Enhanced NO$_2$-Sensing Properties of Au-Loaded Porous In$_2$O$_3$ Gas Sensors at Low Operating Temperatures
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Abstract: NO$_2$-sensing properties of semiconductor gas sensors using porous In$_2$O$_3$ powders loaded with and without 0.5 wt% Au (Au/In$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$ sensors, respectively) were examined in wet air (70% relative humidity at 25 °C). In addition, the effects of Au loading on the increased NO$_2$ response were discussed on the basis of NO$_2$ adsorption/desorption properties on the oxide surface. The NO$_2$ response of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor monotonically increased with a decrease in the operating temperature, and the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor showed higher NO$_2$ responses than those of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at a temperature of 100 °C or lower. In addition, the response time of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was much shorter than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at 30 °C. The analysis based on the Freundlich adsorption mechanism suggested that the Au loading increased the adsorption strength of NO$_2$ on the In$_2$O$_3$ surface. Moreover, the Au loading was also quite effective in decreasing the baseline resistance of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor in wet air (i.e., increasing the number of free electrons in the In$_2$O$_3$), which resulted in an increase in the number of negatively charged NO$_2$ species on the In$_2$O$_3$ surface. The Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor showed high response to the low concentration of NO$_2$ (ratio of resistance in target gas to that in air: ca. 133 to 0.1 ppm) and excellent NO$_2$ selectivity against CO and ethanol, especially at 100 °C.
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1. Introduction

Semiconductor gas sensors were firstly commercialized as gas leak detectors in the 1960s [1], and additional studies have been carried out in order to detect various gases such as alcohol and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) [2–4]. The inhalation of even low concentration of NO$_2$ causes serious damage to the respiratory system [5–7]. Therefore, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sets the regulatory value of 0.053 ppm NO$_2$ as an air quality standard [8]. The NOx concentration in atmospheric environment has been measured by means of large and expensive analytical instruments based on a chemiluminescence method [9,10]. Therefore, the development of highly sensitive semiconductor NO$_2$ sensors has been expected all over the world. Among the various semiconducting metal oxides, SnO$_2$ [11–13], WO$_3$ [5,14,15], In$_2$O$_3$ [16–26], and ZnO [27–29] are well known as semiconducting NO$_2$-sensing materials. In addition, the structural modification of the sensing layer is one of important techniques in order to enhance the sensing properties. For example, Kim et al. fabricated nanowired SnO$_2$ on an alumina substrate by a chemical vapor deposition process.
(CVD) method, and it showed 10 times higher NO\textsubscript{2} response than powdered SnO\textsubscript{2} synthesized by a conventional precipitation method. The detection limit of NO\textsubscript{2} was as low as 50 ppb [12]. Yang et al. investigated the relationship between crystallite size of WO\textsubscript{3} particles and their NO\textsubscript{2} response, and they clarified that the WO\textsubscript{3} particles with a diameter of 7–9 nm detected even 40 ppb NO\textsubscript{2} [14]. Xu et al. successfully synthesized flower-like structured In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} by a hydrothermal method, and clarified the fabricated sensor also detected 40 ppb NO\textsubscript{2} [19]. Dilonardo et al. reported that rod-like ZnO were synthesized by controlling the annealing temperature of the precursor gel obtained by a precipitation method, and the fabricated sensor detected 200 ppb NO\textsubscript{2} [29]. The operation of semiconductor gas sensors is based on the change in electrical resistance of the sensing materials by the gas exposure. When the sensors are in air, oxygen adsorbs on the oxide surface and traps a certain number of free electrons in the conduction band of the oxide. When the sensor is exposed to reducing gases (e.g., CO or hydrocarbons), the oxygen adsorbates react with these gases to desorb from the oxide surface. Simultaneously, the free electrons go back to the oxide, which results in a decrease in the sensor resistance. On the other hand, when the sensor is exposed to the oxidizing gases (e.g., NO\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{3}, and Cl\textsubscript{2}), a certain number of free electrons is captured by their negatively charged adsorption [30]. This results in an increase in the sensor resistance. Among some attractive NO\textsubscript{2}-sensing materials, In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} has the advantage of the detection towards oxidizing gases at low temperatures [16,31,32] due to its high conductivity [17,18,23,32,33].

We previously reported that porous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} powders could be fabricated by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis using a precursor solution containing In(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} and polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) microspheres (Soken Chem. & Eng. Co., Ltd. (Tokyo, Japan), typical particle size (ps): ca. 150 nm in diameter) as a template, and that the sensors fabricated by employing these In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} powders showed much higher NO\textsubscript{2} responses and quicker NO\textsubscript{2} response/recovery speeds than a conventional In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor prepared by the similar technique employing a PMMA-free In(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} aqueous solution [34,35]. In addition, we successfully synthesized smaller PMMA microspheres (ps: ca. 26 or ca. 70 nm) by ultrasonic-assisted emulsion polymerization technique using an appropriate amount of methyl methacrylate monomer and sodium lauryl sulfate (SLS) as a surfactant. The fabricated sensors using the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} powders fabricated by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis employing the smaller PMMA microspheres as a template in a precursor solution largely enhanced the NO\textsubscript{2} response [36,37]. Table 1 summarizes typical examples of NO\textsubscript{2} detection using In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}-based gas sensors [17–26,38]. In addition to the structural modifications of the sensing layer such as flower-like, porous nanosheet, and nanowire structure [17–19], the loading of noble metal such as Au, Pd, and Pt onto the oxides is another important technique to realize a high sensor response [20–26]. The effects are known as chemical and/or electronic sensitization phenomena [39]. Li et al. reported that the loading of 0.5 wt% Au on the porous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} fabricated by a casting method using the mesoporous silica as a template increased the NO\textsubscript{2} response of the pristine porous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} by 7.1 times [20]. Wang et al. synthesized a macroporous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} powders which contains periodic voids with an average diameter of 210 nm by employing PMMA microspheres as a template, and they clarified that the 0.5 wt% Pd loading on the macroporous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} drastically enhanced the NO\textsubscript{2} response [24]. We also reported that the Au loading on the porous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} fabricated by ultrasonic spray pyrolysis using a precursor solution containing In(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} and PMMA microspheres was quite effective in increasing the NO\textsubscript{2} response at operating temperatures of 200 °C or lower in dry air, while the loading of Pd or Pt did not show any positive effects. In addition, our results suggested that Au loading promoted the adsorption of the negatively charged NO\textsubscript{2} species on the bottom part of the sensing layer, leading to an increase in the NO\textsubscript{2} response. The 0.5 wt% Au-loaded In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor showed the highest response to 0.25 ppm NO\textsubscript{2} at 30 °C among all the sensors in Table 1 [38]. However, their sensing properties to NO\textsubscript{2} are not yet confirmed under humidified atmosphere, especially at lower temperatures. In addition, the Au loading seems to have a great influence on the number and state of NO\textsubscript{2} adsorbates on the oxide surface [38]. In this study, the NO\textsubscript{2}-sensing properties of the Au-loaded and -unloaded porous In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensors have been measured in wet air, and the effects of the Au loading on the sensing properties also have been discussed on the basis of NO\textsubscript{2} adsorption properties on the oxide surface.
was dispersed in the In(NO$_3$)$_3$ powder, HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O (3.5 mg) was added to the precursor solution. Figure 1 shows a schematic drawing of an apparatus of ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. Precursor mists were obtained by ultrasonication of the aqueous precursor solution in a plastic container equipped with a polyethylene thin film at one end, which was perpendicularly set over an ultrasonic vibrator (Honda Electric Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan, HM-303N, 2.4 MHz) at a distance of 0.5–1.0 cm in water. A specially designed mist supplier for the ultrasonic spray pyrolysis was used to obtain uniform mists of the precursor solution. Only small droplets separated in a glass vessel were fed into an electric furnace heated at 1000 °C under flowing air (1500 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$). The diameter of the droplets supplied by ultrasonic vibrator was analyzed by a phase doppler interferometer (PDI, Seika Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, PDI-100MD). As the mists were instantly heated at the electric furnace, the evaporation of water and the thermal decomposition of In(NO$_3$)$_3$ and PMMA microspheres simultaneously occurred, and spherical In$_2$O$_3$ powders were produced in the electric furnace under the air flow. The thermal decomposition of HAuCl$_4$ also occurred at the same time with those of the In(NO$_3$)$_3$ and PMMA microspheres, and Au was dispersed in the In$_2$O$_3$ powders. The detail of the ultrasonic spray pyrolysis was already reported in our previous paper [38]. The obtained porous powders loaded with and without Au were denoted as Au/In$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$, respectively.

2.2. Fabrication of Thick Film Sensors and Measurement of Their Gas Sensing Properties

The prepared In$_2$O$_3$ or Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powder was ground with 1,2-propanediol (Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA)) by using an agate mortar, and the obtained paste was screen-printed on an Al$_2$O$_3$ substrate equipped with a pair of interdigitated Pt electrodes (gap size: ca. 500 μm). After being dried overnight at 80 °C, it was calcined at 500 °C for 10 min in ambient air. The obtained sensor was denoted as an In$_2$O$_3$ or Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor. Figure S1 shows a schematic drawing of (a) sensor element and (b) gas-sensing measurement system. The sensor was mounted in a polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) chamber and test gases were supplied using a computer-operated gas-mixing system (the total flow rate was constantly held at 200 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$). The sensing properties of these sensors were measured to NO$_2$ (0.1–5 ppm) balanced with wet air (70% relative humidity (RH) at 25 °C) at operating temperatures between 30 and 300 °C, which were controlled by using a Pt heater on the back side of the sensor substrate. The magnitude of response to NO$_2$ was defined as the ratio ($R_g/R_a$) of resistance in the target gas ($R_g$) to that in air ($R_a$), while the magnitude of response to ethanol or CO was defined as $R_g/R_a$.

### Table 1. Typical examples of NO$_2$ detection using In$_2$O$_3$-based gas sensors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material of Sensing Layer</th>
<th>Fabrication Technique</th>
<th>Structure of In$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Appropriate Operating Temperature$^\circ$C</th>
<th>NO$_2$ Response $^a$</th>
<th>NO$_2$ Detection Limit/ppm</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal synthesis</td>
<td>Flower-like particle</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>74 (0.5 ppm)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>[17]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal synthesis</td>
<td>Porous nanosheet</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>164 (50 ppm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>[18]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal synthesis</td>
<td>Nanofiber</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>257 (1 ppm)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>[19]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Casting using SBA-15$^b$</td>
<td>Porous particle</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>472 (0.5 ppm)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>[20]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Magnetron sputtering</td>
<td>Thin film</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>142 (10 ppm)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>[21]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Hydrothermal synthesis</td>
<td>Core-shell</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>15 (100 ppm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>[22]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pt/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Electrospinning</td>
<td>Nanowire</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19.2 (0.5 ppm)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>[23]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pd/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Casting using PMMA$^c$</td>
<td>Porous particle</td>
<td>980</td>
<td>980 (0.5 ppm)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>[24]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Electrospinning</td>
<td>Nanowire</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>130 (5 ppm)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>2020</td>
<td>[25]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Casting using SBA-15$^b$</td>
<td>Porous particle</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>169 (1 ppm)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>[26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/In$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>Ultrasonic spray pyrolysis</td>
<td>Porous particle</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10000 (0.25 ppm)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>[38]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ NO$_2$ response: the ratio ($R_g/R_a$) of resistance in the target gas ($R_g$) to that in air ($R_a$). $^b$ SBA-15: name of mesoporous silica. $^c$ PMMA: polymethylmethacrylate.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Preparation of In$_2$O$_3$ Powders by Ultrasonic Spray Pyrolysis

PMMA microspheres (ps: ca. 70 nm), which were synthesized by ultrasonic-assisted emulsion polymerization [38], were used as a polymer template. The aqueous dispersion containing the PMMA microspheres (37.5 cm$^3$) and In(NO$_3$)$_3$·3H$_2$O (1.77 g) was mixed with pure water (62.5 cm$^3$), and the mixture was stirred as an aqueous precursor solution. In order to load 0.5 wt% Au nanoparticles on the In$_2$O$_3$ powder, HAuCl$_4$·4H$_2$O (3.5 mg) was added to the precursor solution. Figure 1 shows a schematic drawing of an apparatus of ultrasonic spray pyrolysis. Precursor mists were obtained by ultrasonication of the aqueous precursor solution in a plastic container equipped with a polyethylene thin film at one end, which was perpendicularly set over an ultrasonic vibrator (Honda Electric Co., Ltd., Tokyo Japan, HM-303N, 2.4 MHz) at a distance of 0.5–1.0 cm in water. A specially designed mist supplier for the ultrasonic spray pyrolysis was used to obtain uniform mists of the precursor solution. Only small droplets separated in a glass vessel were fed into an electric furnace heated at 1000 °C under flowing air (1500 cm$^3$ min$^{-1}$). The diameter of the droplets supplied by ultrasonic vibrator was analyzed by a phase doppler interferometer (PDI, Seika Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan, PDI-100MD). As the mists were instantly heated at the electric furnace, the evaporation of water and the thermal decomposition of In(NO$_3$)$_3$ and PMMA microspheres simultaneously occurred, and spherical In$_2$O$_3$ powders were produced in the electric furnace under the air flow. The thermal decomposition of HAuCl$_4$ also occurred at the same time with those of the In(NO$_3$)$_3$ and PMMA microspheres, and Au was dispersed in the In$_2$O$_3$ powders. The detail of the ultrasonic spray pyrolysis was already reported in our previous paper [38]. The obtained porous powders loaded with and without Au were denoted as Au/In$_2$O$_3$ and In$_2$O$_3$, respectively.
The 70% response and recovery times ($t_{70\text{res}}$ and $t_{70\text{rec}}$) were defined as the time necessary to reach 70% of the steady state value of $R_a$ and $R_g$, respectively. In order to discuss NO$_2$ selectivity against other gases, the magnitude of responses ($R_g/R_a$ or $R_a/R_g$) were divided by their gas concentrations, and the calculated values (normalized responses) were compared.

![Figure 1. Schematic drawing of an apparatus of ultrasonic spray pyrolysis.](image)

3. Results and Discussion

Figure S2 shows particle size distribution of the precursor mists containing PMMA microspheres and In(NO$_3$)$_3$, which were prepared by the ultrasonic vibrator. Most of the precursor mists were less than 10 μm in diameter, and the mean diameter was ca. 5 μm. On the other hand, the instantaneous heat treatment of the mists under flowing air in the electric furnace at 1000 °C produced submicron-sized In$_2$O$_3$ powders, and the diameter was 10% or less of the mists, as shown in the SEM photographs (Figure 2a). In addition, a well-developed porous structure was observed on the surface of both the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powders, because the thermal decomposition of the PMMA microspheres and In(NO$_3$)$_3$ simultaneously occurred along with the evaporation of water, and only In$_2$O$_3$ remained as a solid. The exact same In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powders were used in our previous study [38] and, thus, the following discussion was developed by utilizing the already analyzed findings. The pore diameter calculated from N$_2$ adsorption isotherm of the In$_2$O$_3$ powder was around 80 nm, which reflected the diameter of the PMMA microspheres as a template (ca. 70 nm) [38]. On the other hand, the Au loading on the In$_2$O$_3$ powder had little effect on the particle size and the well-developed porous structure, as shown in Figure 2b. Their specific surface area of the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powders confirmed by the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method using N$_2$-adsorption isotherm were 26.3 m$^2$/g and 23.7 m$^2$/g, respectively, which was much larger than that of the conventional In$_2$O$_3$ powder prepared by the same preparation technique employing a PMMA-free In(NO$_3$)$_3$ aqueous solution (4.0 m$^2$/g) [38]. It was already confirmed that the crystal structure of the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powders was cubic by X-ray diffraction analysis and that no Au phase was in the XRD spectrum of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powder [38]. The chemical state of the surface of Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powders was also already characterized by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), and two peaks corresponding to Au$_4$f$_{7/2}$ (ca. 83.9 eV) and Au$_4$f$_{5/2}$ (ca. 87.6 eV) showed the chemical state of Au loaded on the In$_2$O$_3$ was metal [38]. In addition, transmission electron microscopy (TEM) photographs also showed that the Au nanoparticle with a diameter of less than 10 nm was highly dispersed on the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ powders, while a quite large Au agglomerate with a diameter of ca. 22 nm was also observed when the loading amount of Au was increased to 5 wt% [38]. Therefore, these results concluded that a certain amount of Au could be loaded on the In$_2$O$_3$ surface.
The Au loading were discussed later.

Metal oxides, and they suggested an increase in the adsorption amount of the negatively charged NO.

Several researchers also reported an increase in the NO at low operating temperatures, in spite of the presence or absence of humidity. Several researchers than 200 °C sensors respectively decreased and increased with a decrease in the operating temperature lower than 200 °C. On the other hand, the magnitude of NO2 responses of both the sensors were relatively higher than those of the variation in baseline resistance and, thus, we further discussed the effects of Au loading on the NO2 sensing properties of these sensors.

Figure 3 shows the response transients of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors to NO2 (0.6–5 ppm) in wet air at operating temperatures between 30 and 300 °C. The baseline resistance in wet air was decreased by the Au loading at all examined temperatures, although the value was not completely stabilized during this period probably due to slow adsorption behavior of oxygen and water vapor. The resistance of the two sensors was increased abruptly by the injection of 0.6 ppm NO2 into wet air, and their resistance values steadily increased with an increase in the NO2 concentration. In addition, the response time of the two sensors tended to decrease with a rise in the operating temperature. The Au/In2O3 sensor showed a fast response speed especially at 30 °C when compared to that of the In2O3 sensor. However, the baseline resistance did not recover to the initial value probably due to slow NO2 desorption, especially at a low temperature of 200 °C or lower. On the other hand, the magnitude of NO2 responses of both the sensors were relatively higher than those of the variation in baseline resistance and, thus, we further discussed the effects of Au loading on the NO2 sensing properties of these sensors.

Figure 4 shows temperature dependences of the magnitude of response to 0.6 and 5 ppm NO2 and response time ($t_{70 res}$) to 0.6 ppm NO2 of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors in wet air. The NO2 response of the In2O3 sensor increased with a rise in the operating temperature from 30 to 100 °C, while it decreased with a rise in the temperature above 100 °C. Thus, the highest NO2 response was observed at 100 °C. On the other hand, the Au/In2O3 sensor showed the highest response to NO2 at 30 °C, and the NO2 response monotonically decreased with a rise in the operating temperature. As a consequence, the Au/In2O3 sensor showed higher NO2 responses than those of the In2O3 sensor at a temperature of 100 °C or lower. Our previous study also showed that the NO2 responses of In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors respectively decreased and increased with a decrease in the operating temperature lower than 200 °C in dry air [38]. Therefore, the Au loading was effective in increasing the NO2 response at low operating temperatures, in spite of the presence or absence of humidity. Several researchers also reported an increase in the NO2 response of the semiconductor gas sensors by Au loading onto metal oxides, and they suggested an increase in the adsorption amount of the negatively charged NO2 species as a result of spillover effects [40–42]. Therefore, relationships between the adsorption number of the negatively charged NO2 species on the sensing layer and the sensing properties induced by the Au loading were discussed later.

Figure 2. SEM photographs of (a) In2O3 and (b) Au/In2O3 powders.
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Figure 3. Response transients of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors to NO2 (0.6, 1, 2, 5 ppm) in wet air (70%RH at 25 °C) at 30, 100, 200, and 300 °C.

Figure 4. (a) Variations in response of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors to 0.6 and 5 ppm NO2 and (b) variations in 70% response time (t70res) of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors to 0.6 ppm NO2 in wet air (70%RH at 25 °C) with operating temperature.

On the other hand, it is generally known that a certain amount of NO2 partially converts to NO toward the thermodynamic equilibrium between them during the gas diffusion, especially at temperatures higher than 200 °C, and the conversion ratio increases with a rise in temperature [43,44]. For example, Miura et al. reported that the NiO-based powders increased the effective concentration of NO2 toward the thermodynamic equilibrium between them during the gas diffusion, especially at temperatures higher than 200 °C, and the conversion ratio increases with a rise in temperature [43,44].

Therefore, it was expected that if the loading of Au on the In2O3 also accelerated the conversion ratio of NO2 to NO, then the effective concentration of NO2 at the bottom part of the sensing layer of the Au/In2O3 sensor was considered to become smaller than that of the In2O3 sensor at temperatures of 200 °C or larger. Since the sensor response of semiconductor gas sensors

...
arises from the resistance change at the bottom part of the sensing layer between the interdigitated Pt electrodes, this can be reasonable explanation for lower NO2 responses of the Au/In2O3 sensor at higher operating temperatures (200 and 300 °C). The response time ($t_{70\text{res}}$) value of the In2O3 sensor at 30 °C was the largest among all $t_{70\text{res}}$ values at every temperature examined. In addition, the $t_{70\text{res}}$ value of the Au/In2O3 sensor at 30 °C was much smaller than that of the In2O3 sensor, even though the magnitude of response of the Au/In2O3 sensor at 30 °C was much higher than that of the In2O3 sensor. This is probably because the loading of Au accelerated the adsorption of negatively charged NO2 species on the bottom part of the sensing layer of the Au/In2O3 sensor, even at the low operating temperature. On the other hand, the recovery time ($t_{70\text{rec}}$) value of the Au/In2O3 sensor at 30 °C was much smaller than that of the In2O3 sensor as shown in Figure S3. Therefore, the loading of Au also accelerated desorption of NO2 at the low operating temperature. In addition, the desorption rate of NO2 seems to be much slower than the adsorption rate of NO2 on the Au/In2O3 surface.

In order to clarify the magnitude of the NO2 adsorption of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors, the relationship between their steady-state resistances and the NO2 concentrations were examined. Since the transfer of the free electrons to NO2 on the oxide surface contributes to the sensor response, the chemical adsorption of NO2 should be considered for the discussions, as shown in Equation (1) [45].

$$\text{NO}_2 + e^- \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^-$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

The NO2 response is generally determined by the equilibrium between the adsorption of NO2 surface (kinetic constant of adsorption; $k_a$) and the desorption of the negatively charged NO2 species (kinetic constant of desorption; $k_d$) on the oxide. Srivastava et al. considered the Freundlich adsorption mechanism as a gas adsorption process on the oxide surface coupled with the Schottky barrier model of the conduction mechanism [46]. Based on the mechanism, the relationship between the sensor resistance and NO2 concentration was fitted using the following equation:

$$\Delta R = a \times x^b$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $x$ is the concentration of NO2, $\Delta R$ is the resistance difference ($R_g - R_s$) of the sensors, and $a$ and $b$ are indicators of adsorption capacity and strength, respectively [47,48]. The calculated values of $a$ and $b$ are summarized in Table 2 together with the values of the determination coefficient ($r$) of the regression curve based on Equation (2). Figure 5 shows the NO2 concentration ($x$) dependence of $\Delta R$ of the In2O3 and Au/In2O3 sensors at 100 °C in wet air. The successive NO2 exposure (0.6–5.0 ppm NO2) to these sensors was repeated twice. The values of $r$ at temperatures above 100 °C were high enough to discuss the correlation between the sensor resistances and the NO2 concentrations based on Equation (2). On the contrary, the values of $r$ at 30 °C were too low to discuss $b$ value, especially for the In2O3 sensor, probably due to slow adsorption properties of NO2 on the oxide surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>The Order of NO2 Exposure</th>
<th>30°C</th>
<th>100°C</th>
<th>200°C</th>
<th>300°C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>$r$</td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$b$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In2O3</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>1.20 x 10^3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.637</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2.63 x 10^3</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>1.15 x 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au/In2O3</td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2.23 x 10^7</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.990</td>
<td>2.44 x 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2nd</td>
<td>2.71 x 10^7</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.889</td>
<td>4.51 x 10^5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since the chemical adsorption of negatively charged NO2 species mainly contributes to the sensor resistance, consideration of NO2 chemisorption up to the single-layer formation is sufficient. Therefore, the $b$ value should be not greater than 1. In addition, the large $b$ value shows the strong adsorption of NO2 on the oxide surface, which means the large increase ratio of the adsorption amount of the
negatively charged NO$_2$ species. Furthermore, the increase ratio enhanced with an increase in the NO$_2$ concentration, leading to an increase in the NO$_2$ response. In fact, the $b$ value of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at 100 °C was larger than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, and the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor showed higher NO$_2$ response than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at 100 °C. In addition, the large difference of the magnitude of response to 5 ppm NO$_2$ between the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor and In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at 100 °C in comparison with the one to 0.6 ppm NO$_2$ can be explained by the larger $b$ value (see Figure 4a). These facts explain that the notion that the larger $b$ value of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was an important factor in improving the NO$_2$ response of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at 100 °C. In addition, the rise in temperature from 100 to 200 °C increased the $b$ value of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, while it slightly decreased the $b$ value of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor. However, the NO$_2$ responses of both the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensors at 200 °C were lower than those at 100 °C. This is probably due to broadened distribution of various energy levels of NO$_2$ species by an increase in their thermal motion with a rise in the temperature. Figure S4 shows schematic illustrations of the variations in Gibbs energy diagram for the chemical adsorption of NO$_2$ over the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ surfaces with temperature and NO$_2$ concentration. The number of negatively charged NO$_2$ species on these surfaces, which reflects the NO$_2$ response as shown in Figure 4a, is determined by the equilibrium between the adsorption of NO$_2$ on the oxide surface and the desorption of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species. The Gibbs energy of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species is lower than that of gaseous NO$_2$, and thus the large number of gaseous NO$_2$ molecules adsorbs on the oxide surface immediately after NO$_2$ is injected into wet air. In addition, the Gibbs energy of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species increases with an increase in the NO$_2$ concentration because of the decrease in the high adsorption affinity sites. Therefore, the difference in the adsorption Gibbs energy ($\Delta G$) decreases with an increase in NO$_2$ concentration due to the decrease in the facile adsorption sites. On the other hand, the loading of Au catalytically decreases the activation energy ($E_a$) of the NO$_2$ adsorption/desorption process. Based on these considerations, a larger increase in the $k_d$ value than the $k_a$ value is expected by the broadened distribution of energy levels of negatively charged NO$_2$ species with a rise in temperature (compare Figure S4(ai) with Figure S4(aiii); Figure S4(bi) with Figure S4(biii)). Therefore, the adsorption amount of negatively charged NO$_2$ species on the In$_2$O$_3$ surface as well as the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ surface seems to decrease with a rise in temperature, leading to a decrease in their NO$_2$ response, as shown in Figure 4a. In addition, the magnitude of response of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor to 5 ppm NO$_2$ at 200 °C was comparable to that at 100 °C, while the response to 0.6 ppm NO$_2$ at 200 °C was much smaller than that at 100 °C (see Figure 4a). This fact explains that the adsorption amount of negatively charged NO$_2$ species on the In$_2$O$_3$ surface largely increased with an increase in the NO$_2$ concentration at 200 °C in comparison with the case at 100 °C, which corresponded to a higher $b$ value at 200 °C (see Table 2). The $\Delta G$ decreases from $\Delta G_2′$ to $\Delta G_2″$ and then the $k_d$ value increases with an increase in the NO$_2$ concentration, which decreases the $b$ value. On the other hand, the $b$ value increases with an increase in the broadened distribution of energy levels of negatively charged NO$_2$ species. In this case, the effect of the decrease in the $\Delta G$ on the $b$ value is overwhelmed by that of the broader distribution of the energy level, and this is the reason why the response of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor to 5 ppm NO$_2$ at 200 °C was comparable to that at 100 °C (compare Figure S4(ai,aii) with Figure S4(aii,aiiv)). However, the $b$ value of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was decreased by a rise in temperature from 100 to 200 °C (see Table 2). This is probably because the decreased $E_a$ value resulted in a decrease in the energy gap for $k_d$ ($E_a + \Delta G_2″$; compare Figure S4(bi,biiv) with Figure S4(biii,biiv)) and, thus, the desorption reaction of negatively charged NO$_2$ species at 200 °C was largely accelerated with increasing NO$_2$ concentration in comparison with the case at 100 °C. Therefore, the adsorption amount of negatively charged NO$_2$ species on the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ surface largely increased with an increase in the NO$_2$ concentration at 200 °C in comparison with the case at 100 °C, leading a decrease in the $b$ value (see Table 2). However, we also have to consider the adsorption properties of oxygen. The sensor resistance is largely influenced also by the adsorption of negatively charged oxygen together with the adsorption of negatively charged NO$_2$. Barsan et al. reported that adsorption states of oxygen on the oxide surface at 100 °C and 200 °C are O$_2^−$ and O$^−$, respectively [49]. In addition, adsorption of water vapor on the oxide surface also
affects the NO$_2$ sensing properties. For example, Roso et al. reported that the water vapor adsorbed on the oxide surface enhanced the adsorption properties of NO$_2$, especially at a low operating temperature of 130 °C [50]. In addition, the $b$ values in the 2nd NO$_2$ exposure to both the sensors were smaller than those in 1st NO$_2$ exposure. Considering that the sensor resistances did not recover to the initial baseline resistance, a certain amount of NO$_2$ adsorbed was expected to remain on the oxide surface, even when the second NO$_2$ exposure was started. This indicates that the adsorption strength of NO$_2$ is higher than that of oxygen on both the oxide surfaces. In addition, the $a$ value of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was smaller than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, but the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor showed higher NO$_2$ responses at lower operating temperatures. Thus, it appears that the calculated $a$ values do not exactly show the adsorption capacity of NO$_2$ on the oxide surface. Namely, we have to consider the decrease in the baseline resistance by the Au loading and positively charged chemisorption of water vapor as a result of the reaction of water with lattice oxygen, while the adsorption species is negative (i.e., OH$^-$) [49]. In fact, the $a$ values were independent of the change in operating temperature, and the $a$ values of the second response were larger than those of the first response. Therefore, it is difficult to discuss the differences in the $a$ values between the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor and the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor more in detail.

![Figure 5](image_url)

**Figure 5.** NO$_2$ concentration dependence of resistance of the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensors in wet air (70%RH at 25 °C) at 100 °C.

Figure 6 shows the response transients to NO$_2$ (0.1–2 ppm) and the concentration dependences of NO$_2$ response of the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensors at 100 °C in wet air, together with their $b$ values. The baseline resistance did not recover to the initial value either, even if the NO$_2$ concentration was lower than the case in Figure 3. However, the magnitude of NO$_2$ responses of both the sensors were also relatively higher than those of the variation in baseline resistance and, thus, the effects of Au loading on the NO$_2$ sensing properties of these sensors can be discussed. The $b$ value of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was almost the same as that of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, and both the $b$ values were larger than those obtained in the higher NO$_2$ concentration range at 100 °C (see Table 2). However, the magnitude of the response of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor to NO$_2$ was higher than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, and the magnitude of the response of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor to 0.1 ppm NO$_2$ was ca. 133. This is probably due to the smaller resistance of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor in air, which resulted in an increase in the adsorption amount of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species on the oxide surface. Klein et al. reported that the work function of In$_2$O$_3$ was largely dependent on the oxygen concentration during the fabrication process and the subsequent thermal treatment [51]. Sato et al. explained that the work function of In$_2$O$_3$ was inversely proportional to $N_e^{2/3}$ ($N_e$: electron (carrier) density) and the value was estimated to be ca. 5.5 eV in air [52,53]. It is also known that the work function of Au is affected by the measurement conditions, and the value obtained in air was reported 4.60–4.79 eV, which was much smaller than the value observed in the ultrahigh vacuum [54–57]. Therefore, the decrease in base resistance by the loading of Au was probably due to the transfer of the large number of electrons from Au into the conduction band of In$_2$O$_3$. These results indicate that the baseline resistance in air is also a key factor for a large amount of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species. Figure 5S summarizes the relationship between gas adsorption
and depletion layer at both In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ surfaces at 100 °C. In air, a certain number of free electrons of their conduction band is captured by the adsorbed oxygen, which results in the formation of a depletion layer at the surface of the In$_2$O$_3$ particles. Since the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ has a larger number of free electrons, the thickness of the depletion layer of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor is smaller than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor. Under exposure to a low concentration of NO$_2$, a certain number of negatively charged NO$_2$ species is formed on the In$_2$O$_3$ and, thus, the thickness of the depletion layer increases. Since the number of free electrons of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ is larger than that of the In$_2$O$_3$, the adsorption number of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was larger than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor. Therefore, the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor showed a higher NO$_2$ response than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, while the adsorption strengths ($b$) of both the sensors were equally high. When the concentration of NO$_2$ is high, the $b$ value of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor is lower than that of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor at 100 °C (see Table 2). This fact also affected the adsorption amount of the negatively charged NO$_2$ species of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor more so than that of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor, leading to a higher NO$_2$ response.

**Figure 6.** (a) Response transients to NO$_2$ (0.1–2 ppm) and (b) concentration dependences of NO$_2$ response of the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensors at 100 °C in wet air (70%RH at 25 °C).

Figure 7 shows a comparison of the normalized responses of the In$_2$O$_3$ and Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensors to 5 ppm NO$_2$, 200 ppm CO, and 20 ppm C$_2$H$_5$OH at 100–300 °C in wet air. The normalized response to CO and C$_2$H$_5$OH of the In$_2$O$_3$ sensor was very small in the examined operating temperature, while the C$_2$H$_5$OH responses of the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor were the highest at 200 °C. In addition, the normalized responses to NO$_2$ of these sensors at 100 °C were higher than those at 200 and 300 °C. Therefore, these sensors showed quite high selectivity to NO$_2$ at 100 °C when compared with those at 200 and 300 °C. These results confidently concluded that the Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensor showed not only a high response to NO$_2$, but also excellent NO$_2$ selectivity against CO and C$_2$H$_5$OH.

**Figure 7.** Comparison of normalized responses to 5 ppm NO$_2$, 200 ppm CO, and 20 ppm C$_2$H$_5$OH of the (a) In$_2$O$_3$ and (b) Au/In$_2$O$_3$ sensors at 100, 200, and 300 °C in wet air (70%RH at 25 °C).
4. Conclusions

NO\textsubscript{2}-sensing properties of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensors were evaluated in the wet condition, and the effects of the Au loading on the enhancement of NO\textsubscript{2} response were discussed. The baseline resistance in wet air was decreased by the Au loading at all examined temperatures, and the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor showed a higher NO\textsubscript{2} response and a faster response speed at lower temperatures (≤100 °C) than those of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor. Notably, the \( t_{70\text{res}} \) value of the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor at 30 °C was much smaller than that of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor, even though the magnitude of response of the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor at 30 °C was much higher than that of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor. The adsorption strength (\( b \)) of the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor at 100 °C was larger than that of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor in the concentration range of 0.6–5 ppm NO\textsubscript{2}. The large \( b \) value probably induced the larger amount of negatively charged NO\textsubscript{2} species adsorbed on the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surface than that on the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surface, leading to the higher NO\textsubscript{2} response. However, the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor also showed much higher NO\textsubscript{2} response than that of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor in the low concentration range of 0.2–2 ppm NO\textsubscript{2}, while the \( b \) value of the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor was almost the same as that of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor. The smaller resistance of the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor in air seems to increase the amount of the negatively charged NO\textsubscript{2} species on the oxide surface, especially in the low NO\textsubscript{2} concentration range. In addition, the Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensor showed the excellent NO\textsubscript{2} selectivity against CO and C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{5}OH.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2227-9040/8/3/72/s1, Figure S1: Schematic drawing of (a) sensor element and (b) gas-sensing measurement system., Figure S2: Particle size distribution of precursor mists containing In\textsubscript{2}(NO\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3} and PMMA microspheres prepared by the ultrasonic vibrator, Figure S3: Variations in 70% recovery time (\( t_{70\text{res}} \)) of the In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} sensors in wet air (70%RH at 25 °C) with operating temperature, Figure S4: Schematic illustrations of the Gibbs energy diagram for the chemical adsorption of NO\textsubscript{2} over (a) In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surface and (b) Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surface, Figure S5: Schematic views of gas adsorption properties of In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and Au/In\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} surfaces in wet air and NO balanced with wet air at 100 °C.
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