A Flexible Aromatic Tetracarboxylate as a New Linker for Coordination Polymers
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Abstract: Two new cadmium(II)-based materials, featuring two-dimensional (2D) [Cd2(µ5-deta)(bpy)(H2O)]n (1) and three-dimensional (3D) [Cd2(µ6-deta)(bpy)]2(H2O)2n (2) structures, were constructed by the hydrothermal method from 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid (H4deta) as an unexplored linker in research on coordination polymers (CPs) and 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy) as a mediator of crystallization. Microcrystalline samples of 1 and 2 were analyzed by IR/PXRD/EA/TGA and X-ray diffraction using single crystals. Structures and topologies of CPs 1 and 2 were established, revealing a 4,6L45 topological layer in 1 and a 3,5T1 topological framework in 2. Structural differences for 1 and 2 are attributed to distinct molar ratios between Cd2+ and bpy during the hydrothermal synthesis. Luminescence and thermal behavior of the obtained materials were also investigated. The present work opens up the use of an unexplored 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid as a versatile and flexible linker toward the generation of functional coordination polymer materials.
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1. Introduction

Coordination polymers (CPs) and metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) have been extensively explored in different chemistry branches on account of their unique and diverse structures, remarkable functional properties, and important application in a wide variety of fields, which, among others, includes gas adsorption and storage [1–4], catalysis [5–9], molecular magnetism [10–12], and photochemistry [13–16]. In the synthesis of functional CPs and derived materials, various structural and experimental parameters, such as a metal–ion coordination environment and ligand type [17–19], pH value [20,21], solvent composition [22,23], and temperature [24–26], can play structure-guiding roles. In particular, the variation in the types of organic building blocks has been one of the most prolific routes in building up a large library of functional CPs/MOFs [13–15,25–29]. Different aromatic multicationic acids embody a well-explored and adaptable class of ligands for constructing diverse CPs/MOFs, namely due to their ample coordination behavior, varying levels of deprotonation, good thermal stability, and involvement in H-bond interactions [1,12,13,20,27].

As an extension of our broad interest in bringing new types of multicationic acids to construct metal–organic architectures [30–32], we have focused on 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid...
(H$_4$deta) as a main and still unstudied building block, and probed its reactivity toward cadmium(II) (Cd(II)) ions in the presence of different amounts of 2,2′-bipyridine (bpy) as a mediator of crystallization. H$_4$deta has been selected due to several reasons: (A) this acid features some flexibility due to two phenyl rings interconnected via an O-ether group; (B) it contains two different functionalities (i.e., O-ether and COOH) with nine potential coordination sites that can lead to metal–organic networks of distinct dimensionality and topology; and (C) H$_4$deta remains unexplored for the design of new CPs/MOFs [33].

We describe in the present study the hydrothermally assisted preparation, structural characterization, topologies, thermal stability, and luminescence of new cadmium CPs/MOFs built from H$_4$deta, which feature highly complex metal–organic architectures. The obtained materials represent the unique Cd(II) derivatives assembled from 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid (shown in Chart 1) and open up the use of such a multicarboxylate spacer toward assembling new metal–organic architectures.

![Chart 1. 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid (H$_4$deta).](image)

### 2. Description of Experiments

#### 2.1. Reagents and Equipment

The analytical (A.R.) grade reagents were used with no further purification. H$_4$deta was supplied by Jinan Henghua Sci. & Tec. Co., Ltd. A Bruker EQUINOX 55 apparatus was utilized to measure infrared spectra. An Elementar Vario EL device was used to run elemental analyses. A Rigaku–Dmax–BaSO$_4$ diffractometer was employed for recording PXRD data ($\lambda = 1.54060$ Å, Cu-K$_\alpha$). A LINSEIS STA/PT1600 apparatus was utilized for carrying out TGA measurements (an N$_2$ gas atmosphere, a heating speed of 5 °C per minute, and a 20–900 °C temperature interval). An Edinburgh FLS920 device was used for measuring excitation/emission behavior. The quantum yields of the compounds were determined by an absolute method using an integrating sphere (150 mm diameter, BaSO$_4$ coating) from the Edinburgh FLS920 instrument.

#### 2.2. Synthesis of [Cd$_2$(μ$_6$-deta)(bpy)(H$_2$O)$_n$] (1)

CdCl$_2$·H$_2$O (0.2 mmol, 40 mg), H$_4$deta (0.1 mmol, 35 mg), bpy (0.1 mmol, 16 mg), NaOH (0.4 mmol, 16 mg), and H$_2$O (10 mL) were placed into a stainless steel vessel (Teflon-lined, 25 mL) and stirred continuously for 15 minutes at 25 °C. After this stage, the vessel was closed and subjected to heating at 160 °C for three days, followed by cooling to room temperature (with a rate of 10 °C per hour). Colorless single crystals were manually recovered, rinsed by water (distilled), and left to dry to provide product 1 in a 48% yield relative to H$_4$deta. Elemental analysis calculated for C$_{32}$H$_{32}$Cd$_4$N$_4$O$_{20}$: C 42.13, H 2.18, N 3.78%. Experimental: C 41.95, H 2.16, N 3.76%. IR (main bands, cm$^{-1}$, KBr): 3076 w, 1627 w, 1588 s, 1560 s, 1537 s, 1487 w, 1470 w, 1442 w, 1399 s, 1354 m, 1265 w, 1230 m, 1158 w, 1086 w, 1013 w, 968 w, 929 w, 890 w, 847 w, 829 w, 813 w, 767 m, 735 w, 651 w, 629 w, 590 w.

#### 2.3. Synthesis of [Cd$_2$(μ$_5$-deta)(bpy)$_2$(H$_2$O)$_n$]$_n$ (2)

CP 2 was synthesized as colorless single crystals following the procedure for 1, but using a different amount of bpy (0.2 mmol, 31 mg). Yield was 38% relative to H$_4$deta. Elemental analysis calculated for C$_{36}$H$_{32}$Cd$_4$N$_4$O$_{10}$: C 48.18, H 2.70, N 6.24%. Experimental: C 48.37, H 2.71, N 6.21%. IR
(main bands, cm\(^{-1}\), KBr): 3439 w, 3061 w, 1582 s, 1476 w, 1431 w, 1315 w, 1264 w, 1230 m, 1170 w, 1153 w, 1086 w, 1058 w, 974 w, 929 w, 901 w, 879 w, 851 w, 829 w, 776 m, 727 w, 710 w, 644 w, 616 w, 550 w.

2.4. Structural Determination (Single-crystals)

X-ray data for 1 and 2 were collected on a Bruker APEX-II/CCD diffractometer (\(\lambda = 0.71073\) Å, Mo K\(\alpha\)). SADABS software was used for correcting absorption (the semi-empirical method). The crystal structure of each sample was determined using a direct method, followed by refinement (full-matrix/least-squares on \(F^2\)) aided by the SHELXS-97/SHELXL-97 software \[34,35\]. Every non-hydrogen atom underwent an anisotropic refinement (full-matrix/least-squares on \(F^2\)). Every hydrogen atom (excluding H\(_2\)O) was added to a calculated position (fixed isotropic/thermal parameters) and taken into account at the ultimate stage when calculating structure factors. H atoms in water molecules were found using difference maps and fixed to the corresponding oxygen centers. Crystallographic parameters are given in Table 1, while the bonding data are provided in supplementary Tables S1 and S2. Analysis of topologies in 1 and 2 was carried out using an underlying network concept \[36,37\]. Simplified networks were created upon the elimination of bpy and H\(_2\)O moieties, along with performing a reduction of the deta\(^{4-}\) ligands to their centroids. CCDC-1,961,692 (1) and 1,961,693 (2) encompass all the structural parameters.

### Table 1. Crystal data for coordination polymers (CPs) 1 and 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemical formula</td>
<td>(\text{C}<em>{52}\text{H}</em>{32}\text{Cd}<em>{4}\text{N}</em>{4}\text{O}_{20})</td>
<td>(\text{C}<em>{36}\text{H}</em>{24}\text{Cd}<em>{2}\text{N}</em>{4}\text{O}_{10})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molecular weight</td>
<td>1482.41</td>
<td>897.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>(P_2_1/c)</td>
<td>(P_2_1/c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(a/Å)</td>
<td>11.5673(3)</td>
<td>7.1639(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b/Å)</td>
<td>18.4564(4)</td>
<td>17.8025(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c/Å)</td>
<td>11.9067(3)</td>
<td>26.3248(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(α/°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(β/°)</td>
<td>93.468(3)</td>
<td>93.142(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(γ/°)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V/Å^3)</td>
<td>2537.31(11)</td>
<td>3352.3(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Z)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F(000))</td>
<td>1448</td>
<td>1776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal size/mm</td>
<td>0.23×0.22×0.20</td>
<td>0.24×0.23×0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(θ) range for data collection</td>
<td>3.360–25.050</td>
<td>3.508–25.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting indices</td>
<td>(-13 \leq h \leq 13, -20 \leq k \leq 21, -14 \leq l \leq 6)</td>
<td>(-8 \leq h \leq 8, -21 \leq k \leq 19, -31 \leq l \leq 13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections collected/unique ((R_{int}))</td>
<td>9068/4479 (0.0382)</td>
<td>12407/5932 (0.0514)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(D_c/\text{(Mg·cm}^{-3})</td>
<td>1.940</td>
<td>1.778</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu/\text{mm}^{-1})</td>
<td>1.739</td>
<td>1.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data/restraints/parameters</td>
<td>44790/361</td>
<td>5932/16470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodness-of-fit on (F^2)</td>
<td>1.068</td>
<td>1.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final R indices (({f_2x2f1})) (R_1, wR_2)</td>
<td>0.0370, 0.0625</td>
<td>0.0662, 0.1341</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R indices (all data) (R_1, wR_2)</td>
<td>0.0525, 0.0715</td>
<td>0.1018, 0.1595</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Largest diff. peak and hole/(\text{e·Å}^{-3})</td>
<td>0.473 and –0.608</td>
<td>1.661 and –1.078</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Discussion of Results

3.1. Preparation of 1 and 2

Owing to the unique conditions of pressure and temperature, the hydrothermal synthetic method is specifically attractive to form CPs/MOFs \[38–41\]. Hence, herein, we attempted the application of hydrothermal synthesis for the generation of coordination polymers using 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid as an unexplored linker. Reactions involving the \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) solutions of \(\text{CdCl}_2\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}\), \(\text{H}_2\text{deta}\) (the linker source), NaOH (a base to deprotonate the tetracarboxylic acid ligand), and 2,2′-bipyridine (the mediator of crystallization) resulted in two new products formulated as
[Cd$_2$(µ$_6$-deta)(bpy)(H$_2$O)]$_n$ (CP 1) and [Cd$_2$(µ$_5$-deta)(bpy)$_2$(H$_2$O)]$_n$ (CP 2). It should be mentioned that both compounds were synthesized using a similar procedure but different molar ratios between bpy and Cd$^{2+}$, namely 1:2 in 1 and 1:1 in 2. No crystals were obtained in the absence of 2,2'-bipyridine (the crystallization mediator). Reactions using other molar ratios between bpy and Cd$^{2+}$ (e.g., 2:1; 1:3) were also attempted but failed to produce suitable crystals or gave a mixture of products in low yields. Both products were obtained as analytically pure and stable microcrystals (including single crystals) and analyzed using conventional methods (IR/EA/PXRD/TGA) along with X-ray structural analysis (single crystals), which permitted us to establish their intricate two-dimensional (2D) and three-dimensional (3D) structures.

3.2. Structural Features of [Cd$_2$(µ$_6$-deta)(bpy)(H$_2$O)]$_n$ (CP 1)

This compound reveals a 2D coordination network (Figure 1). The asymmetric entity is composed of two cadmium(II) atoms (Cd1 and Cd2), one µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ linker, one terminal bpy, and one H$_2$O ligand. The seven-coordinate Cd1 center adopts a deformed pentagonal bipyramid (CdO$_7$) environment that is occupied by carboxylate oxygen donors coming from four µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ moieties. The six-coordinate Cd2 atom features a deformed octahedral (CdN$_2$O$_4$) geometry, occupied by three oxygen atoms of two µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ blocks, one H$_2$O ligand, and a pair of bpy nitrogen atoms (Figure 1a). The cadmium-oxygen (2.224(3)–2.483(10) Å) and cadmium–nitrogen (2.293(4)–2.321(4) Å) distances are usual for related types of compounds [12,13,20].

The δeta$^{4-}$ ligand functions as a µ$_6$-spacer (Scheme 1, mode-I), wherein the four carboxylate functionalities are bidentate, tridentate, or bidentate with a bridging mode. Also, µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ is bent with an 84.67° angle (a dihedral one between two aromatic functionalities), while another relevant Carbon–Oether–Carbon angle is 117.21°. Four contiguous cadmium nodes are interlinked by six carboxylate functionalities (these come from four µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ linkers), generating a tetracadmium(II) subunit (Figure 1b). These Cd$_4$ subunits are additionally connected by the remaining carboxylate functionalities of µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$, forming a two-dimensional net structure (Figure 1c). The structure of 1 has no porosity and solvent-accessible voids.

A topological examination of this 2D CP disclosed a two-nodal four, six-interconnected net (Figure 1d), which is assembled from four-linked Cd1 nodes, six-linked µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ nodes, and two-interconnected Cd2 linkers. This layer belongs to a 4,6L4S topological type and is characterized by a point symbol of (3.4$^4$.6)(3$^2$.4$^4$.5$^5$.6$^3$.7); here, the (3.4$^4$.6) and (3$^2$.4$^4$.5$^5$.6$^3$.7) notations relate to Cd1 and µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ nodes, respectively.

Scheme 1. Distinct modes of coordination for µ$_6$-deta$^{4-}$ in 1 (mode-I) and µ$_5$-deta$^{4-}$ in 2 (mode-II).
Figure 1. Representations of the structure of 1. (a) Coordination environment and connectivity of cadmium (Cd) atoms. (b) A tetracadmium(II) subunit. (c) A two-dimensional (2D) coordination polymer structure (view along the a axis). (d) Topological view of a simplified 2D net representing a two-nodal 4,6-c layer of the 4,6L45 topological type. View along the a axis; 2-c Cd2 linkers and 4-c Cd1 nodes (balls, turquoise), centroids of 6-c μ4-deta4− nodes (gray sticks).

3.3. Structural Features of [Cd2(μ5-deta)(bpy)2(H2O)1n (2)]

If compared to 1, compound 2 (Figure 2) discloses a more complex 3D coordination polymer structure. This asymmetric entity bears a couple of cadmium atoms (Cd1/Cd2), one μ5-deta4− block, two bpy moieties, and one terminal H2O ligand. As represented in Figure 2a, the six-coordinate Cd1 atom has a deformed octahedral (CdN2O4) geometry, taken by a pair of N donors from bpy and four carboxylate oxygen donors from three μ5-deta4− linkers. The five-coordinate Cd2 center features a deformed trigonal bipyramid (CdN2O3) geometry, composed of a couple of oxygen atoms from two μ5-deta4− linkers, a water ligand, and a couple of N atoms from bpy. The cadmium–oxygen distances span in the 2.228(10)–2.450(6) Å range, whereas the cadmium–nitrogen bonds vary between 2.288(9) and 2.388(7) Å; these lie within standard values [31,42]. In 2, the deta4− block takes a μ5-coordination mode (mode-II, Scheme 1) wherein the carboxylate functionalities are mono- or μ-bidentate. In μ5-deta4−, important angles are 88.92° (the dihedral angle between phenyl rings) and 117.67° (the C–Oether–C angle). The μ5-deta4− linkers interconnect the Cd(II) centers to a three-dimensional metal–organic net (Figure 2b). Upon removal of a coordinated water molecule, the PLATON analysis of 2 reveals a minor porosity with an effective free volume of 2.1% of the crystal volume [43].
28.6% (calculated 2.0%), while the dehydrated sample maintains its integrity till 346 °C. The dehydration process involves the removal of an H₂O ligand from the coordination site.

Regarding the topological classification, this 3D CP is composed of three-linked Cd1 nodes, five-linked μ⁵-deta⁴⁻ nodes, and two-interconnected Cd2 linkers (Figure 2c). The resulting underlying framework is a two-nodal three-five interconnected net of 3,5T1 topological type. This is described by a (4².6³.8³)(4².6) point symbol where the (4².6³.8³) and (4².6) indices relate to μ⁵-deta⁴⁻ and Cd1 nodes, respectively.

3.4. Discussion of TGA and PXRD, Porosity, and Gas Sorption

The stability of CPs 1 and 2 was investigated by TGA (Figure 3) upon heating from 25 °C to 800 °C using an inert gas flow (N₂). As expected, compound 1 discloses the loss of an H₂O ligand in the 157–199 °C temperature range (experimental, 2.3%; calculated, 2.4%); after dehydration, the remaining sample is thermally stable on heating till 334 °C. Similarly, compound 2 exhibits a mass decrease in the 162–195 °C range, which is associated with the release of one H₂O ligand (experimental, 2.2%; calculated 2.0%), while the dehydrated sample maintains its integrity till 346 °C. CdO is expected as a final decomposition product of both coordination polymers. The decomposition is complete at 819 °C or 881 °C for 1 and 2, respectively. The experimentally observed remaining weights of 34.5% (1) and 28.8% (2) are in very good agreement with the values calculated for CdO, i.e., 34.6% (1) and 28.6% (2).
A bulk, crystalline sample of each coordination polymer (1 and 2) was studied using a PXRD method. Experimental (as-synthesized) and simulated PXRD patterns are given in (Supplementary Materials) Figures S1 and S2. Their comparison indicates a good match and confirms the phase purity of the synthesized products.

Given the presence of some porosity in 2 (2.1% of the effective void volume after activating and removing the water ligand), gas sorption measurements were also performed (for details, see Table S3). These were carried out on the activated samples by recording the N\textsubscript{2} adsorption/desorption isotherms at 77 K, resulting in the adsorbed N\textsubscript{2} amount of 1.0 cm\textsuperscript{3}/g at 77 K and 1 atm. The BET surface area of 0.58 m\textsuperscript{2}/g was also established.

4. Concluding Remarks

We have explored the simple, aqueous medium protocol (hydrothermal synthesis) to obtain two new two- or three-dimensional CPs/MOFs derived from 2,3′,4′,5-diphenyl ether tetracarboxylic acid (H\textsubscript{4}deta) as an unexplored linker in research on metal–organic frameworks and coordination polymers. In fact, CPs 1 and 2 represent unique examples of metal-containing derivatives constructed from H\textsubscript{4}deta. This work thus extends a variety of multidentate carboxylic acids applied in the synthesis of coordination polymers [44–50].

The structures of metal–organic nets and the corresponding topologies in the synthesized compounds have also been underscored, revealing underlying networks of the 4,6\textit{L}A\textit{S} and 3,5\textit{T}I\textit{I} topological types, respectively. This study has also shown that the use of 2,2′-bipyridine as a crystallization mediator in a different stoichiometry to Cd\textsuperscript{2+} nodes permits the generation of products with distinct dimensionality, structural characteristics, and topologies. Both compounds also show promising luminescent properties toward potential applications as photoactive materials.

Future studies on extending the family of CPs and MOFs assembled from H\textsubscript{4}deta to other types of metals, as well as on exploring the functional properties of the obtained materials, are in progress.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2073-4352/10/2/84/s1, Figures S1 and S2: PXRD patterns, Figure S3: emission spectra and discussion of luminescent properties, Tables S1 and S2: selected structural parameters for 1 and 2, Table S3: gas sorption data for 2.
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