Neural Network Control Design for an Unmanned Aerial Vehicle with a Suspended Payload
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Abstract: Unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs) demonstrate excellent manoeuvrability in cluttered environments, which makes them a suitable platform as a data collection and parcel delivering system. In this work, the attitude and position control challenges for a drone with a package connected by a wire is analysed. During the delivering task, it is very difficult to eliminate the external unpredictable disturbances. A robust neural network-based backstepping sliding mode control method is designed, which is capable of monitoring the drone’s flight path and desired attitude with a suspended cable attached. The convergence of the position and attitude errors together with the Lyapunov function are employed to attest to the robustness of the nonlinear transportation platform. The proposed control system is tested with a simulation and in an outdoor environment. The simulation and open field test results for the UAV transportation platform verify the controllers’ reliability.
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1. Introduction

Drones have aroused great interest in environment monitoring, data collection and device transport [1–3]. Because of their capabilities of rapid manoeuvring, great mobility, and precise hovering, unmanned quadrotor helicopters have been deployed for missions in environments unreachable by humans [4,5]. New applications have continuously appeared in recent publications, magazines and newspapers [6–8]. Multiple linear and nonlinear control systems have been devised to achieve trajectory planning, obstacle avoidance, UAVs’ cooperation, lifting and landing control [9–11]. One of these applications is the UAVs’ aerial transportation systems [12]. They can deliver different equipment and other urgently-needed devices to remote areas. However, in real complex situations, the quadrotor UAVs face many control problems with respect to external disturbances. As shown in [13–15], various research works have been conducted on the quadrotor transportation system in the past few years. The typical solution is holding a payload by the actuators with which it is equipped [16,17]. However, this will bring about the slow reaction problem due the inertia added to the UAV. In order to retain the good manoeuvrability of UAVs, another solution is proposed by attaching the payload to the transportation platform via a cable [18,19]. This approach has been widely used in the transfer of radioactive substances or large cargoes [13]. Therefore, the study of quadrotor transportation systems is of theoretical and practical importance. In particular, the robust control of the quadrotor with uncertainties and delays is a critical problem both for the platform and humans on the ground.
Due to the aforementioned reasons, numerous robust control schemes have been developed to address the control challenges of the quadrotor UAVs associated with external uncertainties and disturbances. In [1], a dynamic model of a transportation drone was established, and a nonlinear controller without considering parametric uncertainty was also presented. Seyedtabaii proposed a modified version of Fractional-Order PID (FOPID) in order to reduce the calculations for the uncertainty scenario by replacing the samples’ analytical equation with system frequency response [20]. In [21], a switching model predictive controller was designed to deal with the external disturbances. In [22], the author presented a feedback linearization control approach by considering disturbance from the payload. The phase margin band can be forced to the desired flatness by this proposed method. To maintain the posture-tracking performance against external uncertainties, an $H_{\infty}$ theory-based approach was proposed in [23]. In [24], Michailidiset et al. used $\mu$-synthesis to control UAVs with uncertainties due to its straightforward design. Consideration of the non-linearity of UAVs’ parameters, Mystkowski also proposed to implement the $\mu$-synthesis method for the UAVs’ dynamics control [25]. In both cases, the lowest output response variance was gained from the $\mu$-synthesis method. As an alternative method, the sliding model control technique was used in [26] to reduce the parameter variation effect on the control system. Moreover, the quadrotor transportation platform involved time-varying delays. In [27], constant state delays were analysed within the quadrotor helicopter system. The input delays to the system were further discussed in [28]. However, the multiple uncertainties and delays were not considered in the design approach, which will result in an adverse impact on the performance of the transportation system.

In this paper, a Radial Basis Function Neural Network (RBFNN)-based nonlinear backstepping sliding mode flight controller is demonstrated. First, an RBFNN-based approach is employed to deal with the multiple uncertainties and delays. Second, the disturbances in the position and pose control input can be restrained with the modified neural network-based methodology. Third, the stability of the sliding control approach is proven through Lyapunov stability analysis. Finally, the RBFNN-based nonlinear controller is verified via real-time outdoor experiments. The results attest that the performance of the control methodology is able to reject the negative effects of uncertainties and delays.

The main contributions of this paper consist of:

- A 3D dynamic model of a quadrotor transportation system is built.
- The multiple time-varying uncertainties and disturbances are compensated with a novel RBFNN-based backstepping sliding mode control design approach. The stability of the proposed methodology is attested to via analysis of the Lyapunov function.
- The proposed system is tested in a real flight scenario, which validates the robustness of the proposed UAV transportation platform.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 demonstrates the dynamic model of the transportation quadrotor helicopters. In Section 3, we present the RBFNN-based backstepping sliding mode control algorithm and also discuss the stability analysis of the transportation platform. The prototype of the UAV and experimental setup used to evaluate the reliability of the proposed architecture is demonstrated in Section 4. Finally, the conclusion is given in Section 5.

2. Quadrotor Model and Suspended Payload Architecture

The quadrotor UAV with a suspended payload used in this paper is demonstrated in Figure 1. The structure of this UAV is the “X” type.
The dynamics of the quadrotor without a suspended payload can be expressed with the rotation angle and position data as:

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{x} &= (\sin \psi \sin \phi + \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_T}{m_Q} \\
\dot{y} &= (-\cos \psi \sin \phi + \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_T}{m_Q} \\
\dot{z} &= -g + (\cos \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_T}{m_Q}
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

where the Euler angles of the quadrotor are represented as \( \psi, \theta \) and \( \phi \). \( U_T \) denotes the total thrust as follows:

\[
U_T = \sum_{i=1}^{4} k \omega_i^2
\]

(2)

where \( k \) denotes a positive constant and \( \omega_i \) denotes the angular speed, while the attitude inputs (yaw, roll and pitch) are presented by:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_Y &= k(-\omega_1^2 + \omega_2^2 - \omega_3^2 + \omega_4^2) \\
U_R &= k(-\omega_1^2 + \omega_3^2) \\
U_P &= k(\omega_2^2 - \omega_4^2)
\end{align*}
\]

(3)

where \( U_Y \) denotes the yaw rotation thrust and \( U_R \) and \( U_P \) denote the roll and pitch rotation thrust, respectively.
The quadrotor dynamics can be expressed with the rotation angle and position data as [29,30]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{\phi} &= \theta \dot{\psi} \frac{I_y}{I_x} + \frac{L}{I_x} \dot{\theta} \Omega + \frac{R_{arm}}{I_x} U_y \\
\ddot{\theta} &= \phi \dot{\psi} \frac{-I_x - I_z}{I_y} + \frac{L}{I_y} \dot{\phi} \Omega + \frac{R_{arm}}{I_y} U_y \\
\ddot{\psi} &= \phi \theta \frac{I_x - I_y}{I_z} + \frac{L}{I_z} \dot{\phi} \Omega + \frac{R_{arm}}{I_z} U_x \\
\end{align*}
\] (4)

where \( R_{arm} \) is the radius of the quadrotor’s arm lever and \( I_x, y, z \) and \( J_r \) represent the body and rotor inertia, respectively.

When adding the payload, the dynamical model can be deduced as [31]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\ddot{x} &= (\sin \psi \sin \phi + \cos \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_T}{m_Q} \\
&- (\sin \theta \cos \phi_L) \frac{T}{m_Q} \\
\ddot{y} &= (-\cos \psi \sin \phi + \sin \psi \sin \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_T}{m_Q} \\
&+ (\sin \theta \sin \phi_L) \frac{T}{m_Q} \\
\ddot{z} &= -g + (\cos \theta \cos \phi) \frac{U_T}{m_Q} - (\cos \phi_L) \frac{T}{m_Q} \\
\ddot{\phi} &= \frac{I_y}{I_x} \dot{\psi} - \frac{L}{I_x} \dot{\theta} \Omega + \frac{M_{\phi}}{I_x} \\
\ddot{\theta} &= \frac{I_z - I_x}{I_y} \dot{\phi} - \frac{L}{I_y} \dot{\phi} \Omega + \frac{M_{\theta}}{I_y} \\
\ddot{\psi} &= \frac{I_x - I_y}{I_z} \dot{\phi} \dot{\theta} + \frac{M_{\psi}}{I_z} \\
\dot{\phi}_L &= -(L \sin \theta \cos \phi_L) \frac{T}{m_L} + \frac{M_{\phi}}{m_L} \\
\dot{\theta}_L &= -(L \sin \theta \sin \phi_L) \frac{T}{m_L} + \frac{M_{\theta}}{m_L} \\
\end{align*}
\] (5)

The dynamic model of quadrotor helicopter in Equation (5) with an external disturbance can be denoted as:

\[
\begin{align*}
X &= f(X) + g(X)u + \delta \\
\end{align*}
\] (6)

where:

\[
\begin{align*}
u &= \left[ \begin{array}{c}
u_1 \\ \nu_2 \\ \nu_3 \\ \nu_4 \\ \end{array} \right]^T, \quad u = input \\
X &= \left[ \begin{array}{c}x \\ y \\ z \\ \phi \\ \theta \\ \psi \end{array} \right]^T, \quad X = state \\
\delta &= \left[ \begin{array}{c}\delta_1 \\ \delta_2 \\ \delta_3 \\ \delta_4 \\ \delta_5 \\ \delta_6 \end{array} \right]^T, \delta = disturbance, \\
\end{align*}
\]

and:

\[
\begin{align*}
f(S) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -g \\ \phi \theta a_1 + \theta a_2 \Omega \\ \phi a_3 + \phi a_4 \Omega \\ \theta a_5 \end{pmatrix} \\
\end{align*}
\] (8)
and:

\[
g(S) = \begin{pmatrix}
    u_x \frac{1}{m} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    u_y \frac{1}{m} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    u_z \frac{1}{m} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & b_1 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & b_2 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & b_3
\end{pmatrix}
\]

where

\[a_1 = \frac{(I_y - I_z)}{I_x}; a_2 = \frac{J_y}{I_x}; a_3 = \frac{(I_z - I_x)}{I_y}; a_4 = \frac{J_z}{I_y}; a_5 = \frac{(I_x - I_y)}{I_z}; b_1 = \frac{1}{I_z}; b_2 = \frac{1}{I_y}; b_3 = \frac{1}{I_z}; u_x = (c_\phi s_\psi + s_\phi s_\psi); u_y = (c_\phi s_\theta s_\psi - s_\phi c_\psi); u_z = (c_\phi c_\theta)\]

3. Neural Network-Based Backstepping Control

The chosen neural network is the RBFNN, which is a three-layer feed-forward network [32,33]. Suppose \(f(x)\) is an unknown smooth nonlinear function:

\[
f(x) = \omega^*^T \Psi(x) + \epsilon
\]

where \(\omega^*\) is the optimal weight vector, \(\Psi(x)\) denotes the radial basis function vector and \(\epsilon\) is the approximation error.

In order to gain the minimum reconstructed error, the \(\omega^*\) can be denoted as:

\[
\omega^* = \arg \min_{\omega} \left\{ \sup_{x \in \Omega} |f(x) - \hat{\omega}^T \Psi(x)| \right\}
\]

where \(\hat{\omega}\) represents the estimation of \(\omega^*\). \(\Psi(x) = [\psi_1(x), \ldots, \psi_n(x)]^T\) with \(n\) elements in the hidden layer.

Letting \(\hat{D}_x\) be the lumped uncertainty, the output is obtained using the RBFNN weighted sum method as follows:

\[
\hat{D}_x = \sum_{i=1}^{N} W_i \psi_i(x), i = 1, \ldots, n
\]

where \(W_i\) denotes the connective weight.

The element of the radial basis function vector is expressed as:

\[
\psi_i(x) = \exp\left(-\frac{||x - \mu_i||^2}{\epsilon_i^2}\right), i = 1, \ldots, n
\]

where \(\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}^m\) and \(\epsilon_i \in \mathbb{R}\) are the centre and spread.

The speed and posture control of device transportation is achieved by using backstepping approach [34,35]. Introducing \(s_i = (s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_6)^T\) denotes the state vector of the quadrotor helicopter, and \(s_i\) is represented in Table 1:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>(s_1)</th>
<th>(s_2)</th>
<th>(s_3)</th>
<th>(s_4)</th>
<th>(s_5)</th>
<th>(s_6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>State</td>
<td>(\phi)</td>
<td>(\theta)</td>
<td>(\psi)</td>
<td>(z)</td>
<td>(y)</td>
<td>(x)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The quadrotor UAV is a highly nonlinear system [36]. The stability of the backstepping controller is attested to via the Lyapunov function [37]. Therefore, an RBFNN-based backstepping control is proposed (as can be seen in Figure 2) to stabilize the quadrotor helicopter during the device transportation missions [38].
The RBFNN-based method is used to overcome the problem of unknown uncertainty. The output in the roll channel is defined as $s_1$, and the desired output signal of the roll channel is assumed as $s_{1,\text{ref}}$. Then, the tracking error $z_1$ in the roll channel is denoted as:

$$z_1 = s_{1,\text{ref}} - s_1$$ (14)

The stabilizing function $c_1$ is denoted as:

$$c_1 = \alpha z_1$$ (15)

where $\alpha$ represents a positive constant. Denoting the velocity tracking error in the roll channel as $z_2 = s_1 - s_{1,\text{ref}}$, then the first step of the Lyapunov function $V_1$ and its time derivative following Lyapunov theory can be represented as:

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{2} c_1^2$$

$$\dot{V}_1 = c_1 \dot{c}_1 = -z_1 z_2 - \alpha z_1^2.$$ (16)

Figure 2. Blockdiagram of the neural network-based backstepping control.

The second step of the Lyapunov function is defined as follows [39]:

$$V_2 = V_1 + \frac{1}{2} s^2,$$ (17)

The sliding mode switching function is denoted as:

$$s = k z_1 + z_2$$ (18)

where $k$ is a constant and meets the Hurwitz condition, i.e., $k > 0$.

The derivation of $V_2$ can be expressed as:

$$\dot{V}_2 = \dot{V}_1 + s \dot{s} = -z_1 z_2 - \alpha z_1^2 + s (kz_1 + z_2) = -z_1 z_2 - \alpha z_1^2 + s \left( (k - \alpha) \dot{z}_1 + \frac{M_x}{I_x} + D_x - \ddot{x}_1d \right)$$ (19)

Following the adaptation approach of the RBFNN observer [40], the error $\sigma_x$ in the roll channel is defined as:

$$\sigma_x = D_x - \dot{D}_x \left( W^* \right).$$ (20)

In the actual control, the parameter perturbation and external disturbance are usually unknown, so that the total uncertainty of the upper bound $D_x$ is difficult to determine. Therefore, it is an effective
method to estimate the upper bound of the uncertain $D_x$ by using the backstepping control system. The third step Lyapunov function $V_3$ is defined as:

$$V_3 = V_2 + \frac{1}{2\eta_1} (W^* - W)^T (W^* - W) + \frac{1}{2\eta_2} (\delta_x - \delta_x^*)^2$$

(21)

where $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ denote positive constants. $\delta_x$ is chosen to compensate the RBFNN observed error, and $\delta_x^*$ represents the estimated value of the minimum reconstructed error.

The derivative of $V_3$ is deduced as:

$$\dot{V}_3 = \dot{V}_2 - \frac{1}{\eta_1} (W^* - W)^T \dot{W} - \frac{1}{\eta_2} (\delta_x - \delta_x^*) \dot{\delta}_x =$$

$$- z_1 z_2 - a_1 z_1^2 + s \left( k - a_1 \right) z_1 + \frac{M_x}{I_x} + D_x - \dot{x}_1d$$

(22)

$$- \frac{1}{\eta_1} (W^* - W)^T W - \frac{1}{\eta_2} (\delta_x - \delta_x^*) \dot{\delta}_x$$

and:

$$\dot{W} = s \theta_1 \psi(t)$$

$$\dot{\delta}_x = s \theta_2$$

(23)

Consequently, the sliding-mode roll control input $U_R$ that is equal to $M_x$ of the transportation platform is designed as:

$$U_R = M_x = I_x(-k - a_1) z_1 + \dot{x}_1d - \gamma s - h sgn(s) - U_H - U_R$$

(24)

where $\gamma$ and $h$ are positive constants, the robust height control input $U_H$ and a compensated controller $U_C$ are designed as follows:

$$U_H = \dot{\delta}_x(W)$$

$$U_C = \delta_x$$

(25)

Accordingly, $V_3$ in Equation (22) can be re-denoted as:

$$V_3 = - z_1 z_2 - a_1 z_1^2 - \gamma s^2 - h|s| + s \left[ D_x - \dot{\delta}_x (W^*) - \dot{\delta}_x^* \right] -$$

$$\frac{1}{\eta_2} (\delta_x - \delta_x^*) \dot{\delta}_x + s \left[ \dot{\delta}_x (W^*) - \dot{\delta}_x (W) \right] - \frac{1}{\eta_1} (W^* - W)^T W$$

(26)

Then, the derivative of $V_3$ can be rewritten as [38]:

$$V_3 = - z_1 z_2 - a_1 z_1^2 - \gamma s^2 - h|s| = - z^T \Lambda z - h|s|$$

(27)

where $\Lambda$ is a symmetric matrix represented as:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix}
    a_1 + \gamma k^2 & \gamma k + \frac{1}{2} \\
    \gamma k + \frac{1}{2} & \gamma
\end{bmatrix}$$

(28)

According to Barbalat’s lemma, $V_3 \leq 0$ is secured when $|\Lambda|$ is tuned to be positive as:

$$|\Lambda| = \gamma(a_1 - k) - \frac{1}{4} > 0$$

(29)

Therefore, the transportation platform in the roll control input channel is stable despite the presence of time-varying uncertainties.
4. Simulations and Experimental Tests

To assess the performance of the backstepping sliding mode controller, a Hardware-In-The-Loop (HITL) simulation environment was developed for the transportation drone with external disturbance. The structure of the HITL environment is demonstrated in Figure 3. The simulation platform is made up of two main parts: the hardware part and the software part. The hardware part is the Pixhawk autopilot unit that is used in the field tests. The software part is the Gazebo simulation environment running the Ubuntu 18.04 operation system and the Robot Operating System (ROS) Melodic Morenia distribution. The hardware part and software part are connected via USB/UART to send and receive flight data. The RBFNN-based controller was implemented in MATLAB 2018b and PX4 Autopilots Support from Embedded Coder by Simulink.

4.1. Simulation Setup and Results

The reference values for the parameters in the simulation model of the quadrotor are listed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass (kg)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arm length (m)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>0.0000326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.000021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>diag (0.0001612, 0.0001288, 0.0002225)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Two simulation tests were carried out to investigate the accuracy and repeatability of the proposed method. The UAV followed the pre-planned trajectory under time-varying wind disturbance. Figure 4 displays the attitude data, roll/pitch/yaw angles and vertical height in the tests.

To achieve the desired control performance, the simulation parameters of RBFNN-based sliding mode control were tuned by trial and error. In addition, the time-varying disturbance, which acts on the attitude control channel, was added by $\tau_t = 0.3 \cos(0.4t)$. The other parameters in Equations (27) and (28) were tuned as shown in Table 3.
Table 3. Simulation parameters in Equations (27) and (28).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attitude Channel</th>
<th>$a$</th>
<th>$k$</th>
<th>$\gamma$</th>
<th>$h$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roll</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yaw</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The structure of the neural network was set to 2-5-1. The centre and width in the hidden node were tuned as $\mu = 2$ and $\epsilon = 5$, respectively. The coefficients $\eta_1$ and $\eta_2$ were chosen as nine and two, respectively.

Based on the parameter tuning results, the response speed of the control system, as well as the system stability would be influenced by $\alpha$. In addition, the excessive reduction of $k$ would cause steady-state error to increase. $\gamma$ influences the speed of the approach to the sliding surface.

4.2. Field Tests and Results

The performance of the RBFNN-based controller was evaluated via outdoor tests and showed reliable and robust control of the UAV in a cluttered environment.

The characteristics of the UAV are listed as follows:

Autopilot devices: The on-board flight assistant device was a Pixhack-V5 flight autopilot board. The board was based on the Pixhawk open hardware design.

Airframe: The structure of the quadrotor X-shape frame was built using Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC). The main body included motors, Electronics Speed Controller (ESCs) and connection wires.
Attitude and altitude control: The vertical and horizontal position were obtained by using the Auto Control Unit (ACU) and GPS together.

Communication protocol: The communication link used on-board was the Mavlink protocol. The ACU and Operation Unit (OU) used this protocol to send quadrotor helicopter attitude data and control instructions. The drone and GCS were connected via a digital data transmission unit.

Experiment 1: Hovering with external disturbance. In these 20 experiments, we validated the performance of the proposed controller with external disturbance during the hovering, as shown in Figure 5. The Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) of the distance between the recovery position after the disturbance injection and the quadrotor’s original position is shown in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4. Hovering performance error.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Horizontal X, Y (m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE Vertical Z (m)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Forty real tests using the quadrotor transportation platform were carried out in an open field. The transportation system was tested on days with wind speeds below 15 m/s.

In these experiments, as shown in Figures 6 and 7, the attitude angles recovered to their desired values within 2 s. The experimental results directly proved that the proposed control scheme would be safe during the payload changing and sample taking process.
Figure 6. Altitude and attitude variation of the quadrotor during the hovering field tests.
Figure 7. Uncertain disturbances were injected during the hovering tests.

Experiment 2: Path following with wind disturbance. We set up 20 sample transport tests in an outdoor flight field, as can be seen in Figure 8. The wind speed was between 2 m/s and 15 m/s during the tests. The path setting is shown in Figure 9.

Figure 8. The UAV transportation system following the pre-planned path in the outdoor experiments.
Videos of the aforementioned experiments are available at the following websites:

- Hovering with external disturbance: https://youtu.be/MZfE9BsYLqY.
- Path following with wind disturbance: https://youtu.be/ENtDYhLWR5Y.

In these 20 transporting experiments, the UAV was capable of following the desired path during uncertain wind disturbance. Figure 10 displays the altitude estimation, yaw angle, pitch angle, roll angle and velocity over time in the transporting process. As demonstrated, the proposed controller was fully capable of maintaining the altitude and attitude of the quadrotor when encountering uncertain disturbances.
5. Conclusions

An RBFNN-based backstepping sliding mode control scheme was proposed for a UAV transportation system, which could effectively suppress the uncertain external disturbances and secure accurate quadrotor positioning. The lumped external disturbances could be estimated with the support of the adaptive RBFNN observer. In particular, Lyapunov-based analysis was employed to theoretically ensure the performance of the neural network control scheme.

The proposed RBFNN-based backstepping sliding mode control method was verified through numerous simulations, where constant external disturbance and time-varying disturbance were taken into account. Experimental results were also included to show the proposed method’s superior control performance.
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