduce forced vibrations, but chatter vibration occurred, leading to a significant increase in cutting forces, which cancelled the optimized speed selection benefits. Moreover, static deflection is not affected by the two strategies and could be the main factor responsible for the deviations that increase at the leading and trailing edge because of structural stiffness reduction. The main difference between the two thickness error maps is roughness: strategy A produced a smoother surface, while strategy B presents higher waviness and roughness caused by the occurrence of chatter.

Figure 15. Airfoil thickness error for strategy A.

Figure 16. Airfoil thickness error for strategy B.

7. Conclusions

In this paper, a novel integrated approach to predict the changing dynamic behavior of a thin walled component is presented. The thin-walled test case, a NACA 9403 airfoil, was initially created using WAAM, an arising technology to produce low buy-to-fly ratio components. The developed system was able to correctly simulate both the material removal process on an initial stock and its
dynamic behavior during the machining cycle. The results show that the proposed method can be proficiently applied for AM products thanks to the automatic FE mesh generation and updating.

Based on the simulation results, two different optimization strategies were applied, confirming the importance of the right spindle speed selection. In this work, milling of an additive manufactured component has been optimized based only on the dynamic behavior of the thin-walled part. In the future, a more comprehensive approach, including cutting force and tool wear, could be implemented. In that case, the process parameters of the additive manufacturing step should be taken into account since they affect the properties of the part [26]. The idea underpinning this work is investigating hybrid additive-subtractive manufacturing; in this work, the approach was applied using to two separated machines, and in the future the combination of milling and WAAM on the same machine will be investigated.
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