Two-Step Production of Neofructo-Oligosaccharides Using Immobilized Heterologous Aspergillus terreus 1F-Fructosyltransferase Expressed in Kluyveromyces lactis and Native Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous G6-Fructosyltransferase
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Abstract: Fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) are prebiotic low-calorie sweeteners that are synthesized by the transfer of fructose units from sucrose by enzymes known as fructosyltransferases. If these enzymes generate β-(2,6) glycosidic bonds, the resulting oligosaccharides belong to the neoseries (neoFOS). Here, we characterized the properties of three different fructosyltransferases using a design of experiments approach based on response surface methodology with a D-optimal design. The reaction time, pH, temperature, and substrate concentration were used as parameters to predict three responses: The total enzyme activity, the concentration of neoFOS and the neoFOS yield relative to the initial concentration of sucrose. We also conducted immobilization studies to establish a cascade reaction for neoFOS production with two different fructosyltransferases, achieving a total FOS yield of 47.02 ± 3.02%. The resulting FOS mixture included 53.07 ± 1.66 mM neonystose (neo-GF3) and 20.8 ± 1.91 mM neo-GF4.
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1. Introduction

Functional oligosaccharides possess health-promoting [1] and prebiotic properties [2]. The best known functional oligosaccharides are the fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS), isomalto-oligosaccharides (IMOS) and xyro-oligosaccharides (XOS) [1–3]. These diverse molecules differ in terms of their basic structure, the monosaccharides used for elongation and the chain length, resulting in a range of physicochemical and biological characteristics [1,2]. FOS are short-chain fructans, mainly consisting of fructose units, with a low calorific value [4–8]. Their prebiotic effects reflect their ability to stimulate the growth of beneficial bifidobacteria and lactobacilli while inhibiting undesirable bacteria such as Clostridium perfringens [9–12]. The sensorial qualities of FOS are similar to sucrose, but they do not promote dental caries. The sweetness varies according to the specific FOS molecule: For example, kestose, nystose and fructofuranosynystose possess 31%, 22% and 16% of the sweetness of a 10% sucrose solution, respectively [13]. Accordingly, FOS are mainly used as sugar substitutes [14,15], but their thickening properties and similar filling capacity to sucrose also make them popular food additives [13].
FOS are classified according to the type of glycosidic bond between the glucose unit and the adjacent fructose or between subsequent fructose residues (Figure 1). If \( \beta(2,1) \) glycosidic bonds are present they are classified as linear inulins, if \( \beta(2,6) \) glycosidic bonds are present they are linear levans, and if both \( \beta(2,6) \) and \( \beta(2,1) \) glycosidic bonds are present along with \( \beta(2,1) \) glycosidic extensions, they are assigned to the neoseries (neoFOS). The latter is characterized by greater thermal and chemical stability than commercially available inulins [16]. Furthermore, neokestose is the primary FOS utilized by bifidobacteria [10], which promote health by stimulating the immune system, promoting the regeneration of the intestinal flora after antibiotic therapy [17] and inhibiting bacterial pathogens by secreting growth-inhibiting substances and acids [18].

![Figure 1](image-url). The Fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS) synthesis pathways starting from sucrose. \( G^6\)-FFT = \( G^6\)-fructosyltransferase; 1-FFT = 1-fructosyltransferase; 6-SFT = sucrose:fructan-6-fructosyltransferase.

The proposed reaction mechanism for the synthesis of neoFOS is shown in Figure 2 [19]. Sucrose is elongated by the addition of a \( \beta(2,6) \)-linked fructose to the glucose moiety by \( G^6\)-fructosyltransferase (\( G^6\)-FFT) to form neokestose, which is then extended by the addition of a further \( \beta(2,1) \)-linked fructose by 1-fructosyltransferase (1-FFT) to form neonystose. A sucrose concentration of 600–850 g L\(^{-1}\) is required for this two-step reaction, and the optimal transfructosylation activity of various fructosyltransferases occurs at 50–60 °C [13] resulting in FOS yields of up to 60% of total reaction products [20].
Absorptive chromatography membranes can also be used, as reported with native β-galactosidase from Kluyveromyces lactis [25,32]. Carrier surfaces are often modified to display aldehyde, amine or thiol groups to facilitate enzyme binding while retaining catalytic activity, thus maximizing the immobilization yield compared to a bulk-solution, a further purification step is considered to be relevant with regard to time and costs [27]. Moreover, immobilization techniques on a carrier should be used as a simple unit operation, whereas a highly pure enzyme preparation is often not commercially available or not necessary for low-cost products, even when using whole cells to catalyze reactions [28–30]. Enzyme immobilization was first achieved by adsorbing an invertase onto aluminum hydroxide and charcoal [31], but alternatives to adsorption include entrapment, covalent binding and cross-linking [25,32]. Carrier surfaces are often modified to display aldehyde, amine or thiol groups to facilitate enzyme binding while retaining catalytic activity, thus maximizing the product yield [33]. Absorptive chromatography membranes can also be used, as reported with native β-galactosidase from Kluyveromyces lactis, for the production of GOS in a continuous membrane reactor system [34].

In this study, we propose a new cascade reaction to add value to common inulin type FOS utilizing crude enzyme solutions without chromatographic purification to enable low cost food FOS mixture. Therefore, three enzymes were characterized using a design-of-experiments approach in order to increase the yield of FOS. We varied four parameters that affect enzyme activity (pH, temperature, substrate concentration and reaction time) and determined their effect on the FOS concentration and yield. The focus was on 1-FFT as a model catalysis. A cascade reaction was conducted according to the neoFOS synthesis pathway to increase the value of 1-FFT catalysis products in terms of the degree of oligomerization and increasing the variety of different FOS types in one solution. We also investigated different carriers for immobilization, focusing on industrially relevant epoxy resins. Ultimately, our objective was to achieve proof of concept for a two-step neoFOS production process at the 100-mL scale, using immobilized enzymes.

One challenge with the synthesis of FOS is the inhibition of fructosyltransferases by glucose, which accumulates as a by-product [21]. This can potentially be addressed by including a second enzyme to remove the glucose. For example, glucose isomerase is a D-xylulose-ketol-isomerase that catalyzes the addition of β(2,6)-linked fructose to the glucose moiety to form neokestose, and 1-fructosyltransferase (1-FFT) adds a further β(2,1)-linked fructose to form neonystose.

The efficiency of enzyme-driven reactions can be improved if the enzyme is immobilized because this allows the enzyme to be separated from the product without dedicated purification steps; multiple batch reaction cycles or continuous reactions can be carried out using the same enzyme preparation, and there is the potential to immobilize several enzymes for reaction cascades [25]. Immobilization is particularly beneficial if the enzyme costs are high because such costs can be spread over multiple reactions [26]. Even though a purified enzyme solution can enhance the immobilization yield compared to a bulk-solution, a further purification step is considered to be relevant with regard to time and costs [27]. Moreover, immobilization techniques on a carrier should be used as a simple unit operation, whereas a highly pure enzyme preparation is often not commercially available or not necessary for low-cost products, even when using whole cells to catalyze reactions [28–30]. Enzyme immobilization was first achieved by adsorbing an invertase onto aluminum hydroxide and charcoal [31], but alternatives to adsorption include entrapment, covalent binding and cross-linking [25,32]. Carrier surfaces are often modified to display aldehyde, amine or thiol groups to facilitate enzyme binding while retaining catalytic activity, thus maximizing the product yield [33]. Absorptive chromatography membranes can also be used, as reported with native β-galactosidase from Kluyveromyces lactis, for the production of GOS in a continuous membrane reactor system [34].

In this study, we propose a new cascade reaction to add value to common inulin type FOS utilizing crude enzyme solutions without chromatographic purification to enable low cost food FOS mixture. Therefore, three enzymes were characterized using a design-of-experiments approach in order to increase the yield of FOS. We varied four parameters that affect enzyme activity (pH, temperature, substrate concentration and reaction time) and determined their effect on the FOS concentration and yield. The focus was on 1-FFT as a model catalysis. A cascade reaction was conducted according to the neoFOS synthesis pathway to increase the value of 1-FFT catalysis products in terms of the degree of oligomerization and increasing the variety of different FOS types in one solution. We also investigated different carriers for immobilization, focusing on industrially relevant epoxy resins. Ultimately, our objective was to achieve proof of concept for a two-step neoFOS production process at the 100-mL scale, using immobilized enzymes.
2. Results and Discussion

2.1. FOS Production by Native and Recombinant Fructosyltransferases

Fructosyltransferases synthesize FOS by hydrolyzing sucrose into glucose and fructose, then transferring the fructose to an intact sucrose molecule or an existing FOS. The type and location of the glycosidic bond determine the form of the resulting FOS molecule. *Aspergillus terreus* 1-FFT expressed in *K. lactis* primarily catalyzes the formation of β(2,1) glycosidic bonds, resulting in the production of inulin-type FOS as the main product, along with neokestose and 6-kestose as byproducts (Figure 3). The qualification of 6-kestose was achieved using a standard kindly provided by Miguel Alcalde Galeote and Francisco J. Plou (Institute of Catalysis and Petrochemistry, Spanish National Research Council, Spain) because no commercial standards are available for neoFOS. Neokestose was assigned to the peak immediately behind 1-kestose due to the single product peak after catalysis using the native G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT produced in *Xanthophyllumyces dendrorhous* [19,35]. The main products of G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT were previously shown to be neokestose and neonystose, the latter in the context of high enzyme activity [19,36].

![Figure 3](image-url)

**Figure 3.** Chromatograms showing FOS standards and catalytic products. Offset = 50 pA between chromatograms. The peaks correspond to (A) fructose, (B) glucose, (C) sucrose, (D) neokestose, (E) 1-kestose, (F) 6-kestose, (G) nystose, (H) 1F-fructofranosynystose, and (I) a putative FOS with five fructose molecules (GF\textsubscript{5}). The chromatograms represent a standard solution (Std.), the catalysis products of 1-FFT, 6-SFT and G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT and a 6-kestose sample.

The native sucrose: fructan-6-fructosyltransferase (6-SFT) produced in *K. lactis* led to the production of neokestose, 1-kestose and primarily 6-kestose. Because 1-FFT was expressed in *K. lactis*, the resulting mixture of FOS represented the combined activity of native 6-SFT and recombinant 1-FFT. However, inulin-type FOS remained dominant because the strong LAC4 promoter was used for 1-FFT expression [11].

The relative abundance of fructose and glucose provides an indication of the relative hydrolase and transferase activities of each enzyme because hydrolysis produces equal amounts of each monosaccharide, but only fructose is utilized during the transferase reaction. The accumulation
of excess fructose therefore indicates a low transferase to hydrolase ratio, whereas the depletion of fructose indicates a high transferase to hydrolase ratio, the latter associated with high FOS yields. No fructose was detected among the products of G₆-FFT, whereas high concentrations were detected among the products of 1-FFT and 6-SFT. Accordingly, we found that G₆-FFT showed almost exclusively transferase activity (98.6 ± 0.2%) whereas 1-FFT showed 83.3 ± 0.2% transferase activity and 6-SFT only 25.6 ± 0.8% transferase activity.

2.2. Enzyme Characterization

In order to maximize transferase activity and thus increase the yield of FOS, it was necessary to determine reaction conditions that promoted the activity of 1-FFT and G₆-FFT while minimizing the (predominantly hydrolase) activity of 6-SFT. We therefore began by determining the optimal reaction parameters for each enzyme in turn, using a design of experiments approach.

2.2.1. Characterization of 1-FFT

Heterologous *A. terreus* 1-FFT expressed in *K. lactis* was tested in the pH range 4–8 and the temperature range 40–80 °C (Figure 4a) based on previous findings [11]. Similarly, an equivalent design was used to study the effect of sucrose concentration (0.77–2.10 M) and reaction times ranging from 14 to 107 min (Figure 4b) [11,13].

![Figure 4. Contour plot of percentage FOS yield after catalysis with 1-FFT using a crude supernatant solution. (a) FOS yield as a function of pH and temperature (2 h reaction time, 1.75 M sucrose). (b) FOS yield as a function of time and sucrose concentration (pH 5.8, 70 °C).](image)

The significant reduced quadratic model predicted a local maximum FOS yield at pH 5.8 and 70 °C. Confirmation runs (n = 4) were performed at the parameters that achieved the highest anticipated FOS yield within the limits of the experiment (pH 5.8 and 70 °C). A FOS yield of 40.8 ± 0.6% was achieved, which was within the 95% confidence interval of 29.7%–42.8%. The significant reduced cubic model showed maximum FOS yields in the experimental range with increasing time and sucrose concentrations. Therefore, the confirmation runs verified the predictive power of the mathematical model under diverse conditions.
2.2.2. Characterization of G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT

The extracellular G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT produced by X. dendrorhous has little hydrolase activity. The enzyme was characterized as above, within the pH range 4–7 and the temperature range 40–70 °C based on previous findings [16,36]. Similarly, an equivalent design was used to study the effect of sucrose concentrations (0.42–1.90 M) and reaction times ranging from 1 to 24 h, based on previous findings and the low activity of the G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT enzyme solution [19].

The significant reduced cubic model predicted FOS yields >2.5% in the pH range 5.6–6.6 and the temperature range 50–56 °C (Figure 5a). In contrast to the slight decrease in FOS yields at low temperatures, catalysis at 70 °C achieved marginal FOS yields within the tested pH range. Confirmation runs (n = 3) were performed at the parameters that achieved the highest anticipated FOS yield within the limits of the experiment (pH 6.26 and 53 °C). A FOS yield of 2.50 ± 0.07% was achieved, which was within the 95% confidence interval of 2.16%–3.46%. In contrast to previous studies, we found that enzyme activity decreased rapidly at high temperatures and almost no activity was detected at 70 °C [36]. This may reflect the thermolability of G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT and the difference in reaction time [36]. Most FOS production using X. dendrorhous G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT is carried out under mild conditions [37].

![Figure 5](image)

**Figure 5.** Contour plot of percentage FOS yield after catalysis with G\textsuperscript{6}-FFT using a 5× concentrated supernatant solution. (a) FOS yield as a function of pH and temperature (2 h reaction time, 1.63 M sucrose). (b) FOS yield as a function of time and sucrose concentration (pH 6.26, 53 °C).

The significant reduced quadratic model predicted higher FOS yields with increasing reaction time (Figure 5b). In contrast, the sucrose concentration caused a local maximum at 1.2–1.8 M. In this range, we anticipated FOS yields exceeding 15%. Despite the significant lack of fit, an improved yield (11.8 ± 0.08%) was achieved after 24 h in the presence of 1.25 M sucrose. This fits into the 95% confidence interval (7.2%–23.9%). Higher FOS yields with longer reaction times under mild conditions have been reported before, but the effect of sucrose concentrations on FOS yields were not considered in detail [36].

2.2.3. Analysis of 6-SFT by-Product Formation

The extracellular 6-SFT secreted by K. lactis has a dominant hydrolase activity, which is undesirable in a neoFOS production system because it favors the recovery of levan-type byproducts. We therefore characterized the enzyme as above in order to find conditions that limited its activity, using total activity [U mL\textsuperscript{-1}] as the response and experimental ranges based on previous studies of fungal invertases...
and fructosyltransferases [38–41]. We selected the pH range 4–7 and the temperature range 40–70 °C (Figure 6a). As before, an equivalent design was used to study the effect of sucrose concentration (0.77–2.10 M) and reaction times of 1–24 h (Figure 6b).

![Contour plot of 6-SFT activity to determine the total activity [U mL⁻¹] using a 3.2× concentrated supernatant solution. (a) Total activity [U mL⁻¹] as a function of pH and temperature (2 h reaction time, 1.71 M sucrose). (b) Total activity [U mL⁻¹] as a function of time and sucrose concentration (pH 5.8, 70 °C).](image)

The significant reduced cubic model predicted maximum total activity within the limits of the experiment at 70 °C and pH 5.3. Confirmation runs (n = 3) at 70 °C and pH 5.8 revealed a total activity of 30.6 ± 2.8 U mL⁻¹. The 95% confidence interval was 27.2–34.6 U mL⁻¹. The significant cubic model (Figure 6b) showed a broad high level of total activity with a reaction time of 1 h in the presence of 1.4–1.9 M sucrose. Although low total activity was predicted for all sucrose concentrations with a reaction time of 24 h, this was strongly dependent on the sucrose concentration at 1 h. Confirmation runs (n = 3) lasting 2 h in the presence of 1.72 M sucrose resulted in a total activity of 30.6 ± 2.8 U mL⁻¹. The 95% confidence interval was 28.7–42.2 U mL⁻¹.

These findings can be exploited by adapting the reaction time and sucrose concentration to favor 1-FFT over 6-SFT activity, preventing the formation of levan-type byproducts and increasing the transferase/hydrolase ratio to boost FOS yields. Due to the low transferase/hydrolase ratio (25.6 ± 0.8%), both activities were attributed to β-D-fructofuranoside fructohydrolase. Extracellular inulinase (2,1-β-D-fructan fructanohydrolase, EC 3.2.1.7) [42] and invertase (β-D-fructofuranoside fructohydrolase, EC 3.2.1.26) [43–45] have already been described in K. lactis, but an extracellular transferase activity has not been reported. However, invertases also catalyze the transfer of fructose units at high substrate concentrations [46–48], and the ability of fungal invertases to form 6-ketose as the main product of transferase activity has already been demonstrated [46].

### 2.3. Immobilization of 1-FFT

**2.3.1. Screening Immobilization Carriers and Conditions**

Having determined optimal reaction conditions favoring the activity of 1-FFT over 6-SFT, we next sought an appropriate carrier for enzyme immobilization in order to establish a two-step reaction process. We used 1-FFT as a model to screen different carriers because this enzyme is intrinsically more active than G5-FFT. For covalent immobilization, we used Immobead 150P polymethacrylate porous
beads with epoxy functions (IP150), which were previously used to immobilize an acid phosphatase [49]. We also used the novel epoxy resin ECR8285 with a hydrophobic butyl methacrylate base, which has previously been used to immobilize a lipase [50]. For noncovalent ionic interactions, we used Mustang Q (PMQ) strong anion exchange membranes featuring quaternary amine ligands, which have been used for enzyme immobilization in earlier studies [34,51], as well as Mustang S (PMS) strong cation exchange membranes. Both membranes featured a hydrophobic polyethersulfone base. The treated carriers described above were compared to hydrophobic Sepabeads SP70 (Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation, Tokyo, Japan) and Amberlite XAD16N (DuPont de Nemours, Wilmington, USA) carriers, which have been used for the adsorption of amino acids and naringin [52,53].

The immobilization of 1-FFT by covalent binding to epoxy functional groups achieved the highest enzyme activity, with ECR8285 outperforming IP150 and producing a mean activity of 13.24 ± 0.82 U (Figure 7) at FOS yields of up to 60%. The reversible immobilization of 1-FFT onto PMQ and PMS membranes by ionic interaction also achieved high activities of 9.74 ± 0.47 and 8.6 ± 0.33 U, respectively. The better performance of covalent binding may reflect enzyme desorption from the PMQ/PMS membranes during the washing step after immobilization. Our results show that the ECR8285 carrier is preferable because it maximizes enzyme activity and enhances the yield of FOS. Covalent immobilization is preferred for industrial processes because enzymes retain their stability under harsh conditions [54], and accordingly, we predicted that immobilization on the ECR8285 carrier would prevent the loss of 1-FFT activity at reaction temperatures up to 70 °C and at pH extremes. We found that the immobilization of 1-FFT on the ECR8285 carrier at pH 9 using sodium carbonate buffer led to an activity of 13.28 ± 0.57 U, agreeing with previous reports that a higher pH can increase immobilization efficiency [38,55]. We also tested immobilization at pH 6 because this is the pH of the fermentation process used to produce the enzyme. Immobilization at pH 6 would allow the use of cell-free fermentation broth as the raw enzyme solution without buffer exchange. To increase the efficiency of immobilization at pH 6, the ECR8285 surface was modified with either thiol or amine groups, the latter at pH 8.5 or 10, as previously described [32]. The amine modification (at pH 8.5 for 1 h at 25 °C) followed by immobilization at pH 6 increased the maximum enzyme activity to 19.16 ± 0.49 U. Our results therefore suggest that 1-FFT activity can be maximized by immobilization on an ECR8285 carrier at pH 6 following the modification of that carrier with amine groups at pH 8.5.

![Figure 7. The effect of different carriers on 1-FFT activity. The 10-mL reactions were carried out for 48 h at 25 °C in the presence of 0.2 g of each carrier. Activity [U] = amount of fructose transferred per minute using a standard catalysis protocol.](image-url)
2.3.2. Optimization of 1-FFT Immobilization

Having established the basic requirements for 1-FFT immobilization, we used a D-optimal experimental design to optimize the immobilization time and quantity of carrier. We initially set out to use both the efficiency of covalent binding and the enzyme activity after immobilization as responses. However, the difference of the protein concentration, indicating the total amount of immobilized protein on the carrier, could not be estimated and used as a response. The protein concentrations in the liquid phase of the approaches after the immobilization were below the detection limit of the Bradford and Pierce bicinchoninic acid protein assays. We therefore focused on the enzyme activity (Figure 8).

![Figure 8. Contour plot for the optimization of immobilization based on the transfer activity [U] of (a) the carrier and (b) the supernatant.](image)

The significant linear model of the carrier revealed maximum enzyme activity within the experimental range if the immobilization reaction lasted 96 h with 50 mg of carrier. Confirmation runs under these conditions indicated activities of $10.66 \pm 0.05$ U, thus exceeding the 95% confidence interval.

The significant cubic model of the supernatant revealed that enzyme activity was weakly influenced by the immobilization reaction time but strongly influenced by the amount of carrier, with higher amounts leading to negligible enzyme activity in the liquid phase of the immobilization reaction. As above, the activities in the confirmation run ($3.12 \pm 0.12$ U) exceeded the 95% confidence interval ($1.79–2.20$ U). This may reflect differences in the protein composition of the crude enzyme solutions used for the initial model and the confirmation runs. To ensure a highly activated carrier is available for further experiments, enzymes were immobilized on 50 mg of carrier within 96 h.

2.3.3. FOS Production with Free and Immobilized 1-FFT

The previous step involved the immobilization of 1-FFT and the detection of its activity in immobilized form in a 1-mL reaction volume. If the reaction volume is increased to 100 mL, the other reaction parameters (carrier quantity, stirrer speed and time) must be adapted accordingly. Only the sucrose concentration and reaction temperature remain the same. The results of the scale transfer experiments with free and immobilized 1-FFT are presented below (Figure 9).

These experiments confirmed that catalysis using immobilized 1-FFT is possible at the 100-mL scale, resulting in relative yields of 93.47 ± 2.24% FOS. The reaction was scaled up to accommodate a two-step system and to evaluate whether it was necessary to incorporate glucose isomerase to remove glucose, which blocks the active site of the 1-FFT and thus inhibits FOS synthesis [21]. This effect
was evident in our scaled-up experiment, where the sucrose concentration declines over time but the rate of FOS production also declines during the second hour of the reaction due to the increasing concentration of glucose (Figure 9).

Figure 9. Time course of FOS relative yield by immobilized 1-FFT (black, 5 g loaded carrier) and 1-FFT crude enzyme solution (red, 10% (v/v)).

2.4. Two-Step Microscale neoFOS Production

Although neokestose has been produced using various enzymes [56–60], we are unaware of any reports describing the production of high yields of elongated neoFOS (>GF3) from sucrose. The extracellular \textit{X. dendrorhous} fructosyltransferase showed high selectivity against the formation of neoFOS and a high transferase/hydrolase ratio, but the synthesis of >GF3 has not been achieved thus far. We therefore attempted the sequential synthesis of neoFOS using \textit{G6-FFT} and 1-FFT according to a previously described model [19]. Initially, 1-mL scale reactions were carried out for 24 h shaking at 1000 rpm. The first step of the reaction using \textit{G6-FFT} resulted in the production of neokestose, 1-kestose and possibly neonystose (Figure 10).

Following the first catalytic step, 100 µL of the reaction volume was removed for analysis. The sucrose concentration was then increased to 2 M by adding crystalline sucrose. After the second catalysis step, the degree of polymerization had increased to GF4, and two putative neoFOS products were detected. Because we used a two-step consecutive process, the slowest partial reaction determined the overall speed. The results indicated that neoFOS production could be increased by optimizing enzyme activity, which could be achieved by enzyme immobilization and/or expression under the control of a strong inducible promoter [11,35]. The use of 1-FFT for the first catalytic step did not achieve the clear initiation of the neo series using already-elongated FOS in any of the consecutive second catalytic steps, probably reflecting the higher affinity of the enzymes for sucrose rather than inulin-type oligosaccharides.
These combined measures were applied to increase the yield of neoFOS and confirm the results from polymerization, this peak corresponds to a putative GF peak was assigned to the neoseries. Following the elution profile of FOS with different catalysts under the control of a strong inducible promoter \[11,35\]. The use of 1-FFT for the first catalytic step was not possible to send samples for external analysis. The availability of standards is essential for optimizing enzyme activity, which could be achieved by enzyme immobilization and/or expression.

2.5. Two-Step 100-mL Scale neoFOS Production

The two-step neoFOS production process described above was scaled up to a reaction volume of 100 mL, and 1-FFT was immobilized on an ECR8285 carrier under the optimized conditions defined earlier. Although the G\(_6\)-FFT was not immobilized, we used a 50x concentrated G\(_6\)-FFT solution. These combined measures were applied to increase the yield of neoFOS and confirm the results from the microscale production process.

G\(_6\)-FFT achieved the highest specificity for the neoseries. Given that the composition of the reaction solution in the first and the second steps differed mainly in the neoFOS concentration, the enlarged peak was assigned to the neoseries. Following the elution profile of FOS with different degrees of polymerization, this peak corresponds to a putative GF\(_5\) neoFOS species (Figure 11).
The total yield of the two-step process was 47.02 \pm 3.02\% , which was determined with respect to the total available sucrose concentration (Table 1). The putative neo-GF\textsubscript{4} product reached a concentration of 20.8 \pm 1.91 mM. During the reaction, the distribution of products shifted toward FOS with higher degrees of polymerization.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process Step</th>
<th>Sucrose GF [mM]</th>
<th>Glucose G [mM]</th>
<th>Neonystose GF\textsubscript{3} [mM]</th>
<th>Neoseries GF\textsubscript{4} [mM]</th>
<th>(Y_{\text{FOS,total}}) [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(A) Blank</td>
<td>1849.80 \pm 113.89</td>
<td>&lt;LOD</td>
<td>&lt;LOD</td>
<td>&lt;LOD</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(B) G\textsubscript{6}-FFT</td>
<td>501.56 \pm 42.41</td>
<td>755.33 \pm 51.51</td>
<td>33.05 \pm 3.01</td>
<td>&lt;LOD</td>
<td>68.55 \pm 4.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C) GI</td>
<td>529.94 \pm 23.64</td>
<td>436.17 \pm 37.61</td>
<td>32.51 \pm 0.49</td>
<td>&lt;LOD</td>
<td>68.69 \pm 2.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D) Sucrose</td>
<td>1916.78 \pm 136.67</td>
<td>435.28 \pm 41.46</td>
<td>30.04 \pm 4.47</td>
<td>&lt;LOD</td>
<td>31.08 \pm 1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(E) 1-FFT</td>
<td>600.72 \pm 86.88</td>
<td>1235.15 \pm 59.60</td>
<td>53.07 \pm 1.66</td>
<td>20.8 \pm 1.91</td>
<td>47.02 \pm 3.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{†}\) Calculated on a total sucrose concentration of 3767 mM; LOD = Limit of detection; GI = Glucose Isomerase

The multiple peaks generated by 1-FFT when the reaction time was extended (Figure 11) were likely to be caused by the formation of FOS with different combinations of \(\beta\)-glycosidic bonds. These widely scattered byproducts of the inulin series did not allow the identification of potential neoFOS peaks. Furthermore, no commercial standards are available for short-chain neoFOS (GF\textsubscript{2}–GF\textsubscript{6}), so it was not possible to send samples for external analysis. The availability of standards is essential for the qualitative and quantitative evaluation of neo-FOS production.

Hydrolase activity is undesirable during the production of FOS because this removes the sucrose substrate from the reaction. In \(K.\text{ lactis}\), the native invertase (6-SFT) has strong hydrolase activity against sucrose, thus reducing the amount of sucrose available to the heterologous 1-FFT. This could be addressed by isolating the 1-FFT from the crude enzyme preparation or using another expression system with lower hydrolytic activity.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Microbial Strains, Reagents and Materials

\textit{Kluyveromyces lactis} strain GG799 was obtained from New England Biolabs (Frankfurt, Germany) and was used to express native 6-SFT and the integrated fructosyltransferase (1-FFT) gene from \textit{Aspergillus terreus} NIH2624, which was described previously \cite{11,12}. \textit{Xanthophyllomyces dendrorhous} (DSM 5626) was obtained from the Leibniz Institute DSMZ German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures (Germany) and was used to express native G\textsubscript{6}-FFT. All chemical reagents, if not otherwise stated, were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) and Carl Roth (Karlsruhe, Germany). For enzyme immobilization, a Lifetech ECR8285 sample was kindly provided by Purolite (Ratingen, Germany). Sepabeads SP70, Amberlite XAD16N and Immobead 150P carriers were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Chemie (Taufkirchen, Germany). Mustang Q and S membranes were purchased from Pall (Dreieich, Germany). A FOS standard set comprising 1-kestose, nystose and \(1^{\text{a}}\)-fructofranosylnystose was obtained from FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan). Acetonitrile (certified ultra-high-performance liquid chromatography, UHPLC grade) was obtained from Thermo Fisher Scientific (Dreieich, Germany).

3.2. Enzyme Production

The \textit{A. terreus} 1-FFT expressed in \textit{K. lactis} was produced at the 5-L scale in a Lafors 3 fermenter (Infors, Bottmingen, Switzerland) using adapted FM22 medium for cultivation \cite{61}. The \textit{K. lactis} native...
6-SFT was produced at the 300-mL scale in a MiniBio 500 fermenter (Applikon Biotechnology, Delft, The Netherlands) using yeast extract dextrose medium containing 20 g L\(^{-1}\) casein peptone, 10 g L\(^{-1}\) yeast extract and 20 g L\(^{-1}\) glucose. The \(X.\) \(dendrorhous\) native G\(^6\)-FFT was produced at the 5-L scale in a Lafors 3 fermenter monitored using an ez-Control system (Applikon Biotechnology). The cells were cultivated in autoclaved yeast malt broth medium containing 5 g L\(^{-1}\) soy peptone, 3 g L\(^{-1}\) yeast extract, 3 g L\(^{-1}\) malt extract and 20 g L\(^{-1}\) sucrose.

The pH was maintained during fermentation by adding 25% (v/v) ammonia or (\(X.\) \(dendrorhous\) cultivations) 1 M sodium hydroxide. We also added 0.02% (v/v) Struktol J673A (Schill & Seilacher, Hamburg, Germany) before fermentation began to avoid foaming. For \(K.\) \(lactis\), the fermentation medium was maintained at pH 6.0, 30 °C and >50% dissolved oxygen, the latter achieved with a stirrer speed of 1400 rpm and a gassing rate of 1 VVM air. For \(X.\) \(dendrorhous\), the fermentation medium was maintained at pH 6.9 and 20 °C, with the stirrer speed set to 500 rpm. The reactors were inoculated using cryostocks or precultures to optical densities of \(\Delta\)\(OD\)\(_{600}\) = 0.1–0.2 and were harvested during the stationary phase. The fermentation broth was centrifuged at 17,000 × g to pellet insoluble components, and the supernatants were used directly as crude enzyme solutions because all three enzymes were secreted into the medium.

### 3.3. Concentration of 6-SFT and G\(^6\)-FFT Solutions

To increase the specific activity of 6-SFT and G\(^6\)-FFT, both enzyme solutions were concentrated in a 50-mL Amicon filtration cell fitted with a 30 kDa polyethersulfone Biomax filter (Merck Millipore, Burlington, USA). The operating parameters were 150 rpm and 1 bar overpressure at 8 °C. An inert gas (nitrogen) was used to avoid chemical reactions. The double volume was flushed with 5 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.0), and then the volume was reduced and filter-sterilized to reach concentrations of 3.2-fold (6-SFT) and 5-fold (G\(^6\)-FFT) the original. These retentates were used as enzyme concentrates to characterize the enzymes and for the two-step microscale production of neoFOS. For the two-step 100-mL scale reaction, a new batch of G\(^6\)-FFT was concentrated 50-fold using the same method.

### 3.4. Enzyme Reactions

Unless otherwise stated, 100 µL of each crude enzyme solution was mixed with 900 µL reaction buffer comprising 850 µL sucrose solution (600 g L\(^{-1}\)) premixed with 50 µL 1 M phosphate citrate buffer (pH 5.8). The reaction buffer was preheated to 70 °C and, after adding the enzyme solution, the reaction was incubated at 70 °C for 2 h shaking at 1000 rpm in a Thermomixer (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany). The reaction was stopped by heating to 95 °C for 20 min in a heating block (Techne, Cambridge, United Kingdom). Enzyme activity was measured by UHPLC. One unit of total activity or hydrolase activity was defined as the amount of glucose [µmol], respectively, fructose [µmol] formed per unit time [min]. One unit of total transferase activity was defined as the amount of fructose [µmol] used for the synthesis of FOS per unit time [min]. In the case of the volumetric activity, the activity is related to the used volume enzyme solution [U mL\(^{-1}\)]. The FOS yield [%] was defined as the amount of FOS produced [mM] relative to the initial concentration of sucrose [mM] with respect to the added fructose units of each degree of polymerization. For the evaluation of enzyme immobilization, 50 mg of loaded enzyme carrier was incubated with 1 mL reaction buffer (see above) for 2 h, and the transferase activity was determined.

### 3.5. Enzyme Immobilization

Before and after each modification or immobilization step, the carrier was incubated with 10 mL of wash buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6) for 1 h on a rotary shaker. The spent buffer was then decanted and replaced with 10 mL of fresh wash buffer, and the carrier was incubated for a further 16 h on the shaker. The wash buffer was then discarded and the carrier was stored at 8 °C. For enzyme immobilization, if not stated otherwise, 50 mg of carrier was mixed with 7 mL of the enzyme solution and 3 mL of immobilization buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 6) in a 15-mL reaction vessel and
incubated on a rotary shaker for 96 h at 8 °C. The supernatant was then transferred to a fresh 15-mL reaction vessel.

3.6. Modification of Epoxy Carrier

The epoxy groups on the surface of the IP150, ECR8285 and SP70 carriers were modified using thiol or amine solutions as previously described [25]. For the thiol modification, we prepared a mixture of 50 mM sodium hydrogen carbonate (pH 8.5) and 1 mM sodium sulfide. For each batch, 0.2 g of the carrier was incubated with 8 mL of the thiol solution in a 15-mL reaction vessel at 25 °C for 2 h. The modification solution was then removed. For the amine modification, 0.3 M ethylenediamine (EDA) was adjusted to pH 8.5 and 2 mL of this solution was mixed with 0.2 g of the carrier at 25 °C for 2 h. Approximately 20% of the epoxy groups are modified under these conditions [25]. For complete modification, the pH of the amine solution was increased to 10 and the reaction time extended to 16 h in parallel experiments. All preparations were then treated according to the washing protocol described above, prior to enzyme immobilization.

3.7. Two-Step Microscale neoFOS Production

Consecutive reaction steps were carried out in both directions with concentrated X. dendrorhous G6-FFT and A. terreus 1-FFT expressed in K. lactis. Each 1-mL reaction was carried out at a defined temperature and at pH 5.8 for 24 h. After the first step, crystalline sucrose was added to bring the concentration to 2 M as determined by HPLC. Pure water was added along with the sucrose to ensure that the reaction volume was the same for each sample during the second step.

3.8. Two-Step 100-mL Scale neoFOS Production

Three 90-mL reaction batches were prepared comprising 1.8 M sucrose in 50 mM phosphate citrate buffer (pH 6.2). Each batch was mixed with 10 mL 50x concentrated G6-FFT enzyme solution and incubated for 24 h at 53 °C, before heating to 95 °C for 20 min to deactivate the enzyme. The pH was then adjusted to 7.6 by adding 2 M NaOH and 1 g L⁻¹ of crystalline MgSO₄·H₂O was added to induce the expression of glucose isomerase [24]. The reaction was incubated with 1 g L⁻¹ glucose isomerase at 60 °C for 24 h to reduce the glucose concentration. In the second step, the pH was adjusted to 5.8 by adding crystalline citric acid and the sucrose concentration was increased to 2 M by adding crystalline sucrose. For the second reaction step, the solutions were incubated at 70 °C for 24 h with 5 g L⁻¹ of the ECR8285 carrier loaded with 1-FFT (Figure 12.).

![Figure 12. Process scheme of the 2-step neoFOS production in 100 mL scale.](image)

3.9. UHPLC Analytics

UHPLC was carried out using an UltiMate 3000 system equipped with a Corona Veo RS Charged Aerosol Detector (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Dreieich, Germany). The analytes were separated using an XBridge BEH Amide Column (130Å, 3.5 μm, 3 mm × 100 mm) from Waters (Eschborn, Germany). The solvent was 70% (v/v) acetonitrile (UHPLC grade) and 30% (v/v) water premixed with 0.2% (v/v) triethylamine. The flow rate was set to 1 mL min⁻¹ with a running time of 36–50 min depending on the
anticipated degree of polymerization among the products. Quantitative analysis was made possible by using a series of FOS standards FUJIFILM Wako Pure Chemical Corporation (Osaka, Japan). Samples were prepared by diluting 1:50 with a mixture of 50% (v/v) acetonitrile (LC-MS grade) and 50% (v/v) pure water.

4. Conclusions

We have successfully characterized three enzymes (A. terreus 1-FFT expressed in K. lactis, the native K. lactis 6-SFT and the native X. dendrorhous G₆-FFT) and produced neoFOS as efficiently as possible by avoiding hydrolysis and the formation of levan-type FOS. The FOS yield is an important parameter which should be maximized to limit the abundance of sucrose or glucose/fructose hydrolysis products in the reaction, mainly because of their contribution to the glycemic index. The immobilization of 1-FFT was optimized to ensure high FOS yields with low quantities of carrier. The immobilized enzyme achieved higher yields than enzyme solutions in reactions lasting for 3 h. Our results confirm that enzyme cascades involving two different fructosyltransferases can be used for the production of neoFOS with up to five added fructose units (GF₅). While this study focused on the immobilized 1-FFT, a utilization of a cascade reaction is possible, thus increasing the product value by an enhanced variety of different FOS types. The native X. dendrorhous G₆-FFT represents the process bottleneck which requires improvement in further research. This can include research on efficient carrier immobilization and heterologous expression in a highly efficient production host, e.g., in Pichia pastoris [62]. This might result in a FOS distribution shift to neo-configurated GF₄ and GF₅ molecules, which can then be confirmed by NMR in a further process development.
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