Application of $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ (Bst) Film Doped with 0%, 2%, 4% and 6% Concentrations of $\text{RuO}_2$ as an Arduino Nano-Based Bad Breath Sensor
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Abstract: $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ (BST) film doped with variations in $\text{RuO}_2$ concentration (0%, 2%, 4%, and 6%) has been successfully grown on a type-p silicon substrate (100) using the chemical solution deposition (CSD) method and spin-coating at a speed of 3000 rpm for 30 s. The film on the substrate was then heated at 850 $^\circ$C for 15 h. The sensitivity of BST film + $\text{RuO}_2$ variations as a gas sensor were characterized. The sensitivity characterization was assisted by various electronic circuitry with the purpose of producing a sensor that is very sensitive to gas. The responses from the BST film + $\text{RuO}_2$ variation were varied, depending on the concentration of the $\text{RuO}_2$ dope. BST film doped with 6% $\text{RuO}_2$ had a very good response to halitosis gases; therefore, this film was applied as the Arduino-Nano-based bad-breath detecting sensor. Before it was integrated with the microcontroller, the voltage output of the BST film was amplified using an op-amp circuit to make the voltage output from the BST film readable to the microcontroller. The changes in the voltage response were then shown on the prototype display. If the voltage output was $\leq 12.9$ mV, the display would read “bad breath”. If the voltage output $> 42.1$ mV, the display would read “fragrant”. If $12.9$ mV $<$ voltage output $\leq 42.1$ mV, the display would read “normal”.
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1. Introduction

Halitosis is a general term to describe the presence of an unpleasant odor when exhaling [1]. Halitosis is caused by food debris left in the mouth, which is processed by the normal flora in the oral cavity, such as protein hydrolysis by Gram-negative bacteria [2,3]. Oral conditions such as the decreased flow of saliva, the blocked flow of saliva, the increase in the number of anaerobic Gram-negative bacteria, the increase in food proteins, a more-alkaline oral cavity pH, and an increased number of dead and necrotic cells in the mouth could also trigger bad breath [4].

The discovery of volatile sulfur compounds (VSCs) which are believed to be the main cause of halitosis has piqued the interest of many researchers in conducting studies related to them. VSCs are a product of anaerobic bacterial activities and react with protein in the mouth from food debris that contains protein, dead blood cells, dead bacteria, or epithelial cells which have sloughed off...
the oral mucosa [1]. VSCs are volatile sulfuric compounds which are formed through bacterial reactions (especially anaerobic bacteria) with proteins, which are broken down into amino acids. There are three amino acids that produce VSCs, cysteine, which produces hydrogen sulfide (H$_2$S), methionine, which produces methyl mercaptan (CH$_3$SH), and cystine, which produces dimethyl Sulfide (CH$_3$SCH$_3$) [5].

Ferroelectric materials have the ability to change the direction of their internal electric currents, can be spontaneously polarized, and demonstrate a hysteresis effect which is related to dielectric shifts in responding to the internal electricity field [1–3]. The hysteresis properties and high dielectric constant can be applied to the dynamic random access memory (DRAM) cell with a storage capacity of over 1 Gbit; the piezoelectric properties can be utilized as a microactuator and sensor; the pyroelectric properties can be applied in the infrared sensor; the electro-optic properties can be applied in the infrared thermal switch; and the polarizability can be applied as a non-volatile ferroelectric random access memory (NVFRAM) [6–8].

BST film can be produced using fairly simple equipment on a tight budget and in relatively short time [9–11]. In the film-producing process, there are a number of methods that could be used such as the metalorganic chemical vapor deposition (MOCVD) method [12–14], the chemical vapor deposition method [15], the sol-gel method [16–19], the atomic layer deposition (ALD) method [20], the pulsed laser ablation deposition (PLAD) method [21,22], rf sputtering [17,23,24], and chemical solution deposition (CSD) method [24–31]. The CSD method is superior as it can control the film stoichiometry with good quality, an easy procedure, and has a fairly affordable cost [32–34]. The CSD method is a method of making thin films by deposition of a chemical solution onto a substrate then preparation through spin-coating at a certain rotational speed [35]. The CSD method has long been developed for growing thin film perovskite, since the 1980s [36].

2. Research Method

2.1. Preparation of the Type-p Silicon (100) Substrate

The substrate used was type-p silicon (100). The substrate was cut into 4 squares sized 1 × 1 cm as seen in Figure 1. After cutting, the substrate was washed with 5% hydrofluoric acid (HF) mixed with 2% aquadest [37].

![Figure 1. The type-p silicon (100) substrate cut into 1 × 1 cm$^2$ squares.](image)

2.2. Preparation of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$ Solution

The Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ solution doped with RuO$_2$ 0%, 2%, 4% and 6% grown on the substrate using the CSD method was made from 0.3512 g of barium acetate [Ba(CH$_3$COOH)$_2$, 99%], 0.2314 g of strontium acetate [Sr(CH$_3$COOH)$_2$, 99%], 0.7105 g of titanium isopropoxide [Ti(C$_{12}$O$_4$H$_{28}$), 99%], and 2.5 mL of 2-methoxyethanol [H$_3$COOCH$_2$CH$_2$OH, 99%] as the solvent, and all the ingredients were then sonicated in a Branson model 2210 sonicator for 1 h (the resulting mixture is called the precursor) [38].
2.3. Growing the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$

The film-growing process was conducted using a spin-coating reactor, where the type-p silicon substrate that had been washed was placed on the spin coating reactor plate which had had a piece of double-sided tape affixed to the center. Next, 1/3 of the surface of the type-p silicon substrate that had been affixed to the spin coating reactor plate surface was covered with seal tape. The seal tape was used to prevent the type-p silicon substrate surface from being entirely covered by the BST solution, and the double-sided tape was used to make sure the substrate did not slip off the plate when the spin-coating reactor was operated.

The substrate that had been placed on the spin-coating reactor plate was dripped upon with 3 drops of BST solution, then the spin-coating reactor was spun at 3000 rpm for 30 s. The dripping process was repeated 3 times with a 60-second gap between each repeat. After dripping, the substrate was collected using tweezers [37]. Process Growing the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$ shown in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Process Growing the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$.](image)

2.4. The Annealing Process

The purpose of the annealing process was to diffuse the BST solution with the substrate. The annealing process was conducted gradually using a Vulcan$^{\text{TM-3-130}}$ model furnace. The heating began at room temperature and was raised to the required annealing temperature, 850 °C, with an adjusted temperature rise (1.7 °C/min), and then the annealing temperature was maintained for 15 h. Next, furnace cooling was conducted until room temperature was reached again [37]. The annealing process can be seen in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. The annealing process.](image)

2.5. Contact Installation in the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$

The contact holes in the film were made as 2 x 2 mm squares on the BST layer and the remaining part of the BST film was covered using aluminum foil. The next process was aluminum (Al) metallization as the contact medium for the film which was done by evaporation in a vacuum container. And then the hidder and thin copper wire were affixed using silver paste [37]. The process of aluminum metallization as the film’s contact medium can be seen in Figure 4. The Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ model,
the result of the copper wire installation, and the physical appearance of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ and the physical appearance of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ can be seen in Figure 5.

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** The aluminum metallization process as the film’s contact medium.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** (a) The Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ model; (b) The result of the copper wire installation; (c) The physical appearance of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$.

### 2.6. Characterization of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$ as a Bad Breath-Gas Sensor

Characterization of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ included characterization of its sensitivity as a bad breath-gas sensor. The sensitivity of Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ as a bad breath-gas sensor was demonstrated by the difference in output voltage and the input voltage (exposure to halitosis gases), $\Delta V/\Delta G$, with V as the output voltage and G the input voltage with exposure to halitosis gases. The greater the voltage difference, the more sensitive the film is considered to be.

### 2.7. Equipment Design

The prototype was designed to be portable. The prototype design was 7 cm in length, with a 6-cm-diameter handle, 3-cm-diameter lid, and 1-cm lid height, and the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ itself was 2 × 3 cm$^2$. These measurements were made according to the requirements of the
electronic components contained by the prototype design. The prototype design sketch can be seen in Figure 6.

The components used in the bad-breath detector prototype consisted of the input component, the processing component, and output component. The input component is shown in Figure 7, label ‘a’, the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ 6%. The processing component used a 10-bit microcontroller (Figure 7, labeled ‘b’), the ATMega138/Arduino Nano which is 3 × 1 cm$^2$ in size. The output component (Figure 7, labeled ‘c’ and ‘d’), the LED as the indicator and an LCD as the voltage value and breath odor condition display.

Figure 7. Sketch of the prototype’s electronic circuitry.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Characterization of the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ Film Doped with RuO$_2$ as a Bad Breath-Gas Sensor

The measurements were taken by two methods: variation in the distance of odor exposure to the film position and variations in oral hygiene conditions. Variations in the distance between odor exposure to the film position were conducted at distances of 2 cm, 4 cm, 6 cm and 8 cm with bad breath exposure which was considered stable (exhalations from the mouth). Variations in oral hygiene were conducted before the oral cavity was cleaned (straight out of bed) and after it was cleaned (after brushing teeth).

Tables 1 and 2 present the voltage output measurement data of Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ (after being stabilized with a Wheatstone circuit and amplified with an op-amp). The Wheatstone circuit and op-amp for the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ are shown in Figure 8.
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sensitivity was demonstrated by Ba 0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with RuO2 6%. This film was then applied as the Arduino Nano-based bad breath gas detecting sensor.

The measurements in Table 1 aimed to evaluate the film’s output voltage at distances of 2 cm, 4 cm, 6 cm, and 8 cm. The response revealed whether or not the Ba 0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with 6% RuO2 is not the odor from the toothpaste, but the bad breath from the bad breath gas in the oral cavity.

Table 1 presents voltage output measurement data of Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with RuO2 as a bad breath gas sensor with variations in the gas exposure distance: 2 cm, 4 cm, 6 cm and 8 cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 Film with Variations in RuO2 Dope (%)</th>
<th>Output Voltage at 2 cm Exposure (mV)</th>
<th>Output Voltage at 4 cm Exposure (mV)</th>
<th>Output Voltage at 6 cm Exposure (mV)</th>
<th>Output Voltage at 8 cm Exposure (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>20.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Voltage difference measurement data of Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with RuO2 in various oral conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 Film with Variations in RuO2 Dope (%)</th>
<th>Film Output Voltage before Cleansing (Straight out of Bed) (mV)</th>
<th>Film Output Voltage after Cleansing (after Brushing Teeth) (mV)</th>
<th>Film Output Voltage after 15 min after (after Brushing Teeth+Eat) (mV)</th>
<th>ΔV before and after Cleaning (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>42.1</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>29.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 8. (a) The Wheatstone circuit; (b) The op-amp circuit.

Table 1 presents voltage output measurement data with variations in halitosis gas exposure distance of Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film with doped with varied RuO2 concentrations. Table 2 presents the output voltage with a variety of oral conditions (halitosis-gas input) of the Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with varied RuO2 concentrations.

The measurements in Table 1 aimed to evaluate the film’s output voltage at distances of 2 cm, 4 cm, 6 cm, and 8 cm. The response revealed whether or not the Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with RuO2 gave a good response. The measurements presented in Table 2 were made by comparing the film’s output voltage based on the film’s response to oral conditions. The difference between the oral condition output (ΔV) was then used as proof that the film has a good sensitivity to halitosis gas. The best sensitivity was demonstrated by Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with 6% RuO2. This film was then applied as the Arduino Nano-based bad breath gas detecting sensor.

Table 2 indicates that bad breath after cleaning (after brushing your teeth) and odor after 15 min after brushing your teeth + eating produce output values that do not differ much. It suggests that the condition read by the Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with RuO2 6% is not the odor from the toothpaste, but the bad breath from the bad breath gas in the oral cavity.

Ba0.5Sr0.5TiO3 film doped with RuO2 had a resistance of approximately 10^6 Ω. By determining the values of R1 and R3, the value of R2 could be obtained using the equation R1·R3 = R2·R4.

The steps to finding the value of R2 were: First, the value of R1 and R3 were determined to be 1 M and 100 Ω. Second, initially, R2 in the Wheatstone bridge circuit used a 100 K potentiometer which was done in order to make the V in potentiometer 0 volts. Then the potentiometer was disconnected.
and the resistance in the potentiometer was measured using a multimeter. The value displayed by the multimeter was the resistance value used as $R_2$. The resistance displayed was 4.68 K; therefore, $R_2 = 4.7$ K. The measurements were taken at the first and third terminals of the potentiometer.

The voltage signal emitted by the Wheatstone bridge was amplified by the op-amp circuit. The microcontroller used was the ATMega168 (which is also known as the Arduino Nano) which had a 10-bit resolution and a reference voltage of 4.8 volts; therefore, the microcontroller could differentiate between incoming voltages of 0.0046875 volts. To adjust the resolution of the $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ to the ADC resolution, an amplifying circuit (op-amp) was employed. The amplifying circuit used in this study was a differential amplifying circuit and a non-inverting amplifying circuit, depicted in Figure 8b. A differential amplifying circuit is a circuit that compares two inputs. The differential amplifying circuit used was a combination between non-inverting and inverting circuits. The total circuit amplification for the BST film was 2 times amplification from the differential amplifying circuit and 11 times amplification from the non-inverting amplifying circuit, so the total amplification was 22 times. The mathematical calculations are represented by Equations (1) and (2).

Equation (1). The size of the amplification for the differential amplifying circuit was:

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \left(1 + \frac{R_d}{R_{in}}\right)\left(\frac{R_d}{R_{in}}\right),$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \left(1 + \frac{R_6}{R_5}\right)\left(\frac{R_4}{R_3}\right),$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \left(1 + \frac{100K}{100K}\right)\left(\frac{100K}{100K}\right),$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = 2 \text{ times}.$$

Equation (2). The size of the amplification for the non-inverting amplifying circuit (amplifier 3) was:

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \left(1 + \frac{R_d}{R_{in}}\right),$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \left(1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}\right),$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = \left(1 + \frac{1M}{100K}\right),$$

$$\frac{V_{out}}{V_{in}} = 11 \text{ times}.$$

The total amplification of the sensor’s circuit was 22 times.

3.2. The Atmega168/Arduino Nano Microcontroller Circuit

The controlling circuit in the bad breath detector prototype was a 10-bit ATMEGA168 microcontroller. The output voltage from the best film circuit was the input signal for the microcontroller.

The input for the microcontroller from the best film was PORTA.0. LCD assisted by the IIC module; therefore, only two 2 PORTs: PORTA.4 for SDA and PORTA.5 for SCL. The digital PIN 3 was used for the LED indicator.

3.3. Testing the Entire System

Halitosis is generally caused by bacteria that develop naturally in the mouth. These bacteria produce sulfur-containing gases. As a result, during exhalation through the mouth, a pungent odor of sulfurous gases is emitted. These gases are the focus of the detection capabilities of this device.
The operating principle of the device is that when the power source (5 volts) is activated, the power source provides the input voltage needed by every circuit used. When the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ 6% receives a stimulus in the form of bad breath, the ATMega168/Arduino Nano microcontroller gives a command to the LED and LCD.

If the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ 6% receives a stimulus in the form of bad breath (voltage output ≤ 12.9 mV), the microcontroller will command the LED to turn on (as an indicator of bad breath) and the LCD will display the output values in the form of the voltage on the first line and the “bad breath” condition on the second line of the LCD. On the other hand, if the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ 6% receives a stimulus in the form of “not bad breath” (voltage output > 42.1 mV), the microcontroller will give a command to the LED to remain turned off (as an indicator that the mouth is not malodorous) and the LCD will display an output in the form of the voltage on the first line of the LCD and the word “fragrant” on the second line.

If the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film doped with RuO$_2$ 6% receives a stimulus in the form of bad breath (12.9 mV < voltage output ≤ 42.1 mV), the microcontroller will give a command to the LED to not turn on (as an indicator that the mouth is in a normal condition) and the LCD will display an output in the form of the voltage on the first line of the LCD and the word “normal” on the second line. The results of the bad breath, normal, and fragrant conditions are presented in Figure 9.

![Figure 9](image.png)

**Figure 9.** (a) The results of the testing at a “Bad breath” oral condition; (b) the results of the testing at a “Normal” oral condition; (c) The results of the testing at a “Fragrant” oral condition.

The MQ 136 sensor is a semiconductor component that functions as an odorant for tin oxide gas (SnO$_2$). The MQ 136 gas sensor has a high sensitivity to SO$_2$. The MQ 136 can also be used to detect other vapors containing sulfur. Table 3 shows that the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film with 6% RuO$_2$ doping variation shows the average accuracy of the tool is ~99% measured against the MQ 136 sensor. This proves that the Ba$_{0.5}$Sr$_{0.5}$TiO$_3$ film testing with the 6% RuO$_2$ doping variation shown in Figure 9 provides an objective result when reading bad breath.
Table 3. Measurement data of the accuracy of the voltage value between $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film $\text{RuO}_2$ 6% doped relative to the commercially manufactured gas sensor (MQ 136) when detecting the odor conditions of the oral cavity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Output Voltage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>before Cleansing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Straight out of Bed)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Ba}<em>{0.5}\text{Sr}</em>{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film with 6% doping variations</td>
<td>12.9 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas sensor, manufacturer’s product (MQ 136)</td>
<td>12.7 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta V$ (mV)</td>
<td>0.2 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy (%)</td>
<td>98.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This tool is made to facilitate user detection of bad breath, so this portable unit can be carried everywhere by the user. The dimensions of the tool are shown in Figure 6. The position of the sensor is right inside the packaging container such as a microphone. Users can use the tool by: (1) activating the switch to the ‘on’ position; (2) the user blows the microphone in which there is a mouth odor sensor. Input in the form of bad breath will be read and processed by the microcontroller. The results of the microcontroller processing will be displayed on the 16 × 2 LCD as shown in Figure 9.

Figures 6a and 9 show that this device is built to provide user safety from electricity. Besides using only DC power supplies, the electronic components are housed inside a packaging container made of an insulating type material, ensuring user safety from electricity. The $\text{RuO}_2$ doped $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film cover container is also shock-resistant from saliva and toxins. If the mouth or saliva touches the $\text{RuO}_2$ doped $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film cover container it will not provide any electrical response because the container is coated with an insulating material, making it very safe.

Halitosis is a medical term for bad breath. Halitosis is a very common condition. According to the American Dental Association, at least 50 percent of adults around the world have bad breath. So generally, many do not realize that they have this condition.

One recent innovation for oral hygiene has been presented before. the innovation was called Breathometer Mint. The tool is used to monitor the user’s mouth odor. With this tool, the user can find out whether the condition of the oral cavity is in good or bad condition. This device is integrated with applications on smartphones that will provide information about the user’s oral cavity. Its use is quite practical, the tool is simply inserted into the mouth, then the user can exhale through his or her mouth. Then the Breathometer will detect the level of bacteria in the mouth. If the number of bacteria in the oral cavity is high, an unpleasant odor may result [39]. Unfortunately, the tool can only be used to monitor the number of bacteria in the mouth, a proxy for bad breath, but it cannot detect the distinctive odor of the types of gas that makes the mouth smell. This is the background rationale for the making a $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film application which is doped with $\text{RuO}_2$ 6% as an Arduino Nano-based odor detection sensor. This tool can monitor bad breath directly by detecting the concentration of sulfurous gases released.

4. Conclusions

The $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film doped with $\text{RuO}_2$ can be used as a bad-breath detecting sensor because it demonstrated a response in the form of voltage changes when exposed to changes in the aroma. The test results demonstrated that $\text{Ba}_{0.5}\text{Sr}_{0.5}\text{TiO}_3$ film doped with $\text{RuO}_2$ with a dope concentration of 6% was the best film of those tested. This film was then applied as the Arduino Nano-based bad-breath detecting sensor. The function of this film is to read bad breath from the types of gas released (sulfur-containing gases produced by naturally occurring bacteria that inhabit the mouth). The use of this tool is very practical, achieved simply by turning on the power on the tool, then blowing over the container shaped like a microphone. The results of bad breath will be displayed on the
16 × 2 LCD. A device housing made of insulating material provides an important safety role for the user.
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