A Novel Meander Split Power/Ground Plane Reducing Crosstalk of Traces Crossing Over
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Abstract: In this paper, a novel meander split power/ground plane is proposed for reducing crosstalk between parallel lines crossing over it. The working mechanism of the meander split scheme is investigated by simulations and measurements. The LC equivalent circuit and transmission line model are developed for modeling interactions between the meander split and the signal lines. The proposed meander structure enhances electromagnetic coupling between split planes. The capacitive coupling across the split ensures signal integrity and magnetic coupling between adjacent finger shaped structures suppresses lateral wave propagation along the split gap, which in turn helps suppress the crosstalk. The effectiveness of the meander split remains valid over very wide frequency ranges (up to 9 GHz). Experimental results show that the proposed structure improves the signal quality and reduces the near/far end crosstalk over 30 dB and 50% in the frequency domain and time domain, respectively.
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1. Introduction

In high-speed electronic systems, the power and ground planes play important roles as a reservoir in supplying power to components and as a voltage reference on printed circuit boards. To accommodate the rapidly switching components and their demand for current, an ideal power supply should have very low impedances, which necessitates the use of power and ground planes. However, the plane pair effectively forms a parallel plate waveguide, which can hold persistent ringing noises generated by routed traces and vias to and from components on the circuit board. To reduce the noise coupling due to power planes and provide different power supply voltages, slotted or split plane types are frequently used for the integrated circuits or modules [1–3] occupying the same printed circuit boards (PCBs) [4,5]. However, power/ground partitioning generates undesired electromagnetic effects such as signal integrity degradation, electromagnetic interference (EMI) and crosstalk when signal lines cross over the split gaps [6–10]. When two parallel line traces cross over slots or splits in the planes, the crosstalk level between the traces becomes high even for large clearances [11].

Commonly used methods to reduce crosstalk are placing via fences [12], guard traces [13], serpentine guard traces [14], stubs [15], or resonators [16] between the two signal lines. Recently, a method of coating signal lines with graphene-paraffin has also been studied [17]. In most of the approaches, efforts are made to decrease the crosstalk levels by inserting additional structures between the conventional transmission lines. Defective microstrip line structures [18] and stub-alternated microstrip lines [19] have been used for the reduction of crosstalk. With these methods, the complexity of the PCBs is increased due to the additional structures.
Attaching stitching capacitors [11,20] or inter-digital capacitors [21] between the split gap under the signal lines reduces the crosstalk and provides return current paths at high frequency while maintaining distinct dc levels of each region. However, this requires additional processes or costs and sometimes it is hard to make room for the stitching capacitor right below the signal trace. Furthermore, the effectiveness of these approaches is limited in that the equivalent series inductance of the capacitors dominates the impedance of a decoupling capacitor at higher frequencies [22]. Another commonly used approach is the addition of a low-Q inductor or a thin inductive trace or stubs [23,24] on split power planes. However, this remedy cannot isolate wideband switching noises generated by each functional block on the same PCB, and cannot accommodate different power supply voltages.

Recently, various shapes of defective ground structures (DGS) such as the “U”, “V”, “H”, rectangular, square, circular, ring and dumbbell shape [25–28] have been investigated to design the wideband filter without adding any complexity to the original structure. Some complex shapes have also been studied, which include meander lines [29]. All of these studies focus on the design of the filter using DGS, and it is necessary to study DGS for their crosstalk reduction effect. Recently, several studies [30,31] have investigated the reduction of crosstalk using rectangular or dumbbell DGS shapes on the ground plane, finding that the reduction effectiveness is 20 dB over a frequency range of 5 GHz.

In this paper, a novel meandered DGS embedded on a split plane is proposed and investigated from the viewpoint of signal transmission and crosstalk reduction. The equivalent circuit model based on slot-coupled cavity equivalent circuit and transmission line theory is presented to describe the behavior of the meandered DGS split gap. The meandered structure enhances capacitive coupling across the split planes, which helps signal transmission of the line traces over a split gap. The structure suppresses lateral wave propagation along the slot-line formed by the split gap by the destructive coupling of the magnetic fields of meandering currents on the adjacent slot line sections. In other words, lateral waves excited along the split gap become evanescent, which helps the return current on the power/ground plane be localized. The crosstalk reduction effectiveness of the split plane with meandered DGS holds 30 dB over a wide frequency range up to 9 GHz, and the crosstalk levels are reduced to over 50% of a simple straight split plane such as a rectangular or dumbbell one [30,31], which is verified by measurements.

2. The Meander DGS on Split Power/Ground Plane

2.1. Advantage and Application of the Meander DGS

In general, the shape, size, and periodicity of the DGS affect the frequency characteristics. A periodic DGS can design well-defined stopbands and passbands and achieve the performance such as high-order filters. DGS can be used in many applications in microwave printed circuits such as filters, amplifiers, oscillators, directional couplers, antennas, and multiplayer stack-up PCBs.

The DGS disturbs the current distribution of the ground plane and changes the characteristics of a transmission line crossing over the DGS [32]. The main disadvantage of the DGS is that they break the return current path and cause spurious radiations in the circuits. The DGS will change the impedance of the ground plane, and lead to spurious radiations. However, our proposed meander DGS has the advantage of providing the return current path by enhancing the coupling between adjacent meander lines. Thus, it can be applied as an excellent method to solve EMI problems such as signal integrity, radiation, and crosstalk.

2.2. Design Methodology of the Meander DGS

The meander DGS-like asymmetric inter-digital finger in Figure 1c was used in the split ground plane and optimized for the desired frequency of operation. Due to the structure of the meander split on the ground plane, the vertical length $l_{\text{tot}}$ and width $w_1, w_2$ of the meander split dimension were selected according to the design rule. Within the given criteria ($l_{\text{tot}} = 2 \times w_1 + 2 \times l_2 + l_3$), the vertical length $l_3$ and width $w_2$ of meander split gap contribute to the mutual inductance and capacitive
coupling between the meander gaps, respectively, in Figure 1c. The design parameters related to this electromagnetic coupling are independent of the position of the signal line $w_L$. That is, the $w_L$ does not necessarily have to be located right above the center of $l_1$ or $w_2$.

The main design method was to select the vertical length $l_3$ of the meander gap associated with the magnetic coupling and width $w_2$ of the meander gap associated with the capacitive coupling effect for defining the stopband and passband frequency characteristics. The equivalent circuit of the meander DGS and associated parameters were extracted by the LC equivalent circuit model and transmission line model. The periodic placement (N) of the meander DGS enhances the frequency characteristics of the equivalent circuit and improves the crosstalk reduction effect on broadband frequencies.

Figure 1a,b shows two PCBs containing parallel line traces ($W = 100$ mm, $H = 100$ mm, $S = 10$ mm, $w_L = 1.69$ mm) on one side. On the bottom sides of the boards, a simple straight split ground plane, like a rectangular DGS [30,31], and a proposed meandered DGS ($w_2 = 0.2$ mm, $l_1 = 0.25$ mm, $w_1 = 2$ mm, $l_3 = 20$ mm, $l_2 = 2$ mm) embedded split ground plane are formed, respectively. The dielectric material used for the PCBs is FR4 whose relative permittivity is 4.2, and the thickness of the boards is 1.0 mm. The width of the split gaps of the two boards is 2 mm. There are a total of 110 (N) meandering cells in the split in Figure 1c, which are not drawn to real scale. The characteristic impedances of the
signal traces above the solid ground plane are set to be 50 Ω to eliminate reflections from the coaxial cables, which connect the board to a network analyzer.

We measured the scattering parameters of the two samples with a complete homogeneous ground plane in Figure 2. As shown in the figure, the reflections occur due to the split gaps, and the scattering parameters show resonant behavior. Compared with the complete homogeneous ground plane, the two split ground planes degrade the signal integrity and increase crosstalk. However, the meander split improves the signal integrity and decreases 30 dB of crosstalk up to 9 GHz more than the straight split ground plane, like a rectangular DGS [30,31]. This difference comes from the enhanced coupling due to the meander structure on the split plane.

2.3. Equivalent Circuit of the Meander DGS Split Model

The DGS slot can be modeled in parallel with a capacitor and inductor [32]. Based on the equivalent slot circuit, the LC equivalent circuit of the bottom layer where the ground is a meander gap can be derived in Figure 3. In terms of return current path on the bottom layer, the capacitive and mutual inductance effects exit between the different meanders. The return current paths by the capacitive and mutual inductance effects are represented by the Type 1 (p), Type 2, 3 (m) and Type 4 (n), respectively. The p is the fraction of the return current not involved in the coupling (Type 1), m is the fraction involved in coupling one way only (Type 2 and 3) and n is the fraction involved in coupling one way only (Type 4). The design parameters such as coupling fractions, and the L and C values of the meander structure in Figure 3 were extracted based on the slot-coupled cavity equivalent circuit [33] to define the passband and stopband frequency characteristics.
and mutual inductances $L_m$. To observe the vertical length $l_3$ and width $w_1$ of the split gap affecting the crosstalk reduction, we performed a parameter sweep using CST Microwave studio. Due to the structure of the meander split on the ground plane, the vertical length $l_{tot}$ of the meander split cannot be infinitely long. Within the given criteria ($l_{tot} = 2 \times w_1 + 2 \times l_2 + l_3$), the vertical length $l_3$ of the meander split gap contributes to the mutual inductance. The width $w_1$ of the meander split gap contributes to the capacitive coupling. We calculated the characteristic impedances and the effective dielectric constants of the slot line as $l_3$ and $w_1$ changes (Table 1) by using the conformal mapping technique [34]. By varying the split gap widths ($w_1$) and length ($l_3$), the frequency characteristics are designed for passband and stopband of the crosstalk at least (Figure 5).

Table 1. Characteristic impedances and relative dielectric constants of the meander slot line.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$l_{tot}$ (mm)</th>
<th>$l_1$ (mm)</th>
<th>$l_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>$l_3$ (mm)</th>
<th>$w_1$ (mm)</th>
<th>$w_2$ (mm)</th>
<th>$w_3$ (mm)</th>
<th>$Z_1$ (Ω)</th>
<th>$Z_2$ (Ω)</th>
<th>$Z_3$ (Ω)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{e1}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{e2}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{e3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Equivalent circuit of the meander gap.

Alternatively, this enhanced coupling phenomenon can be explained using slot line modes excited in the split. Based on the previous analysis and the scheme of the meander structure, the transmission line equivalent circuit model of the meander split gap can be derived in Figure 4. The meander gap away from the signal line is modeled simply by a series of periodic transmission lines with alternately varying characteristic impedances $Z_1$, $Z_2$, $Z_3$, effective dielectric constants $\varepsilon_{e1}$, $\varepsilon_{e2}$, $\varepsilon_{e3}$ and mutual inductances $L_m$. To observe the vertical length $l_3$ and width $w_1$ of the split gap affecting the crosstalk reduction, we performed a parameter sweep using CST Microwave studio. Due to the structure of the meander split on the ground plane, the vertical length $l_{tot}$ of the meander split cannot be infinitely long. Within the given criteria ($l_{tot} = 2 \times w_1 + 2 \times l_2 + l_3$), the vertical length $l_3$ of the meander split gap contributes to the mutual inductance. The width $w_1$ of the meander split gap contributes to the capacitive coupling. We calculated the characteristic impedances and the effective dielectric constants of the slot line as $l_3$ and $w_1$ changes (Table 1) by using the conformal mapping technique [34]. By varying the split gap widths ($w_1$) and length ($l_3$), the frequency characteristics are designed for passband and stopband of the crosstalk at least (Figure 5).
and mutual inductances \( L_m \). To observe the vertical length \( l_3 \) and width \( w_1 \) of the split gap affecting the crosstalk reduction, we performed a parameter sweep using CST Microwave studio. Due to the structure of the meander split on the ground plane, the vertical length \( l_{tot} \) of the meander split cannot be infinitely long. Within the given criteria (\( l_{tot} = 2 \times w_1 + 2 \times l_2 + l_3 \)), the vertical length \( l_3 \) of the meander split contributes to the mutual inductance. The width \( w_1 \) of the meander split gap contributes to the capacitive coupling. We calculated the characteristic impedances and the effective dielectric constants of the slot line as \( l_3 \) and \( w_1 \) changes (Table 1) by using the conformal mapping technique [34]. By varying the split gap widths (\( w_1 \)) and length (\( l_3 \)), the frequency characteristics are designed for passband and stopband of the crosstalk at least (Figure 5).

![Figure 4. Transmission line equivalent circuit of the meander gap.](image)

![Figure 5. S31 (near-end crosstalk) and S41 (far-end crosstalk) of the meander split plane with length \( l_3 \) and \( w_1 \) changed. (a) S31; (b) S41.](image)

Based on the equivalent circuit of the meander gap, the crosstalk equivalent circuit of Figure 6 can be derived for the meander split on the ground plane. The split gap can be modeled by a slot line with a transformer, which is excited by the signal line above [11]. If the spatial period of the meander structure is small enough, the signal line crosses over the split gap in a number of points, which can be modeled by transformers. It shows that if a signal line crosses over the meander split gap on the ground plane, it excites a transverse electromagnetic (TEM) mode in the meander split gap, which has a structure similar to the slot lines. The excited modes propagate in either direction and are scattered at the corners of the meander line, which impede the propagating modes. The split gap right under the signal line can be regarded as a coupled line structure, of which coupling strength can be changed by varying the longitudinal length dimension of the split.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( l_{tot} (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( l_1 (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( l_2 (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( l_3 (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( w_1 (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( w_2 (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( w_3 (\text{mm}) )</th>
<th>( Z_1 (\Omega) )</th>
<th>( Z_2 (\Omega) )</th>
<th>( Z_3 (\Omega) )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon_{e1} )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon_{e2} )</th>
<th>( \varepsilon_{e3} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Results

3.1. Simulation Results of the Equivalent Circuit

Figure 7 shows the effectiveness of the equivalent circuit of Figures 4 and 5. The crosstalk behaviors of the circuit model simulated using Agilent ADS and measurement show good overall agreement about the peak and zero. At low frequencies below about 3 GHz, the LC equivalent circuit model of Figure 4 was closer to the measured result than the transmission line equivalent model of Figure 5. At high frequencies, the transmission line model was close to the measured result. The mutual inductance effect is proportional to the vertical length of the meander split and their relationship is calculated by the linear interpolation from the simulation and measured result. The crosstalk simulation result of the circuit model is slightly overestimated compared to the measured result because of the interpolation residual error of the mutual inductance value.
3.2. Reduction of Crosstalk According to the Vertical Length and Width of the Meander Split Gap

The effect of reducing the crosstalk is proportional to the vertical length \( l_3 \) and \( l_2 \) and inversely proportional to the split gap width \( w_2 \). We showed the effectiveness of those design parameters using CST Microwave studio. We set the discrete ports at the start and end point of the transmission line equivalent circuit of the meander slot line in Figure 4. Figure 8 shows the more narrowly split gap width \( w_2 \) that increases capacitive coupling and the longer length of \( l_3 \) and \( l_2 \) that causes destructive magnetic coupling with a shortened circuit line and less lateral wave propagation. The shortened circuit transmission lines \( l_2 \) have reactance that impedes lateral wave propagation (Figure 8c). By increasing the number of cells \( N \) of the meander slot line, as well as varying the meander line length and split gap widths, the excited slot line mode is suppressed or attenuated (Figure 8d).

Therefore, the reduction of crosstalk at the lower and upper frequency has improved, which is desirable for digital signal transmission. The meander split gaps away from the signal line attenuate the scattered waves entering the slot line. Thanks to the evanescent mode, the periodic resonant behavior disappears, which effectively helps the signal transmission and reduces the crosstalk.

These differences come from the enhanced electromagnetic coupling between neighboring slot line sections of split planes. The meander split structure increases capacitive coupling due to the narrow split gap width, and increased length of the interaction causes destructive magnetic coupling due to the oppositely directed currents on nearby slot line sections. The capacitive coupling decreases impedance across the split gap, and the magnetic coupling increases impedances along the split. With the increased impedance, the return current cannot spread perpendicularly to the signal line. The effect causes the return current to be formed near the signal line, which helps the return current flow across the split gap without detouring. The localized return current improves signal integrity of the line traces formed over the split more effectively than the straight split.
3.2. Reduction of Crosstalk According to the Vertical Length and Width of the Meander Split Gap

The effect of reducing the crosstalk is proportional to the vertical length $l_3$ and $l_2$ and inversely proportional to the split gap width $w_2$. We showed the effectiveness of those design parameters using CST Microwave studio. We set the discrete ports at the start and end point of the transmission line equivalent circuit of the meander slot line in Figure 4. Figure 8 shows the more narrowly split gap width $w_2$ that increases capacitive coupling and the longer length of $l_3$ and $l_2$ that causes destructive magnetic coupling with a shortened circuit line and less lateral wave propagation. The shortened circuit transmission lines ($l_2$) have reactance that impedes lateral wave propagation (Figure 8c). By increasing the number of cells (N) of the meander slot line, as well as varying the meander line length and split gap widths, the excited slot line mode is suppressed or attenuated (Figure 8d).

Therefore, the reduction of crosstalk at the lower and upper frequency has improved, which is desirable for digital signal transmission. The meander split gaps away from the signal line attenuate the scattered waves entering the slot line. Thanks to the evanescent mode, the periodic resonant behavior disappears, which effectively helps the signal transmission and reduces the crosstalk.

3.3. Time Domain Crosstalk Simulation Results

The crosstalk can be explained by the current distribution on the printed circuit board. We used CST Microwave studio to obtain the current distributions of the two types of circuit boards. Figure 9 shows the surface current distribution at 2 GHz. In the case of the straight split gap, currents flow along the edge of the split and reflect at the open ends of the PCB, which enhances coupling between the two parallel signal lines and causes crosstalk. For the meander split gap, most currents cross over the meander split gap near the signal line, which shows the effectiveness of the proposed structure. Following the meander DGS split gap, the increased impedance caused by currents with alternating directions causes the crosstalk signal to be reduced effectively.

The crosstalk behavior of the transmission line equivalent circuit model for the meander DGS split is simulated in the time domain by using Agilent ADS in Figure 10. We excited a source pulse voltage $1V_{p-p}$ with 50 ohm and checked the voltage of $V_3$ (port #3) and $V_4$ (port #4). Figure 11 shows when the fast pulse signal is excited, the proposed meander DGS split decreases the crosstalk voltage at port #3 and #4 over 50% more than the straight split.
Figure 8. Lateral wave attenuation of the unit cell of the meander slot line obtained by Figure 4. (a) Split gap width \( w_2 \) changed (when \( l_1 = 0.25 \) mm, \( l_2 = 0.4 \) mm, \( l_3 = 30 \) mm, \( w_1 = 2.1 \) mm, \( w_2 = w_3 \)); (b) length \( l_3 \) changed (when \( l_1 = 0.25 \) mm, \( l_2 = 0.4 \) mm, \( w_1 = 2.1 \) mm, \( w_2 = w_3 = 0.2 \) mm); (c) length \( l_2 \) changed (when \( l_1 = 0.25 \) mm, \( l_3 = 2 \) mm, \( w_1 = 2.1 \) mm, \( w_2 = w_3 = 0.2 \) mm); (d) number of cells changed (when \( l_1 = 0.25 \) mm, \( l_2 = 0.4 \) mm, \( l_3 = 30 \) mm, \( w_1 = 2.1 \) mm, \( w_2 = w_3 = 0.2 \) mm.

These differences come from the enhanced electromagnetic coupling between neighboring slot line sections of split planes. The meander split structure increases capacitive coupling due to the narrow split gap width, and increased length of the interaction causes destructive magnetic coupling due to the oppositely directed currents on nearby slot line sections. The capacitive coupling decreases impedance across the split gap, and the magnetic coupling increases impedances along the split. With the increased impedance, the return current cannot spread perpendicularly to the signal line. The effect causes the return current to be formed near the signal line, which helps the return current flow across the split gap without detouring. The localized return current improves signal integrity of the line traces formed over the split more effectively than the straight split.

3.3. Time Domain Crosstalk Simulation Results

The crosstalk can be explained by the current distribution on the printed circuit board. We used CST Microwave studio to obtain the current distributions of the two types of circuit boards. Figure 9 shows the surface current distribution at 2 GHz. In the case of the straight split gap, currents flow along the edge of the split and reflect at the open ends of the PCB, which enhances coupling between the two parallel signal lines and causes crosstalk. For the meander split gap, most currents cross over the meander split gap near the signal line, which shows the effectiveness of the proposed structure. Following the meander DGS split gap, the increased impedance caused by currents with alternating directions causes the crosstalk signal to be reduced effectively.

The crosstalk behavior of the transmission line equivalent circuit model for the meander DGS split is simulated in the time domain by using Agilent ADS in Figure 10. We excited a source pulse voltage 1Vp-p with 50 ohm and checked the voltage of V3 (port #3) and V4 (port #4). Figure 11 shows when the fast pulse signal is excited, the proposed meander DGS split decreases the crosstalk voltage at port #3 and #4 over 50% more than the straight split.

Figure 9. Current distributions on the straight and meander split power or ground plane at 2 GHz. (a) Straight split plane; (b) meander split plane.

Figure 10. Time simulation of the crosstalk signal of the straight and meander split ground plane.

Figure 11. Time domain simulation result of Figure 10.
3.4. Time Domain Crosstalk Measurement Results

In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed split method, the crosstalk behavior of the straight and proposed split was observed experimentally in the time domain. The measurements were made by a digital oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS 6604B) and a RF signal generator (Rohde&Schwarz SML 03). The characteristic of the input RF signal is as follows. The frequency is 2 GHz, amplitude is 0.8 V. The input port #1 is fed into the RF signal generator in Figure 1. All the other ends of the lines such as port #2 (transmission), port #3 (near-end crosstalk) and port #4 (far-end crosstalk) are fed into the digital oscilloscope. Figure 12 shows the peak-to-peak voltage of the transmission signal of the meander split is larger than the straight split and it reduces near/far end crosstalk by over 50%. This behavior demonstrates that the proposed meander split power/ground plane can effectively eliminate the reflection and crosstalk due to a split gap and increase transmission bandwidth in the time domain.
In order to demonstrate the effectiveness of the proposed split method, the crosstalk behavior of the straight and proposed split was observed experimentally in the time domain. The measurements were made by a digital oscilloscope (Tektronix TDS 6604B) and a RF signal generator (Rohde & Schwarz SML 03). The characteristic of the input RF signal is as follows. The frequency is 2 GHz, amplitude is 0.8 V. The input port #1 is fed into the RF signal generator in Figure 1. All the other ends of the lines such as port #2 (transmission), port #3 (near-end crosstalk) and port #4 (far-end crosstalk) are fed into the digital oscilloscope. Figure 12 shows the peak-to-peak voltage of the transmission signal of the meander split is larger than the straight split and it reduces near/far end crosstalk by over 50%. This behavior demonstrates that the proposed meander split power/ground plane can effectively eliminate the reflection and crosstalk due to a split gap and increase transmission bandwidth in the time domain.

Figure 12. Comparison of the transmission and crosstalk signal with the straight and meander split power or ground plane. (a) Transmission signal measured at port #2; (b) near-end crosstalk signal measured at port #3; (c) far-end crosstalk signal measured at port #4.

4. Conclusions

A novel meander DGS is proposed to improve the transmission bandwidth and reduce the crosstalk of the two parallel microstrip lines over split power/ground planes. It employs a meander split to enhance electromagnetic coupling and suppress propagation of lateral waves to make signal return current flow across the split formed in the power/ground plane along the signal line in proximity, which helps reduce the crosstalk effectively. We have presented a design method for the main parameters $l_3$ and $w_2$ of the meander DGS that enhanced electromagnetic coupling and reduced the crosstalk. We developed the LC equivalent circuit and transmission line equivalent circuit model for analyzing the crosstalk. The validity of the equivalent circuit model was verified by comparison with measurement and simulation results and it shows overall good agreement with slight overestimation due to the mutual inductance interpolation error. Experimental results show that the proposed meander DGS improves signal quality and reduces near/far end crosstalk over 30 dB up to 9 GHz and 50% in the frequency domain and time domain, respectively.
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