Dental Trauma First-Aid Knowledge and Attitudes of Physical Education Teachers: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of the Literature with Meta-Regressions
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Abstract: The main objective of the present review is to evaluate the knowledge and attitudes of physical education (PE) teachers concerning dental trauma first-aid through a systematic appraisal of the literature, meta-analysis and meta-regressions. The entire content of PubMed and ISI/Web of Science was mined. Eligibility criteria for selecting studies were studies evaluating dental trauma first-aid knowledge and/or attitudes and/or the effectiveness of mouthguards use by PE teachers. Articles written in any language and published or accepted by peer-reviewed journals were considered. Methodological quality was assessed using an adapted version of the Downs and Black instrument. Of 15 selected articles, three were of strong quality, three were moderate, and the remaining nine were rated as weak. The majority of studies showed that PE teachers had an inadequate knowledge of the initial management of dental trauma. Specifically, there was a lack of knowledge concerning an appropriate washing and transporting medium and the extra-alveolar period of an avulsed tooth. Due to the inadequate knowledge of PE teachers regarding dental trauma management, specific education should be added to PE classes to improve the emergency treatment of dental injuries.
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1. Introduction

Regular physical activity has many benefits for school-aged children and youth in terms of growth, health, and psychosocial outcomes, such as self-esteem and cognitive functioning [1–4]. However, sport participation may also lead to dental trauma [5], including tooth avulsion (displaced and lost teeth), tooth fracture (broken teeth), facial and temporo-mandibular fractures, and soft tissue injuries [6].

In the last few decades, an increased risk for dental trauma in some sports disciplines such as basketball and football has been reported, especially among intercollegiate athletes, possibly due to a lesser use of mouthguards. Other sports characterized by a high risk for dental injuries include rugby and water sports. Dental trauma may also occur at home or at school [7–11], particularly during physical education (PE) classes and sports club activities [6].

The loss of an avulsed tooth has both functional (e.g., phonetics, mastication, integrity of supporting tissues) and psychological (e.g., mental and emotional wellbeing) consequences [12,13]. Berger et al. [12] observed an immediate decrease in quality of life for both the affected child and the parents, with the disturbance of the child’s social and emotional wellbeing persisting as long as one year after the initial trauma. Appropriate first-aid management can minimize these negative psycho-social consequences, as well as pain, tooth loss, prolonged treatment, and high associated costs [14].

A prompt and correct emergency management is especially important following tooth avulsion [15,16]. The success of reimplantation depends on the appropriate storage of the tooth, the extra-alveolar period, the type of retention employed, the interval to endodontic intervention, the drugs prescribed, and levels of oral hygiene and general health [17]. Appropriate procedures are well-known and have been described extensively in many review articles [6,18–22] as well as books [16,23,24].

Briefly, after washing the injured area (not aggressively) with sterile water and dabbing with gauze, an avulsed permanent tooth must be either reinserted by the crown into its original location in the mouth immediately, or stored in a suitable medium (e.g., Viaspan, Hank’s balanced salt solution, milk, or saliva) [16,18,19,21–23]. Once replanted, the tooth may be splinted using a simple tissue adhesive (for instance, cyanoacrylate derivatives) before visiting a specialist for fixation and administration of antibiotics [19]. In the case of a displaced tooth, the latter should be appropriately repositioned using only finger pressure. If the displaced tooth shows an exposed pulp, the latter should be covered with a calcium hydroxide resin. If a tooth is broken, segments must be found and preserved in a suitable medium (as previously mentioned) to allow a specialist to reattach the fragments [25]. Appropriate emergency management should also include the parents and/or teachers who are available at the site of accident [13].

Teachers of PE should thus be capable of managing such injuries [26]. The only existing literature review on this issue is narrative in type and needs updating [20]. Thus, a systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regressions was conducted concerning the first-aid dental trauma knowledge and attitudes among teachers of PE, with a view to developing evidence-based decisions on the best actions to take in order to increase the knowledge of PE teachers on this important issue.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis Protocol

The reporting of the present systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regressions followed the “Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA)” guidelines [27].

An a priori protocol was devised and can be requested from the corresponding author. Meta-analysis and meta-regression were added a posteriori.
2.2. Eligibility Criteria

Articles written in any language and published or accepted for publication in peer-reviewed journals that evaluated the level of knowledge and/or attitudes of PE teachers relative to mouthguards use and dental first-aid measures were considered. No restrictions were applied in terms of study design, setting, country, or time frame.

Descriptive or review articles, conference proceedings, abstracts and articles based on teachers of other disciplines, coaches, athletes, and parents were excluded. Included studies were required to use an instrument (not necessarily validated) stating the proportion of participants who answered questions correctly.

The choice to also include reports based on non-validated tools was made in order:

(i) to provide readers with a more comprehensive review of the topic,
(ii) to verify the potential impact of validation on the outcomes of interest (through meta-analytical techniques and meta-regression analyses), and
(iii) to identify new research avenues, capitalizing on the lessons learned and overcoming shortcomings in previous research.

2.3. Information Sources and Search

International scholarly databases (PubMed and ISI/Web of Science) were searched from inception through to 20 November 2018, without time limits or filters, using the following terms (see Table 1): “dental trauma”, “dental injury”, “tooth avulsion”, “tooth fracture”, “mouthguards”, first-aid, knowledge, attitudes, practices, “teachers of physical education”, and “physical education teachers”. Appropriate Boolean connectors were utilized to connect the various keywords and wild-card options (i.e., truncated words) and medical subject headings (MeSH) terms were also used where appropriate.

One author (K.T.) hand-searched the reference lists of included articles, as well as other citations identified via Google Scholar and a search of personal files, thus performing extensive cross-referencing. Specialists in the field were also contacted for information on upcoming studies, and specific target journals (such as “Dental Traumatology”, “Pediatric Dentistry”, “International Journal of Clinical Pediatric Dentistry”, “The British Dental Journal”, “Journal of Oral Health and Biosciences”) were hand-searched for relevant studies. Further information on the search process is presented in Table 1.

Table 1. A summary of the search strategy adopted in the present systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regressions assessing first-aid dental trauma knowledge and attitudes in physical education teachers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Strategy Item</th>
<th>Search Strategy Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>String of keywords</td>
<td>“(dental OR “dental trauma” OR “dental injury” OR “dental accident” OR “tooth avulsion” OR “avulsed tooth” OR “tooth displacement” OR “displaced tooth” OR “tooth fracture” OR “fractured tooth” OR “broken tooth” OR “tooth breaking” OR “tooth breakage” OR “tooth break” OR “tooth crack” OR “tooth cracking” OR “cracked tooth” OR “tooth loss” OR “lost tooth” OR “dental avulsion” OR “dental displacement” OR “dental facture” OR “dental facture” OR “dental breakage” OR “dental breaking” OR “dental break” OR “dental crack” OR “dental cracking” OR “dental loss” OR mouthguards) AND first-aid AND (knowledge OR attitudes OR practices)) AND (“teachers of physical education” OR “physical education teachers”)”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Searched databases/bibliographic thesauri</td>
<td>PubMed, ISI/Web of Science</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Inclusion criteria   | P (population): Physical education teachers  
I (intervention/exposure): None  
C (comparators/comparisons): Any kind of comparisons/comparators (such as age, gender, years of teaching experience)  
O (outcomes): Knowledge and attitudes concerning dental trauma  
S (study type): Primary, original study (any study design included) |
Table 1. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Strategy Item</th>
<th>Search Strategy Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Exclusion criteria** | P: Teachers not teaching physical education, coaches, athletes, parents, reports where physical education teachers were combined with teachers of other disciplines, and it was not possible to extract data relevant only to the former  
I: None  
C: None  
O: Outcomes not described in sufficient detail  
S: Commentaries, expert opinions, letters to editor, editorials, original article without sufficient details, reviews, conference abstracts or proceedings |
| **Time filter** | None applied (search from inception) |
| **Language filter** | None applied (any language) |

2.4. Study Selection

Duplicate articles were initially eliminated manually. Two of the authors (K.T. and H.C.) independently screened the titles and abstracts of all unique hits for eligibility and resolved disagreements by consensus or involving a third author (N.L.B.). The full texts of the studies selected were then further screened for eligibility, and disagreements were again resolved by consensus or involving a third author (N.L.B.); reasons for exclusion of articles were recorded.

2.5. Data Collection

Two reviewers (K.T. and H.C.) independently collected data, using a pilot-tested extraction form, resolving disagreements by consensus or involving a third author (N.L.B.). Data extracted included participant characteristics (number, age, sex, years of teaching experience, first-aid training), study characteristics (years, country, tool design, number of items, question types, validation), and key outcomes.

2.6. Quality Assessment

Two researchers (K.T. and H.C.) assessed study quality using an adaptation of the techniques of Downs and Black [28].

The Downs and Black checklist provides an overall quality index and four sub-scale assessments of quality including reporting, external quality, internal validity-bias, and internal validity-confounding [28]. None of the studies identified were randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and only baseline or pre-intervention data were extracted from intervention studies.

Under “main findings,” the item “intervention described” was taken to mean that the questionnaire was administered appropriately. Items related to confounders were scored “not applicable” if the primary outcome was a simple description of first-aid dental trauma knowledge.
For intervention studies, when the researchers (K.T. and H.C.) were not sure that the control group had not received specific information related to dental trauma management during the study’s intervention, the item related to confounders was rated as ‘zero’.

Under the category “tool”, an additional item assessing adequate validation of the questionnaire was included.

Validity was assessed according to five domains central to a sound and reliable instrument:

(i) face validity;
(ii) pre- or pilot testing;
(iii) content validity (review or evaluation of the instrument by experts);
(iv) test–retest reliability (measured by computing the Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) coefficient); and
(v) internal consistency (measured by computing the intra-class correlation and/or the Cronbach’s \( \alpha \) coefficient).

One point was awarded for each component of validation, yielding a maximum potential score of 14.

2.7. Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regressions

When items were sufficiently comparable, a meta-analysis was performed utilizing the commercial software “Comprehensive Meta-Analysis” (CMA for Windows, version 3, Biostat, Englewood, NJ 2013, USA).

Prevalence rates were pooled. Effect size was calculated choosing a fixed-effect or a random-effect model, depending on the amount of heterogeneity (assessed by means of \( I^2 \) statistics). If \( I^2 > 50\% \), the DerSimonian–Laird random-effect model was preferred and applied.

Evidence of publication bias was investigated by visually inspecting the funnel plot and by conducting a trim-and-fill analysis [29]. The latter provides estimation of the number of missing studies that might exist in a meta-analysis and the effect that these studies might have had on its outcome [29].

Meta-regressions were performed in order to shed light on the determinants of effect size and heterogeneity among studies.

Forest plots were generated utilizing the commercial software MedCalc version 18.11.3 for Windows (MedCalc Software bvba, Ostend, Belgium).

3. Results

3.1. Study Selection

Out of a sample of 30 initial hits, 13 remained after exclusion of duplicates and the screening of titles and abstracts (Figure 1); 7 of these articles finally met our above-mentioned specific criteria for inclusion. A screening of reference lists and a search of Google Scholar added eight further articles, for a total of 15.
Figure 1. The process of study retrieval, selection and inclusion adopted in the present systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regressions.

3.2. Study Characteristics

Characteristics of the 15 included studies are presented in Table 2.
Table 2. A summary of studies assessing first-aid dental trauma knowledge in physical education teachers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</th>
<th>N (Sex)</th>
<th>Teaching Experience</th>
<th>Level of Teaching</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>First-Aid Training</th>
<th>Tool Design</th>
<th>Questionnaire Summary Number of Items Question Type Validation</th>
<th>Knowledge/Attitude and Correct/Incorrect Answers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newman and Crawford (1991) [26]; England</td>
<td>66 (45 M; 21 F)</td>
<td>37 teachers between 6 and 30 years</td>
<td>9%: Junior school. 38%: Middle school. 48%: senior school.</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>91% had been trained in first-aid</td>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>3 Multiple-choice Not validated</td>
<td>- Questions related to tooth fracture: 42/66 (64%) gave correct answer. - Questions related to tooth avulsion: 28/66 (43%) gave appropriate answer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chan et al. (2001) [37]; Hong Kong</td>
<td>166 (94 M, 72 F)</td>
<td>Secondary school</td>
<td>49 between 20–29 years; 73 between 30–39 years; 36 between 40–49 years; 8 aged 50 years and above</td>
<td>165 (99%); 8 recalled the emergency management of dental trauma as being covered. 127 replied that they had not received training. 30 teachers were unsure</td>
<td>MEQ</td>
<td>3 Close-ended Not validated</td>
<td>- Concerning the management of tooth fracture, 118/166 (71%) of PE teachers gave an appropriate answer. - 29/166 (17%) of the respondents were able to indicate the appropriate management for an avulsed tooth. - 102/166 (62%) of the respondents indicated it was “very urgent” to seek professional assistance if a permanent tooth has been avulsed, but they had little knowledge of the correct procedures for replanting or transporting avulsed teeth. - 15/166 (9%) of the respondents pointed out that milk was the medium of choice for transporting avulsed teeth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holan et al. (2006) [42]; Israel</td>
<td>126 (29 M, 32 F, 5 NM)</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>2 Multiple-choice Not validated</td>
<td>- 20/126 (16%) of PE teachers provided correct answers when asked about the best immediate treatment of an avulsed tooth. - 24/126 (19%) of the respondents would replant an avulsed tooth by themselves. - 14/126 (11%) of the respondents provided correct responses about the best medium to transport a tooth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</th>
<th>N (Sex)</th>
<th>Teaching Experience</th>
<th>Level of Teaching</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>First-Aid Training</th>
<th>Tool</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Questionnaire Summary</th>
<th>Knowledge/Attitude and Correct/Incorrect Answers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granville-Garcia et al. (2007) [32]; Brazil</td>
<td>79 (47 M; 32 F)</td>
<td>77.2% between 11 and 25 years</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mohandas and Ghandan (2009) [35]; India</td>
<td>580 (402 M; 178 F)</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Primary, middle, and high schools</td>
<td>56 (10%) were under 25 years of age, 185 (32%) were between 25 and 34 years, 244 (42%) were between 35 and 44 years of age, 62 (11%) were between 45 and 54 years and above age group, 33 (6%) were above 55 years</td>
<td>527 (91%) had first-aid training, 24 (4%) of them had no first-aid training</td>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Multiple-choice</td>
<td>Not validated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</th>
<th>N (Sex)</th>
<th>Teaching Experience</th>
<th>Level of Teaching</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>First-Aid Training</th>
<th>Tool Design</th>
<th>Questionnaire Summary</th>
<th>Knowledge/Attitude and Correct/Incorrect Answers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subramaniam et al. (2011) [34]; India</td>
<td>109 (75 M; 34 F)</td>
<td>32% (n = 35) of the respondents had less than five years' experience</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>32% (n = 38) were aged between 20 and 30 years and 388% (n = 41) were aged between 31 and 40 years</td>
<td>Majority of the respondents (87%) said that their training included first-aid training. Only 10% recalled that their course covered management of dental trauma</td>
<td>NM MEQ Close-ended Not validated</td>
<td>- In the case of tooth fracture, when PE teachers were asked if the damaged teeth of an 8-year-old girl child were primary or permanent teeth, 50/109 (46%) of them gave the correct answer and 17/109 (16%) of them were not sure. - Among them, 42/109 (38%) would take her to the dentist immediately, and 39/109 (36%) of them responded that they would contact her parents and ask them to take her to dentist. - In the case of tooth avulsion, 59/109 (54%) of the respondents would ask the boy to hold the tooth carefully in his mouth and take him to a nearby dentist. - 46/109 (42%) of the respondents would sideline the injured boy and make him bite on a handkerchief to prevent bleeding. - Only 25/109 (23%) of the respondents would themselves replant the tooth. - Of the respondents who would replant the tooth back, 15/25 (60%) of them would rinse the tooth under tap water and 8/25 (32%) of them would scrub the tooth gently with the toothbrush prior to replantation. - When respondents were asked about the method of transport of avulsed teeth, 35/109 (32%) of them would carry the tooth in a paper tissue or a clean handkerchief, 28/109 (26%) of them would use a plastic wrap, 23/109 (23%) of them would carry in any liquid media and only 13/109 (12%) of them would place it in a child’s mouth. - When the transport medium was narrowed down to liquid, 35/109 (32.1%) of PE teachers chose antiseptic solution, 22/109 (20%) of them chose ice water, and only 10/109 (9%) of them chose either fresh milk or normal saline</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</td>
<td>N (Sex)</td>
<td>Teaching Experience</td>
<td>Level of Teaching</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>First-Aid Training</td>
<td>Tool Design</td>
<td>Questionnaire Summary</td>
<td>Knowledge/Attitude and Correct/Incorrect Answers (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jorge et al. (2009) [30]; Brazil</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Faculty</td>
<td>mean age = 41 years</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>MEQ</td>
<td>3 Multiple-choice</td>
<td>- 49/53 (92%) of the respondents defined correctly tooth replantation. - Immediate replantation was cited by 7/53 (13%) of the respondents. - Only 10/53 (19%) of them will place the tooth in a liquid medium. - Only 6/53 (11%) of them will send the student to the dentist. - Only 12/53 (23%) of them will send the student with the tooth to the dentist. - Only 10/53 (19%) of them will store the tooth. - 31/53 (58%) of them reported falsely that is possible to store the tooth more than 360 min. - Only 9/53 (16%) considered milk as a suitable storage medium.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vergotine and Govoni (2010) [40]; USA</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>50% &gt; 10 years teaching experience</td>
<td>High and middle schools</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>72% had specific trauma training for athletic setting with 62% of teachers having dental component included in training</td>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>NM Multiple-choice questions</td>
<td>- For the urgency of treatment for tooth fractures, 63/119 (53%) of PE teachers responded correctly. - With regards to avulsions, 55/119 (46%) of PE teachers responded that immediate professional assistance was needed. - Only 8/119 (7%) of PE teachers would replant an avulsed tooth. - Milk was chosen as a transportation medium for an avulsed tooth by 27/119 (23%) of PE teachers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</th>
<th>N (Sex)</th>
<th>Teaching Experience</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>First-Aid Training</th>
<th>Tool Design</th>
<th>Questionnaire Summary</th>
<th>Knowledge/Attitude and Correct/Incorrect Answers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pagliarin et al. (2011) [31]; Brazil</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Mean length working as a teacher was 19.61 years</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>Mean age was 46 years (range: 33 to 59 years)</td>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>Number of Items: 24 (23%) reported to have received prior information on dental trauma</td>
<td>Not validated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 Multiple-choice questions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- When PE teachers were asked about the first action to be taken if faced with an avulsed tooth, only 13/102 (13%) of them informed they would attempt to replant the tooth, 52/102 (51%) of them did not know the answer.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 24/102 (24.5%) of PE teachers considered 30 min to be an adequate amount of time, 14/102 (14%) of them 1 h, 8/102 (8%) of them up to 2 h, and 4/102 (4%) of them believed that time was not an important issue in the management of avulsed teeth.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The use of mouthguards as a tool to prevent dental trauma was considered valid by 71/102 (70%) of the respondents; the remainder did not believe that such an initiative could have any effect on the prevention of sports-related trauma.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lecor et al. (2013) [38]; Senegal</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>25% between 1 and 5 years; 26% between 6 and 10 years; 7% between 16 and 20 years; 15% between 11 and 15 years; 15% more than 20 years; 2% less than 1 year</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>10% did not mention; 61% between 20–40 years; 33% between 41–60 years; 2% less than 20 years; 6 teachers did not mention</td>
<td>MEQ</td>
<td>Number of Items: 9/162 (6%) would attempt to relocate the tooth at the accident site and the remaining (143/162, 88%) would not do it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(M: 131; F: 31)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3 Multiple-choice</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 125/162 (77%) of PE teachers considered dental avulsion as an emergency;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Attitudes to a case of dental avulsion: 52/162 (32%) of PE teachers had decided to send the student to a dental surgeon and 61/162 (38%) of them had chosen to contact the nearest dental service.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- A systematic rinse of the tooth was chosen by 61/162 (38%) of PE teachers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- The most used rinsing solution was running water chosen by 71/162 (44%) of PE teachers followed by antiseptic solution chosen by 18/162 (11%) of the respondents.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- 9/162 (6%) would attempt to relocate the tooth at the accident site and the remaining (143/162, 88%) would not do it.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Paper and compresses were chosen by 49/162 (30%) of PE teachers.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>- Liquid media accounted for only 16/162 (10%) of PE teachers. Among them, running water was the most common with 87/162 (54%), chilled water 29/162 (18%) antiseptic 29/162 (18%) and no teacher chose milk.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</td>
<td>N (Sex)</td>
<td>Teaching Experience</td>
<td>Level of Teaching</td>
<td>Age (Years)</td>
<td>First-Aid Training</td>
<td>Tool Design</td>
<td>Questionnaire Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panahi et al. (2014) [39]; Iran</td>
<td>165 (86 M and 70 F)</td>
<td>8 years' work experiences</td>
<td>Primary schools</td>
<td>32 years</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>MEQ</td>
<td>3 Multiple choice questions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bahandary and Shetty (2014) [41]; India</td>
<td>243 (165 M; 59 F)</td>
<td>93% had more than 5 years teaching experience</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>41% were in the age group of 40-49 years</td>
<td>94% had first-aid training. However, only 25% of them had training regarding management of dental trauma</td>
<td>MEQ</td>
<td>3 Close-ended Partially validated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singh et al. (2015) [36]; India</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>SDQ</td>
<td>3 Multiple-choice Not validated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Cont.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference (Author, Year, Country)</th>
<th>N (Sex)</th>
<th>Teaching Experience</th>
<th>Level of Teaching</th>
<th>Age (Years)</th>
<th>First-Aid Training</th>
<th>Tool Design</th>
<th>Questionnaire Summary</th>
<th>Knowledge/Attitude and Correct/Incorrect Answers (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| D’Assunção et al. (2015) [33]; Brazil | 103 | NM | NM | Over 18 years old | NM | MEQ | 3 | Open and closed, dichotomous and multiple choice | - 80/103 (78%) did not know the term dental avulsion.  
- Regarding the most appropriate means to transport an avulsed tooth, 85/103 (82%) reported that they could not perform the procedure of reimplantation.  
- Saline solution was most cited by the PE teachers 58/103 (56%) and saliva 3/103 (3%) was cited the least. |
| Anand et al. (2016) [43]; India | 60 (42 M; 18 F) | NM | NM | NM | NM | MEQ | 3 | Multiple-choice | - When asked about the first reaction on seeing the child with trauma, only 30/60 (50%) replied that they would contact parents and carry the child to the dentist nearby the school.  
- Regarding the knowledge about management of tooth fracture, 30/60 (50%) responded that broken tooth piece cannot be reattached. Regarding the replantation of avulsed tooth, 30/60 (50%) replied that it is not possible to replant the tooth back into the socket.  
- 20/60 (33%) answered that they would wash it under running tap water, and wrap it in a clean cloth and carry to the dentist  
- 8/60 (13%) had belief that once a tooth is avulsed, it is of no use. |

Abbreviations: NM: Not mentioned, SDQ: Self-developed questionnaire, MEQ: Modified existing questionnaire, PE: Physical education, M = male, F = female.
Most articles (n = 14) were published subsequent to 2000, but one was published in 1990. Fourteen [26,30–43] were descriptive studies; one [42] included an educational intervention, and only the baseline descriptive statistics from this article were used. Five of the studies were conducted in India [34–36,41,43], four in Brazil [30–33], and the remainder were from Hong Kong [37], Israel [42], Iran [39], England [26], United States of America [40], and Senegal [38]. The number of PE teachers involved ranged from 13 to 580, with a total of 2,040. Most articles (n = 9) referred to mixed-sex samples, but five failed to identify the sex of the teachers. Men were in the majority (67% versus 33%) where sex was indicated. Ages ranged from 20 to 60 years, but six studies failed to report the age of participants.

The extent of first-aid training and its dental component varied widely between reports. Four studies [26,34,35,37] indicated that 90% or more of teachers had received first-aid training; but only ~5% [35,37] or 9% [34] recalled a dental component.

More in detail, Vergotine and Govoni [40] found that 86/119 (72%) of PE teachers had received trauma training specific to the athletic setting, 53/119 (62%) of them with a dental component. Pagliarin et al. [31] reported that 24/102 (23%) of PE teachers had received information about dental trauma. Surprisingly, only 5/60 (8%) of PE teachers in the study of Anand et al. [43] and none of those questioned by Granville-Garcia et al. [32] had received first-aid training.

Other reports [33,36,38,39,42] did not indicate the extent of such training. The percentage of PE teachers with more than five years of professional experience was high in all studies where this was discussed [26,30–32,34,36–40].

Eight studies reported experience with dental injuries [32–35,37–39,43], in four reports, between 20% and 30% of teachers had either direct or indirect experience of dental injury [33,37,39,43] and in other reports percentages ranged from 9% [35] to 17% [38], 33% [40], 38% [34], and 44% [32].

Dental first-aid knowledge was assessed by questionnaire in 14 studies [26,30,31,33,34,36–40,42,43] and by interview in one study [32].

Most of the questionnaires had not been validated [26,31,33–38,40,42], although one study gave a partial description of questionnaire validation [39].

Typically, questionnaires covered

(i). personal and professional data (sex, age, teaching experience, first-aid training background);
(ii) questions based on imaginary cases of dental injuries, and
(iii) multiple-choice questions on the management of avulsed and/or fractured teeth and the use of mouthguards.

Response formats included true/false, multiple choice, and open- and close-ended questions.

3.3. Attitudes Regarding Dental Injuries

When PE teachers that have witnessed a dental-injury event were asked about their immediate reaction, 14/27 (52%) recognized the urgency of seeking professional assistance (e.g., dental surgeon) [34]. All of the respondents to Mohandes and Ghandar’s survey [35] thought such a treatment was necessary, and 60% (102/166) of the teachers rated by Chan et al. [37] thought it was “very urgent” to seek professional assistance if a permanent tooth has been avulsed. Anand et al. [43] reported that 30/60 (50%) of teachers would contact parents and carry the child to a nearby dentist, while 20/60 (33%) would give the child a warm drink and call the parents. For Subramaniam et al. [34], 42/109 (38%) of teachers would take the child to the dentist immediately, and a further 39/109 (36%) would contact the parents and ask them to take him or her to the dentist. D’Assunçã o et al. [33] found that 70/103 (68%) teachers believed that an avulsed tooth could be replaced; but 85/103 (82%) reported that they could not themselves perform such a procedure.

Others also found that only a small percentage of teachers would attempt to replant the avulsed tooth themselves: 25/109 (23%) [34], 24/126 (19%) [42], 13/102 (13%) [31], or 9/162 (6%) [38]. Surprisingly, (51/162) 33% of teachers asked by Lecor et al. [38] would not even keep avulsed tooth, and 15/79 (19%) of teachers, questioned by Granville-Garcia et al. [32], would not know what to do.
3.4. First-Aid Dental Trauma Knowledge

The first study on this issue was by Newman and Crawford [26]; 42/66 (64%) of teachers answered correctly concerning tooth fracture, but only 28/66 (43%) responded appropriately about the avulsion of permanent teeth. Similarly, Chan et al. [37] found 118/166 (71%) answering correctly about tooth fracture, but only 29/166 (17%) knew the response for tooth avulsion. Anand et al. [43] noted that 30/60 (50%) of teachers thought a broken tooth piece could not be reattached and that an avulsed tooth could not be replanted. Vergotine and Govoni [40] reported that only 63/119 (53%) of PE teachers appreciated the need for urgency in the treatment of tooth fractures. Pagliarin et al. [31] found that most of the teachers would try to locate an avulsed tooth; of the remainder, 16/102 (16%) would try to stop the bleeding with pressure (e.g., using a cloth), 13/102 (13%) would try to replant the tooth, 8/102 (8%) would call the child’s parents or guardians, and 7/102 (7%) would take the child to the dentist, not bothering about the avulsed tooth. However, among the teachers who would try to replant the tooth, 47/102 (46%) were unaware of the correct procedures for reimplantation [31]. Panahi et al. [39] reported that 111/165 (67%) of teachers had moderate information regarding dental trauma, but 106/165 (64%) knew little or nothing about the best time for reimplantation of avulsed teeth, methods of cleaning the avulsed root surface, appropriate media for conserving the tooth, and techniques of reimplantation. Jorge et al. [30] found that 49/53 (92%) teachers knew what tooth reimplantation was, and 22/53 (42%) defined reimplantation correctly; however, immediate reimplantation was suggested by only 11/53 (21%) of the group.

Among the teachers questioned by Holan et al. [42], only 20/162 (16%) knew ‘What is the best immediate treatment for an avulsed tooth?’. Bahandari and Shetty [41] observed that about 112/243 (46%) of teachers did not believe a primary tooth needed to be replanted; however, 29/243 (12%) correctly answered that the tooth should be put back into its socket without scrubbing or washing. In contrast, Ganville-Garcia et al. [32] found all teachers answering falsely that they would give the student a handkerchief or towel to bite and control bleeding. D’Assunção et al. [33] reported that 77/103 (75%) of teachers thought that when a tooth was completely out of its socket, it must be picked up by the crown. Anand et al. [43] noted that 8/62 (13%) believed that if a tooth was completely dislodged, it was of no use and should be discarded. Surprisingly, Singh et al. [36] had 117/165 (71%) of teachers responding correctly to questions on dental trauma management.

Pooling studies regarding the knowledge of tooth fracture management, 52.6% ((95% CI 43.4 to 61.7), $I^2 = 89.51\%$) of participants gave a correct response. The forest plot is shown in Figure 2.

![Forest plot of studies investigating the knowledge of tooth fracture among physical education teachers.](image)

Evidence of publication bias could be detected, with two studies being trimmed, resulting in a “real” effect size of 47.3% ((95% CI 37.3 to 56.8), $Q = 104.66$) (Figure 3).
At the meta-regression analysis, a non-statistically significant tendency for country was found ($Q = 7.55, p = 0.056$), with the lowest percentage of correct answers reported in studies conducted in India and with the highest percentage of correct answers reported in investigations carried out in Hong Kong.

No significant effects were detected for gender ($p = 0.073$, although there were tendencies to an increasing rate of wrong answers in parallel with an increasing percentage of male participants), tool design ($p = 0.628$), question type ($p = 0.720$), study quality ($p = 0.712$), and sample size ($p = 0.721$). Interestingly, there was no effect of the number of years of teaching experience ($p = 0.404$), design ($p = 0.404$), question type ($p = 0.741$), use of validated tools ($p = 0.724$), sample size ($p = 0.287$), or study quality ($p = 0.712$) and sample size ($p = 0.721$).

A statistically significant effect of study year was found (meta-regression coefficient $= -0.05$ (95% CI $-0.08$ to $-0.02$), standard error $= 0.02$, $z$-value $= -2.87$, $p = 0.004$), as well as for validation (meta-regression coefficient $= -0.90$ (95% CI $-1.69$ to $-0.10$), standard error $= 0.41$, $z$-value $= -2.21$, $p = 0.027$). For the other covariates, there were insufficient studies to perform the meta-regression analysis.

Pooling studies concerning the knowledge of tooth avulsion and its related management, 32.9% ((95% CI $23.7$ to $43.5$), $I^2 = 90.02$%) gave a correct response concerning tooth avulsions (Figure 4).
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Figure 4. Forest plot of studies investigating the knowledge of tooth avulsion among physical education teachers.
Visual inspection of the funnel plot and completion of a trim-and-fill analysis provided no evidence of publication bias.

At the meta-regression analysis, country had a significant effect on the outcome ($Q = 11.24$, $p = 0.047$), with the lowest percentage rates of correct responses reported in Hong Kong and Israel (Figure 5).

**Figure 5.** Meta-regression showing the effect of study country on the percentage of correct answers concerning the management of tooth avulsion among physical education teachers.

Gender (i.e., the percentage of male teachers) was another significant covariate (meta-regression coefficient = 0.03 (95% CI 0.01 to 0.06), standard error = 0.01, $z$-value = 2.52, $p = 0.012$).

No significant effect of study year ($p = 0.631$), years of teaching experience ($p = 0.331$), tool design ($p = 0.404$), question type ($p = 0.741$), use of validated tools ($p = 0.724$), sample size ($p = 0.287$), or study quality ($p = 0.754$) could be found. For the other covariates, numbers were insufficient to perform meta-regression analyses.

Pooling the studies concerning tooth replantation, 16.5% ((95% CI 11.1 to 23.9), $I^2 = 90.04\%$) gave a correct answer (Figure 6).

**Figure 6.** Forest plot of studies investigating the knowledge of replantation of avulsed tooth among physical education teachers.

Visual inspection of the funnel plot and the trim-and-fill analysis showed no evidence of publication bias.
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At the meta-regression analysis, country was an important covariate ($Q = 45.53, p < 0.001$), with the highest percentage of correct responses related to tooth replantation procedure reported in India and the lowest percentage reported in Senegal and USA (Figure 7).

**Regression of Logit event rate on Country**

![Figure 7](image_url)  
*Figure 7.* Meta-regression showing the effect of country on the knowledge of replantation of avulsed tooth among physical education teachers.

No effects could be detected for study year ($p = 0.970$), gender ($p = 0.677$), years of teaching experience ($p = 0.151$), tool design ($p = 0.859$), question type ($p = 0.097$), use of validated tools ($p = 0.119$), sample size ($p = 0.179$), or study quality ($p = 0.295$).

### 3.5. Washing and Transporting Medium

Mohandas and Ghandan [36] asked teachers about the best medium for transporting teeth; 124/580 (21%) chose milk, 91/580 (16%) chose water, and 338/580 (58%) had no opinion. For Vergotine and Govoni [41], 27/119 (23%) suggested milk, and for Chan et al. [38] 15/166 (9%) selected milk. Surprisingly, 3/166 (2%) would store the tooth in alcohol or an antiseptic solution [38]. An antiseptic solution was also chosen by 35/109 (32%) teachers in the study of Subramaniam et al. [35]. Lecor et al. [39] found paper and compresses the most popular methods of conservation (56/162; 30% each), with other suggestions being ice (21/162; 13%), the child’s mouth (15/162; 9%), and saliva (6/162; 4%). Only 16/162 (10%) recommended liquid media, with running water as the most common recommendation, followed by antiseptic and iced water, and finally saline solution; surprisingly, no one proposed milk as the transportation medium [39]. Likewise, Jorge et al. [31] saw only 9/62 (14%) of teachers suggesting milk, with 4/62 (6%) proposing a dry medium [31]. Singh et al. [37] reported that 136/165 (82%) of PE teachers answered correctly to the questions concerning the transportation media. For Holan et al. [43], a correct medium was identified by only 14/126 (11%) of teachers. D’Assunçao et al. [34] noted that saline solution was cited the most frequently (58/103; 56%), while saliva (3/103; 3%) was the least common recommendation. Anand et al. [44] found that 30/60 (50%) most of the teachers would wash the area of an avulsed tooth; if the tooth was completely dislodged, 20/60 (33%) of teachers would wash it under tap water, wrap it in a lean cloth, and carry it to the dentist. Prior to reimplantation, 8/109 (7%) of the teachers responding to Subramaniam et al. [35] would scrub the tooth gently with a toothbrush prior to reimplantation and 15/109 (14%) would rinse the tooth under tap water. Lecor et al. [39] commented that the most common proposed rinsing solution was running water (71/162 (44%)), with 18/162 (11%) recommending antiseptic solution, 16/162 (10%) salt water, and 13/162 (8%) toothpaste. In the sample of Panahi et al. [40], normal saline was chosen by 45/156 (29%) and alcohol was chosen by 30/156 (19%) of PE teachers. Bhandary and Shetty [42] found 129/243 (53%) of teachers responding correctly that tap water was the best rinsing solution, and Granville-Garcia et al. [33] also reported that 64/79 (81%) of the respondents would wash the tooth in running tap water.
Pooling the studies concerning washing procedures of avulsed tooth, 47.7% ((95% CI 35.7% to 59.9%), $I^2 = 93.99\%$) correctly indicated tap water (Figure 8).

By inspecting the funnel plot and at the trim-and-fill analysis, no publication bias was detected. At the meta-regression analysis, a significant effect of country was found ($Q = 10.20, p = 0.017$), with the lowest percentage of correct responses reported in India (Figure 9).

Gender was another covariate achieving significance threshold at the meta-regression analysis (meta-regression coefficient $= -0.07$ (95% CI 0.01 to 0.06), standard error $= 0.03$, $z$-value $= -2.16$, $p = 0.03$).

No significant effects of study year ($p = 0.199$), years of teaching experience ($p = 0.211$), question type ($p = 0.889$), tool design ($p = 0.107$), usage of validated tools ($p = 0.450$), sample size ($p = 0.993$), or study quality ($p = 0.152$) could be found.

Pooling studies concerning the proper transporting medium, 24.1% ((95% CI 14% to 38.4%), $I^2 = 96.74\%$) indicated a correct response (Figure 10).
was an important issue. When PE teachers were asked how urgently professional help was needed, 102/166 (61%) felt it should be immediate [37] and a further 13/166 (8%) within 30 min. At the meta-regression analysis, no effects of country (p = 0.543), sample size (p = 0.854) study year (p = 0.107), gender (p = 0.771), mean years of teaching (p = 0.877), tool design (p = 0.404), question type (p = 0.190), use of validated tools (p = 0.543), or study quality (p = 0.878) could be detected. It was not possible to conduct meta-regression analysis for the other covariates due to an insufficient number of studies.

3.6. Extra-Alveolar Period of Avulsed Tooth

For Jorge et al. [30], 31/53 (58%) of teachers thought incorrectly that the ideal time for reimplantation was longer than 360 min. In the sample of Subramaniam et al. [34], 3% of teachers responded falsely that an extra-oral period of one day was acceptable. In the survey of Pagliarin et al. [31], 52/102 (51%) of teachers did not know the answer, 24/102 (23%) responded that 30 min was adequate, 14/102 (14%) one hour, and 8/102 (8%) up to two hours, while 4/102 (4%) did not believe that extra-oral time was an important issue. When PE teachers were asked how urgently professional help was needed, 102/166 (61%) felt it should be immediate [37] and a further 13/166 (8%) within 30 min; however, 43/166 (26%) felt that “a few hours” was an acceptable upper limit and 7/166 (4%) suggested that “one-day” extra-oral period was acceptable.

Pooling the studies concerning perceptions of an avulsed tooth as an emergency, 56.0% (95% CI 42.5 to 68.7), I² = 93.65% gave a correct response (Figure 11).
No evidence of publication bias could be found.
At the meta-regression analysis, there was no significant effect of study year ($p = 0.762$), country ($p = 0.916$), gender ($p = 0.918$), years of teaching experience ($p = 0.290$), tool design ($p = 0.076$), question type ($p = 0.476$), sample size ($p = 0.847$), or study quality ($p = 0.334$). For the other predictors under study, there were too few investigations to perform a meta-regression analysis.

3.7. Knowledge About the Effectiveness of Mouthguards

Only two studies evaluated knowledge about the effectiveness of mouthguards [31,40]. Pagliarin et al. [31] reported that 71/102 (70%) of respondents considered mouthguards as effective in preventing dental injuries, but the remainder did not. Similarly, Vergotine and Govoni [40] found 73% of teachers indicating that mouthguards were mandatory for all contact sports in their school districts.

3.8. Quality Assessment

Scores ranged from 6 to 11 (mean score = 7.5) out of a possible 14 (Table 3). Study flaws included non-representative or inadequately described samples, and many of the studies examined ($n = 13$) failed to report any validation of the questionnaires that they used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Reporting</th>
<th>Knowledge Tool Validity</th>
<th>Total Score/14</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 6/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[37]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[42]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 7/14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 1 0 0 0 0 8/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[35]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 8/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30]</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[31]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[38]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[39]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 NA 0 1 1 1 1 11/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[41]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 1 0 1 0 9/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33]</td>
<td>1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 7/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[43]</td>
<td>1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 NA 0 0 0 0 0 6/13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NA (not applicable); item 1 (Hypothesis stated/aim stated); item 2 (Main outcomes); item 3 (Intervention described); item 4 (Participant description); item 5 (Main findings described); item 6 (Variability estimates); item 7 (Representative participants); item 8 (Appropriate statistical tests); item 9 (Adjust for confounders); item 10 (Face validity); item 11 (Pre-tested or pilot tested); item 12 (Content validity); item 13 (Test–retest); and item 14 (Internal consistency).

4. Discussion

The focus of this systematic review was on the level of knowledge and attitudes of PE teachers in the initial management of dental trauma and to provide some practical recommendations for improved immediate treatment. The studies reviewed broadly support the conclusion that most PE teachers lack adequate knowledge regarding first-aid measures for tooth fracture and avulsion. Moreover, although the majority of those questioned recognized the urgency of seeking professional assistance, they seemed unable to offer appropriate immediate first-aid management. However, the number of studies evaluating the knowledge of PE teachers on the effectiveness of mouthguard use remains limited, precluding any firm conclusions on this topic. Additionally, meta-regression results showed that the knowledge gap in the management of dental trauma is country specific.
4.1. Attitude Regarding Dental Injuries

The majority of PE teachers recognized the urgency of seeking professional assistance following dental injury. However, few teachers considered themselves as able to perform an immediate reimplantation [30,33,42]. Reasons suggested by Holan et al. [42] included psychological deterrents, fear of legal implications, and avoidance of additional responsibilities. Jorge et al. [30] attributed inaction to a lack of appropriate knowledge, the presence of a professional from the field of dentistry, fear of injuring other structures, and not having the necessary instruments. Clearly, improving knowledge of dental first-aid should encourage teachers to take greater action in the immediate management of dental trauma.

4.2. First-Aid Dental Trauma Knowledge

Immediate reimplantation is the ideal treatment for avulsed teeth [19]. However, other procedures were proposed by PE teachers. Chan et al. [37], Granville-Garcia et al. [32], and Mohandes and Ghandan [35] all found that the first concern of the majority of respondents was stemming the bleeding; this may be a reflection of the basic teaching in first-aid programs [35,37]. Unfortunately, delay in reimplanting a tooth jeopardizes prognosis. Only 2% of Bangalore teachers said that they would look for the tooth and re-insert it [36]; this figure was much higher (17%) in Hong Kong [37] possibly because the knowledge and training of teachers is greater in Hong Kong than in Bangalore. Correct handling of an avulsed tooth prior to reimplantation is also important [18,44]. It should be handled by the crown, not touching the root, and avoiding any contact with or possible damage to periodontal ligament cells. However, Pagliarin et al. [31] and D’Assunção et al. [33] found that a significant percentage of PE teachers did not know this and lacked knowledge about tooth ligaments. If a tooth cannot be reimplanted immediately, it should be stored in an appropriate liquid medium. Milk is considered a practical choice, easily available, and relatively free of bacteria [45,46]. Moreover, its osmolality is not excessively harmful to the periodontal ligament cells [46,47]. However, only a small percentage of the PE teachers questioned by Pagliarin et al. [31] recommended milk as the storage medium to transport the avulsed tooth; a significant percentage opted for tap water, which is undesirable because it provokes rapid cell lysis [47]. Surprisingly, a significant percentage of teachers suggested wrapping the tooth in paper tissue or a handkerchief [31–34,37]. Additionally, some respondents preferred ice or iced water [34,37,38] probably because of the use of ice when transporting human organs and accidentally detached limbs [34,37,38]. An antiseptic solution was also chosen by a significant percentage of teachers [34,38,39], probably in an attempt to kill bacteria on the root surface [34,38]; unfortunately, they did not realize that this would also damage viable cells on the tooth [34,38]. An inappropriate washing procedure and an excessive extra-alveolar period of avulsed tooth were reported in most studies [31,34,38–40,43].

A large number of PE teachers responded incorrectly when asked about the first-aid management of tooth fracture [26,39,40,43]. Anand et al. [43] suggested there was a lack of both prior experience and information from other sources; further, in some situations PE teachers may be exposed to other traumatic events of greater severity, and, thus not consider a tooth fracture as an urgent priority [40].

4.3. Practical Recommendations

Given the current limitations of knowledge among PE teachers, it is important to give much greater emphasis to the prevention and management of dental trauma in the PE curriculum. The majority of PE teachers recognized that they needed further training to manage such cases appropriately [35,41]. Vergotine and Govoni [40] suggested that schools should consider making dental trauma education mandatory and/or mandate a recertification of PE teachers in this area on a regular basis.

Holan et al. [42] showed that PE teachers who attended a seminar including clear instructions on the appropriate treatment of avulsed permanent teeth increased their knowledge regarding the management of dental trauma compared with PE teachers who did not attend such a seminar.
Al-Asfour et al. [48] evaluated the effectiveness of a 30-min informative lecture about tooth avulsion and replantation on the level of knowledge regarding the management of dental trauma in a sample of 43 teachers. The lecturer focused on the emergency treatment of avulsed permanent teeth and what should be performed by teachers in trauma situations before transferring the child with an avulsed tooth to a nearby dentist [48]. Additionally, the lecturer allowed time for discussion after the lecture and interactions between the lecturer and teachers were encouraged during the lecture [48]. The authors concluded that a lecture followed by discussion was an effective and efficient method of enhancing the knowledge level of teachers so that proper dental first-aid procedures could be achieved [48]. Al Sari et al. [49] also showed that an educational poster increased the knowledge of school nurses and PE teachers both immediately after the educational session and three months later. Clearly, an informative lecture, a seminar, or educational posters can be used to enhance the level of knowledge regarding the appropriate management of dental trauma.

Each school should prepare a dental first-aid box that includes an appropriate preservative medium. Further, education on the availability and types of mouthguard should be provided, and mouthguards should be distributed during lessons prone to cause dental injuries [50–56].

Given that we assessed inter-country differences in dental trauma first-aid knowledge, attitudes, and practices, policy- and decision-makers in individual countries can use information from the present article to be informed about specific gaps in national practices. In fact, specific attention should be paid to Indian PE teachers for the improvement of their knowledge related to the procedures of the washing of avulsed tooth. Furthermore, there is an urgent need to improve Senegalese and American PE teachers’ knowledge of tooth replantation procedures.

It is worthy to note that the results of this meta-analysis with meta-regressions are preliminary in that in various cases meta-regression analyses assessing specific covariates could not be run due to insufficient studies included. As such, future research studies investigating the knowledge about dental trauma management in large cohorts of males and females and comparing between different countries are warranted.

4.4. New Avenues for Research

Based on the findings of the present systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regressions, new psychometrically sound tools should be developed to screen PE knowledge and attitudes concerning the initial management of dental trauma, as well as to assess the effect of educational interventions (such as lectures, interactive forums, and seminars, or posters) aimed at making such knowledge more commonly available and practically effective. Longitudinal and randomized trials should be devised and performed with this objective.

4.5. Strengths and Weaknesses

This is the first systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regressions evaluating the knowledge and attitudes of PE teachers concerning the initial management of dental trauma. Strengths of the study include a comprehensive coverage of the current literature, (having searched two scholarly databases, as recommended by the Cochrane Association guidelines and good practices for conducting systematic reviews), the absence of language restriction, and a careful appraisal of study quality. However, results must be interpreted with caution, as methodological issues weakened most studies, including the use of various non-validated questionnaires, a lack of reported responses for some items, and high, statistically significant heterogeneity among studies.

Additionally, some of the comparisons between tool designs and between validation status are not easy to interpret; they are possibly confounded by other variables.
5. Conclusions

This systematic review and meta-analysis with meta-regressions demonstrates that most PE teachers lack adequate knowledge on the initial management of dental trauma. Specific education is needed to encourage the greater use of mouthguards and to improve the emergency treatment of dental injuries during PE classes. Further rigorous studies on this issue are warranted, using validated questionnaires.
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