Use of Potabilized Water Sludge in the Production of Low-Energy Blended Calcium Sulfoaluminate Cements
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Abstract: Ordinary Portland cement (OPC) manufacture determines about 8% of the global anthropogenic CO₂ emissions. This has led to both the cement producers and the scientific community to develop new cementitious materials with a reduced carbon footprint. Calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cements are special hydraulic binders from non-Portland clinkers; they represent an important alternative to OPC due to their peculiar composition and significantly lower impact on the environment. CSA cements contain less limestone and require lower synthesis temperatures, which means a reduced kiln thermal energy demand and lower CO₂ emissions. CSA cements can also be mixed with supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) which further reduce the carbon footprint. This article was aimed at evaluating the possibility of using different amounts (20 and 35% by mass) of water potabilization sludges (WPSs) as SCM in CSA-blended cements. WPSs were treated thermally (TT) at 700° in order to obtain an industrial pozzolanic material. The hydration properties and the technical behavior of two different CSA-blended cements were investigated using differential thermal–thermogravimetric and X-ray diffraction analyses, mercury intrusion porosimetry, shrinkage/expansion and compressive strength measurements. The results showed that CSA binders containing 20% by mass of TTWPSs exhibited technological properties similar to those relating to plain CSA cement and were characterized by more pronounced eco-friendly features.
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1. Introduction

The cement manufacturing industry is one of the largest consumers of fuel and raw materials, and one of the main producers of CO₂ emissions [1]. In 2019, worldwide production of Portland cement was estimated at 4.10 billion tonnes, producing 8% of global anthropogenic carbon dioxide emissions [2]. The cement industry needs to reduce its carbon footprint to 1.55 billion metric tonnes per year by 2050 [3]; in order to do this, the cement producers and the research community have been striving to develop new environmentally friendly binders (low-CO₂ cements [4–9]).

Three different approaches have been proposed for the manufacture of low-CO₂ cements: (1) the utilization of non-carbonated sources of CaO instead of limestone as a constituent of Portland clinker (PC)-generating raw mix [10,11]; (2) the increased production of blended cements, obtained by mixing ordinary Portland cement (OPC) with high amounts of supplementary cementitious materials (SCMs) [12–17]; (3) the larger use of special cements (SCs), namely, hydraulic binders obtained from non-PCs [18–25].

Calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA) cements have prompted the interest of the international cement research community for both their valuable technical properties and environmentally friendly features mainly associated with their manufacturing process.
CSA cements contain ye’elimite (3CaO·3Al₂O₃·CaSO₄) as the main component, and, depending on the synthesis temperature and the type and proportion of raw materials (usually limestone, bauxite and natural gypsum), calcium sulfates (e.g., CaSO₄, CaSO₄·2H₂O), belite (2CaO·SiO₂), brownmillerite (4CaO·Al₂O₃·Fe₂O₃), ternesite (4CaO·SiO₂·CaSO₄) and various calcium aluminates. The most significant technical properties of CSA cements (e.g., shrinkage compensation/self-stressing behavior, good dimensional stability, high impermeability, rapid hardening) are mainly regulated by the formation of ettringite (3CaO·Al₂O₃·3CaSO₄·32H₂O) from the hydration of calcium sulfates (belonging and/or added to CSA clinker) and 3CaO·3Al₂O₃·CaSO₄ [28–32] with or without CaO, according to the following reactions:

\[
3\text{CaO} \cdot 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{CaSO}_4 + 2\text{CaSO}_4 + 38\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (1)
\]

\[
3\text{CaO} \cdot 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{CaSO}_4 + 8\text{CaSO}_4 + 6\text{CaO} + 96\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 3(3\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{CaSO}_4 \cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}) \quad (2)
\]

Ettringite generated in the presence of CaO (Reaction (2)) expands and this behavior can be exploited by special binders such as shrinkage-resistant and self-stressing cements. Ettringite produced in Reaction (1) is not expansive and has a high mechanical strength at early ages.

Furthermore, various other hydration products may occur, such as calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H) and strätlingite (STR), respectively, according to Reactions (3) and (4):

\[
2\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + (1.5 + n)\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow (1.5 + m)\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot (1 + m + n)\text{H}_2\text{O} + (0.5 − m)\text{Ca(OH)}_2 \quad (3)
\]

\[
2\text{CaO} \cdot \text{SiO}_2 + \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 5\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2\text{CaO} \cdot \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{SiO}_2 \cdot 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (4)
\]

Compared to the OPC manufacturing process, CSA cements exhibit the following environmentally friendly features: (I) reduced limestone requirement (usually <35%), and therefore less kiln thermal input and CO₂ generation; (II) lower synthesis temperatures (about 150 °C–200 °C) and more friable clinkers (lower grinding energy required); (III) larger clinker dilution with additions of sulfate sources; (IV) greater use of industrial wastes, especially as kiln feed components [33–40]. To further decrease CO₂ emissions, CSA cements can be blended with various SCMs and/or mineral additions [41–60].

Water potabilization sludges (WPSs) are clayish residues produced during the treatment of water for human consumption in conventional water treatment plants (WTPs) [61]. Water potabilization treatments are mainly based on coagulation (generally using an alumina-based coagulant) and flocculation processes; they generate high quantities of sludges which represent about 1–5% of the total untreated water. Huge amounts of WPSs are produced worldwide (~10,000 tonnes/day); consequently, suitable alternatives to landfill need to be explored. Up to now, WPSs have been suggested in the production of bricks, ceramics, lightweight aggregates and for the manufacturing process of cement as an alternative raw material [62].

This paper investigated the possibility of using thermally treated WPSs (TTWPSs) as SCMs in CSA-blended cements; thanks to the thermal treatment, crystalline clay phases were transformed in a predominantly amorphous state (dehydroxylation process). The optimal treatment temperature, namely the one that allows the total sample dehydroxylation [63], is usually in the range 600 °C–900 °C for clay-based materials. Two CSA-blended cements, based on TTWPSs, were submitted to physical–mechanical and hydration tests at curing times ranging from 4 h to 56 days. A neat CSA cement was used as a reference term. The influence of TTWPSs on the hydration properties and the physical and technical behavior of blended cements was investigated by means of differential thermal-thermogravimetric (DT–TG) and quantitative X-ray diffraction (QXRD) analyses, mercury intrusion porosimetry (MIP), shrinkage/expansion and compressive strength measurements.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

An industrial CSA cement was used in this investigation; it was kindly supplied by an Italian cement manufacturer. The WPSs were obtained from the water treatment plant of the “Camastra” artificial reservoir, which is located in Basilicata, Italy. The WPS sample was first dried in an electric oven at 105 °C until a constant mass value was reached; then it was finely pulverized in a Fritsch Pulverisette 6 (FP6) laboratory planetary mill and passed through a 90 µm sieve. The WPS sample was finally heated for two hours in an electric oven at 700 °C, namely, the optimal treatment temperature for its total dehydroxylation [64]; the TTWPSs were finely pestled in order to pass through the 90 µm sieve again.

2.2. Test Methods and Characterization Techniques

The chemical composition of the CSA cement, the WPSs and the TTWPSs was evaluated using a X-ray fluorescence apparatus (BRUKER Explorer S4, Bruker Italy, Milan, Italy); a BRUKER D2 PHASER diffractometer (LynxEye detector, Cu Kα radiation and 0.02° s⁻¹ scanning rate) was used to identify the main CSA cement phases (Table 1) and the principal mineralogical compounds of hydrated pastes, quantitatively estimated using the Rietveld refinement method. The specific surface and the particle size distribution of both CSA cement and TTWPSs were determined using the Blaine apparatus and a laser particle analyzer (Malvern Mastersizer 2000, Malvern-Panalytical Italy, Milan, Italy), respectively.

### Table 1. Chemical and mineralogical (only for CSA cement) composition of the used binder components, wt %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chemical Composition</th>
<th>Mineralogical Phase Composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical Composition</strong></td>
<td><strong>Mineralogical Phase Composition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>Ye’elimite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.58</td>
<td>6.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>β-belite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.95</td>
<td>47.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃</td>
<td>Celite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.42</td>
<td>34.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe₂O₃</td>
<td>Anhydrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO₂</td>
<td>Calcite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂O</td>
<td>Brownmillerite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na₂O</td>
<td>Gehlenite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>Others</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>Total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₃</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P₂O₅</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.o.i</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>22.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>99.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99.44</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Loss on ignition at 950 °C ± 25 °C.

Three CSA-based binders were investigated: a neat CSA cement (CSAR) and two blended CSA cements, both containing TTWPSs as a partial substitute for CSA cement in concentrations equal to 20% and 35% by mass (CSA20 and CSA35).

To obtain expansion/shrinkage (e/s) measurements, eighteen paste samples (15 × 15 × 78 mm) were prepared using 150 g of binder (b) per system and 97.5 g of distilled water (w) (water/binder mass ratio, w/b, =0.65); the pastes were mechanically stirred for 120 s, placed in stainless-steel molds and covered with plastic foils. The samples were first cured in the air at 20 °C for 8 h and then demolded. Three samples per system were aged at 20 °C under tap water; the other three samples were stored at the same temperature in a climatic chamber at 50% relative humidity (R.H.). The length changes were determined as...
The average values of three measurements taken with a length comparator; measurements were taken daily until 56 days of curing.

The compressive mechanical strength measurements were carried out on mortars prepared according to EN 196-1 and cured for periods of up to 56 days.

For DT–TG, QXRD and MIP analyses, paste samples were prepared according to the same procedure employed for the e/s measurements. The hydration of the pastes was examined from 4 hours to 56 days. For each hydration time, 20 g of binder was mixed with distilled water using a w/b mass ratio of 0.65. The pastes were cast into 15 mm high and 30 mm diameter plastic cylindrical molds, sealed to minimize CO₂ ingress and cured in a thermostatic bath (at 20 °C and 95% R.H.). At the end of the fixed aging period, each sample was broken in half: one part was submitted to MIP, the other gently pulverized for DT–TG and QXRD analyses. Both hardened fragments and fine powder were handled with acetone (to stop hydration) and diethyl ether (to remove water); the samples were finally stored in a desiccator over silica gel-soda lime to ensure protection against H₂O and CO₂.

DT–TG analysis was conducted on 100 mg samples (put in 150 μl alumina crucibles) using a Netzsch Tasc 414/3 simultaneous thermal analyzer, operating in air in the temperature range of 20 °C–1000 °C and with a heating rate of 10 °C/min. TG analysis was also employed for the determination of the chemically bound water (calculated from the weight loss between 30 °C and 500 °C). The porosity measurements were performed using a Thermo Finnigan Pascal 240 Series porosimeter (maximum pressure, 200 MPa) equipped with a low-pressure unit (140 Series) able to generate a high vacuum level (10 Pa) and operating from 100 to 400 kPa.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 1 shows the chemical composition of CSA cement, WPSs and TTWPSs; it also reports the mineralogical composition of CSA cement determined using the Rietveld method whose results were normalized to 100% of the accounted crystalline phases.

From the chemical analysis it can be argued that CSA cement was mainly composed of CaO, Al₂O₃, SO₃ and SiO₂, in that order; the Rietveld analysis indicates that the main crystalline components of the CSA cement were in the following order: ye’elimite (43.0 mass%), belite, brownmillerite, celite (3CaO·Al₂O₃) and gehlenite (2CaO·Al₂O₃·SiO₂); furthermore, CaSO₄ was also present, mainly from the addition of natural anhydrite.

The chemical composition of TTWPS reveals that SiO₂ (47.7 mass%) and Al₂O₃ (34.8 mass%) were the main oxides; CaO (6.3 mass%) and Fe₂O₃ (3.0 mass%) were present as secondary components, while fairly low amounts of SO₃, MgO, K₂O and Na₂O were also found.

The specific surfaces for both CSA and TTWPSs (measured according to EN 196-6) were equal to 4500 ± 50 and 4300 ± 50 cm²/g, respectively; D₁₀ and D₉₀ values for the two materials were very similar to each other, 2.5 μm and 3.1 μm and 67.3 μm and 72.7 μm for CSA cement and TTWPSs, respectively.

Figure 1 shows the e/s curves (in terms of length changes) for CSAR, CSA20 and CSA35. They indicate that the three systems differed very little from each other, both when submerged under water and cured in air.
Figure 1. Dimensional stability curves for CSAR, CSA20 and CSA35 pastes (air and water cured) at a w/b of 0.65.

The maximum expansion values were reached after about 15–20 days of curing and were in a very narrow range (0.02–0.06%). When air cured, TTWPS-based pastes showed a rapid shrinkage during the first five days of hydration; for both systems, the minimum shrinkage value (−0.18% for CSA20 and −0.20% for CSA35) was reached after about 20 days of curing; however, a less rapid shrinkage was exhibited by the CSAR system which approached a steady value (almost −0.18%) only after about 20 days of hydration.

Figure 2 reports the mechanical compressive strength values for CSA-based mortars at different curing times (4 and 16 h, 1, 2, 7, 28 and 56 days); the CSAR compressive strength values were higher than those of the two blended cements at the shortest curing period (22% and 54% higher than those of CSA20 and CSA35 systems, respectively); with an increase in curing time, the mechanical compressive strength gap reduced for the three systems.

Figure 2. Compressive strength measurements for CSAR, CSA20 and CSA35 mortars cured within the period 4 hours–56 days.
Finally, after 28 and 56 days of curing, the CSA20-based mortars exhibited almost identical compressive mechanical strength values as the reference mortar; however, the compressive mechanical strength values of the CSA35-based mortars were about 25% and 18% lower than those of the CSAR at 28 and 56 days of curing.

Figure 3 displays the DT (left)–TG (right) results for CSAR, CSA20 and CSA35 aged for periods in the range 4 hours–56 days.

![DT and TG results for CSAR, CSA20, and CSA35](image)

Figure 3. DT (left)–TG (right) results for CSAR (top), CSA20 (middle) and CSA35 (bottom) pastes hydrated (w/b = 0.65) for 4 h, 1, 7, 28 and 56 days. Legend: C-S-H = calcium silicate hydrates; E = ettringite; AH₃ = aluminum hydroxide; CC = calcium carbonate.

According to data from scientific literature [65], the following phases, in the order of increasing temperature of the related endothermal effect, were attributed to calcium silicate hydrates (C-S-H), ettringite (E), aluminum hydroxide (AH₃) and calcium carbonate.
these phases were observed at 117 °C ± 3 °C, 159 °C ± 9 °C, 281 °C ± 5 °C and 725 °C ± 7 °C.

Negligible exo-/endo-thermal effects were found above 600 °C; in fact, weight losses in the range 600 °C–1000 °C were always lower than 2.3% which means, according to [57], that carbonation process was minimal. On the whole, the DT–TG results indicate that the hydration behavior of the investigated systems was mainly regulated by the reaction of ye‘elimite with calcium sulfate alone (especially at early ages) and also by the formation of C-S-H. The latter formed according to the Reaction (3) and thanks to the pozzolanic reaction of reactive SiO₂ (belonging to TTWPSs) with calcium hydroxide (generated as secondary product in (3)).

The hydration rates of the three CSA-based systems were also evaluated using the amount of chemically bound water (Figure 4) calculated from the mass loss values from TG analyses (Figure 3) normalized to 100 g dry binder.

Figure 4 clearly shows that the three binders followed a similar evolution within the first 24 h; during this period the rate of hydration was very high due to the rapid formation of ettringite, followed by the slower formation of hydration products. From 7 days of curing, the curve of the CSAR exhibits an almost constant value, while the curves of the blended cements show a slight linear increase owing to the pozzolanic reaction of TTWPSs.

The hydration process was also examined using QXRD analysis which detected the reactants consumption, the presence of inert phases and the development of new products. Figure 5 displays the patterns of the binders hydrated at 4 hours, 28 and 56 days.

Figure 5. XRD patterns for CSAR (left), CSA20 (middle) and CSA35 (right) pastes hydrated (w/b = 0.65) for 4 hours, 28 and 56 days. Legend: A = CaSO₄; B = 2CaO·SiO₂; E = 3CaO·Al₂O₃·3CaSO₄·32H₂O; Y = 3CaO·3Al₂O₃·CaSO₄; M = 3CaO·Al₂O₃·CaSO₄·12H₂O.
The evolution of the hydration process, in terms of ettringite, ye’elimite and belite concentration, at different hydration times, is reported in Figure 6. The concentration values were determined according to the Rietveld method and normalized to 100% of the accounted crystalline phases. From an overall examination of both Figures 5 and 6, it can be drawn that XRD results fit well with the indications from DT–TG analyses.

Figure 6. Ettringite, ye’elimite and belite concentrations as determined by the Rietveld method at 4 hours, 28 and 56 days of curing.

In fact, as far as the hydration products were concerned, $3\text{CaO} \cdot 3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 \cdot \text{CaSO}_4$ and $\text{CaSO}_4$ quickly reacted, and ettringite concentrations increased up to 56 days of curing. Weak signals relating to the presence of monosulfate appeared from 28 days of curing in the three investigated systems. This phase was not identified using DT–TG apparatus as its endothermic peak was always overlapped by that of ettringite. The absence of AH$_3$ in the crystalline phases detected using XRD analysis is related to its amorphous nature [33].

Figure 7 reports the porosimetric curves for the pastes of the three investigated binders; the left and the right areas in the figure show the cumulative and derivative plots for the intruded Hg volume of CSA-based systems vs. pore radius at various curing times (4 hours, 7 and 56 days). It clearly shows that with an increase in curing time both total porosity and threshold pore width reduced. For the CSAR (Figure 7, top), all the differential curves were characterized by a bimodal pores size distribution centered on the threshold width of pore necks connecting a continuous system. Between 4 hours and 56 days of curing, the threshold pore radii, respectively, reduced from about 270 nm and 11 nm to 110 nm and 10 nm; in the same period the total cumulative volume decreased from about 258 mm$^3$/g to 149 mm$^3$/g.
Figure 7. Cumulative (left) and derivative (right) Hg volume vs. pore radius for CSAR (top), CSA20 (middle) and CSA35 (bottom) pastes hydrated (w/b = 0.65) for 4 hours, 7 and 56 days.

Compared to the reference system, CSA20-based paste (Figure 7, middle) displayed a trimodal pore size distribution for all the investigated curing times; furthermore, at the shortest and longest curing times, the highest pore widths ranged from 196 nm to 66 nm, while the lowest was below the detectable apparatus limit (3.6 nm); likewise, its cumulative pore volume lowered of about 40% (passing from 254 mm$^3$/g to 149 mm$^3$/g) from 4 hours to 7 days; after 56 days of curing it further decreased to 131 mm$^3$/g. For the CSA35 system (Figure 7, bottom), the cumulative pore volume reduced of about 45% (from 289 mm$^3$/g to 160 mm$^3$/g) from 4 hours to 56 days of curing; in addition, similarly to the CSA20 system, a trimodal pore size distribution at all the investigated curing times was exhibited.

The total porosity percentage (TP) of the investigated systems vs. curing time is illustrated in Figure 8; the related curves clearly show a similar trend for all binders whose TP values decrease with an increase in hydration time.

Figure 8. Total porosity for CSAR, CSA20 and CSA35 pastes hydrated (w/b = 0.65) for 4 hours, 7 and 56 days.
In particular, at 4 hours and 7 days of hydration, TP was lowest for the plain CSA cement and highest for the blend with 35% by mass of TTWPSs; in this period, the reduction rate of porosity was higher than that exhibited from 7 days to 56 days of curing for the three systems. Finally, at 56 days of curing, the total porosity of CSAR (21.7%) was slightly higher than that of CSA20 (21.1%) and lower than that exhibited by CSA35 (23.4%).

4. Environmental Implications of the Manufacture of CSA-Based Cements: Kiln Thermal Requirement and CO2 Emissions

The kiln thermal requirement for the manufacture of OPC clinker is about 3450 MJ/t_clinker, representing the average value of data reported in the scientific literature (3100–3800 MJ/t_clinker) [66–72]. CO2 specific emissions from the clinker burning process, generated by both limestone calcination (0.54 t_CO2/t_linker) and fuel combustion, is estimated at about 0.86 t_CO2/t_linker; these data represent the average value calculated on the basis of several scientific papers (0.83–0.89 t_CO2/t_linker) [66,73–74]. The energy consumption associated with CSA clinker manufacture accounts for about 2700 MJ/t_linker [75]; furthermore, the amount of the emitted CO2 is around 25–35% lower than that generated in the production of OPC clinker (0.86 t_CO2/t_linker) [67,76–78]. The energy saving and CO2 emission reduction become higher if we compare an OPC CEM I-type cement (composed by 95% clinker and 5% gypsum) with a CSA binder (constituted by 85% clinker and 15% gypsum); these reductions are even more significant if we blend CSA cements with SCMs, such as TTWPSs. In particular, if flash calcination is employed for the thermal treatment of WPSs, the energy required and the associated CO2 emissions are 2211 MJ/t_WPSS and 0.20 t_CO2/t_WPSS, respectively [79,80]. The calculated values for both energy requirement and CO2 emissions for OPC and CSA-based binders are reported in Table 2.

Table 2. Calculated kiln thermal requirement (MJ/t_binder) and total CO2 generated (t_CO2/t_binder).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kiln Thermal Requirement</th>
<th>CO2 Emissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPC CEM I</td>
<td>3280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSAR</td>
<td>2295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA20</td>
<td>2278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSA35</td>
<td>2265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data of CO2 emissions for CSA-based binders can be normalized per compressive strength values (σ_{28}) obtained at 28 days of curing (NCO2), according to the following equation:

$$N_{CO2} = \frac{CO2_{emissions}}{\sigma_{28}} \frac{tCO2}{t_binder}$$

(5)

NCO2 values show that CSA20 presents the least normalized CO2 emissions (0.0075), being those for CSAR and CSA35 equal to 0.0084 and 0.0088, respectively.

5. Conclusions

This paper evaluated the possibility of using water potabilization sludges (WPSs) as an alternative supplementary cementitious material in calcium sulfoaluminate (CSA)-blended cements. WPSs were thermally treated (TT) at 700 °C with the aim of dehydroxylating the silico-aluminate crystalline phases to an amorphous state; TTWPSs are very interesting as their use as a secondary cementitious component can permit the saving of raw materials and the avoidance of their landfiling; moreover, its use allows for the dilution of the CSA cement and thus determines the following environmental benefits: (i) decreased CO2 emissions; (ii) energy saving per unit mass of cement; (iii) withdrawal reduction in natural resources.
From DT–TG and QXRD analyses, it was established that TTWPSs did not affect the formation of the main hydration products of CSA cement, namely, ettringite, aluminum hydroxide and calcium silicate hydrates; the latter derived from both the hydration of dicalcium silicate present in the cement and the pozzolanic reaction between SiO$_2$ (belonging to TTWPSs) and Ca(OH)$_2$ (formed as secondary phase from C$_2$S hydration).

Binders containing 20% by mass of TTWPSs (CSA20) displayed the same compressive strength as the neat CSA cement; furthermore, when 35% by mass of TTWPSs was added, only a slightly negative effect (−18%) on the technical behavior of CSA cement was detected at the longest curing period. Moreover, the utilization of TTWPSs, up to 35% by mass, did not significantly influence both the porosimetric features and the dimensional stability of blended cement pastes.

Finally, the experimental findings, coupled with the calculations of both kiln thermal requirement and CO$_2$ emissions, open up the possibilities for producing binders (e.g., CSA20) characterized by reliable technical properties and a reduced impact on the environment.
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