Classifying Induced Superconductivity in Atomically Thin Dirac-Cone Materials
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Abstract: Recently, Kayyalha et al. (Phys. Rev. Lett., 2019, 122, 047003) reported on the anomalous enhancement of the self-field critical currents ($I_c(sf,T)$) at low temperatures in Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb Josephson junctions. The enhancement was attributed to the low-energy Andreev-bound states arising from the winding of the electronic wave function around the circumference of the topological insulator BiSbTeSe$_2$ nanoribbon. It should be noted that identical enhancement in $I_c(sf,T)$ and in the upper critical field ($B_{c2}(T)$) in approximately the same reduced temperatures, were reported by several research groups in atomically thin junctions based on a variety of Dirac-cone materials (DCM) earlier. The analysis shows that in all these S/DCM/S systems, the enhancement is due to a new superconducting band opening. Taking into account that several intrinsic superconductors also exhibit the effect of new superconducting band(s) opening when sample thickness becomes thinner than the out-of-plane coherence length ($\xi_c(0)$), we reaffirm our previous proposal that there is a new phenomenon of additional superconducting band(s) opening in atomically thin films.
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1. Introduction

Intrinsic superconductors can be grouped into 32 classes under “conventional”, “possibly unconventional”, and “unconventional” categories, according to the mechanism believed to give rise to superconductivity [1]. One of the most widely used concepts to represent all 32 classes of superconductors was proposed by Uemura et al. [2,3]. The concept of the Uemura plot is based on the utilization of two fundamental temperatures of superconductors: one is the Fermi temperature ($T_F$) (X-axis), and the superconducting transition temperature ($T_c$) (Y-axis). In the most recently updated Uemura plot (Figure 1), it can be seen that elemental superconductors are located for wide range of $T_c/T_F \leq 0.001$, while all unconventional superconductors, including both nearly-room-temperature superconductors of H$_3$S [4] and LaH$_{10}$ [5] (for which experimentally measured upper critical field data [6,7] was analyzed in Refs. [8,9]), are located within a narrow band of $0.01 \leq T_c/T_F \leq 0.05$.

It should be mentioned that Hardy et al. [10] in 1993 (seven years after the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates by Bednoltz and Mueller [11]) were the first to experimentally find that YBa$_2$Cu$_3$O$_{7-\delta}$ has nodal superconducting gap. This experimental result was used to propose $d$-wave superconducting gap symmetry in HTS cuprates by Won and Maki [12]. It should be noted that several researchers and research groups (over last 33 years) have proposed different mechanisms for high-temperature superconductivity in cuprates, the first two-band BCS superconductor (MgB$_2$), pnictides, and hydrogen-rich superconductors, for which we refer the reader to original papers and comprehensive reviews [13–31].
Despite some differences, all intrinsic superconductors can induce superconducting state in non-superconducting materials via the Holm-Meissner effect [36] (also designated as the proximity effect [37,38]). As direct consequence of this, non-dissipative transport current can flow throw the non-superconducting material at superconductor/non-superconductor/superconductor (S/N/S) junctions. The amplitude of this non-dissipative transport current at self-field conditions (when no external magnetic field is applied) \( I_c(\Delta, T) \) was given by Ambegaokar and Baratoff (AB) [39,40]:

\[
I_c(\Delta, T) = \frac{\pi \Delta(T)}{2e R_n} \tanh \left( \frac{\Delta(T)}{2k_B T} \right),
\]

where \( \Delta(T) \) is the temperature-dependent superconducting gap, \( e \) is the electron charge, normal-state tunneling resistance \( R_n \) is the normal-state tunneling resistance in the junction, and \( k_B \) is the Boltzmann constant.

Many interesting physical effects are expected if the non-superconducting part of the S/N/S junction is made of single-layer graphene (SLG) [41]; multiple-layer graphene (MLG) [42]; graphene-like materials [43]; and many other new 2D- and nano-DCMs, which are under on-going discovery/invention/exploration [44–73]. One interesting class of S/N/S junctions is the non-superconducting material at superconductor/non-superconductor/superconductor (S/N/S) junctions can be found in different reference systems (extended reference list for studied S/TI/S junctions) [79,80]).

Recently, Kayyalha et al. [82] have reported on anomalous enhancement of \( I_c(\Delta, T) \) at the Nb/BiSbTeSe\(_2\)-nanoribbon/Nb junction at temperatures of \( T \leq 0.25T_c \). They confirmed the effect in all five studied junctions [82], for which TI parts were made of BiSbTeSe\(_2\) flakes with thicknesses of 2\( b \), which varied from 30 nm to 50 nm, and widths of 2\( a \), which varied from 266 nm to 390 nm. It should be noted that in all these S/TI/S junctions, BiSbTeSe\(_2\)-nanoribbons thicknesses and widths...
were smaller than the ground state superconducting coherence length \( (2b < 2a = \xi(0) \sim 600 \text{ nm}) \) in these devices [82]. For one junction, made of wider BiSbTeSe₂-nanoribbon \( (2a = 4 \mu \text{ m}) \) (Figure S4 of Supplementary Information of Ref. [82]), measurements were performed only at low temperatures \( (T < 2 \text{ K}), \) which is about \( T < 0.2T_c \) (taking into account that Nb has \( T_c = 8.9-9.6 \text{ K} \) [83]), and thus more experimental studies are required for this 4-\( \mu \text{ m} \) wide Nb/BiSbTeSe₂-nanoribbon/Nb junction to see the \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) enhancement.

It needs to be stressed that identical \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) enhancement (or, in another words, \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) upturn [46]) at approximately the same reduced temperature of \( T \leq 0.25T_c \) in atomically-thin S/N/S junction was first reported by Calado et al. [46] in MoRe/SLG/MoRe junction in 2015. One year later, less prominent \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) enhancement (which was, however, still very clearly visible in raw experimental data [84]) in nominally the same MoRe/SLG/MoRe junctions at \( T \leq 0.25T_c \) was reported by Borzenets et al. [49]. Based on this, it would be incorrect to attribute the \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) enhancement at low reduced temperatures in Nb/BiSbTeSe₂-nanoribbon/Nb [82] to unique property of S/T/S junctions.

In addition, it is important to mention that Kurter et al. [53] were the first to report \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) enhancement at the S/T/S junction at a reduced temperature of \( T \leq 0.25T_c \). At Nb/Bi₂Se₃/Nb junctions, Bi₂Se₃ flake had a thickness of \( 2b = 9 \text{ nm} \), and, thus, the condition of \( 2b < \xi_c(0) \) was also satisfied.

Overall, both S/T/S [53,82], as S/SLG/S [46,49], studied junctions, for which the effect of the low-temperature \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) enhancement was observed to have non-superconducting parts thinner than the ground state out-of-plane coherence lengths, \( \xi_c(0) \). SLG thickness is \( 2b = 0.4-1.7 \text{ nm} \) [84], and thus the condition of \( 2b < \xi_c(0) \) satisfies any SLG-based junctions.

It should be noted that several intrinsic superconductors exhibit multiple-band superconducting gapping [85,86] and the enhancement of the transition temperature [87–93] when the condition of \( 2b < \xi_c(0) \) [86] is satisfied. The first discovered material in this class of superconductors is atomically thin FeSe [88–90], in which a 13-fold increase (i.e., 100 K vs 7.5 K) is experimentally registered. Another milestone experimental finding in this field was reported by Liao et al. [43], who observed the effect of new superconducting band opening and \( T_c \) enhancement in few layers of stanene (which is the closest counterpart of graphene) by tuning the films’ thicknesses. To date, maximal \( T_c \) increase due to the effect [86] stands with another single-atomic layer superconductor, \( T_d \)-MoTe₂, for which Rhodes et al. [93] reported a 30-fold \( T_c \) increase when samples were thinned down to a single atomic layer.

This paper reports the results of an analysis of \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) in Nb/BiSbTeSe₂-nanoribbon/Nb [82] and Nb/(Bi₁₋₀.₀₆Sb₀.₉₄)₂Te₅/Nb [94] junctions, and of the upper critical field \( (B_{c2}(T)) \) in Sn/single-layer graphene (SLG)/Sn junctions [95]. In the results, it is shown that a new superconducting band opening phenomenon in atomically thin superconductors, which we proposed earlier [85,86], has further experimental support.

2. Models Description

In [85], it was proposed to substitute \( \Delta(T) \) in Equation (1) by analytical expression given by Gross et al. [96], as follows:

\[
\Delta(T) = \Delta(0) \tanh \left( \frac{\pi k_B T_c}{\Delta(0)} \sqrt{\eta \left( \frac{\Delta C}{T_c} \right)} \cdot \left( \frac{T_c}{T} - 1 \right) \right),
\]

where \( \Delta(0) \) is the ground-state amplitude of the superconducting band, \( \Delta C/C \) is the relative jump in electronic specific heat at the \( T_c \), and \( \eta = 2/3 \) for \( s \)-wave superconductors [96]. In result, \( T_c, \Delta C/C, \Delta(0), \) and \( R_{B1} \) of the S/N/S junction can be deduced by fitting experimental of an \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) dataset to Equation (1) (full expression for Equation (1) is given in Ref. [85]).
In [85], it was shown that S/SLG/S and S/Bi$_2$Se$_3$/S junctions exhibit two-decoupled band superconducting state, for which, for general case of multiple-decoupled bands, $I_c(sf,T)$ can be described by the following equation:

$$I_c(sf,T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\pi \Lambda_i(T)}{2e R_{n,i}} \theta(T_{c,i} - T) \tanh\left(\frac{\Lambda_i(T)}{2k_B T}\right),$$  

(3)

where the subscript $i$ refers to the $i$-band, $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function, and each band has its own independent parameters of $T_{c,i}, \Delta C_i/C_i, \Delta_i(0)$, and $R_{n,i}$.

It should be noted that multiple-band induced superconductivity in junctions should be detectable by any technique which is sensitive to additional bands crossing the Fermi surface, for instance multiple distinct gaps should be evident in the temperature-dependence of the upper critical field, $B_{c2}(T)$, for which general equation is:

$$B_{c2}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_{c2,i}(T) \theta(T_{c,i} - T),$$  

(4)

where, within each $i$-band, the upper critical field can be described by known model. In this paper, four $B_{c2}(T)$ models were used to show that main result is model-independent. For instance, the following was used:

1. Two-fluid Gorter-Casimir (GC) model [97,98], as follows:

$$B_{c2}(T) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} B_{c2,i}(0) \left[\frac{(T_{c,i} - T)^2}{\theta(T_{c,i} - T)} - \frac{\theta(T_{c,i} - T)}{\xi_i^2(0)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_{c,i}}\right)^2\right]\right] = \frac{\phi_0}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[\frac{T_{c,i} - T}{\xi_i^2(0)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_{c,i}}\right)^2\right) - \frac{T}{T_{c,i}}\right],$$  

(5)

where $\phi_0 = 2.068 \times 10^{-15}$ Wb is flux quantum and $\xi_i(0)$ is the ground state in-plane coherence length of the $i$-band. This model is widely used for single-band superconductors ranging from 3D near-room-temperature superconducting hydrides [4,7–9,99,100] to 2D superconductors [88,89,95,101].

2. Jones-Hulm-Chandrasekhar (JHC) model [102], as follows:

$$B_{c2}(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta(T_{c,i} - T)}{\xi_i^2(0)} \left[1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_{c,i}}\right)^2\right],$$  

(6)

3. Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg model [103,104], for which we use analytical expression given by Baumgartner et al. [105] (we will designate this model as B-WHH herein), as follows:

$$B_{c2}(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta(T_{c,i} - T)}{\xi_i^2(0)} \left[1 - \frac{T}{T_{c,i}} - 0.153 \frac{T}{T_{c,i}}^2 - 0.152 \frac{T}{T_{c,i}}^3\right],$$  

(7)

4. Gor’kov model [106], for which simple analytical expression was given by Jones et al. [102], as follows:

$$B_{c2}(T) = \frac{\phi_0}{2\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\theta(T_{c,i} - T)}{\xi_i^2(0)} \left[1.77 - 0.43 \frac{T}{T_{c,i}} + 0.07 \frac{T}{T_{c,i}}^4\right],$$  

(8)
3. Results

3.1. Planar Sn/SLG/Sn Array

Superconductivity in planar graphene junctions varies by the change of the charge carrier density when it moves away from the Dirac point in the dispersion [46,47,51]. This change is usually controlled by the gate voltage ($V_g$) that applies to the junction. Han et al. [95] reported on a proximity-coupled array of Sn discs with diameter of 400 nm on SLG that were placed in a hexagonal lattice separated by 1 μm between disks centers.

In Figures 2 and 3, we show reported $B_{c2}(T)$ for Sn/SLG/Sn array by Han et al. [95] in their Figures 4 and 5 at gate voltage of $V_g = 30$ V. We defined $B_{c2}(T)$ by two criteria of $R = 0.01$ kΩ (Figure 2) and $R = 0.2$ kΩ (Figure 3). It can be seen that there is an obvious upturn in $B_{c2}(T)$ at $T \leq 0.4T_c$, independent of the upper critical field definition criterion. It should be noted that the upturn occurs at practically the same reduced temperature at which Borzenets et al. [49] observed the $I_c(sf,T)$ enhancement in MoRe/SLG/MoRe junctions.

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2.** Experimental upper critical field ($B_{c2}(T)$) for Sn/single-layer graphene (SLG)/Sn array at gate voltage of $V_g = 30$ V [95] and data fits to Equations (5)–(8). $B_{c2}$ criterion is $R = 0.01$ kΩ. (a) Gorter-Casimir (GC) model. Derived parameters are as follows: $T_{c1} = 0.72 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 408 \pm 7$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.24 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 497 \pm 22$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{T_{c1}} = 0.33 \pm 0.02$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9059$; (b) Jones-Hulm-Chandrasekhar (JHC) model. Derived parameters are as follows: $T_{c1} = 0.77 \pm 0.02$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 378 \pm 8$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.24 \pm 0.02$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 521 \pm 34$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{T_{c1}} = 0.31 \pm 0.04$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9101$; (c) Werthamer-Helfand-Hohenberg model [103,104], for which we use analytical expression given by Baumgartner et al. [105] (B-WHH) model. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 0.74 \pm 0.02$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 385 \pm 7$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.24 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 510 \pm 28$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{T_{c1}} = 0.32 \pm 0.02$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9093$. (d) Gor’kov model. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 0.74 \pm 0.02$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 398 \pm 7$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.24 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 504 \pm 25$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{T_{c1}} = 0.32 \pm 0.02$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9082$. 
were processed by four different models.

Figure 3. Experimental $B_{c2}(T)$ for Sn/SLG/Sn array at gate voltage of $V_g = 30$ V [95] and data fits to Equations (5)–(8). $B_{c2}$ criterion is $R = 0.2$ kΩ. (a) GC model. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 1.00 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 309 \pm 3$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 363 \pm 7$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{\xi_2} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ and fit quality is $R = 0.9847$. (b) JHC model. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 1.06 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 283 \pm 3$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.39 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 405 \pm 11$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{\xi_2} = 0.37 \pm 0.01$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9903$. (c) B-WHH model. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 1.02 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 289 \pm 3$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.39 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 385 \pm 9$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{\xi_2} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9885$. (d) Gor’kov model. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 1.01 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_1(0) = 300 \pm 3$ nm, $T_{c2} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ K, $\xi_2(0) = 374 \pm 7$ nm, $\frac{T_{c2}}{\xi_2} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9873$.

Accordingly, these $B_{c2}(T)$ datasets were fitted to four two-band models (Equations (5)–(8)); they are shown in Figures 2 and 3. Deduced parameters, including the ratio of transition temperatures for two bands, $\frac{T_{c2}}{T_{c1}} = 0.32 \pm 0.02$ for $R = 0.01$ kΩ criterion (Figure 2), and $\frac{T_{c2}}{T_{c1}} = 0.38 \pm 0.01$ for $R = 0.2$ kΩ criterion (Figure 3), agreed with each other despite the fact that experimental $B_{c2}(T)$ data were processed by four different models.

It should be noted that experimental data of Han et al. [95] show that there is a third upturn in $B_{c2}(T)$ that can be seen at lowest experimentally available temperatures of $T < 0.1$ K and applied fields of about $B \sim 4.5$ mT in Figures 4 and 5 [95], if the criterion of $R \sim 0.05$ kΩ (for the $B_{c2}(T)$ definition) are applied.

Despite the fact that authors [61] did not mention the presence of these two upturns in raw experimental $B_{c2}(T)$ data and more detailed measurements of $B_{c2}(T)$ requires to reveal more accurately the position and parameters for the third band, there is already enough experimental evidence that Sn/SLG/Sn array exhibits at least two-superconducting bands gapping, and thus the report of Han et al. [61] supports the idea that atomically thin films exhibit multiple-band superconducting gapping phenomenon [85,86].
Figure 4. Experimental self-field critical currents ($I_c$) for Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb junction (Sample 1 [82]), data fits to Equation (3), and major deduced parameters. (a) Gate voltage $V_g = -20$ V. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 1.74 \pm 0.04$ K, $\Delta(0) = 190 \pm 40$ µeV, $\Delta C_1/C_1 = 0.84 \pm 0.18$, $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c1} = 2.5 \pm 0.5$, $R_{n1} = 6.7 \pm 1.6 \Omega$, $T_{c2} = 0.31 \pm 0.02$ K, $\Delta(0) = 38.2 \pm 9.7$ µeV, $\Delta C_2/C_2 = 0.19 \pm 0.07$, $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c2} = 2.85 \pm 0.70$, $R_{n2} = 0.75 \pm 0.18$ kΩ, $\Delta T_{c1} = 0.18 \pm 0.02$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9953$. (b) Gate voltage $V_g = 0$ V. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 2.07 \pm 0.03$ K, $\Delta(0) = 144 \pm 11$ µeV, $\Delta C_1/C_1 = 0.63 \pm 0.19$, $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c1} = 1.6 \pm 0.2$, $R_{n1} = 3.9 \pm 0.4$ kΩ, $T_{c2} = 0.33 \pm 0.02$ K, $\Delta(0) = 43.5 \pm 8.4$ µeV, $\Delta C_2/C_2 = 0.20 \pm 0.06$, $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c2} = 3.06 \pm 0.70$, $R_{n2} = 0.81 \pm 0.15$ kΩ, $\Delta T_{c1} = 0.16 \pm 0.01$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9965$. (c) Gate voltage $V_g = 45$ V. Derived parameters: $T_{c1} = 2.19 \pm 0.03$ K, $\Delta(0) = 176 \pm 13$ µeV, $\Delta C_1/C_1 = 0.63 \pm 0.09$, $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c1} = 1.9 \pm 0.2$, $R_{n1} = 3.5 \pm 0.3$ kΩ, $T_{c2} = 0.34 \pm 0.01$ K, $\Delta(0) = 47.6 \pm 8.7$ µeV, $\Delta C_2/C_2 = 0.30 \pm 0.08$, $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c2} = 3.06 \pm 0.70$, $R_{n2} = 0.63 \pm 0.11$ kΩ, $\Delta T_{c1} = 0.16 \pm 0.01$, and fit quality is $R = 0.9977$. (d) Derived $R_{n}$ as function of gate voltage $V_g$. (e) Derived $\Delta C_1/C_1$ and $\Delta C_2/C_2$ as function of gate voltage $V_g$. (f) Derived $2\Delta(0)/k_BT_{c}$ as function of gate voltage $V_g$. 
Recently, Kayyalha et al. [82], in their Figure 2 and S1, reported \( I_c(\text{sf}, T) \) for five Nb/BiSbTeSe\textsubscript{2}-nanopropibon/Nb junctions at different \( V_g \). The thickness of BiSbTeSe\textsubscript{2} flakes varied from 2\( b = 30 \) nm to 50 nm, and based on reported \( \xi(0) \sim 600 \) nm [82], the condition of \( 2b < \xi(0) \) [85,86] was satisfied for all junctions.

3.2. Planar Nb/BiSbTeSe\textsubscript{2}-Nanoribbon/Nb Junctions

In Figure 4, we show experimental \( I_c(\text{sf}, T) \) datasets for Sample 1 [82] reported for three gate voltages: \( V_g = -20 \) V (Figure 4a), 0 V (Figure 4b), and +45 V (Figure 4c). \( I_c(\text{sf}, T) \) fits to Equation (3) were performed for all parameters to be free, as experimental raw datasets were rich enough to carry out these sorts of fits.

Deduced \( R_{nl}, \frac{T_{c1}}{T_{c2}}, \Delta C_i/C_i, \Delta_i(0), \) and \( \frac{2\Delta_i(0)}{k_BT_{c1}} \) for both superconducting bands as functions of \( V_g \) are shown in Figure 4d–f.

It needs to be stressed that within the range of uncertainties, deduced \( R_{nl} \) values are well agree with directly measured values by Kayyalha et al. [82] (these values are reported in Figure 1a of Ref. [82]). Increasingly often, measured raw \( I_c(\text{sf}, T) \), and especially at high reduced temperatures, are required to reduce the uncertainty for \( R_{nl} \) values.
Most notable outcome of our analysis is that, within uncertainty ranges, fundamental superconducting parameters for both bands, including the ratio of $\frac{T_{c1}}{T_{c2}}$, remain unchanged vs. gate voltage variation in the range from $-20$ V to $45$ V. This means that two-band superconducting state in Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb junction is very robust and mostly independent from the change in $V_g$. This is an unexpected result, because there is generally accepted view that because $\Delta g = \frac{2\Delta_2(0)}{k_BT_2}$ is satisfied.

In Figure 5a, we show experimental $I_c(sf,T)$ dataset for Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb (Sample 3) reported by Kayyalha et al. [82].

Raw experimental $I_c(sf,T)$ dataset for this sample was not reach enough at $T \geq 0.6$, and thus we cannot perform the fit to Equation (3) for all parameters to be free. To run the model (Equation (3)), we make the same model restriction, as we did in our previous work [85]:

$$\frac{\Delta C_1}{C_1} = \frac{\Delta C_2}{C_2} = \frac{\Delta C}{C},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\frac{2\Delta_1(0)}{k_BT_1} = \frac{2\Delta_2(0)}{k_BT_2} = \frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_BT},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

i.e., we forced $\Delta C_1/C_1$ and $\frac{2\Delta_1(0)}{k_BT_1}$ values to be the same for both bands. As a result, deduced $R_{ni}$, $T_{c1}$, $T_{c2} \sim 1/\sqrt{\Delta C/C}$, $\Delta_1(0)$ and $\frac{2\Delta(0)}{k_BT}$ for this junction are very close to ones deduced for Sample 1 (Figure 4).

3.3. Planar MoRe/SLG/MoRe Junction

To demonstrate that findings in regard to Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb junctions are generic for a much wide range of atomically-thin DCM-based Josephson junctions, in Figure 5b we show raw $I_c(sf,T)$ dataset and fit to the model (Equation (3)) for MoRe/SLG/MoRe reported by Calado et al. [46] for their Device A [46]. For the $I_c(sf,T)$ fit for this device, we used the same parameters restrictions (Equations (9) and (10)), as for Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb Sample 3 [82].

In work [85], this $I_c(sf,T)$ dataset for MoRe/SLG/MoRe Device A [46] was already analyzed. What was found in this paper was that there is remarkable and practically undistinguishable similarity between reduced $I_c(sf,T)$ datasets and fits for Nb/BiSbTeSe$_2$-nanoribbon/Nb [48] and MoRe/SLG/MoRe [46] junctions (Figure 5). In an attempt to further extend atomically-thin S/DCM/S junctions, in next Section we analyze $I_c(sf,T)$ data for Nb/(Bi$_{0.06}$Sb$_{0.94}$)$_2$Te$_3$-nanoribbon/Nb junction [94].

3.4. Planar Nb/(Bi$_{0.06}$Sb$_{0.94}$)$_2$Te$_3$-Nanoribbon/Nb Junction

In Figure 6, $I_c(sf,T)$ in Nb/(Bi$_{0.06}$Sb$_{0.94}$)$_2$Te$_3$-nanoribbon/Nb reported by Schüffelgen et al. [94] are shown. TI nanoribbon has thickness of $2b = 10$ nm, and, thus the condition of $2b < \xi(0)$ [85,86] is satisfied.

Due to the fact that the reported $I_c(sf,T)$ dataset was not rich enough at high reduced temperatures, we restricted the model by utilizing Equations (9) and (10). Overall, fitted curves and all deduced parameters were very close to one reported by Borzenets et al. [49] for MoRe/SLG/MoRe junctions (which we processed and showed in our previous paper [85] in Figure 7).
4. Discussion

It should be noted that the idea of multiple-band superconductivity in bulk superconductors was proposed by Suhl et al. [107] in 1959, and it took more than forty years to discover the first two-band BCS superconductor (MgB$_2$) [108] and about fifty years to discover multiple-band iron-based superconductors in 2006 [109]. Interband scattering in these materials have been discussed in details elsewhere [24,110–112].

It needs to be mentioned that Shalnikov discussed the discovery of the $T_c$ increase in thin films [113], who reported the effect for lead and tin thin films more than eighty years ago. Three-fold increase in the transition temperature of thin granular Al films was reported three decades later by Cohen and Abeles [114], and the superconductivity in granular Al films is still active scientific topic [115,116]; the discussion of this effect in intrinsic superconductors, however, is beyond the scope of this paper.

It needs to be stressed that Calado et al. [46] in 2015 emphasized the necessity for a new model to explain the upturn in $I_c(sf,T)$ registered in their MoRe/SLG/MoRe junction (Device A) at $T \sim \frac{1}{4}T_c$ (which we show in Figure 5b), because this $I_c(sf,T)$ enhancement was not possible to explain using either the Eilenberger model (which is used to describe clean S/N/S junctions) [117] or the Usadel model (which describes diffusive S/N/S junctions) [118].

Our explanation for this upturn [85], which is well aligned with the $I_c(sf,T)$ upturn in natural atomically thin superconductors [86], is that this $I_c(sf,T)$ enhancement is due to a new superconducting band opening phenomenon when sample dimensions become smaller than some critical value. For this critical value, we proposed to use [86] the out-of-plane coherence length, $\xi_c(0)$, which is still, after expanding our analysis herein, a good choice for the criterion.

It should be pointed out that this new opening band phenomenon does not necessarily cause the increase in observed transition temperature in comparison with “bulk” material. For instance, in pure Nb films [119], this new “thin film” band has lower transition temperature in comparison with “bulk” band [86]. In these circumstances, the researchers are not able to explore the further creation of devices or films for new superconducting band.

Thus, in many atomically thin films, which in fact exhibit a new band opening phenomenon, this effect has not been registered yet, because there is no guarantee that something important/interesting can be observed at low reduced temperatures, well below “bulk” or observed $T_c$ for given atomically thin film.
It should be noted that the effect of new superconducting band opening [86] in atomically thin films can be detected using any experimental techniques that are sensitive to additional band(s) crossing the Fermi surface. To date, most evident confirmations for the phenomenon are related to the \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) upturn [43,85,86] and \( B_{c2}(T) \) upturn [43]; however, other techniques also should be able to detect this.

In this regard, the observation of the \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) upturn reported by Li et al. [78] in their Figure 4a at \( T = 2.5 \) K in Nb/Cd\(_3\)As\(_2\)-nanowire/Nb junction should be mentioned. However, raw experimental \( I_c(\text{sf},T) \) dataset [78] was limited by measurements at \( T < 3.5 \) K, and thus we are not able to perform the analysis for this very interesting atomically-narrow S/TI/S junction at the moment.

There are very interesting results reported by Sasaki et al. [120] and by Andersen et al. [121], who found that temperature-dependent \( B_{c2}(T) \), in nanostructures of topological insulators, cannot be explained by single-band WHH model [103,104]. However, reported, to date, raw experimental \( B_{c2}(T) \) datasets [119,120] are insufficient to perform two-band model fit to reveal the presence of additional band at low reduced temperatures in these structures.

We also need to mention an interesting research field of interfaced superconductivity [71,122–125], where, as was proposed earlier, the enhancement of the superconductivity is also due to new superconducting band opening [86]. However, the discussion of this interesting field is beyond the scope of this paper.

5. Conclusions

In this paper, an analysis of recently reported experimental data on induced superconducting state in atomically thin Dirac-cone films was performed. It was shown that the phenomenon of the new superconducting band opening in atomically thin films [85,86], when the film thickness becomes thinner than the ground state out-of-plane coherence length, \( \xi_c(0) \), can be extended to an induced superconducting state in atomically thin DCM, as one was established before for natural superconductors, i.e., pure Nb, exfoliated 2H-TaS\(_2\), double-atomic layer FeSe, and a few layers of stanene [9].
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