Distribution and Potential Limiting Factors of the European Pond Turtle (Emys orbicularis) in Eastern Europe
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Abstract: In order to use an integrated approach for studying the influence of risk factors on the distribution of the native turtle species E. orbicularis and accompanying invasive species (T. scripta and N. procyonoides) in the northeast of their range, we used GIS modelling and a database (GAEZ, human footprint, CliMond) of 55 preselected variables, which represent a system of bioclimatic and anthropogenic factors. The main variables that influenced the results were factors related to temperature. There was a high correlation (r = 0.6) between the species distribution model’s habitat suitability for E. orbicularis and the corresponding “human footprint” values within the European part of the species’ natural range. Its unpretentiousness towards anthropogenic factors would likely help the further expansion of its range in eastern Europe, because the areas with the highest habitat suitability (r > 0.7) were projected to increase 3.3-fold. When comparing the E. orbicularis model to those obtained for N. procyonoides and T. scripta, we concluded that N. procyonoides could be dangerous because it occupies similar habitats (the degree of correlation is reasonably high in the north of their range (r = 0.5) in Latvia). An expansion of the range of Trachemys scripta is also possible in the future within the northern territories. Therefore, when developing the turtles’ protection algorithms, it is also necessary to take into account the influence of invasive species.
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1. Introduction

Currently, it is evident that the environment is changing under the influence of anthropogenic pressure and climatic changes, including the habitats of autochthonous species of animals. For most thermophilic animals, their natural range spans from tens to hundreds of kilometers to the north, especially in eastern Europe [1–3]. However, the emergence of new invasive species can often affect the distribution of autochthonous species, not only by competing for available resources, but also by directly feeding on them [4,5]. The complex influences of anthropogenic factors, climatic changes, and invasive species on the distribution of the European pond turtle, Emys orbicularis (Linnaeus, 1758), has been studied and reported in several publications [6–8]. Moreover, the pond turtle has often been used as a model organism for studying events in the Holocene in northern and central Europe [9–13]. Currently, this species is vulnerable, especially at the borders of its range, since, in addition to changing climatic conditions [14–16], marginal populations of turtles appear to be under the influence of invasive species such as the red-eared slider, Trachemys scripta (Thunberg in Schoepff, 1792), and the raccoon dog, Nyctereutes procyonoides (Gray 1834), which especially affects their clutches and juvenile turtles [4,17]. European pond turtles occupy freshwater areas (ponds, lakes, slow-moving rivers, channels, etc.), selecting terrestrial locations with open sandy soil habitats suitable for making clutches [18,19]. In Ukraine
they are found mainly in large bodies of water with fresh water, a slow current, lush vegetation and a depth of more than 60 cm in order to survive winter hibernation [20,21]. However, they have also been registered in cattle trenches, the brackish waters of estuaries and coastal wetlands [17,20]. *E. orbicularis* is a rare and protected herpetofauna species in Ukraine, Latvia and their neighboring countries [15,17,21]; nonetheless, in Ukraine, according to our own observations, this species is more abundant than in neighboring countries. *E. orbicularis* is listed in Resolution No 6 of the Bern Convention (1979) *Emys orbicularis* (1220), which means that this species requires the development of Emerald Network sites in the territories it inhabits for its protection [21]. Additionally, the species is listed in the Annexes II and IV of Council Directive 92/43/EC in Appendix II of the Bern Convention (1979), and in the IUCN Red List of Threatened Species, assessed as a lower risk/near threatened (LR/NT) species [21]. Due to its biotope generalism and its associated tendency to be synanthropic and colonize a wide range of water habitats, its ability to cover distances of several kilometers and its omnivorous diet, *T. scripta* is an indirect threat to the native *E. orbicularis* [22], acting as its competitor for food resources [23,24] and an agent for the transmission of non-native pathogens [25]. It appeared in Ukrainian freshwater bodies as a result of the illegal pet trade and subsequent releases, both intentional and unintentional [26]. With a currently expanding distribution area to the north as a result of global climate change, as well as it being released by local people, *T. scripta* is already confirmed to be producing viable clutches in some European countries, e.g., Croatia [27], indicating a potential serious negative impact on local biota. *Nyctereutes procyonoides* occupies a wide range of territories in Polissia (forested biogeographical region which starts from the farthest edge of central Europe and encompasses eastern Europe, including eastern Poland, the Belarus–Ukraine border and western Russia) and the forest-steppe zone, preferring wet meadows with swampy lowlands, overgrown river floodplains and riverine forests with dense undergrowth; moreover, *Nyctereutes procyonoides* is unpretentious when choosing places to inhabit. It is quite often spotted in territories with light soils, and sometimes near arid areas along small waterbodies, which is where the turtles breed [28]. This omnivorous species, whose diet consists of various food items (fruits, insects and small vertebrates (including pond turtles)), was widely introduced in the former Soviet Union as a fur animal in the 1950s, and it has now also become a widely distributed invasive species in the European Union [28]. Its number in Ukraine has increased significantly in recent decades while, in Latvia, it has been observed since 1943 and ~15000 individuals were registered in 2006 [28]. Regarding this, we hypothesized that, despite climate change and the possibility of the turtle’s (*E. orbicularis*) “shift” to the north, anthropogenic influences and newly emerging biotic components of ecosystems (invasive species (predators and competitors)) may be important risk factors to consider.

Therefore, the purpose of our work was not only to study the distribution of the European pond turtle in the northern part of its range in eastern Europe, in the context of climatic and anthropogenic changes, but also to investigate the limiting factors that could affect this process.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Occurrence Data Collection

Occurrence data was made up of original information of the species’ registrations from field investigations (actual observations of living animals or findings of their remnants) in Latvia (1985–2021, Pupins M., Pupina A.) and Ukraine (1996–2021, Nekrasova O.), preserved collection material (I. I. Shmalhausen Institute of Zoology NAS Ukraine, Kyiv), literature [20,24,28–32] and GBIF databases (*E. orbicularis* [33], *N. procyonoides* [34], *T. scripta* [35], http://www.gbif.org/ (accessed on 3 April 2021). Data from the different sources were filtered to remove duplicate records. To account for sampling bias, we used the nearest neighbor distance (‘ntbox’ package in R, [36]) method to thin the data, where occurrence points that were ≤0.1 units (decimal degrees) away from each other were removed to avoid errors due to spatial autocorrelation. As a result, the number of points was signif-
icantly reduced to 2278 points (georeferenced records) for *E. orbicularis*, 1525 points for *N. procyonoides* and 1410 points for *T. scripta*.

### 2.2. Environmental Data

We used 35 bioclimatic predictor data sourced from the CliMond dataset ([37]; [https://www.climond.org/](https://www.climond.org/) (accessed on 5 March 2021), A1B emission scenario, GCM: MIROC H). The CliMond variables represent annual trends (e.g., mean annual temperature, annual precipitation) and extreme limiting environmental factors (e.g., temperature of the coldest and warmest months, precipitation in the wettest or driest quarter), and are known to influence species distributions [38]. Of 35 bioclimatic variables, highly correlated \((r > 0.7)\) predictors were removed using the “virtualspecies” package in R [39], resulting in a selection of 18 variables for 1975 (1961–1990) and 2090 (2081–2100).

As proxies for human disturbance of natural systems we used human footprint (HF) variables maps compiled from remotely sensed and bottom-up survey information on eight variables, measuring the direct and indirect human pressures on the environment globally [22,40–42]. Data on human pressures were acquired or developed by Venter et al. (2016): hfoot, population density, electric infrastructure (night light), crop lands, pasture lands, built environments, railways. Pressures were then overlaid to create the standardized HF maps.

We also used a system of bioclimatic and anthropogenic factors (IIASA/FAO, 2012) and Global Agro-ecological Zones which were (GAEZ v3.0, [http://www.fao.org/](http://www.fao.org/) (accessed on 5 March 2021): median altitude, annual temperature range, temperature growing period, reference evapotranspiration, terrain slope index, temperature sum during temperature growing period, temperature sum during frost-free period, dominant land cover pattern, frost-free period, thermal climates, reference length of growing period zones and thermal zones for bioclimatic modelling.

All rasters (CliMond, GAEZ, HF) were resampled in SAGA GIS (System for Automated Geoscientific Analyses, v. 7.6.0) to the same extension and resolution of 5 arcminutes.

### 2.3. Model Building

Maxent software (v.3.3.3k, [43]) was utilized for modeling, using the default settings. Maxent, unlike other distributional modeling techniques, uses only presence and background data instead of presence and absence data. We separately fitted Maxent models and ran Bioclim analyses for each target species and each dataset of predictors (CliMond, GAEZ, HF). Logistic output format was used to describe the relative probability of presence, which was a continuous habitat suitability (HS) range between 0 (unsuitable) and 1 (the most suitable). The area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) was used for assessing the discriminatory capacity of the models: in all obtained models, the AUC value was > 0.85, which is considered good [44]. Here, we employed maximum entropy (MaxEnt) niche modelling (jackknife testing of variable importance, 25 replicates, random test percentage 25, replicate runs type bootstrap) as a tool to assess potential HS for target species in Europe, putting special emphasis on anthropogenic impact. After this step, the obtained models were compared using DivaGis in SAGA multiple regression analysis modules. We used DivaGis modelling to run Bioclim and looked for the limiting factors of each target species, computing their percent influence, optimal range of values and relative confidence intervals. Using the Spearman’s rank correlation, we assessed statistically significant positive correlations \((p < 0.05)\) between the predicted HS map obtained through Maxent for every single target species and the human footprint (HF) map across the study area. We carried out separate modelling for each set of factors and for each species, as well as separately calculated the correlation coefficient for each country and territory. GIS modelling was accomplished using SagaGis, DivaGis and QGis software [45]. Statistical processing of the obtained data was carried out using Statistica for Windows v.10.
3. Results

As a result of our field studies, it was found that the number of pond turtles in the Ukrainian population had increased in the last decade. This trend was found even in the populations the northeast of Ukraine where, until the end of the 20th century, this species had not been registered [29]. At the moment, the number of turtles in the woodlands of Ukraine is approximately six individuals per 1 km of a roadside ditch (2013, Polissia Nature Reserve); on the southern border of the Polissia biogeographical zone in the large metropolis of Kyiv, up to 25 _E. orbicularis_ and 5 _T. scripta_ were found in one lake (2020, the area of the reservoir is 12.3 ha) [21,29], despite the fact that, 10 years earlier, only single finds had been recorded in Polissia and in the forest-steppe biogeographical region of Ukraine [46]. The average number (density) of the pond turtle population in Belarusian Polissia is currently about 3–5 individuals per 1 km of riverbed [17]. However, there is an assumption that the northern boundary of the pond turtles’ distribution has fluctuated considerably over the last half century; for example, in the region of Belarus [47]. The unique findings from breeding _E. orbicularis_ in the very north of the range in Latvia (four confirmed cases of successful breeding) [30] only confirm a positive effect of climate change, which contributes to the expansion of _E. orbicularis_ range to the northeast.

As a result of GIS modelling, and by comparing predictions of the Maxent SDM fitted on the CliMond (18 CliMond dataset variables) (1975) dataset, which were projected across Europe under “current” and future (2090) climatic conditions, it was found that the pond turtle’s habitat could expand 3.3-fold (highest habitat suitability > 0.7) in the future in eastern Europe. According to GIS modelling data (Maxent, variables for 1975), bioclimatic factors associated with the temperature regime and radiation have had a great influence on the spread of _E. orbicularis_ throughout Europe: bio20 (annual mean radiation; W m$^{-2}$) was 21.4%, bio1 (annual mean temperature; °C) was 15.4%, bio10 (mean temperature of the warmest quarter; °C) was 13%. Bio20 denoted the variable that would contribute the most in jackknife testing of the variables’ contribution in Maxent. The environmental variable that decreased the gain the most when it was omitted was bio24, which was the radiation of the wettest quarter, which therefore appeared to have the most information that was not present with the other variables. BioClim (DivaGis) analysis revealed that the turtle was especially vulnerable in the north of the range and was limited by temperature factors (70% of species occurrences fell within the interval indicated for the considered variable): bio1 +6–+17 °C (90%; +2–+20 °C), bio12 (annual precipitation in mm) was 300–1200 mm (90%: 153–2231 mm). Maxent analysis revealed approximately the same results for two other target species (Figure 1B,C). Moreover, optimal conditions (the greatest growth of the curve on the graph) were seen after +4 °C (the water temperature at which pond turtles usually hibernate) (Figure 1A).

The invasive exotic red-eared slider (_T. scripta_), which has recently appeared in eastern Europe because it is very often released into reservoirs by hobbyists, is a more thermophilic and synanthropic species. We have registered the species in all major cities of all regions of Ukraine. _Trachemys scripta_ hibernates successfully in the southern part of Ukraine (it has been observed over the past 10 years in the Odessa region). In some bodies of water in Odessa, its number exceeds the native _E. orbicularis_ many times over [45]. Of the 80 turtles found in the Athletic Park (Odessa), 94% appeared to be _T. scripta_ (8 May 2020, personal observation of O. Nekrasova). Although there have been no registered and confirmed cases of _T. scripta_ breeding in the wild in Ukraine yet, there have been cases of oviposition in Mariupol (Donetsk Region, O. Lazarenko, personal report) and in Latvia, Daugavpils, in the outer basin.

Using Maxent, it was revealed that the most important climatic factors shaping the distribution of _T. scripta_ were bio17 (precipitation during the driest quarter; mm) contributing 27.4% to the model, bio6 (min temperature during the coldest week; °C) with a contribution of 16.6% and bio22 (lowest weekly radiation; W m$^{-2}$) contributing 16%. Through jackknife testing, bio11 (mean temperature during the coldest quarter; °C) appeared to have the most useful information by itself. The environmental variable that decreased the gain the most...
when it was omitted was bio3 (isothermality (bio2 ÷ bio7)), which therefore appeared to have the most information that was not present in the other variables. Bioclim (DivaGis) analysis revealed that *T. scripta* was more vulnerable, especially in the north of the range, and was limited by temperature factors (70%): bio1 was +8–+22 °C (90%: +3–+25 °C), bio12 was 400–1500 mm (90%: 200–1800 mm), for which the decrease in their values resulted in a decreasing possibility of this species surviving in the north.

Using Maxent, it was revealed that the most important climatic factors shaping the occurrence sites of *N. procyonoides* (70%) had a considerably wider range compared to *E. orbicularis* and *T. scripta* towards the lower end of temperature range: bio1 was +1–+14 °C (90%: +3–+18 °C) and bio12 was 400–1600 mm (90%: 173–2783 mm).

**Figure 1.** Maxent model created using only the variable bio1 (annual mean temperature (°C, CliMond)) from: (A) *E. orbicularis*; (B) *T. scripta*; (C) *N. procyonoides*.

Of all three target species, the raccoon dog appeared to be the most resistant to cold. However, it often lives in biotopes near rivers and water bodies, digging holes in light soil where turtles breed. As a result of GIS modelling using Maxent, it was revealed that the important factors that affect *N. procyonoides* distribution are: bio2 (mean diurnal temperature range; °C) was 14.7%, bio9 (mean temperature of driest quarter; °C) was 10.8% and bio22 (lowest weekly radiation; W m⁻²) was 10.8%. The results of the jackknife test, which was to identify variable importance, showed that bio27 (radiation of coldest quarter; W m⁻²) was the most important factor for the species, having the most useful information by itself when assessed on its own; when the model was fitted with all the considered variables, bio27 did not emerge among the other, more contributing, factors.

The environmental variable that decreased the gain the most when it was omitted was bio25 (radiation of driest quarter; W m⁻²), which therefore appeared to have the most information that was not present in the other variables. Using DivaGis, we found that bioclimatic factors characterizing the occurrence sites of *N. procyonoides* (70%) had a considerably wider range compared to *E. orbicularis* and *T. scripta* towards the lower end of temperature range: bio1 was +1–+14 °C (90%: +3–+18 °C) and bio12 was 400–1600 mm (90%: 173–2783 mm).

By using bioclimatic and anthropogenic predictors (GAEZ dataset, Table 1, Figures 2A and 3), we came to the conclusion that the greatest contributions to (> 10%) and influences on all three target species were given by the factors associated with the temperature regime, namely temperature growing period, annual temperature range and
temperature sum during the frost-free period; however, for *N. procyonoides*, landscape features (median altitude (GAEZ)) were also of great importance (Table 1). Factors such as evapotranspiration seemed to be important for the distribution of both species of turtles; however, its contribution to SDM for *T. scripta* was considerably bigger, as this species is closely bound to aquatic biotopes (17.3% compared with 5.6% for *E. orbicularis* (Table 1)).

Among the anthropogenic factors, the “human footprint” affected the distribution of all three target species; the greatest contribution were the general demographic factors, “hfoot” and human “population” density (Table 1). Additionally, the distribution of *T. scripta* appeared to be affected by night-time lights, possibly associated with the illumination of “warm” urban water bodies, and one of the *N. procyonoides* was affected by the presence of pasture land (Table 1).

According to the simulation results, it was revealed that areas that had the highest habitat suitability (>0.7) almost coincide with the northern boundaries (red line in Figure 2A), drawn according to Fritz and Laufer (2007) [11,32], but we also observed a small displacement by the borders in both northern and eastern Europe. According to model projection, by 2090, *E. orbicularis* could shift northwards and experience an increase in suitable areas of 3.3-fold compared to the predictions under the current climate (Figure 2B).

**Table 1.** Bioclimatic and anthropogenic factors (human footprint, GAEZ), affecting the distribution of three model species (using Maxent). Cases where contribution and permutation were >10% are marked with “*”. “Contr.” and “Permut.” are abbreviated forms of the words “Contribution” and “Permutation”, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th><em>E. orbicularis</em></th>
<th></th>
<th><em>T. scripta</em></th>
<th></th>
<th><em>N. procyonoides</em></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAEZ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature growing period</td>
<td>25.2 *</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>16 *</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>10.8 *</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual temperature range</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>13.0 *</td>
<td>15.7 *</td>
<td>27.2 *</td>
<td>28.6 *</td>
<td>38.9 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature sum during frost-free period</td>
<td>11.8 *</td>
<td>15.8 *</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference evapotranspiration</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>11.3 *</td>
<td>17.3 *</td>
<td>38.4 *</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median altitude</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>37.1 *</td>
<td>18.4 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human footprint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hfoot</td>
<td>56.9 *</td>
<td>39.7 *</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>13.6 *</td>
<td>18.7 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>population</td>
<td>29.9 *</td>
<td>29.1 *</td>
<td>28.6 *</td>
<td>55.0 *</td>
<td>31.0 *</td>
<td>19.1 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pasture</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>10.8 *</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>25.8 *</td>
<td>26.0 *</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lights</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>39.2 *</td>
<td>17.5 *</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>built09</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>24.6 *</td>
<td>15.9 *</td>
<td>12.5 *</td>
<td>9.3 *</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The invasive target species, in relation to *E. orbicularis* (Figures 2 and 3), occupy slightly different niches. As shown in the SDMs, *T. scripta* was predicted to be actively promoted in western Europe, where it would reproduce successfully and, by 2090, would become naturalized in western and southern Ukraine (Figure 4A). When analyzing suitable habitats, it was revealed that the suitable areas modelled for *N. procyonoides* partially overlapped with those of *E. orbicularis*, but to a lesser extent than when compared with *T. scripta*; indeed, the raccoon dog partially shares habitat requirements with *E. orbicularis* and *T. scripta*, which allows this mammal to feed on individuals and on clutches of the pond turtles. Nonetheless, the most potentially suitable habitats for this mammal are in the north of Europe, where *E. orbicularis* is most vulnerable (Figure 4B).
When comparing SDMs (Maxent, HS, GAEZ dataset) between *N. procyonoides* and *E. orbicularis*, and when constructing a regression model (HS modelled for *E. orbicularis* as a response variable and HS modelled for *N. procyonoides* as the covariate), the correlation coefficient appeared to be $r = 0.6$ across Europe, as well as for Ukraine while, for Latvia (on the northern border of the species natural range), this figure was $r = 0.5$.

*T. scripta* is a more thermophilic species that actively displaces *E. orbicularis* from the “warm” countries of Eastern Europe. In this case the correlation coefficient was also considerably high ($r = 0.7$). In eastern Europe, *T. scripta* could pose a threat to the native species in the southern regions (Figure 4). Therefore, when comparing SDMs of potential habitat suitability (HS, CliMond, 1975 dataset), it was revealed that, by 2090 in Ukraine, there will be suitable habitat conditions for *T. scripta*, and the correlation coefficient between SDMs of the two species of turtles is $r = 0.2$. 

---

**Figure 2.** Potential (probabilistic) model of *E. orbicularis* expansion built in the Maxent program based on dataset: (A) GAEZ, current; (B) CliMond, 2090 dataset), GBIF data (2021). Areas with the highest habitat suitability (>0.5) are colored in red, and areas with the lowest (<0.1) in blue. The red line displays the current northern distribution border of native populations according to Fritz and Laufer (2007) [11,32].

**Figure 3.** Results of turtles’ living in anthropogenically impacted (artificial including) water bodies: (A) dependence graphs of HS from HF (grid SDM, correlation $r = 0.6$, Saga); (B) anomalies of forelimbs of turtles from an artificial pond, Kinburn spit (Ukraine, 2015).
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4. Discussion

According to the latest observations, *E. orbicularis* is expanding its range to the north of eastern Europe, therefore forming marginal populations. By expanding into new areas, pond turtles are subjected to new and changing climatic factors to which they may turn out to be vulnerable, for example a reduction of breeding success (only four registered breeding cases in Latvia in the wild [30]). Also predation (from *N. procyonoides*) or competition for a resource (involving *T. scripta*), can reduce the adaptive potential of species that occupies new territories (especially marginal populations). The main research result is the following: the possibility of the influence of complex anthropogenic and climatic factors (GAEZ dataset, Table 1) on the distribution of *E. orbicularis* was confirmed. Among the factors studied, the main factors that influenced the results were those associated with temperature. The optimal range of bio1 (annual mean temperature) (for 70%) was found to be +6–+17 °C. Interestingly, the high correlation (*r* = 0.6) between HS predicted by the SDM was obtained for *E. orbicularis* using the GAEZ dataset and HF. This confirms the fact that, due to the shortage of fresh water and the drying up of natural reservoirs, turtles often migrate into anthropogenic (artificial) equivalents, using them as refugiums (Figure 3A). This is confirmed by the large contribution of the “hfoot” variable of 56.9% in the creation of the HF SDM. Such unpretentiousness of *E. orbicularis* to anthropogenic factors (if there is no direct impact) is likely to help further in expanding its natural range in eastern Europe, even if occasionally such habitat choice might lead to the occurrence of morphological anomalies (Figure 3B). The areas with the highest habitat suitability (>0.7) for the European pond turtle are projected to increase 3.3-fold, particularly to the north and the east. At the same time, in these possible newly colonized regions, *E. orbicularis* would be exposed to higher predatory pressure by *N. procyonoides*. Indeed, the correlation between their SDMs was 0.6 for Ukraine, as well as for Europe in general and in the north of the area (Latvia) 0.5. The expansion of *T. scripta* is possible from the southwest, and the correlation coefficient for its models with *E. orbicularis* (HS, CliMond, 1975 dataset) for Ukraine is 0.2. Therefore, when developing turtle protection systems, it is necessary to take into account the influence of a set of factors, including the rapid expansion of invasive species.

It should be stated that, although the AUC value (the method for assessing the discriminatory capacity of the models) was >0.85 and the areas with the highest habitat suitability had values of >0.5, taking into account the degree of extrapolation made by Maxent, more reliable interpreting of the obtained SDMs could be best performed using ground verification in the field.

---

**Figure 4.** Potential (probabilistic) model for species expansion built in the Maxent program based on CliMond, 2090 dataset and GBIF data: (A) *T. scripta*; (B) *N. procyonoides*. Areas with the highest habitat suitability (>0.5) are colored in red and areas with the lowest (<0.1) in blue.
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