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Synthesis of Mono- and Bis-Pyrazoles Bearing Flexible p-Tolyl Ether and Rigid Xanthene Backbones, and Their Potential as Ligands in the Pd-Catalysed Suzuki–Miyaura Cross-Coupling Reaction
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Abstract: The present work describes the synthesis of mono- and bis-pyrazole compounds bearing flexible p-tolyl ether and rigid 4,5-dibromo-2,7-di-tert-butyl-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene backbones as pyrazolyl analogues of DPEphos and Xantphos ligands, respectively. The synthesis of new pyrazolyl analogues was accomplished following an Ullmann coupling protocol, and the resulting products were isolated in overall good yields. In addition, a hybrid imidazolyl–pyrazolyl analogue bearing a xanthene backbone was synthesized using the same protocol, whereas a hybrid selanyl–pyrazolyl analogue with a xanthene backbone was synthesized in a good yield employing a second C–H activation step. The symmetrical bis-pyrazolyl and the hybrid imidazolyl–pyrazolyl analogues were found to be the most active among the new ligands evaluated in the Pd-catalysed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling of aryl halides with aryl boronic acids. A simple catalytic system based on Pd(OAc)2 was developed, which efficiently catalyses the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction of aryl halides and aryl boronic acids and provides moderate to excellent yields of the corresponding cross-coupling products.
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1. Introduction

Transition metal complexes of phosphorous (P), nitrogen (N), sulphur (S), and oxygen (O)-based ligands and their hybrids have provided chemists with a wide opportunity and a quasi-exhaustive tool for creating C–C bonds of significant interest [1,2]. The reactivity and the catalytic behaviour of these complexes largely depend on the nature of the coordinating atoms, their relative position within the molecular architecture, and the relative flexibility or rigidity of the ligand backbone, as they greatly influence steric and electronic properties of the resulting complex [3,4]. Therefore, the fine-tuning of these properties in order to synthesize ligands of particular interest has been an interesting strategy. In this perspective, a plethora of ligands with flexible backbones, such as DPEphos, rigid backbones containing bulky substituents, such as 1Bu-Xantphos, and other xanthene scaffolds have been widely described in the literature [5–7]. In addition to the diphosphines bearing a xanthene backbone, the corresponding analogues displaying diamido [8], diamine [9], disilyl [10], and dithiolates [11] as coordination units have also been described. Moreover, since these xanthene-derived ligands possess wide bite angles, they can coordinate with a variety of metals, and the corresponding metal...
complexes have been successfully applied to a wide variety of reactions, such as hydroformylation, alkoxy-carbonylation, hydrocyanation, cross-coupling reactions (for C–C and C–X bond formation), and carboxylative coupling reactions [12].

Although the synthesis of modified ligands and catalytic systems based on phosphines is still an active part of ongoing research, the efforts for the development of phosphine-free catalysts for cross-coupling reactions and other related transformations have experienced rapid growth during the last 2–4 decades [13,14]. Among the cross-coupling reactions, the Suzuki–Miyaura (SM) reaction has been widely explored as a powerful tool for C–C bond formation due to several reasons [15–19]. Therefore, great effort has been made to search for greener reaction conditions, including the development of new catalytic systems and the design of new nitrogen-based ligands, by both academia and industry worldwide [20,21]. Thus far, the majority of the N-based ligands for the Pd-catalysed C–C bond formation are based on alkyl or aryl amines [22,23], pyridines [24], imines [25], imidazoles in the form of N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHC’s) [26], oxazolines [27], and their hybrids [28,29], whereas the corresponding pyrazole-based ligands have been relatively less explored [28,30]. Among the few examples reported in the literature, hybrid unsymmetrical benzimidazolium-pyrazolyl N,N-ligands, pyridine-pyrazolyl N,N-ligands, and bulky monodentate pyrazolyl ligands have been successfully applied for the Pd-catalysed Suzuki cross-coupling reactions [31–33]. Nevertheless, pyrazole-based catalysts have been employed in other important C–C bond forming reactions such as oligomerisations [34], polymerisations, and copolymerisations [35].

Previously, we reported some palladium complexes of bis-pyrazolyl tridentate ligands and demonstrated their application in the Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction [36]. To the best of our knowledge, pyrazolyl ligands based on a flexible p-tolyl ether or rigid xanthene backbones have not been described in the literature, inspiring us to synthesize some pyrazolyl analogues of DPEphos and Xanthos (Figure 1), and evaluate their potential as ligands in the Pd-catalysed SM cross-coupling of aryl halides with aryl boronic acids.

![Phosphine Ligands](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Pyrazolyl analogues of bis[(2-diphenylphosphino)phenyl] ether (DPEPhos) and (4,5-bis(diphenylphosphino)-9,9-dimethylxanthene (Xanthos).

2. Results and Discussion

A two-step bromination/Ullmann coupling reaction sequence was designed in order to synthesize the new pyrazolyl analogue of DPEphos (Scheme 1). p-tolyl ether was chosen as the starting material to avoid the o/p selectivity issues in the bromination step through blockade of the p-position. The dibromination of p-tolyl ether was successfully accomplished by the reaction with
N-bromosuccinimide (NBS) using 20 mol% of ammonium acetate as catalyst in acetonitrile at room temperature [37]. After chromatographic separation followed by recrystallization in pentane, the titled dibromo product, 4,4′-oxybis(3-bromo-1-methylbenzene) (1) was obtained in 68% yield. It is important to mention that this dibromination reaction is a much milder and simpler approach to this product compared to the previous protocol reported in the literature, which employed an ortho-lithiation strategy [38]. Then, 1 was used as the substrate for the Ullmann coupling reaction with 1H-pyrazole (Scheme 1). The reaction of 1 with 1H-pyrazole (a) using a Cu2O/phenanthroline catalytic system in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF) at 140 °C for 48 h of magnetic stirring provided the symmetrical bis-pyrazolyl analogue 1a in 47% isolated yield, along with 37% of the dehalogenated mono-pyrazolyl derivative 1a’ as a byproduct (Scheme 1). Attempts to optimise the catalytic system provided no significant improvements in the selectivity for compound 1a. Despite the moderate yield for 1a, this protocol further allows the isolation of the mono-pyrazolyl derivative 1a’, which will be useful as part of a pyrazole-based compounds’ library for further investigations by our group, such as photophysical studies. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were collected from concentrated pentane and ethyl acetate:hexane (10:90) solutions for compounds 1 and 1a, respectively. The single crystal X-ray diffraction study revealed that 1 crystallises in the triclinic P(–1) space group, whereas 1a crystallises in the monoclinic P(21/c) space group. The solid-state structures of 1 and 1a are shown in Figure 2, and the main crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters are summarised in the Supporting Information.

The commercially available 4,5-dibromo-2,7-di-tert-butyl-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene (2) was then employed as the substrate in the Ullmann coupling step to obtain the rigid pyrazolyl analogues of ‘Bu-Xanthos, 2a–2b’ (Scheme 2). When the same reaction conditions described in Scheme 1 for the synthesis of compound 1a were applied, an incomplete conversion was observed for the reaction between 2 and a. Therefore, a slightly higher temperature (160 °C) was used, and as a result, the reaction of 2 with a as the nucleophile delivered the symmetrical bis-pyrazolyl analogue 2a in 41% isolated yield (Scheme 2). Single crystals of 2a suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by the slow diffusion of hexane into a concentrated dichloromethane (DCM) solution of 2a. The solid-state structure of 2a is shown in Figure 2, and the main crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters are summarised in the Supporting Information (Table S5). In addition, as observed for the dibromo derivative 1, the mono-pyrazolyl debrominated byproduct 2a’ was also obtained. Unfortunately, the difficulty in chromatographic separation between 2a and 2a’ prevented an accurate quantification of 2a’. Nevertheless, a combined yield of ~10% for four reactions was roughly calculated (Scheme 2). The use of a lower Cu2O loading (10 mol%) also led to an incomplete conversion. At this point, it is worth mentioning that the only reported example of an Ullmann reaction between a related aryl-bridged tetrabromo-xanthene scaffold and 1H-pyrazole (a) was achieved by using a stoichiometric amount of Cu2O under microwave irradiation conditions [39].
**Scheme 2.** Synthesis of pyrazolyl analogues of tBu-Xantphos. Reaction conditions: Cu₂O (10–20 mol%); phenanthroline (22–44 mol%); 2 (1.0 eq.); a or b (3.2 eq.); Cs₂CO₃ (3.0 eq.); DMF (4 mL); 140–160 °C; 48 h.

Surprisingly, a different scenario was perceived when 3-mesityl-1H-pyrazole (b) was used as the nucleophile. The synthesis of the symmetrical bis-mesitylpyrazolyl ligand 2b by the reaction of 2 with b was accomplished by using a lower Cu₂O loading and a slightly lower temperature, leading to 2b in an exceptionally higher yield (63%). Another difference noticed for this reaction is that the debrominated mono-pyrazolyl byproduct was not obtained. Interestingly, the byproduct 2b', isolated in 17% yield, retains the bromine atom in its structure (Scheme 2). This result clearly indicates that 3-mesityl-1H-pyrazole (b) is a better coupling partner for the Ullmann reaction than

**Figure 2.** Molecular structures of 1, 1a, 2a, 2b and 2b' with the key atoms labelled (thermal ellipsoids drawn at 50% probability). For clarity, hydrogen atoms have been omitted.
1H-pyrazole (a). Hence, the reaction proceeded under milder conditions, especially with lower Cu$_2$O loading, providing both better selectivity and yield of 2b and preventing the C-Br reduction after the first Ullmann coupling. Single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction of 2b and 2b’ were collected from concentrated 10% ethyl acetate and hexane solutions of the pure compounds. Both 2b and 2b’ crystallise in the triclinic P(−1) space group. The solid-state structures are shown in Figure 2, and the main crystallographic data and the structure refinement parameters are summarised in the Supporting Information (Table S5). Moreover, two independent molecules were found in the asymmetric unit of 2b’. Both were quite similar, therefore, only one of the molecular structures is represented below in Figure 2.

The isolation of the byproduct derivative 2b’ expands the scope of the reaction, since it allows the synthesis of hybrid compounds through a second coupling reaction with different nucleophiles. In order to demonstrate this, 2b’ was treated with 1H-imidazole (c) under similar conditions described for the synthesis of 2b. As a result, the hybrid 2b’c was obtained in 78% isolated yield (Scheme 3).

![Scheme 3. Synthesis of hybrid analogue 2b’c from 2b’.](image)

In addition, a selanyl-pyrazolyl hybrid analogue 2a’d was synthesized using a C–H activation, protocol recently described in the literature [40]. Using both 5 mol% of PdCl$_2$ and CuCl$_2$, the reaction between 2a’ and diphenyl diselenide (d) in DMSO delivered the hybrid analogue 2a’d in 58% isolated yield (Scheme 4).

![Scheme 4. Synthesis of hybrid analogue 2a’d from 2a’ via a C-H activation protocol.](image)

With the new pyrazolyl analogues in hand, we moved to their evaluation as ligands in the SM cross-coupling reaction of aryl halides and aryl boronic acids using Pd(OAc)$_2$ as the palladium source. To this end, we initially employed the symmetrical bis-pyrazolyl analogue 2a as the ligand in the cross-coupling between 4-bromotoluene and phenylboronic acid, chosen as a model of the Suzuki–Miyaura reaction (Scheme 5).
We began optimising the reaction with Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a by screening different solvent and base combinations (Scheme 5 and Table 1). Initially, we used KOH as base and methanol as solvent based on our studies for the SM cross-coupling reaction of aryl bromides with an alkyl palladium complex containing 1,1-(2,2'-oxybis(ethane-2,1-diyl)-bis(3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrazole) [36]. Under these conditions, the cross-coupling product was obtained in 66% yield (Table 1, entry 1). It is important to mention that a control experiment under ligand-free conditions using KOH as base and methanol as solvent gave high conversion but low yield for the cross-coupling product (~17%). Therefore, we investigated other common base/solvent combinations for the SM cross-coupling reaction (Table 1, entries 2–4), and we were pleased to verify that the use of an inexpensive base such as K$_2$CO$_3$ in DMF as the solvent, after 6 h of magnetic stirring at 80 °C, delivers the cross-coupling product in almost quantitative yield (Table 1, entry 4). Biphenyl homocoupling (HC) byproduct was obtained in very low yield (<2% for Pd(OAc)$_2$) for all the reaction conditions evaluated. The use of ethereal solvents provided very low yields of the cross-coupling product (Table 1, entries 2,6–8), and most of the 4-bromotoluene converted was reduced to toluene. A further investigation of different Pd sources (Table 1, entries 9,9–11) confirmed our initial choice, Pd(OAc)$_2$, as the best pre-catalyst under the reaction conditions employed.

Table 1. Solvent, base and Pd source effects on the model SM cross-coupling (CC) reaction using ligand 2a. a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>[Pd] (1 mol%)</th>
<th>Base</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Conversion (%)</th>
<th>CC Yield (%)</th>
<th>HC Yield (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>KOH</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_3$PO$_4$</td>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>Cs$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>MeOH</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>THF-MeOH</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>THF</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Pd(OAc)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$(COD)</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>PdCl$_2$(PhCN)$_2$</td>
<td>K$_2$CO$_3$</td>
<td>DMF</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reaction conditions: solvent (4 mL), 80 °C, 6 h. For other conditions see Scheme 5. b Determined by GC, based on 4-bromotoluene. c GC yield for the biphenyl homocoupling byproduct, based on phenylboronic acid. d THF = tetrahydrofuran. e COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene.

Then, we investigated the effect of temperature. When the reaction was performed at room temperature, the cross-coupling product was obtained in low yield (Table 2, entry 1). Hence, higher reaction temperatures were evaluated, leading to an improvement in both conversion and yield, with the best results being achieved at 80 °C (Table 2, entries 2 and 3). On the other hand, a further rise in the temperature to 110 °C led to a decrease in both conversion and yield, indicating partial catalyst decomposition (Table 2, entry 4). A lower catalyst loading of 0.5 mol% also led to a lower conversion and yield (Table 2, entry 5). Therefore, the SM cross-coupling reaction between 4-bromotoluene and...
phenylboronic acid proceeds smoothly employing 1 mol% of Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a in the presence of K$_2$CO$_3$, in DMF as solvent at 80 °C for 6 h.

**Table 2.** Temperature effect on the model SM cross-coupling reaction using ligand 2a. $^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conversion (%) $^b$</th>
<th>CC Yield (%) $^b$</th>
<th>HC Yield (%) $^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>82 $^d$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reaction conditions: K$_2$CO$_3$ (2 eq.) and DMF (4 mL). For other conditions, see Scheme 5. $^b$ Determined by GC, based on 4-bromotoluene. $^c$ GC yield for the biphenyl homocoupling byproduct, based on phenylboronic acid. $^d$ 0.5 mol% Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a.

Next, we employed the optimised reaction conditions using 2a for the evaluation of the other pyrazole-based compounds 1a, 1a’, 2a’, 2b, 2b’c, and 2a’d as ligands in our model Suzuki–Miyaura reaction. The results are summarised in Table 3. The symmetrical ligands 2a and 2b, and the hybrid analogue 2b’c were found the most active and selective among all the new ligands evaluated, providing the corresponding cross-coupling product in excellent yields (98–99%) (Table 3, entries 1–3). A slightly lower conversion (87%) and yield (86%) was observed with the mono-pyrazolyl ligand 2a’ (Table 3, entry 4). In contrast, the use of the hybrid ligand 2a’d did not deliver an active catalyst system (Table 3, entry 5), probably due to the C-Se bond cleavage by Pd, as it is well known that majority of the C-Se bonds are very sensitive towards Pd and undergo oxidative addition with Pd metal [41]. Likewise, the use of flexible ligands 1a and 1a’ provided only low yields of the cross-coupling products (Table 3, entry 6 and 7). We hypothesise that the high conformational freedom around the central oxygen atom of ligand 1a and 1a’ prevents effective chelation with Pd, leading to a much less efficient catalyst compared to those derived from the ligands with the rigid xanthene backbone. It is also important to mention that under the optimised reaction conditions, lower conversion and yield were obtained using the Xantphos diphosphine ligand (Table 3, entry 9 compared to entries 1–3).

**Table 3.** Screening of pyrazolyl ligands for the SM cross-coupling reaction under optimised reaction conditions. $^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Ligand</th>
<th>Conversion (%) $^b$</th>
<th>CC Yield (%) $^b$</th>
<th>HC Yield (%) $^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2a</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2b</td>
<td>&gt;99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2b’c</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2a’</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2a’d</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1a</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1a’</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Xantphos</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Reaction conditions: K$_2$CO$_3$ (2 eq.), DMF (4 mL), and 6 h. For other conditions, see Scheme 5. $^b$ Determined by GC, based on 4-bromotoluene. $^c$ GC yield for the biphenyl homocoupling byproduct, based on phenylboronic acid.

Finally, we evaluated the scope of the SM cross-coupling reaction using the Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a catalytic system under optimised conditions, employing different haloarenes and arylboronic acids with varying electronic and steric characteristics. The results are summarised in Table 4. In general, both electron rich and poor bromoarenes were well tolerated, providing the corresponding cross-coupling products with phenylboronic acid in good to excellent yields (Table 4, entries 1–5). The reactions of an electron-rich and an electron-poor arylboronic acid with 4-bromotoluene were also tested. In both cases, the coupling products were obtained in good yields of approximately 80% (Table 4, entries 6 and 7). Two other
combinations of arylbromides and arylboronic acids of opposite electronic characters were also evaluated, and no considerable effect in the yield range for the coupling product was observed (Table 4, entries 8 and 9). Usually, electron-withdrawing substituents on the aryl halides and electron-donating substituents on the arylboronic acids facilitate smooth SM cross-coupling reactions, due to the easier oxidative addition and transmetallation steps, respectively [42]. Therefore, the Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a catalytic system is not severely influenced by the electronic nature of the $p$-substituents on the coupling partners. However, the catalytic system is sensitive to steric effects. The steric hindrance tolerance was evaluated by employing ortho-substituted substrates. The introduction of methyl substituents on this position, on either of the coupling partners, led to a decreased yield of the cross-coupling products (Table 4, entries 10–12). The similarities in the results obtained with the introduction of a sole ortho methyl group on the arylbromide (54% yield, Table 4, entry 10) and on the arylboronic acid (58% yield, Table 4, entry 11) indicate that the oxidative addition step is not severely affected, and that the observed decreased yield is probably due to a more difficult transmetallation or reductive elimination step.

Even though aryl iodides are generally more active than aryl bromides due to the easier oxidative addition step, we observed slightly lower yields for aryl iodides (80% vs. 91%, entries 14 and 4; and 78% vs. 82%, entries 13 and 2). Since the homocoupling byproduct was obtained in less than 2% for both aryl iodides, we assume that a dehalogenation side reaction must be occurring in higher extension for those substrates, being possibly responsible for the lower isolated yields. As far as the aryl chlorides are concerned, we were pleased to see that $p$-substituted substrates have a higher extension for those substrates, being possibly responsible for the lower isolated yields. As far as the $p$-substituted substrates, we were pleased to see that $p$-chloroacetophenone reacted smoothly under optimised conditions as well (Table 4, entry 15), and even the deactivated $p$-chlorotoluene led to the cross-coupling product in 25% yield (Table 4, entry 17). A control experiment for the coupling of $p$-chloroacetophenone with phenylboronic acid under ligand-free conditions gave only 4% conversion and 3% yield of the cross-coupling product after 24 h (Table 4, entry 16). Although we were not able to isolate the corresponding Pd complexes, the in situ formation of coordinated PdL active species is

---

**Table 4.** Substrate scope for the SM reaction using ligand 2a under optimised reaction conditions. $^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>$R^1$</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
<th>Yield (%) $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4-CF$_3$</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4-Ac</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4-Ome</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2-bromonaphthalene</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>4-Ome</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>4-Ac</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4-Ome</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>4-Ac</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4-Ac</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2-Me</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>2-Me</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>Br</td>
<td>2,6-diMe</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>4-CF$_3$</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>4-Ome</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>4-Ac</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>4-Ac</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>3 $^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>4-Me</td>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ DMF (4 mL) and overnight. $^b$ Isolated yields (average of two reactions after complete conversion). $^c$ Ligand-free conditions, GC yield based on 4-Cl-acetophenone after 24 h (4% conversion).
evinced when the results obtained with Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a catalytic system and under ligand-free conditions are compared, clearly demonstrating the positive effect of the ligand on the cross-coupling reaction.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Materials

All reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers and used without further purification. $p$-tolyl ether, 2, and aryl halides were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. Aryl boronic acids were purchased from Alfa Aesar. The Ullmann and Suzuki–Miyaura coupling reactions were performed using standard Schlenk tube techniques under argon atmosphere. The solvents employed on these reactions were degassed by purging with argon for 20 to 30 min prior to each experiment. The progress of the reaction was monitored by GC. The GC analyses were performed using a Shimadzu GC-2010 plus equipment equipped with a 30 m DB-17 column and FID detector, while the GC/MS measurements were performed using a Shimadzu GC/MS-QP2010 SE, (EI 70 eV) equipped with a 30 m Rxi-1ms® column. Column chromatography purifications were performed using silica gel (230–400 mesh) and mixtures of hexanes/ethyl acetate as eluents. The names of all the compounds were assigned using ChemBioDraw Ultra 12.0 software (CambridgeSoft, Cambridge, UK).

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded in CDCl$_3$ solutions, unless noted otherwise, on MR-400-Varian 400 MHz, Bruker Avance-IIIHD 400 MHz and Bruker Fourier 300 MHz instruments. Infrared (IR) spectra were obtained using attenuated total reflectance (ATR) technique, on a Bruker Alpha-P spectrometer, with scans between 4000 and 650 cm$^{-1}$, with 4 cm$^{-1}$ resolution. The compounds were analysed in their pure forms and the maximum absorbing frequencies are reported in cm$^{-1}$. The high resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) data were obtained on a Waters micromass Q-ToF microTM instrument, operating on positive mode and the melting points were measured on a Quims® instrument and are uncorrected.

3.2. Synthesis of Pyrazolyl Analogues of DPEphos and $^t$Bu-Xantphos

3.2.1. Dibromination of $p$-Tolyl Ether (Preparation of 1)

To a stirring solution of NH$_4$OAc (20 mol%, 2 mmol) and $p$-tolyl ether (1 eq., 10 mmol) in 35 mL of CH$_3$CN, was added NBS (2.5 eq., 25 mmol) portionwise over a period of 10–15 min. The reaction mixture was kept under magnetic stirring at room temperature overnight (16 h). After this period, the crude reaction mixture was taken up in ethyl acetate and washed with distilled water (3x), followed by drying over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and solvent removal under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was purified by using flash silica gel column chromatography (10% ethyl acetate and hexanes). Recrystallisation in n-pentane furnished colourless crystals of dibrominated product (1) in 68% yield.

4,4’-oxybis(3-bromo-1-methylbenzene) (1). White crystalline solid (m.p. = 74–75 °C); $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.45 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.03 (ddd, $J = 8.3, 2.1, 0.6$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.72 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 2H, Ar), 2.32 (s, 6H, CH$_3$); $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 151.41 (Ar-C), 134.97 (Ar-C), 134.25 (Ar-CH), 129.30 (Ar-CH), 119.29 (Ar-CH), 113.89 (Ar-C), 20.56 (CH$_3$); IR (ATR): 1477, 1247, 1041, 826, 808; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C$_{14}$H$_{12}$Br$_2$ONa (M + Na)$^+$; 376.9153, found 376.9140.

3.2.2. Preparation of 3-Mesityl-$^1$H-pyrazole

3-Mesityl-$^1$H-pyrazole was prepared through adapted literature procedures [43,44]. To a round bottom flask containing 130 mL of toluene maintained at 0 °C on an ice bath, Na metal (2 eq., 200 mmol) was added in portions, followed by dropwise addition of 2,4,6-trimethyl acetophenone (1 eq., 100 mmol) and the resulting suspension was allowed to stir for 1.5 h. To this yellowish reaction mixture, ethyl formate (3.4 eq., 340 mmol) was added dropwise over a period of 15–20 min and the reaction mixture was left overnight for stirring at room temperature. After, the reaction was cooled to 0 °C on an ice bath and distilled water was added dropwise to quench the unreacted Na metal.
(Caution!). After all the unreacted Na metal was quenched, additional 200 mL of distilled water were added to the reaction flask and the resulting suspension was kept under magnetic stirring for one hour. The resulting mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel, the phases were separated, and the aqueous layer was washed with hexanes (3x). The first organic phase and washes contain mainly byproducts and can be discarded. The aqueous layer was then acidified with 10% HCl, followed by extraction with DCM (3x). Finally, the combined organic phases were dried over MgSO$_4$ and evaporated under reduced pressure, furnishing a yellowish solid product. This crude product without further purification was treated with hydrazine hydrochloride (1.5 eq. 150 mmol) in ethanol (350 mL) and refluxed for 2.5 h. After, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to half of its initial volume, followed by addition of 2 M NaOH solution (1:1 ratio of reaction mixture and NaOH solution). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3x). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Recrystallisation from hot hexane furnished yellowish crystals of the desired compound in 35% overall yield. The spectroscopic properties of the compound were consistent with the data available in the literature [44].

3-Mesityl-1H-pyrazole (b). Yellow crystalline needles; $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 10.77 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.53 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, Pz), 6.91 (s, 2H, Mes), 6.19 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Pz), 2.34 (s, 3H, Mes-CH$_3$), 2.06 (s, 6H, Mes-CH$_3$); $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 143.93 (Pz-C), 138.01 (Mes-C), 137.71 (Pz-CH), 135.75 (Mes-C), 128.04 (Mes-CH), 105.61 (Pz-CH), 21.07 (Mes-CH$_3$).

3.2.3. Synthesis of Ligands

A resealable Schlenk flask evacuated and back-filled with argon (3x) was charged with Cu$_2$O (21.5 mg, 0.15 mmol), 1,10-phenanthroline (59.5 mg, 0.33 mmol), 1H-pyrazole (a) (163.5 mg, 2.4 mmol), and Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (733.1 mg, 2.25 mmol). The Schlenk was sealed under inert atmosphere and the reaction was left under magnetic stirring for 48 h at 160 °C. After, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to half of its initial volume, followed by addition of 2 M NaOH solution (1:1 ratio of reaction mixture and NaOH solution). The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (3x). Finally, the combined organic phases were dried over MgSO$_4$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Recrystallisation from hot hexane furnished yellowish crystals of the desired compound in 37% overall yield. The spectroscopic properties of the compound were consistent with the data available in the literature [44].

1,1'-((oxybis(3-methyl-6,1-phenylene))bis(1H-pyrazole)) (1a). White crystalline solid (m.p. = 124–125 °C); $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.88 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 2H, Pz), 7.68 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.62 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 2H, Pz), 7.03 (ddd, J = 8.4, 1.5, 0.6 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.85 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.36 (dd, J = 2.4, 1.9 Hz, 2H, Pz), 2.36 (s, 6H, CH$_3$); $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 145.71 (Ar-C), 140.35 (Pz-CH), 134.70 (Ar-C), 131.41 (Pz-CH), 131.07 (Ar-C), 129.07 (Ar-CH), 119.30 (Ar-CH), 107.05 (Pz-CH), 20.81 (CH$_3$); IR $\nu_{max}$ (neat): 1497, 1221, 1034, 809, 761; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C$_{20}$H$_{16}$Na$_2$ONa (M + Na)$^+$: 353.1378, found 353.1369.

1-(5-methyl-2-(p-tolyloxy)phenyl)-1H-pyrazole (1a'). Pale yellow liquid; $^1$H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.09 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.73 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H, Ar), 7.68 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.09 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ar), 7.06 (dd, J = 8.5, 1.9 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ar), 6.85 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H, Ar), 6.37 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Pz), 2.40 (s, 3H, CH$_3$), 2.31 (s, 3H, CH$_3$); $^{13}$C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 154.76 (Ar-C), 145.55 (Ar-C), 140.24 (Pz-CH), 134.26 (Ar-C), 132.70 (Ar-C), 131.78 (Ar-C), 131.12 (Ar-C), 130.20 (Ar-CH), 128.27 (Pz-CH), 125.35 (Ar-CH), 120.47 (Ar-CH), 117.69 (Ar-CH), 106.67 (Pz-CH), 20.70 (CH$_3$), 20.58 (CH$_3$); IR $\nu_{max}$ (neat): 1522, 1496, 1228, 809, 748; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C$_{17}$H$_{16}$Na$_2$ONa (M + Na)$^+$: 287.1160, found 287.1450.

3.2.4. Synthesis of Ligands

1H-pyrazole (a) and 4,5-dibromo-2,7-di-tert-butyl-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene (2) were reacted under similar conditions described above for the synthesis of ligand 1a, except the reaction was kept under magnetic stirring for 48 h at 160 °C. The crude residue obtained after work up was purified by...
column chromatography using 10% AcOEt and hexanes as eluent, furnishing the bis-pyrazolyl ligand 2a in 41% yield, along with the mono-pyrazolyl byproduct 2a′ in approx. 10% yield.

1,1′-(2,7-di-tert-buty1-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene-4,5-diyl)bis(1H-pyrazole) (2a). Crystalline solid (154 °C-decompose); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.72 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2H, Xan), 7.56 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, Pz), 7.51 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H, Xan), 7.46 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, Pz), 6.28 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H, Pz), 1.74 (s, 6H, Aliphatic-CH3), 1.37 (s, 18H, t-Bu-CH3); 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 146.70 (Xan-C), 140.81 (Xan-C), 140.33 (Pz-CH), 132.10 (Pz-CH), 131.13 (Xan-C), 128.26 (Xan-C), 121.82 (Xan-CH), 121.56 (Xan-CH), 106.75 (Pz-CH), 35.32 (Aliphatic-C), 34.87 (t-Bu-C), 32.20 (Aliphatic-CH3), 31.54 (t-Bu-CH3); IR ν max (neat): 2953, 1469, 1453, 1259, 750; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C29H35N4O (M + H)+: 455.2811, found 455.2831.

1-(2,7-di-tert-buty1-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene-4-yl)-1H-pyrazole (2a′). White powder (m.p. = 139–140 °C); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.15 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.76 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.64 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.43 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.41 (t, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (dd, J = 8.5, 2.3 Hz, 1H, Xan), 6.94 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Xan), 6.50 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Pz), 1.69 (s, 6H, Aliphatic-CH3), 1.37 (s, 9H, t-Bu-CH3), 1.33 (s, 9H, t-Bu-CH3); 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 147.89 (Xan-C), 146.39 (Xan-C), 145.88 (Xan-C), 140.66 (Xan-C), 140.21 (Pz-CH), 131.92 (Pz-CH), 131.36 (Xan-C), 129.29 (Xan-C), 127.92 (Xan-C) 124.54 (Xan-CH), 122.55 (Xan-CH), 121.51 (Xan-CH), 120.57 (Xan-CH), 106.44 (Pz-CH), 34.97 (t-Bu-C), 34.82 (t-Bu-C), 34.66 (Aliphatic-C), 32.25 (Aliphatic-CH3), 31.68 (t-Bu-CH3), 31.58 (t-Bu-CH3); IR ν max (neat): 2960, 1455, 1269, 850, 761; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C26H33N2O (M + H)+: 389.2593, found 389.2622.

3.2.5. Synthesis of Ligands 2b and 2b′

3-mesityl-1H-pyrazole (b) and 2 were allowed to react under similar conditions described above for the synthesis of ligand 1a, except the reaction was performed using a lower catalyst loading (10 and 22 mol% for Cu2O and 1,10-phenanthroline, respectively). The residue obtained after work up was purified by column chromatography using 2.5% AcOEt in hexanes as eluent, leading to ligand 2b in 63% yield, and the byproduct 2b′ in 17% yield.

1,1′-(2,7-di-tert-buty1-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene-4,5-diyl)bis(3-mesityl-1H-pyrazole) (2b). White crystalline solid (m.p. = 209–210 °C); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.74 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, Xan), 7.64 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, Pz), 7.46 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, Xan), 6.96 (s, 4H, Mes), 6.19 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H, Pz), 2.33 (s, 6H, CH3-Mes), 2.24 (s, 12H, CH3-Mes), 1.77 (s, 6H, Aliphatic-CH3), 1.37 (s, 18H, t-Bu-CH3); 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 151.43 (Pz-C), 146.59 (Xan-C), 139.84 (Xan-C), 137.49 (Mes-C), 137.43 (Xan-CH), 132.57 (Pz-CH), 130.81 (Xan-CH), 130.64 (Xan-C), 128.14 (Mes-CH), 128.04 (Xan-C), 121.39 (Xan-CH), 121.32 (Mes-C), 107.98 (Pz-CH), 35.07 (Aliphatic-C), 34.68 (Aliphatic-CH3), 32.35 (t-Bu-C), 31.37 (t-Bu-CH3), 21.09 (Mes-CH3), 20.65 (Mes-CH3); IR ν max (neat): 2959, 1458, 1266, 1238, 849, 761, 741; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C47H55N4O (M + H)+: 691.4376, found 691.4397.

1-(5-bromo-2,7-di-tert-buty1-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthene-4-yl)-3-mesityl-1H-pyrazole (2b′). White crystalline solid (m.p. = 189–191 °C); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.62 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.81 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.48 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.40 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.38 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H, Xan), 6.97 (2H, Mes), 6.41 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Pz), 2.34 (s, 3H, Mes-CH3), 2.25 (s, 6H, Mes-CH3), 1.71 (s, 6H, Aliphatic-CH3), 1.37 (s, 9H, t-Bu-CH3), 1.34 (s, 9H, t-Bu-CH3); 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 151.26 (Pz-C), 147.29 (Xan-C), 146.43 (Xan-C), 144.98 (Xan-C), 139.75 (Mes-C), 137.68 (Pz-CH), 137.35 (Mes-C), 133.29 (Xan-CH), 131.17 (Xan-C), 130.99 (Xan-C), 130.65 (Xan-C), 128.46 (Xan-CH), 128.18 (Mes-C), 128.07 (Mes-CH3), 121.79 (Xan-CH), 120.66 (Xan-CH), 120.45 (Xan-CH), 109.98 (Xan-C), 107.39 (Pz-CH), 35.38 (Aliphatic-C), 34.69 (t-Bu-C), 34.60 (t-Bu-C), 32.20 (Aliphatic-CH3), 31.39 (t-Bu-CH3), 31.37 (t-Bu-CH3), 21.10 (Mes-CH3), 20.68 (Mes-CH3); IR ν max (neat): 2960, 1455, 1269, 850, 761; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calcd for C35H42BrN2O (M + H)+: 585.2480, found 585.2461.
3.2.6. Synthesis of hybrid Ligand 2b’c

2b’ and 1H-imidazole (e) as coupling partners were allowed to react under the reaction conditions described above for the synthesis of ligand 2b. The residue obtained after work up was purified by silica gel column chromatography using 10% AcOEt in hexanes as eluent, furnishing the hybrid pyrazole–imidazolyl derivative 2b’c in 78% yield.

1-(2,7-di-tert-butyl-5-(1H-imidazol-1-yl)-9,9-dimethyl-9H-xanthen-4-yl)-3-mesityl-1H-pyrazole (2b’c).

White powder (m.p. = 263–265 °C); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 7.67 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H, Im), 7.53 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.44 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.39 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.20 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.11–7.08 (m, 1H, Xan), 7.04 (t, J = 2.3 Hz, Im), 6.95–6.93 (m, 2H, Mes), 6.19 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H, Pz), 2.32 (s, 3H, Mes-CH3), 2.20 (s, 6H, Mes-CH3), 1.75 (s, 6H, Aliphatic-CH3), 1.37 (s, 9H, t-Bu-CH3), 1.34 (s, 9H, t-Bu-CH3); 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 151.41 (Pz-C), 146.83 (Xan-C), 146.72 (Xan-C), 142.11 (Xan-C), 139.56 (Mes-C), 137.56 (Xan-C), 137.33 (Pz-CH), 131.82 (Mes-C), 131.56 (Im-CH), 130.92 (Xan-CH), 130.65 (Xan-C), 129.48 (Im-CH), 128.31 (Mes-C), 128.09 (Mes-CH), 124.94 (Xan-C), 122.67 (Xan-CH), 121.94 (Im-CH), 120.75 (Xan-CH), 108.26 (Pz-CH), 35.23 (Aliphatic-C), 34.72 (t-Bu-C), 34.70 (t-Bu-C), 32.05 (Aliphatic-CH3), 31.40 (t-Bu-CH3), 31.39 (t-Bu-CH3), 21.11 (Mes-CH3), 20.65 (Mes-CH3); IR νmax (neat): 2953, 1497, 1456, 1271, 760; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calc'd for C38H48N4O (M + H)+: 573.3588, found 573.3580.

3.2.7. Synthesis of Hybrid Ligand 2a’d

Ligand 2a’d was synthesized following a recently reported C–H activation protocol [40]. An oven dried Schelnk flask, evacuated and backfilled with argon (3x), was charged with PdCl2 (5 mol%), CuCl2 (5 mol%), 2a’ (0.2 mmol), and diphenyl diselenide (d, 0.4 mmol). Two mL of degassed DMSO were added to the reaction flask and the resulting mixture was kept overnight under magnetic stirring at 120 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was taken up in 5 mL of ethyl acetate and filtered through a small plug of celite. The filtrate was dried over MgSO4 and solvent removal under reduced pressure provided a crude solid product. This residue was purified by column chromatography using 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent, leading to the titled compound 2a’d in 58% yield.

1-(2,7-di-tert-butyl-9,9-dimethyl-5-(phenylsulanyl)-9H-xanthen-4-yl)-1H-pyrazole (2a’d).

White powder (m.p. = 144–146 °C); 1H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3): δ 8.32 (d, J = 0.6 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.83 (d, J = 0.5 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.66 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.44 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.42 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H, Xan), 7.38–7.40 (m, 2H, Se-Ar), 7.27 (d, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H, Pz), 7.25 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, Se-Ar), 7.24–7.17 (m, 2H, Se-Ar), 6.86 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, Pz), 1.68 (s, 6H), 1.38 (s, 9H), 1.33 (s, 9H): 13C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl3): δ 147.75 (Xan-C), 146.60 (Xan-C), 146.07 (Xan-C), 145.81 (Pz-CH), 140.72 (Xan-C), 137.87 (Xan-C), 133.49 (Se-Ar-CH), 131.57 (Xan-C), 129.41 (Se-Ar-CH), 129.31 (Se-Ar-CH), 129.22 (Se-Ar-CH), 127.43 (Xan-C), 126.31 (Xan-C), 124.62 (Pz-CH), 122.56 (Xan-C), 122.06 (Xan-C), 120.29 (Xan-CH), 115.89 (Xan-CH), 101.95 (Pz-CH), 35.01 (t-Bu-C), 34.88 (t-Bu-C), 34.69 (Aliphatic-C), 32.25 (Aliphatic-CH3), 31.68 (t-Bu-CH3), 31.59 (t-Bu-CH3); IR νmax (neat): 2958, 2867, 1497, 1511, 1393, 940, 766; HRMS (ESI-TOF): m/z calc’d for C32H36N2OSeNa (M + Na)+: 567.1918, found 567.0428.

3.3. X-ray Crystallography of 1, 1a, 2a, 2b and 2b’

A Bruker D8 Venture Photon 100 dual source diffractometer was used to collect X-ray data for the structural analysis of the compounds. Data were collected using Cu-Kα (λ = 1.54178 Å) or Mo-Kα (λ = 0.71073 Å) radiations, and a combination of φ and ω scans was carried out to obtain at least one unique data set. The crystal structures were solved using direct methods in the SHELXS program [45]. The final structures were refined using SHELXL, where the remaining atoms were located from difference Fourier synthesis in which anisotropic displacement parameters were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms, followed by full-matrix least-squares refinement based on F2. All hydrogen atoms were placed in ideal positions and refined as riding atoms with relative isotropic displacement
parameters. Additional structural information for the compounds are provided in Supporting Information (Table S5). Crystallographic data for the structures were deposited in the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication number CCDC 1893376 (1a), 1893381 (1b), 1938492 (2a), 1893386 (2b), and 1893396 (2b'). Copies of the data related to the crystals can be obtained via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

3.4. General Procedure for SM Cross-Coupling Reaction

For a typical SM cross-coupling reaction, an oven dried resealable Schlenk flask, evacuated and refilled with argon (3x), was charged with Pd(OAc)$_2$ (1.0 mol%), 2a (1.1 mol%), and 2 mL of degassed DMF. The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 15 min, turning into a yellow solution. Then, aryl halide (0.5 mmol), aryl boronic acid (0.75 mmol), K$_2$CO$_3$ (1.0 mmol), and additional DMF (2 mL) were added and the Schlenk tube, which was sealed under argon atmosphere. The resulting reaction mixture was kept under magnetic stirring at 80 °C for the specified time. The reaction mixture was then cooled to room temperature and taken up in 5 mL of ethyl acetate, followed by filtration through a short plug of celite (washed with additional 5 mL of ethyl acetate). The filtrate was dried over anhydrous MgSO$_4$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified using flash silica gel column chromatography (2–5% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluent), leading to the corresponding isolated cross-coupling product.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, this work highlights the synthesis of new mono- and bis-pyrazole compounds bearing a flexible p-tolyl ether or a rigid xanthene backbone as pyrazolyl analogues of DPEphos and Xantphos ligands, respectively, as well as their potential as ligands leading to active catalytic systems for the Pd-catalysed Suzuki–Miyaura reaction. The synthesis of the bis-pyrazolyl analogues 1a, 2a, 2b, and the hybrid pyrazolyl–imidazolyl analogue 2b’c was achieved by following the Ullmann coupling protocol, while the hybrid pyrazolyl-selanyl analogue 2a’d was synthesized using a first Ullmann coupling step followed by a C–H activation reaction. The Pd(OAc)$_2$/2a based catalytic system developed in this work efficiently catalysed the SM cross-coupling reaction of aryl iodides and aryl bromides bearing electron rich and poor substituents, providing the corresponding cross-coupling products in good to excellent yields. In addition, moderate yields were obtained for the cross-coupling reactions employing aryl chlorides containing electron-donating substituents at p-position, whereas only poor yields were obtained for the electron-poor derivatives. Further studies to explore the applications of the newly synthesized ligands in other organic transformations, their coordination chemistry, as well as their photophysical properties will be carried out as a sequence of this work.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4344/9/9/718/s1, the $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra of synthetic precursors and pyrazolyl ligands, the crystallographic data and structure refinement parameters for 1, 1a, 2a, 2b, and 2b', the analytical and spectroscopic data of SM cross-coupling products, the $^1$H- and $^{13}$C-NMR spectra of SM cross-coupling products.
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