

Article

On the Periodicity of General Class of Difference Equations

Osama Moaaz ^{1,*} , Hamida Mahjoub ^{1,2} and Ali Muhib ^{1,3}

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Mansoura 35516, Egypt; hamida.almahgoub@gmail.com (H.M.); muhib39@yahoo.com (A.M.)

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Benghazi, Libya

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education (Al-Nadirah), Ibb University, Ibb, Yemen

* Correspondence: o_moaaz@mans.edu.eg

Received: 27 August 2019; Accepted: 22 April 2020; Published: 1 July 2020



Abstract: In this paper, we are interested in studying the periodic behavior of solutions of nonlinear difference equations. We used a new method to find the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of periodic solutions. Through examples, we compare the results of this method with the usual method.

Keywords: difference equations; periodicity character; nonexistence cases of periodic solutions

1. Introduction

Difference equations are recognized as descriptions of the observed evolution of a phenomenon, where the majority of measurements of a time-evolving variable are discrete. Many mathematicians are interested of studying the qualitative behavior of difference equations motivating and fruitful as it underpins the analysis and modeling of different daily life phenomena, for example in economics, queuing theory, statistical problems, stochastic time series, probability theory, psychology, quanta in radiation, combinatorial analysis, genetics in biology, economics, electrical network, etc. Examples of difference equations that have gotten the attention of researchers see [1–40].

Grove and Ladas [9] studied the periodic character of solutions of many difference equations of higher order. Their book presented their findings along with some thought-provoking questions and many open problems and conjectures worthy of investigation. Agarwal and Elsayed [3] studied the periodicity and stability of solutions of higher order rational equation

$$w_{n+1} = a + \frac{dw_{n-l}w_{n-k}}{b - cw_{n-s}},$$

where a , b , c and d are positive real numbers. Taskara et al. [38] presented a solution and periodicity of the equation

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{p_n w_{n-k} + w_{n-(k+1)}}{q_n + w_{n-(k+1)}},$$

where p_n and q_n are periodic sequences with $(k+1)$ -period and p_n is not equal to q_n . Stevic [29] studied the periodic character of equation

$$w_{n+1} = p + \frac{w_{n-(2s-1)}}{w_{n-(2l+1)s+1}},$$

where $p \geq 1$ is a real number. By a new method, Elsayed [12] and Moaaz [24] studied the existence of the solution of prime period two of equation

$$w_{n+1} = \alpha + \beta \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} + \gamma \frac{w_{n-1}}{w_n},$$

where α , β and γ are real numbers. Recently, Abdelrahman et al. [1] and Moaaz [25] studied the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of general equation

$$w_{n+1} = aw_{n-l} + bw_{n-k} + f(w_{n-l}, w_{n-k}),$$

where a and b are nonnegative real number.

This paper aims to shed light on the study of the existence or nonexistence of periodic solutions for difference equations. We describe and modify the new method in Elsayed [12]. Moreover, we use this new method to study the existence of periodic solutions of the general class of difference equation. Furthermore, we discuss some of the nonexistence cases of periodic solutions. Finally, through examples, we compare the results of this method with the usual method.

2. Existence and Nonexistence of a Periodic Solutions

2.1. Existence of Periodic Solutions of Period Two

Elsayed in [12] and Moaaz in [24] are established a new technique to study the existence of periodic solutions of some rational difference equation. In the following, we describe and modify this method:

Consider the difference equation

$$w_{n+1} = F(w_n, w_{n-1}, \dots, w_{n-k}), \tag{1}$$

where k is positive integer. Now, we assume that Equation (1) has periodic solutions of period two

$$\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots,$$

with $w_{n-(2s+1)} = \rho$ and $w_{n-2s} = \sigma$. Hence, we get that

$$\begin{cases} \rho = F(\sigma, \rho, \dots); \\ \sigma = F(\rho, \sigma, \dots). \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Next, we let $\tau = \rho/\sigma$, and substitute into (2). Then, we get that

$$\begin{cases} \rho = F_1(\tau); \\ \sigma = F_2(\tau). \end{cases}$$

By using the fact $\rho - \tau\sigma = 0$, we obtain

$$F_1(\tau) - \tau F_2(\tau) = 0. \tag{3}$$

Finally, by using the relation (3), we can obtain—in most cases—the necessary and sufficient conditions that Equation (1) has periodic solutions of the prime period two.

The effectiveness of this method appears in a study the existence of periodic solutions of some difference equations with real coefficients and initial conditions (not positive only). Besides, we can study the existence of periodic solutions of some difference equations, which have never been done before due to failure while applying the usual method.

Next, we apply the new method to study the existence of periodic solutions of general equations

$$w_{n+1} = aw_{n-1}\Phi(w_n, w_{n-1}), \tag{4}$$

where a is positive real number, w_{-1}, w_0 are positive real numbers and $\Phi(u, v)$ is a homothetic function, that is there exist a strictly increasing function $G : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a homogenous function $H : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with degree β , such that $\Phi = G(H)$.

Remark 1. In the following proofs, we use induction to prove the relationships. We'll only take care of the basic step of induction and the rest of the steps directly, so it was ignored.

Theorem 1. Assume that β is a ratios of odd positive integers and $G^{-1}(1/a)$ exists. Equation (4) has a prime period two solution $\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$ if and only if

$$H(\tau, 1) = H(1, \tau) = \frac{A}{\sigma^\beta}, \tag{5}$$

where $\tau = \rho/\sigma$ and $A = G^{-1}(1/a)$.

Proof. We suppose that Equation (4) has a prime period two solution

$$\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$$

It follows from (4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= a\rho\Phi(\sigma, \rho); \\ \sigma &= a\sigma\Phi(\rho, \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\Phi(\sigma, \rho) = G(\sigma^\beta H(1, \tau)) = \frac{1}{a} \tag{6}$$

and so,

$$\sigma^\beta = \frac{A}{H(1, \tau)}; \tag{7}$$

$$\rho^\beta = \frac{A\tau^\beta}{H(\tau, 1)}. \tag{8}$$

By dividing (8) by (7), we have that (5) holds.

On the other hand, let (5) holds. If we choose

$$w_{-1} = \frac{A^{1/\beta}\tau}{H^{1/\beta}(\tau, 1)} \text{ and } w_0 = \frac{A^{1/\beta}}{H^{1/\beta}(1, \tau)},$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= aw_{-1}\Phi(w_0, w_{-1}) \\ &= a \frac{A^{1/\beta}\tau}{H^{1/\beta}(\tau, 1)} G\left(H\left(\frac{A^{1/\beta}}{H^{1/\beta}(1, \tau)}, \frac{A^{1/\beta}\tau}{H^{1/\beta}(\tau, 1)}\right)\right) \\ &= a \frac{A^{1/\beta}\tau}{H^{1/\beta}(\tau, 1)} G\left(\frac{A}{H(1, \tau)} H(1, \tau)\right) \\ &= \frac{A^{1/\beta}\tau}{H^{1/\beta}(\tau, 1)} = w_{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have that $w_2 = w_0$. Hence, it is followed by the induction that

$$w_{2n-1} = \frac{A^{1/\beta} \tau}{H^{1/\beta}(\tau, 1)} \text{ and } w_{2n} = \frac{A^{1/\beta}}{H^{1/\beta}(1, \tau)} \text{ for all } n > 0.$$

Therefore, Equation (4) has a prime period two solution, and the proof is complete. \square

Consider the recursive sequence

$$w_{n+1} = f(w_{n-l}, w_{n-k}), \tag{9}$$

where the function $f(u, v) : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ is continuous real function and homogenous with degree zero.

Theorem 2. Assume that l odd, k even. Equation (9) has a prime period two solution $\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$ if and only if

$$f(\tau, 1) = \tau f(1, \tau), \tag{10}$$

where $\tau = \rho/\sigma$.

Proof. Assume that $l > k$. Since l odd and k even, we have $w_{n-l} = \rho$ and $w_{n-k} = \sigma$. From Equation (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= f(\rho, \sigma) = f\left(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}, 1\right) \\ \sigma &= f(\sigma, \rho) = f\left(1, \frac{\rho}{\sigma}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\tau = \rho/\sigma$, we obtain

$$0 = \rho - \tau\sigma = f(\tau, 1) - \tau f(1, \tau).$$

On the other hand, let (10) holds. Now, we choose

$$w_{-l+2\mu} = f(\tau, 1) \text{ and } w_{-l+2\mu+1} = f(1, \tau), \mu = 0, 1, \dots, (l-1)/2$$

where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Hence, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= f(w_{-l}, w_{-k}) \\ &= f(f(\tau, 1), f(1, \tau)) \\ &= f(\tau f(1, \tau), f(1, \tau)) \\ &= f(\tau, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can proof that $w_2 = f(1, \tau)$. Hence, it is followed by the induction that

$$w_{2n-1} = f(\tau, 1) \text{ and } w_{2n} = f(1, \tau) \text{ for all } n > 0.$$

Therefore, Equation (9) has a prime period two solution, and the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3. Assume that l even, k odd. Equation (9) has a prime period two solution $\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$ if and only if

$$f(1, \tau) = \tau f(\tau, 1), \tag{11}$$

where $\tau = \rho/\sigma$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of proof of Theorem 2 and hence is omitted. \square

Consider the difference equation

$$w_{n+1} = \gamma + \delta \frac{w_{n-1}^\beta}{g(w_n, w_{n-1})}, \tag{12}$$

where β is a positive real number, γ, δ, w_{-1} and w_0 are arbitrary real numbers and the function $g(u, v)$ is continuous real function and homogenous with degree β

Theorem 4. Equation (12) has a prime period two solution $\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$ if and only if

$$\gamma = \delta \frac{\tau^\beta g(\tau, 1) - \tau g(1, \tau)}{(\tau - 1) g(1, \tau) g(\tau, 1)}, \tag{13}$$

where $\tau = \rho/\sigma$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a prime period two solution of Equation (12) $\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$. Thus, from (12), we find $w_{n-(2r+1)} = \rho$ and $w_{n-2r} = \sigma$ for $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and so

$$\rho = \gamma + \delta \frac{\rho^\beta}{g(\sigma, \rho)}$$

and

$$\sigma = \gamma + \delta \frac{\sigma^\beta}{g(\rho, \sigma)}.$$

Since $g(u, v)$ be homogenous of degree β , we get $g(u, v) = v^\beta g(\frac{u}{v}, 1) = u^\beta g(1, \frac{v}{u})$ and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho &= \gamma + \delta \frac{\rho^\beta}{\sigma^\beta g(1, \frac{\rho}{\sigma})} \\ \sigma &= \gamma + \delta \frac{\sigma^\beta}{\sigma^\beta g(\frac{\rho}{\sigma}, 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $\rho = \tau\sigma$. Then, we get

$$\rho = \gamma + \delta \frac{\tau^\beta}{g(1, \tau)} \tag{14}$$

$$\sigma = \gamma + \delta \frac{1}{g(\tau, 1)}. \tag{15}$$

By using the fact $\rho - \tau\sigma = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho - \tau\sigma &= \gamma + \delta \frac{\tau^\beta}{g(1, \tau)} - \tau \left(\gamma + \delta \frac{1}{g(\tau, 1)} \right) \\ 0 &= (1 - \tau) \gamma + \delta \frac{\tau^\beta g(\tau, 1) - \tau g(1, \tau)}{g(\tau, 1) g(1, \tau)} \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\gamma = \delta \frac{\tau^\beta g(\tau, 1) - \tau g(1, \tau)}{(\tau - 1) g(\tau, 1) g(1, \tau)}.$$

Next, from (14) and (15), we see that

$$\rho = \delta \frac{\tau}{(\tau - 1)} \frac{\tau^\beta g(\tau, 1) - g(1, \tau)}{g(\tau, 1) g(1, \tau)} \tag{16}$$

$$\sigma = \delta \frac{1}{(\tau - 1)} \frac{\tau^\beta g(\tau, 1) - g(1, \tau)}{g(\tau, 1) g(1, \tau)}. \tag{17}$$

On the other hand, suppose that (13) holds. Let $w_{-1} = \rho$ and $w_0 = \sigma$ where ρ, σ defined as (11) and (17), respectively. Then, from (12) and (13), we find

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \gamma + \delta \frac{w_{-1}^\beta}{g(w_0, w_{-1})} \\ &= \gamma + \delta \frac{\rho^\beta}{g(\sigma, \rho)} \\ &= \delta \frac{\tau^\beta g(\tau, 1) - \tau g(1, \tau)}{(\tau - 1) g(\tau, 1) g(1, \tau)} + \delta \frac{\tau^\beta}{g(1, \tau)} = \rho. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can proof that $w_2 = \sigma$. Hence, it is followed by the induction that

$$w_{2n+1} = \rho \text{ and } w_{2n} = \sigma \text{ for all } n > -1.$$

Therefore, Equation (12) has a prime period two, and the proof is complete. \square

2.2. Nonexistence of Periodic Solutions of Period Two

In the following theorems, we study some general cases which there are no periodic solutions with period two of the equations

$$w_{n+1} = f(w_n, w_{n-1}) \tag{18}$$

and

$$w_{n+1} = f(w_n, w_{n-2}), \tag{19}$$

where $f \in C((0, \infty)^2, (0, \infty))$ and w_{-1}, w_0 are positive real numbers.

Theorem 5. Assume that $f_u > 0$ and $f_v < 0$. Then Equation (18) does not have positive period two solutions.

Proof. On the contrary, we assume that Equation (18) has a period two distinct solution

$$\dots, r, s, r, s, \dots,$$

where $r \neq s$. It follows from (18) that

$$\begin{cases} r = f(s, r); \\ s = f(r, s). \end{cases} \tag{20}$$

Thus, we get

$$rf(r, s) - sf(s, r) = 0.$$

Now, we define the function

$$G_{v_0}(u) = uf(u, v_0) - v_0f(v_0, u), \quad u > 0,$$

for $v_0 \in (0, \infty)$. Since $f > 0$, $f_u > 0$ and $f_v < 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{du} G_{v_0}(u) = f(u, v_0) + uf_u(u, v_0) - v_0f_v(v_0, u) > 0.$$

Thus, G_{v_0} is an increasing and hence G has at most one root for $u \in (0, \infty)$. But, $G(v_0) = 0$, then the only root of $G_{v_0}(w)$ is $u = v_0$. Thus, only solution of (20) is $s = r$, which is a contradiction. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 6. Assume that $f_u > 0$ and $f_v > 0$. Then Equation (19) does not have positive period two solutions.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 5 and hence is omitted. \square

Now, assume that $f_u < 0$ and $f_v > 0$. In view of [21] (Theorem 1.4.6), if Equation (18) has no solutions of prime period two, then every solution of Equation (18) converges to w^* . Therefore, we conclude the following:

Corollary 1. Assume that $f_u < 0$ and $f_v > 0$. Then Equation (18) either every its solutions converges to w^* or has a prime period two solution.

Corollary 2. Assume that l and k are nonnegative integers and $w_{-\max\{l,k\}}, w_{-\max\{l,k\}+1}, \dots, w_0$ are positive real numbers. The difference equation

$$w_{n+1} = f(w_{n-l}, w_{n-k}) \tag{21}$$

does not have positive period two solutions, in the following cases:

- (a) l is even, k is odd, $f_u > 0$ and $f_v < 0$;
- (b) l and k are even, $f_u > 0$ and $f_v > 0$.

3. Application and Discussion

Next, we - by using Theorem 1—study the periodic character of the positive solutions of equation

$$w_{n+1} = aw_{n-1} \exp\left(\frac{-w_n w_{n-1}}{bw_n + cw_{n-1}}\right), \tag{22}$$

where $a, b, c \in (0, \infty)$. Let

$$H(u, v) = \frac{-uv}{bu + cv},$$

$G(y) = e^y$ and $\Phi(w_n, w_{n-1}) = G(H(u, v))$. From (5), if $b = c$, then (22) has a prime period two solution.

Moreover, by using Theorem 1, the discrete model with two age classes

$$w_{n+1} = w_{n-1} \exp(r - \lambda w_n - w_{n-1}), \tag{23}$$

has a prime period two solution if $\lambda = 1$.

In [10], El-Dessoky studied the periodic character of the positive solutions of equation

$$w_{n+1} = aw_{n-l} + bw_{n-k} + \frac{cw_{n-s}}{dw_{n-s} - \delta}, \tag{24}$$

where $a, b, c, d, \delta, w_{-r}, w_{-r+1}, \dots, w_0$ are positive real numbers, $r = \max\{k, l, s\}$, l, k odd and s even. He is proved that the Equation (24) has no prime period two solution if $c + \delta(a + b - 1) \neq 0$. In the following, by the present method, we will find the necessary and sufficient conditions that this equation has periodic solutions of prime period two.

Corollary 3. Equation (24) has prime period two solution if and only if $c + \delta(a + b - 1) = 0$.

Proof. Assume that there exists a prime period two solution of Equation (24) $\dots, \rho, \sigma, \rho, \sigma, \dots$. Thus, from (24), we find

$$(1 - a - b)\rho = \frac{c\sigma}{d\sigma - \delta}$$

and

$$(1 - a - b)\sigma = \frac{c\rho}{d\rho - \delta}.$$

Now, let $\rho = \tau\sigma$ where $\tau \notin \{0, 1\}$. Then, we get

$$d\sigma = \frac{c}{(1 - a - b)\tau} + \delta$$

and

$$d\rho = \frac{c\tau}{(1 - a - b)} + \delta.$$

Then, we have

$$d(\rho - \tau\sigma) = (\tau - 1) \left(\frac{c}{(1 - a - b)} - \delta \right).$$

Since $\tau \neq 1$, we have

$$\frac{c}{(1 - a - b)} = \delta,$$

and hence $c + \delta(a + b - 1) = 0$. On the other hand, in view of [10] (Theorem 5), if $c + \delta(a + b - 1) \neq 0$, then (24) has no solutions of prime period two. This completes the proof. \square

Example 1. By Theorem 2, the difference equation

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{aw_n w_{n-1}}{bw_n^2 + cw_{n-1}^2} \tag{25}$$

has periodic solutions of prime period two if and only if

$$\frac{a\tau}{b + c\tau^2} = \tau \frac{a\tau}{b\tau^2 + c}$$

and so,

$$(\tau - 1) (c + c\tau + c\tau^2 - b\tau) = 0$$

Since $p \neq q$, we have $\tau \neq 1$, and hence

$$\frac{b}{c} = \frac{1 + \tau + \tau^2}{\tau} \tag{26}$$

Now, we have $\tau > 0$, then the function $y(t) = (1 + \tau + \tau^2) / \tau$ attains its minimum value on \mathbb{R}^+ at $\tau_0 = 1$ and $\min_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+} y = y(\tau_0) = 3$, and so

$$\frac{1 + \tau + \tau^2}{\tau} > \min_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+} y = 3 \text{ for } \tau > 0, \tau \neq 1.$$

which with (26) gives $b > 3c$. For example, $a = 3, b = 4, c = 1, w_{-1} = 0.2764$ and $w_0 = 0.7236$.

Example 2. Consider the difference equation

$$w_{n+1} = a + \frac{bw_{n-1}^2}{\alpha w_n^2 + \beta w_n w_{n-1} + \gamma w_{n-1}^2} \tag{27}$$

where α, β and γ are real numbers. We note that $\beta = 2$ and $f(u, v) = \alpha u^2 + \beta uv + \gamma v^2$ homogenous of degree 2. Then, Equation (27) has a prime period two solution if

$$a = b\tau \frac{\alpha + \tau\alpha + \tau\beta - \tau\gamma + \tau^2\alpha}{(\alpha\tau^2 + \beta\tau + \gamma)(\alpha + \beta\tau + \gamma\tau^2)} \tag{28}$$

Example $b = 2, \alpha = 0.5, \beta = 1.5, \gamma = 0.5$.

Note that, (28) implies that

$$a(\alpha\tau^2 + \beta\tau + \gamma)(\alpha + \beta\tau + \gamma\tau^2) - b\tau(\alpha + \tau\alpha + \tau\beta - \tau\gamma + \tau^2\alpha) = 0$$

and so,

$$\left(\frac{\tau^4 + 1}{\tau^3 + \tau}\right) + \frac{a\alpha^2 - b\alpha + a\beta^2 - b\beta + a\gamma^2 + b\gamma}{a\alpha\gamma} \left(\frac{\tau}{\tau^2 + 1}\right) = \frac{b\alpha - a\alpha\beta - a\beta\gamma}{a\alpha\gamma}.$$

By using the facts $\frac{\tau^4+1}{\tau^3+\tau} > 1$ and $\frac{\tau}{\tau^2+1} < \frac{1}{2}$ for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, the condition (28) implies that

$$\begin{cases} 2(b\alpha - a\alpha\beta - a\beta\gamma) - (a\alpha^2 + 2a\alpha\gamma - b\alpha + a\beta^2 - b\beta + a\gamma^2 + b\gamma) > 0 \\ \text{and } b\beta + b\alpha - a\alpha^2 - a\beta^2 - a\gamma^2 - b\gamma > 0. \end{cases}$$

Example 3. Consider the difference equation

$$w_{n+1} = a + \left(\frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}}\right)^\alpha, \tag{29}$$

where $a, \alpha \in (0, \infty)$. Now, if we define the function $f : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and

$$f(u, v) = a + \left(\frac{u}{v}\right)^\alpha,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u, v) &= a\alpha \frac{u^{\alpha-1}}{v^\alpha} > 0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f(u, v) &= -a\alpha \frac{u^\alpha}{v^{\alpha+1}} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Theorem 5, Equation (29) does not have positive period two solutions (Theorem 4.1 in [36]).

Example 4. Consider the May's Host Parasitoid Model

$$w_{n+1} = \frac{cw_n^2}{(1 + w_n)w_{n-1}}, \tag{30}$$

where $c \in (0, \infty)$. Now, if we define the function $f : (0, \infty)^2 \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ and

$$f(u, v) = \frac{cu^2}{(1 + u)v},$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} f(u, v) &= \frac{u}{v} \frac{c}{(u + 1)^2} (u + 2) > 0; \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial v} f(u, v) &= -\frac{u^2}{v^2} \frac{c}{u + 1} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from Theorem 5, Equation (30) does not have positive period two solutions.

Author Contributions: All authors claim to have contributed equally and significantly in this paper. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: The authors received no direct funding for this work.

Conflicts of Interest: There are no competing interests between the authors.

References

1. Abdelrahman, M.A.E.; Chatzarakis, G.E.; Li, T.; Moaaz, O. On the difference equation $w_{n+1} = aw_{n-1} + bw_{n-k} + f(w_{n-1}, w_{n-k})$. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, *431*, 2018.
2. Abdelrahman, M.A.E. On the difference equation $zm + 1 = f(zm, zm - 1, \dots, zm - k)$. *J. Taibah Univ. Sci.* **2019**, *13*, 1014–1021. [[CrossRef](#)]
3. Agarwal, R.P.; Elsayed, E.M. Periodicity and stability of solutions of higher order rational difference equation. *Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math.* **2008**, *17*, 181–201.
4. Ahlbrandt, C.D.; Peterson, A.C. *Discrete Hamiltonian Systems: Difference Equations, Continued Fractions, and Riccati Equations*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1996.
5. Ahmad, S. On the nonautonomous Volterra-Lotka competition equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **1993**, *117*, 199–204. [[CrossRef](#)]
6. Allman, E.S.; Rhodes, J.A. *Mathematical Models in Biology: An Introduction*; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 2003.
7. Andres, J.; Pennequin, D. Note on Limit-Periodic Solutions of the Difference Equation $w_{t+1} - [h(wt) + \lambda]w = rt, \lambda > 1$. *Axioms* **2019**, *8*, 19. [[CrossRef](#)]
8. Din, Q.; Elsayed, E.M. Stability analysis of a discrete ecological model. *Comput. Ecol. Softw.* **2014**, *4*, 89–103.
9. Grove E.A.; Ladas, G. *Periodicities in Nonlinear Difference Equations*; Chapman & Hall/CRC: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2005; Volume 4.
10. El-Dessoky, M.M. On the difference equation $w_{n+1} = aw_{n-1} + bw_{n-k} + cw_{n-s} / (dw_{n-s} - e)$. *Math. Meth. Appl. Sci.* **2017**, *40*, 535–545. [[CrossRef](#)]
11. Elettrey, M.F.; El-Metwally, H. On a system of difference equations of an economic model. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2013**, *6*, 405628. [[CrossRef](#)]
12. Elsayed, E.M. New method to obtain periodic solutions of period two and three of a rational difference equation. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2015**, *79*, 241–250. [[CrossRef](#)]
13. Elsayed, E.M.; El-Dessoky, M.M. Dynamics and behavior of a higher order rational recursive sequence. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2012**, *2012*, 69. [[CrossRef](#)]
14. Foupouagnigni, M.; Mboutngam, S. On the Polynomial Solution of Divided-Difference Equations of the Hypergeometric Type on Nonuniform Lattices. *Axioms* **2019**, *8*, 47. [[CrossRef](#)]
15. Foupouagnigni, M.; Koepf, W.; Kenfack-Nangho, M.; Mboutngam, S. On Solutions of Holonomic Divided-Difference Equations on Nonuniform Lattices. *Axioms* **2013**, *2*, 404–434. [[CrossRef](#)]
16. Gil, M. Solution Estimates for the Discrete Lyapunov Equation in a Hilbert Space and Applications to Difference Equations. *Axioms* **2019**, *8*, 20. [[CrossRef](#)]
17. Haghghi, A.M.; Mishev, D.P. *Difference and Differential Equations with Applications in Queueing Theory*; John Wiley & Sons Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2013.
18. Kalabusic, S.; Kulenovic, M.R.S. On the recursive sequence $w_{n+1} = (\alpha w_{n-1} + \beta w_{n-2}) / (\gamma w_{n-1} + \delta w_{n-2})$. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2003**, *9*, 701–720.
19. Kelley, W.G.; Peterson, A.C. *Difference Equations: An Introduction with Applications*, 2nd ed.; Harcour Academic: New York, NY, USA, 2001.
20. Kocic, V.L.; Ladas, G. *Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications*; Kluwer Academic Publishers: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 1993.
21. Kulenovic, M.R.S.; Ladas, G. *Dynamics of Second Order Rational Difference Equations with Open Problems and Conjectures*; Chapman & Hall/CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2001.
22. Liu, X. A note on the existence of periodic solutions in discrete predator-prey models. *Appl. Math. Model.* **2010**, *34*, 2477–2483. [[CrossRef](#)]

23. Migda M.; Migda, J. Nonoscillatory Solutions to Second-Order Neutral Difference Equations. *Symmetry* **2018**, *10*, 207. [[CrossRef](#)]
24. Moaaz, O. Comment on new method to obtain periodic solutions of period two and three of a rational difference equation. *Nonlinear Dyn.* **2017**, *88*, 1043–1049. [[CrossRef](#)]
25. Moaaz, O. Dynamics of difference equation $w_{n+1} = f(w_{n-1}, w_{n-k})$. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **2018**, *447*, 2018.
26. Moaaz O.; Chalishajar, D.; Bazighifan, O. Some Qualitative Behavior of Solutions of General Class of Difference Equations. *Mathematics* **2019**, *7*, 585. [[CrossRef](#)]
27. Moaaz, O.; Chatzarakis, G.E.; Chalishajar, D.; Bazighifan, O. Dynamics of General Class of Difference Equations and Population Model with Two Age Classes. *Mathematics* **2020**, *8*, 516. [[CrossRef](#)]
28. Pogrebkov, A. Hirota Difference Equation and Darboux System: Mutual Symmetry. *Symmetry* **2019**, *11*, 436. [[CrossRef](#)]
29. Stevic, S. A note on periodic character of a difference equation. *J. Differ. Equ. Appl.* **2004**, *10*, 929–932. [[CrossRef](#)]
30. Stevic, S. On the recursive sequence $w_{n+1} = \alpha + w_{n-1}^p/w_n^p$. *J. Appl. Math. Comput.* **2005**, *18*, 229–234.
31. Stevic, S. A short proof of the Cushing–Henson conjecture. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2006**, *4*, 37264. [[CrossRef](#)]
32. Stevic, S. Global stability and asymptotics of some classes of rational difference equations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **2006**, *316*, 60–68. [[CrossRef](#)]
33. Stevic, S. Asymptotics of some classes of higher order difference equations. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2007**, *2007*, 56813. [[CrossRef](#)]
34. Stevic, S. Asymptotic periodicity of a higher order difference equation. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2007**, *2007*, 13737. [[CrossRef](#)]
35. Stevic, S. Existence of nontrivial solutions of a rational difference equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2007**, *20*, 28–31. [[CrossRef](#)]
36. Stevic, S. On the Recursive Sequence $y_{n+1} = A + (y_n/y_{n-1})^p$. *Discrete Dyn. Nat. Soc.* **2007**, *2007*, 34517.
37. Stevic, S.; Kent, C.; Berenaut, S. A note on positive nonoscillatory solutions of the differential equation $w_{n+1} = \alpha + w_{n-1}^p/w_n^p$. *J. Diff. Equ. Appl.* **2006**, *12*, 495–499.
38. Taskara, N.; Uslu, K.; Tollu, D.T. The periodicity and solutions of the rational difference equation with periodic coefficients. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **2011**, *62*, 1807–1813. [[CrossRef](#)]
39. Yang, C. Positive Solutions for a Three-Point Boundary Value Problem of Fractional Q-Difference Equations. *Symmetry* **2018**, *10*, 358. [[CrossRef](#)]
40. Zhou, Z.; Zou, X. Stable periodic solutions in a discrete periodic logistic equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **2003**, *16*, 165–171. [[CrossRef](#)]



© 2020 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).