CO₂ Methanation of Biogas over 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al Catalyst: on the Effect of N₂, CH₄, and O₂ on CO₂ Conversion Rate
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Abstract: Biogas contains more than 40% CO₂ that can be removed to produce high quality CH₄. Recently, CH₄ production from CO₂ methanation has been reported in several studies. In this study, CO₂ methanation of biogas was performed over a 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst, and the effects of CO₂ conversion rate and CH₄ selectivity were investigated as a function of CH₄, O₂, H₂O, and N₂ compositions of the biogas. At a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 30,000 h⁻¹, the CO₂ conversion rate was ~79.3% with a CH₄ selectivity of 95%. In addition, the effects of the reaction temperature (200–450 °C), GHSV (21,000–50,000 h⁻¹), and H₂/CO₂ molar ratio (3–5) on the CO₂ conversion rate and CH₄ selectivity over the 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst were evaluated. The characteristics of the catalyst were analyzed using Brunauer–Emmett–Teller surface area analysis, X-ray diffraction, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, and scanning electron microscopy. The catalyst was stable for approximately 200 h at a GHSV of 30,000 h⁻¹ and a reaction temperature of 350 °C. CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity were maintained at 75% and 93%, respectively, and the catalyst was therefore concluded to exhibit stable activity.
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1. Introduction

The recent years have witnessed a growing interest in the regulation of greenhouse gases and the quest for sustainable renewable energy to combat global warming. This has culminated in the demand for an efficient energy storage system (ESS) that can stabilize electric power systems with high output fluctuations. The lithium-ion battery is an ESS widely employed in various energy generation systems owing to its high energy density and efficiency; however, its short shelf life and low storage capacity limit its long-term power storage [1]. The availability of organic waste, which is a sustainable energy source, can increase with economic and population growth. Consequently, much attention has been drawn to the utilization of biogas, as it can be easily obtained from livestock (organic) waste and urban solid waste. Biogas, which typically contains 40–65 vol% CH₄, 40–50 vol% CO₂, and minor quantities of (the subsequently removed) N₂, H₂S, O₂, and H₂O [2], is employed as a high-concentration CH₄ fuel after more than 40% of CO₂ is removed using absorbents or amines [3]. The power-to-gas technology generates H₂ from water by employing renewable energy and produces CH₄ via the methanation of H₂ and CO₂. Further, CO₂ methanation and reverse water gas shift (RWGS) are competing processes that occur during the production of CH₄ from CO₂, as described by Equations (1)–(3) [4]. This has
become a topic of research considering the possibility of a ‘carbon-neutral fuel’ and the replacement of natural gas as well as ESSs [5].

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{298K} = -164 \frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol}} \\
\text{CO} + 3\text{H}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{CH}_4 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{298K} = -206 \frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol}} \\
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 & \leftrightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad \Delta H_{298K} = 42.1 \frac{\text{kJ}}{\text{mol}}
\end{align*}
\]

Biogas is initially subjected to purification to remove impurities such as \(\text{H}_2\text{S}, \text{H}_2\text{O}\), and siloxanes. Subsequently, \(\text{CO}_2\) and highly concentrated \(\text{CH}_4\) are separated, so that \(\text{CH}_4\) can be utilized as fuel [6]. In addition to being used as a fuel, biogas generated by catalytic reforming is also used to produce high-value-added chemicals (e.g., methanol, acetic acid, dimethyl ether, ammonia, and Fischer–Tropsch oil), which greatly contributes to the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions [7,8]. Moreover, biogas is an affordable and suitable raw material for syngas production, even though syngas is now largely produced through the CH\(4\) reforming of natural gas.

In a recent study, Mohammad et al. [9] compared the activity of \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-supported Ni, Co, Fe, and Mo catalysts during \(\text{CO}_2\) methanation. The Ni catalyst showed the highest \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion and \(\text{CH}_4\) selectivity, followed by Co, Fe, and Mo. The study by Aziz et al. [10] on the activity of mesostructured silica nanoparticle (MSN)-supported Ni, Fe, and Mo catalysts demonstrated that the Fe catalyst was active at high temperatures, whereas the Ni catalyst exhibited the highest catalytic activity at lower temperatures (<350 °C) [11]. Daroughpegi et al. [12] conducted \(\text{CO}_2\) methanation experiments on \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\)-supported Ni catalysts with different metal loadings, and the results revealed that the specific surface area and \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion increased with an increase in the Ni loading from 15, 20, and 25 wt%, whereas the specific surface area and \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion decreased at 33 wt%. In the study on Ni/\(\gamma\)-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\), Cho et al. [13] reported that the highest dispersion, \(\text{CH}_4\) selectivity, and reaction rate were observed at 20 wt% Ni content when the Ni content was varied from 15 to 50 wt%.

Affar et al. [9] compared the activities of the 10 wt% Ni catalysts supported on \(\text{SiO}_2\), MCN (Mesoporous carbon nitrides), and \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\). Among the experiments performed at 360 °C, the Ni-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) catalyst showed the highest \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion and \(\text{CH}_4\) selectivities of 82.9 and 97.9%, respectively. Further, the SEM (Scanning Electron Microscopy) results confirmed that the Ni particles were more uniformly dispersed in the 10 wt% Ni-\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) catalyst than those in the 10 wt% MCM (Mobile Crystalline Material) and \(\text{SiO}_2\) catalysts. It has also been reported that the catalytic activity of \(\text{SiO}_2\)-supported catalysts for methane production decreases in the presence of \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\). On MCM, Aziz [14] reported that the \(\text{CH}_4\) conversion and selectivity decrease because of the consumption of the carbonyl species by conversion to \(\text{CO}_2\) in the presence of water vapor, via the water gas shift reaction. Vetrivel et al. [15] and Wang et al. [16] reported that the fabrication of the catalysts by reduction on a \(\text{CeO}_2\) support generated more surface oxygen vacancies, which resulted in high \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion, \(\text{CH}_4\) selectivity, and enhanced catalyst stability.

Typically, promoters are used for improving the activity of supported catalysts. For example, the promoter MgO can increase carbon resistance [17], thermal stability [18], and the dispersion of Ni/\(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) catalysts [19,20], affording enhanced activity [21,22]. Thus, MgO, in combination with support materials such as \(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\) or \(\text{SiO}_2\) has been proposed as a support for methanation catalysts [23,24]. Bette et al. [25] demonstrated that the maximum \(\text{CO}_2\) conversion of 74% was obtained with a 59 wt% Ni/(Mg,Al)\(2\text{O}_3\) catalyst, and the addition of MgO to Ni/\(\text{SiO}_2\) resulted in a conversion of 66.5% [14]. In addition, MgO is a basic material that absorbs carbon dioxide and reduces catalyst deactivation via sintering and carbon deposition [26]. While catalysts become inactive because of the water produced during \(\text{CO}_2\) methanation, MgO reacts with water to generate magnesium hydroxide (Mg(OH)\(_2\)) and thereby mitigates catalyst deactivation [27,28].
The activation energy of Ni catalysts for methanation was 93.61 kJ/mol in Ni/ZrO$_2$ catalysts [29], 75 kJ/mol in Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ catalysts [30], and 75 kJ/mol in Ni/Al hydrotalcite catalysts [31].

The present work studies the production of CH$_4$ by the reaction of biogas CO$_2$ with hydrogen over a 20wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst with high dispersion of Ni metal and BET (Brunauer–Emmett–Teller) value and characterizes this catalyst by several instrumental analyses. The conditions for the reaction of biogas, including reaction temperature, space velocity, and H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio, were varied to investigate their effects on CO$_2$ conversion, CH$_4$ yield, and selectivity. In addition, because biogas is a mixture of various gases and trace elements, the effect of the concentration of these components (N$_2$, O$_2$, CH$_4$, and CO$_2$) on CO$_2$ conversion, CH$_4$ yield, and selectivity were studied. Based on this, the optimal reaction conditions for producing CH$_4$ from biogas were determined, under which a stability test of the 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst was performed for 200 h.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Effect of Reaction Temperature on CO$_2$ Conversion

The dependence of CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ selectivity on reaction temperature at a gas hourly space velocity (GHSV) of 30,000 h$^{-1}$ and H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio of 4 is displayed in Figure 1. As shown in the figure, CO$_2$ conversion increased as the temperature increased and reached a maximum at 400 °C, whereas CH$_4$ selectivity and yield showed the highest values at 350 °C. This trend is probably the result of CO$_2$ methanation suppression above 350 °C, at which the RWGS reaction (Equation (3)) is enhanced, which resulted in the conversion of CO$_2$ to CO. This result agreed with that reported by Mohammad et al. [9], wherein the CO concentration increased as the RWGS reaction increased at 400 °C, whereas the methane selectivity decreased. A study by Jia et al. [29] also reported that the highest CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ yield were observed at 350 °C as the temperature increased, and a further increase in temperature decreased the CO$_2$ conversion because of the thermodynamic equilibrium limit [32].

![Figure 1. Effect of reaction temperature.](image)

In addition, the activation energy obtained from the 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst was approximately 74.2 kJ/mol, which was similar to that obtained by using Ni/Al$_2$O$_3$ (75 kJ/mol) in the study by Carbarino [30].

2.2. Effect of H$_2$/CO$_2$ Ratio on CO$_2$ Conversion

Figure 2 shows the effects of the H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio at a reaction temperature of 350 °C and a GHSV of 30,000 h$^{-1}$ on CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ selectivity and yield. Figure 2a shows the results obtained for different H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratios by increasing the amount of H$_2$ at a given amount of CO$_2$ and by increasing...
the amount of CO$_2$ at a given amount of H$_2$. Figure 2b shows the effects of increasing the reactant amounts at a given H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio. As shown in Figure 2a, the CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ yield increased by approximately 15% when the H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio increased from 3.5 to 5, whereas the CH$_4$ selectivity remained almost constant. As shown in Figure 2b, the same H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio led to similar CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ selectivity and yield, regardless of the amount of reactants. These results were similar to those from a study by Rahmani [33] in which a 15% increase was observed when the H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio was increased from 3 to 4, and the study by Aziz et al. [34] also reported that the concentration of hydrogen affects the catalytic activity because of hydrogen adsorption onto the surface of the catalyst and the conversion to methane via hydrogenation.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Effect of H$_2$/CO$_2$ mole ratio along with (a) CO$_2$ or H$_2$ variation; (b) reactant amount variation.

### 2.3. Effect of GHSV on CO$_2$ Conversion

The effects of increasing GHSV on CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ selectivity and yield at a reaction temperature of 350 °C and H$_2$/CO$_2$ ratio of 4 are shown in Figure 3a,b, respectively. The effect of increasing the reactant flow rate on GHSV of 21,000–50,000 h$^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 3a, and the effect of increasing the amount of nitrogen at a given reactant flow rate on GHSV of 9000–38,000 h$^{-1}$ is shown in Figure 3b. As shown in the figure, CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ yield/selectivity showed a general decreasing trend as the GHSV increased, and the effect for (b) was larger than that for (a). This is because an increase in GHSV shortens the time during which the reactants CO$_2$ and H$_2$ are in contact with the catalyst, thus reducing the amount of reactants adsorbed onto the surface of the catalyst. These results were consistent with the experimental results reported by Abate et al. [35].
As shown in the figure, CO\textsubscript{2} conversion decreased as the initial methane concentration increased. This is because an increase in GHSV shortens the time during which the reactants CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} are in contact with the catalyst. These results were consistent with the experimental results reported by Jürgensen et al. [35], wherein CO\textsubscript{2} conversions of approximately 67, 64, and 54% were observed at reaction temperatures of 300, 350, and 400 °C, respectively, and the effect of increased gas hourly space velocity and N\textsubscript{2} concentration was investigated. These results were also reported in a simulation study by Jürgensen et al. [36], wherein CO\textsubscript{2} conversion decreased as the initial methane concentration increased.

**Figure 3.** Effect of increased gas hourly space velocity and N\textsubscript{2} concentration at H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio of 4 and reaction temperature of 350 °C. (a) Total reactant flow rate (b) N\textsubscript{2} flow rate (N\textsubscript{2} concentration).

### 2.4. Effect of Initial Concentration of Biogas Components on CO\textsubscript{2} Conversion

#### 2.4.1. Effect of Initial CH\textsubscript{4} Concentration on CO\textsubscript{2} Conversion

The effect of changing the initial CH\textsubscript{4} concentration to 0, 50, and 65 vol% for CO\textsubscript{2} conversion at a reaction temperature of 400 °C, GHSV of 30,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}, and H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio of 4 is shown in Figure 4. As shown in the figure, increasing the content of CH\textsubscript{4} in the reactant gas to 40, 50, and 65 vol% led to lower CO\textsubscript{2} conversions of approximately 67, 64, and 54%, respectively, resulting in up to a 20% decrease in the CO\textsubscript{2} conversion compared to that in the absence of CH\textsubscript{4}. This phenomenon is attributed to the Le Chatelier principle, in which the initial CH\textsubscript{4} present in the reactant gas inhibits the conversion to CH\textsubscript{4}. These results were also reported in a simulation study by Jürgensen et al. [36], wherein CO\textsubscript{2} conversion decreased as the initial methane concentration increased.

**Figure 4.** Effect of initial CH\textsubscript{4} content in the reactant gas.

#### 2.4.2. Effect of Initial CO\textsubscript{2} Concentration on CO\textsubscript{2} Conversion

The effects of increasing the initial CO\textsubscript{2} concentration on CO\textsubscript{2} conversion and CH\textsubscript{4} selectivity and yield at a reaction temperature of 350 °C, GHSV of 30,000 and 50,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}, and H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio of 4
are presented in Figure 5. As shown in the figure, an increase of CO\textsubscript{2} in biogas from 10 to 14 vol\% at a GHSV of 30,000 h\textsuperscript{-1} resulted in a 2\% increase in CO\textsubscript{2} conversion and a 3\% increase at a GHSV of 50,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}. This is probably due to the increase in reaction temperature owing to the exothermic reaction of CO\textsubscript{2} methanation with increasing amounts of the reactants; thus, the increase in CO\textsubscript{2} conversion is more at a GHSV of 50,000 h\textsuperscript{-1} than that at 30,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}, because more reactants are present in the former than in the latter.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** Effect of reactant concentration (CO\textsubscript{2} concentration = CO\textsubscript{2}/(H\textsubscript{2} + CO\textsubscript{2} + N\textsubscript{2})) at a reaction temperature of 350 °C.

2.4.3. Effect of Initial N\textsubscript{2} Concentration on CO\textsubscript{2} Conversion

Approximately 15\% of N\textsubscript{2} exists in landfill gas—a type of biogas—which is used as an inactive gas to prevent the deactivation of catalysts caused by the spot exothermic reaction of CO\textsubscript{2} methanation. The effect of the presence of 0, 10, and 30\% N\textsubscript{2} in the reactant gas on CO\textsubscript{2} conversion at a GHSV of 15,000 h\textsuperscript{-1} and H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio of 4 is shown in Figure 6. When nitrogen concentrations were 10 and 30\% in the reactant, the respective CO\textsubscript{2} conversions were approximately 3 and 5\% lower than that in the absence of N\textsubscript{2}. This is believed to be due to the decrease in the reactant concentration as the N\textsubscript{2} concentration increased, leading to less heat generation, which, in turn, lowers the reaction temperature.

![Figure 6](image_url)

**Figure 6.** Effect of N\textsubscript{2} in biogas at reaction temperature of 350 °C.

2.4.4. Effect of Initial Oxygen Concentration on CO\textsubscript{2} Conversion

The effects of the presence of oxygen in the reactant gas on CO\textsubscript{2} conversion at a GHSV of 30,000 h\textsuperscript{-1}, H\textsubscript{2}/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio of 4, and reaction temperatures of 300 and 400 °C are shown in Figure 7. When 4\%
oxygen was present in the reactant gas, CO₂ conversion and selectivity decreased by approximately 5% and 3%, respectively. This is thought to be a protected re-oxidation reaction due to the presence of oxygen in the reactant gas, which prevents the forward reaction in CH₄ synthesis paths (1) and (2). According to the mechanism proposed by Lin et al. [21], CO₂ is separated from the oxygen vacancies on the Ni metal and support material, which are produced during the reduction of the catalysts, and it is reported that a decrease in the oxygen vacancies on the catalyst and support reduces the catalytic activity. Therefore, it is considered that the CO₂ conversion and selectivity decrease in the presence of oxygen because of the decrease in the amount of oxygen vacancies on the Ni catalyst and support, which prevents CO₂ from being converted to CO or carbon species, or because of the re-oxidation of CO.

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** Effect of O₂ in reaction gas.

### 2.5. Stability and Activity of Catalyst Test

To evaluate the activity and stability of the catalysts, CO₂ methanation was conducted at a GHSV of 30,000 h⁻¹, reaction temperature of 350 °C, and H₂/CO₂ ratio of 4 for 200 h. As shown in Figure 8, the CO₂ conversion was constant at 75%, and CH₄ selectivity was 93% for a reaction time of 200 h. These results confirmed the activity and stability of the 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst for the CO₂ methanation reaction. The catalytic activity was retained even upon storage at room temperature.

![Figure 8](image-url)

**Figure 8.** Stability test over 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalysts.
3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Catalyst Preparation

The catalyst used in this study is a 20 and 40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst (supported by Korea Institute of Energy Research, Daejeon, Korea). The catalyst was prepared on a Ni metal that exhibits high catalytic activity and CH4 selectivity. The catalyst was prepared by mixing calculated ratios of Ni(NO3)2·6H2O, Al(NO3)2·9H2O, and Mg(NO3)2·6H2O solutions at 60 °C until the Ni content was 20, 40 wt%, and a precipitate was obtained after adding a precipitant to the mixture and stirring for approximately 1 h while maintaining a constant pH. The precipitated catalyst precursor was repeatedly washed with distilled water and filtered with a filter press until the pH reached approximately 7.0. The catalyst precursor was then dried in an oven at 150 °C for 4 h, and 20 and 40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al2O3 catalysts were prepared through a heat treatment process under an air atmosphere at 600 °C for 4 h. Prior to their use, all catalysts were heated to the reduction temperature under a gas flow of 100 mL/min (20% H2, 80% N2) for 2 h, and the catalysts were reduced while the temperature was maintained for 4 h.

Figure 9 illustrates the variations in catalytic activity of the 40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al2O3 catalysts at the reaction temperatures of 350 and 400 °C as a function of changes in the reduction temperatures to 450, 550, 700, and 800 °C. The CO2 conversion initially showed a significant increase as the reduction temperature increased, while the CO2 conversions at 700 and 800 °C were similar; therefore, 700 °C was used as the reduction temperature of the catalysts.

Figure 9. Effect of reduction temperature on CO2 conversion and CH4 selectivity over the 40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst.

3.2. CO2 Methanation Apparatus and Activity Test

Isothermal CO2 methanation experiments were conducted in a plug-flow system (Figure 10) at steady state with Ni catalysts loaded into the reactor. The catalytic reactor has an outer diameter of 12.7 mm (1/2"), a thickness of 1.257 mm, a length of 209 mm, and Inconel 800 HT composed of 80% Ni, 14% Cr, and 6% Fe. During the experimentation, a mesh sieve was installed in the lower part of the reactor to support the catalyst layer, and 0.5 g of the catalyst was loaded. A back-pressure regulator (BPR) in the latter section of the reactor was used to control the reaction pressure from 1 to 9 atm. A water trap in the latter section of the BPR was used to remove the water generated from the reaction, and a high-pressure check valve was installed to prevent gas backflow. All tubes used were of SUS (Steel Use Stainless) grade with an outer diameter of Φ3.2 mm and a thickness of 0.8 t, and the products were analyzed using gas chromatography (GC). To prevent the condensation of the products inside the tube during this process, a line heater was installed and maintained above 150 °C.
The reaction products were characterized using a GC (YL Instrument 6500 GC, YL Instruments Co., Anyang, Korea), SS COL 10FT 1/8” PORAPACK N (Model: 13052-U), a Phase None Matrix 45/60 Molecular Sieve 13X was used for the GC columns, and argon was used as the carrier gas. Hydrogen, methane, and carbon monoxide were analyzed with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD), whereas carbon dioxide was analyzed using a flame ionization detector (FID). Characterization in the GC oven was conducted by maintaining the temperature initially at 35 °C for 0–6 min and raising it to approximately 170 °C at a ramp rate of 15 °C/min. FID characterization was performed at 250 °C by supplying 35 mL/min of hydrogen and 300 mL/min of oxygen, while TCD characterization was carried out at 150 °C under a gas flow rate of 35 mL/min of hydrogen and 20 mL/min of Ar. CO2 conversion ($X_{CO2}$), CH4 selectivity ($S_{CH4}$), and CH4 yield ($Y_{CH4}$) were calculated according to Equations (4)–(6) [37].

$$X_{CO2} (\%) = (1 - \frac{CO_2}{CH_4 + CO + CO_2}) \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)  

$$S_{CH4} (\%) = \frac{CH_4}{CH_4 + CO} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)  

$$Y_{CH4} (\%) = \frac{X_{CO2} \times S_{CH4}}{100}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Using a reactant gas flow rate of 250 mL/min, a reaction temperature of 350 °C, GHSV of 30,000 h⁻¹, and an H2/CO2 ratio of 4 as the basis, methanation reaction experiments were conducted by changing the experimental conditions, as listed in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Experimental condition for CO2 methanation reaction.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reaction Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>200–450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4 and CO2 composition ratio (%)</td>
<td>65:35, 50:50, 40:60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHSV (h⁻¹)</td>
<td>21,000–50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H2/CO2 mole ratio</td>
<td>3.5, 4, 4.5, 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O2 (cc/min)</td>
<td>10.5 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Schematic of CO2 methanation](image-url)
3.3. Catalyst Characterization


The BET specific surface area, which is one of the key catalyst properties, was measured using ASAP 2020 Plus Physisorption (Center for Advanced Materials Analysis, Suwon University) (Micrometrics Instruments, Norcross, GA, USA). A known amount of catalyst sample was added to the BET measurement tube, and the moisture in the catalyst was removed through a pretreatment process under a vacuum of 10 μm Hg by heating to 250 °C at a ramp rate of 10 °C/min for 12 h, after which the weight of the catalyst sample was measured.

Measurements of the specific surface area, pore volume, and pore size of the fresh (20 and 40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al) and spent (20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst after 200 h of use) catalysts are summarized in Table 2. The BET surface area of the spent catalyst decreased in comparison with that of the fresh catalyst. In addition, an increase in the Ni content led to a decrease in the specific surface area, which is attributed to the blocking of catalyst pores with increasing Ni content, similar to the results reported by Daroughegi et al. [12] with catalysts containing greater than 20 wt% of Ni. In addition, these observations were similar to the results obtained by A. Zhao et al. [38]—the Ni metal crystal size increased in the catalysts comprising Ni loadings greater than 20 wt%. As shown in Table 3, the 20 wt% catalyst also showed a high TOF (Turnover Frequency) value. TOF values towards CO$_2$ were defined as the number of CO$_2$ molecules converted over per surface metallic Ni active site per second. High TOF value means that the catalytic activity is high. Therefore, the 20 wt% catalyst showed a higher CO$_2$ conversion than the 40 wt% catalyst (Tables 3 and 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>BET (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>Total Pore Volume (m$^3$/g)</th>
<th>Pore size (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>180.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spent</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>152.6</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>82.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spent</td>
<td>107.8</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>82.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Ni Loading (wt%)</th>
<th>Ni Dispersion (%) $^a$</th>
<th>Ni Particle Size (nm)</th>
<th>TOF (s$^{-1}$) $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>28.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>3.68</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Estimated from H$_2$ chemisorption, $^b$ TOF of CO$_2$ conversion at 350 °C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Catalyst</th>
<th>Reaction Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>CO$<em>2$ Conversion ($X</em>{CO2}$)</th>
<th>CH$<em>4$ Selectivity ($S</em>{CH4}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>75.0</td>
<td>94.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 wt% Ni-Mg-Al</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>96.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>400</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>95.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2. X-ray Diffraction (XRD) Characterization

The elemental composition of the catalysts was characterized using an XRD diffractometer (Center for Advanced Materials Analysis, Suwon University) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, ARL Equinox 3000,
MA, USA). The catalyst powder samples were pretreated at 250 °C for 5 h to remove moisture, and the crystals of the catalysts were analyzed. Cu-Kα radiation was used to fix the axis of the sample, and measurements were performed at 30 mA and 40 kV over a 2θ range 10–80° using a scanning speed of 8θ/min. The XRD analysis results of the catalytic supports and Ni catalysts before and after the reaction are shown in Figure 11. Diffraction peaks that appear at 2θ values of 37.3°, 43.3° and 62.9° are associated with the NiO phase, whereas those at 44.55°, 51.85°, and 76.3° are associated with Ni metal. The 2θ values of 45.86 and 66.91 correspond to MgO, whereas the diffraction peaks at 2θ values of 37.4°, 46.07°, and 66.9° are associated with the alumina phase. Figure 11 shows the XRD characterization of the catalysts before and after reduction at 700 °C and on the spent catalyst 200 h after the reaction. As shown in the figure, peaks corresponding to Ni metal were not observed in the fresh catalyst prior to the reaction, whereas NiO peaks were observed [39]. In contrast, the reduction catalyst and spent catalyst exhibited prominent Ni metal peaks at 2θ values of 51.85° and 76.3°, respectively [30].

![X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) fresh, (b) reduced at 700 °C in the fresh state, and (c) spent 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalysts.](image)

**Figure 11.** X-ray diffraction patterns of (a) fresh, (b) reduced at 700 °C in the fresh state, and (c) spent 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalysts.

### 3.3.3. H2-TPR Analysis

The interaction and reduction between the catalyst metal and support were characterized by H2-temperature-programmed reduction (H2-TPR, AutoChem II 2920 V5.02, Micromeritics Instruments, Norcross, GA, USA). As shown in Figure 12, the H2 consumption of the catalysts appeared at 300–450 and 600–700 °C. The two peaks correspond to the reduction of the NiO particles. The first peak refers to the reduction of NiO particles that exhibit a weak interaction due to MgO, and the second peak that appears at high temperatures corresponds to the reduction of NiO. Al2O3 particles with spinel structures exhibit a strong interaction between the NiO particles and the Al2O3 support. An improved H2 consumption was observed as the Ni content of the catalysts increased.
3.3.4. X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy (XPS) Characterization

To investigate the oxidation state of the catalysts, XPS (Center for Advanced Materials Analysis, Suwon University) (K-Alpha plus, Thermo Fisher Scientific, East Grinstead, UK) was conducted to measure the binding energy. XPS characterization was performed under vacuum using Al-Kα radiation on a fresh catalyst and on the spent catalyst after 200 h of reaction, without any separate sample pretreatment process (Figure 13). The oxidation state of Ni can be determined from the binding energy (BE) of the XPS Ni2p3/2 spectrum. The Ni2p3/2 BE of NiO is 855–856 and 860.5 eV, and that of the Ni metal is 852.3–852.6 eV [40]. Also, the Ni2p1/2 BE of the Ni metal is 873–875 eV. As shown in the figure, the XPS spectrum for the BE of the fresh catalyst displayed peaks at 855.0 and 860.4 eV that are associated with NiO, and a small amount of Ni(OH)₂ (peaks at 865.6 eV). The XPS profile of the spent catalyst revealed the presence of Ni metal (851.9 eV) and NiO (855 eV and 860.3 eV).

3.3.5. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)–Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX) Characterization

SEM-EDX was used to investigate the surface morphology of the catalysts and the dispersion of Ni. (Center for Advanced Materials Analysis, Suwon University) (APREO SEM, FEI, Hillsboro, OR, USA) was used to obtain images at 20,000 × magnification of the catalyst samples prepared by
removing powders and dust, drying at 120 °C for 1 h, and coating with metal (Au). SEM images of the fresh catalyst and the spent catalyst after 200 h of reaction are presented in Figure 14. As shown in the SEM images, nanoscale particles were uniformly dispersed throughout the surface. Owing to the decomposition of Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O to MgO at temperatures above 600 °C, it was confirmed that spherical [41] particles were uniformly dispersed.

Figure 14. Scanning electron microscopy images of (a) fresh and (b) spent 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalysts.

The results of the elemental composition analysis of the catalyst using EDX and of the surface morphology characterization from the SEM image are shown in Table 5. The contents of Ni, Mg, and Al metals from the cross-section of the catalysts are summarized in Table 5 (the data is for indicative purposes only).

Table 5. Elemental composition of fresh 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst determined by scanning electron microscopy–energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Al</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weight (%)</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>36.99</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>38.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusions

In this study, the reaction between H₂ and the CO₂ present in biogas was explored, and experiments on CO₂ methanation for producing CH₄ were conducted over a 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst. The optimal conditions for CO₂ methanation over the 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst were determined based on the effects of the reaction temperature, GHSV, and H₂/CO₂ ratio on CO₂ conversion. Furthermore, experiments investigating the effects of CO₂, CH₄, N₂, and O₂ concentrations (i.e., biogas composition) on CO₂ conversion led to the following conclusions.

1) CO₂ conversion increased as the reaction temperature increased, but decreased beyond 400 °C, and the highest values of CH₄ selectivity and yield were obtained near 350 °C. This is due to the thermodynamic equilibrium limit that reduces the CO₂ conversion at temperatures above 400 °C [29] and inhibits the methanation reaction above 350 °C via the RWGS reaction, which increases the production of CO and decreases the CH₄ selectivity. The activation energy at this point was 72.4 kJ/mol.

2) CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity increased as the H₂/CO₂ ratio increased from 3.5 to 5.0, whereas the CO₂ conversion resulted in similar values as long as the H₂/CO₂ ratio remained the same regardless of the H₂ and CO₂ concentrations when the H₂/CO₂ ratio was varied. Increasing the GHSV of the reactant gas and its N₂ concentration shortened the contact time between the reactant and catalyst and reduced the CO₂ conversion.

3) A higher initial concentration of CO₂ in the biogas led to a slightly higher CO₂ conversion, but increasing the initial CH₄ concentration to 40, 50, and 65 vol% decreased the CO₂ conversion by up to 20% compared to that in the absence of CH₄. This trend is believed to be the result of Le Chatelier’s principle, in which the conversion of CH₄ was suppressed by the initial concentration
of CH$_4$ in the reactant gas. The selectivity was shown to be independent of CH$_4$ concentration and remained constant.

4) Investigation of the effect of the concentrations of N$_2$ and O$_2$ components of biogas revealed that CO$_2$ conversion decreased by approximately 5% in the presence of 10 vol% N$_2$. In the presence of O$_2$, the CO$_2$ conversion decreased as a result of the decrease in the number of active sites of the Ni catalyst due to oxygen, which also reduced the CH$_4$ selectivity because of the re-oxidation reaction.

5) The stability of the 20 wt% Ni-Mg-Al catalyst for CO$_2$ methanation was evaluated from experiments at 350 $^\circ$C for 200 h. The catalyst is expected to exhibit stable activity, because the CO$_2$ conversion and CH$_4$ selectivity were maintained at constant values of 75 and 93%, respectively.

As mentioned above, because of its stability over 200 h and CO$_2$ conversion over 75%, as indicated by the activity test of the 20 wt% Ni catalyst, the prepared catalyst exhibits excellent performance. In future, we plan to (i) apply the developed strategy to power plants (which generate much CO$_2$) and food waste treatment plants (which generate much anaerobic digestion gas) to produce methane gas and (ii) carry out demonstration tests for use in natural gas grids and natural gas-powered vehicles.
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