A Compact Semi-Circular and Arc-Shaped Slot Antenna for Heterogeneous RF Front-Ends
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Abstract: In this paper, a new miniaturized compact dual-band microstrip slot antenna is presented. To achieve the dual-band characteristics, two adjunct partial arc-shaped small slots are joined to two main circular slots embedded in the ground of the antenna structure. With a reduced size of 30 × 28.5 × 0.8 mm³, the proposed antenna presents a dual-band characteristic. The design is optimized using a High Frequency Structure Simulator (HFSS) followed by experimental verifications. An impedance bandwidth, for S11 ≤ 10 dB, that covers the 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz bands is accomplished, which makes the proposed antenna basically suitable for hand-held devices and medical applications. More applications such as digital communication system (DCS) 1.71–1.88 GHz, personal communication services (PCS) 1.85–1.99 GHz, Universal and mobile telecommunications system UMTS 1.92–2.17 GHz, Bluetooth 2.4–2.5 GHz, and Wi-Fi 2.4–2.454 GHz, Industrial Scientific and Medical radio frequency (RF) band ISM-2.4 GHz, Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN-2.4) are possible by simply changing one of the geometrical antenna dimensions. The antenna is characterized by stable radiation patterns as well.
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1. Introduction

Conventional microstrip antennas have the attractive features of a low profile, light weight, ease of analysis and fabrication, and ease of integration into microwave devices. However, they exhibit some limitations such as single resonance frequency, low impedance bandwidth, low gain, larger size, and polarization impurity. Among the planar antenna structures, the slot antenna is one of the most promising candidates for multi-band antenna applications because, in addition to the aforementioned attractive qualities, they inherently possess larger bandwidths and occupy less space which makes them promising components for microwave applications [1–10]. In this frame, various designs of multi-band slot antennas have been proposed [11–19]. Moreover, several techniques have been proposed in the literature to enhance the parameters of conventional microstrip slot antennas.
and realize the multi-band function, these include using new feeding techniques [20], Defected Ground Structures (DGS) [10] [21–23], parasitic elements [24,25], Metamaterial [26,27], etc. Dual or multi-band characteristics are in great demand for various applications such as wireless local area networks (Bluetooth, WLAN, and WiMAX) and Industrial Scientific and Medical (ISM) applications. In modern communication standards a single antenna system that can cover all these bands is considered highly necessary, not only to cover several frequency bands but also to reduce the physical size of the system. A literature survey shows that planar antennas are promising candidates to realize broadband or multi-band functions to cover up multi-standard services. Several dual- and/or multi-band slot antenna designs have demonstrated their superior performances for the desired multi-band requirements [28,29]. A compact CPW-fed triple-band antenna for diversity applications is reported in [30], triple-band antennas in [31,32] and quad-band in [33,34] and broadband circular polarization [35], for example. The dual-band characteristic of these kind of antennas may be achieved by joining small resonant slots to the body of the main one [36] or etching several stubs on the large slots [14]. Slot antennas triple-band characteristic is also possible by etching three folded slots on the ground plane such as the designs reported in [15,16], or several stubs on the slots [17]. Quad-band slot antennas are also possible using the above mentioned techniques [3,19]. Better impedance matching may be achieved by inserting open-ended stubs [37]. Generally speaking, slot antennas have larger bandwidth (BW) than the microstrip antennas because of their lower quality factors due to the bidirectional radiation characteristics [4,35]. Combinations of slots and strips on the feeding side can generate circular polarization [4].

In this paper, we present the technique of introducing small arc-shaped slots in the antenna structure to realize a new high gain miniaturized dual-band radiating antenna. The antenna design consists of two main semi-circular slots. Two adjunct small arc-shaped slots are asymmetrically and partially etched round these two main slots to realize the dual-band function and obtain a small sized-structure. The antenna is fed by a stubbed microstrip line for a better impedance matching in the operating bands. The proposed slot antenna covers the desirable 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz bands for hand-held devices and medical applications.

2. Proposed Antenna Geometry and Summarized Results

The geometry of the slot antenna design is shown in Figure 1. In this design, two main semi-circular slots are etched on a copper plane. A feeding L-shaped 50Ω microstrip line is printed on the other side of the substrate as this approach enables ease of impedance matching and other useful features [38]. The feed line is stubbed for a better impedance matching. Two adjunct arc-shaped slots are asymmetrically etched partially round the two slots. Two angular parameters: \(\theta_{11} + \theta_{12}\) and \(\theta_{21} + \theta_{22}\) are attributed to the two arc-shaped slots to control their locations, lengths and widths with the aid of the parameters \(r_1, \phi_1\) and \(r_2, \phi_2\), respectively. The whole structure is designed and realized on an FR4 30 × 28.5 × 0.8 mm\(^3\) substrate with a relative permittivity \(\varepsilon_r = 4.4\) and loss tangent of 0.017.
2.1. Effect of the Main Slots and Adjunct Arc-Shaped Slots

The objective of this work is to realize a miniaturized dual-band antenna that covers the bands 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz for mobile and medical applications, and solutions with stable radiation patterns. In order to examine the effect of introducing the semi-circular slots and arcs in the radiating structure, the different steps of the antenna design procedure and the comparative study results are presented by Figures 2–4. The first antenna (Figure 2a) is a structure with simple partial circular slots etched in the ground plane and the second one (Figure 2b) is the final proposed structure, where two additional arc-shaped slots are cut round the two main slots. Figure 3a,b shows the $S_{11}$ coefficient and gain, respectively, for the two structures. The first antenna shows a better return loss coefficient at about 2.2 GHz with a gain varying between 1.04 dBi and 4.9 dBi in the frequency range 1.75–4 GHz. The final structure (Figure 2b) manifests itself as a dual-band antenna that operates at the desired 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz bands with simulated gains of 3.43 and 4.47 dBi, respectively.
The second study aims to investigate the response of the circular slots with arcs according to Figure 4 configuration steps. Three different antennas are designed. In the first structure (Figure 4a), only the slot in the first side of the antenna near the sub miniature version A (SMA) port is considered. In the second structure (Figure 4b), we only consider the slot at the other side of the structure and in Figure 4c, we consider both slots forming our final proposed antenna.

![Figure 4. Comparison of three antennas configurations: (a) first partial slot antenna; (b) second partial slot antenna; and (c) final proposed antenna.](image)

Figure 4a,b shows the compared simulation results of S11 coefficients and gains. We notice that the two antennas (a) and (b) are basically mono-band and present operating bands centered at 1.95 GHz and 2.0 GHz, respectively. Moreover, antenna (b) exhibits a very poor gain. The effect of the two main slots and adjunct arc-shaped slots is clear on the properties of the antennas.

![Figure 5. (a) Simulated S11 of the three antennas depicted in Figure 4; (b) Simulated gain of the three antennas depicted in Figure 4.](image)

2.2. Equivalent Circuit Model

In order to analyze the true behavior of the system, co-simulation of the antenna and other RF front-end components is necessary. It is necessary to draw the equivalent circuit model of the antenna, because time domain simulators such as Advanced Design System (ADS) and SPICE are used for majority of the RF front-end design. The equivalent circuit model of the proposed dual-band antenna is shown in Figure 6a. We excited two modes of the antenna using microstrip transmission line in the electromagnetic (EM) model simulations. The two modes of the antenna are represented by the two resistor, inductor and capacitor (RLC) section in the equivalent circuit model [39] as shown in Figure 6a. The coupling associated between two modes of the antenna is represented as the resonant circuit (LC) section [40]. The LC section is responsible for the strong or weak coupling between the modes. The impedance transformer shows the matching of the source with the antenna.
The optimized components of the equivalent circuit model is illustrated in Figure 6a. The impedance results of the EM model and equivalent circuit model is compared in Figure 6b. We can see that the results match well in the two resonating modes. We can see that there are several modes in the EM model and only two modes in equivalent circuit model. The reason behind two modes in the equivalent circuit model is that we have designed our equivalent circuit model keeping in mind the two resonating modes only.

2.3. Parametric Study

A parametric study was carried out to examine the effects of the main slots as well as the arc-shaped ones on the size reduction coefficient and return loss of the antenna. A direct relationship between the slots parameters and the characteristics of the antenna is noticed. These parameters could control the antenna characteristics by modifying the radial and angular dimensions of the slots.

Note that the position and the size of the two arc-shaped slots are very influential. The characteristics of the antenna may be controlled using the two parameters $\phi_1$ and $\phi_2$ attributed to Arc1 and Arc2, respectively. While Arc1 has a significant effect around 1.8 GHz (Figure 7a,b) and can also realize a tri-band characteristic, Arc2 presents the same effect but around 2.4 GHz and helps shift the 1.8 GHz band towards higher frequencies.

![Figure 6.](image)

Figure 6. (a) Equivalent Circuit Model of the dual-band antenna; (b) Impedance comparison of the circuit model and electromagnetic (EM) model.
The length of the two arcs as well as their widths \((r_1, r_2, w_{11}, \text{ and } w_{12})\) have almost the same effect around 1.8 GHz, but only Arc1 can affect the \(S_{11}\) around 2.4 GHz (Figure 8a–d). The spacing between the arcs and the ground plane \((w_{12} \text{ and } w_{22})\) affects \(S_{11}\) in the same way. These remarks are certified by the behavior of the current density, which showed the relationship between the two arcs and the frequencies 1.8 GHz and 2.4 GHz (Figure 8e,f).
According to the proposed antenna parametric study, it is easy to reach any frequency in the band 1.8–2.6 GHz by simply modifying the dimensions of the antenna. Moreover, we can realize a single, double or triple band antenna centered at: 1.8, 1.9, 2, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 GHz for digital communication system (DCS) (1.71–1.88 GHz), personal communication services (PCS) (1.85–1.99 GHz), UMTS (1.92–2.17 GHz), Bluetooth (2.4–2.5 GHz), Wi-Fi (2.4–2.454 GHz), ISM (2.4 GHz), and WLAN (2.4 GHz).

The surface current density at operating frequencies is investigated to better understand the operation mode of the proposed dual-band antenna, as shown in Figures 9a,b. Figure 9a shows that the highest current density is observed along the quarter length of the feed line and the two arc-shaped slots at 1.8 GHz. For 2.4 GHz, the current is mainly concentrated along the microstrip line and the two arc-shaped slots, as shown in Figure 9b. By analyzing the current flux plot at 1.8 and 2.4 GHz, we can conclude that coupling between the arc-shaped slots and the excitation line generates two simultaneous resonance frequencies.
Figure 9. Surface current behavior at: (a) 1.8 GHz and (b) 2.4 GHz.

Measuring the effective lengths corresponding to the current paths represented by the black lines in Figure 10, we find respectively: $L_1 = 57\text{mm}$ which corresponds to a frequency of $f_1 = 2.51\text{ GHz}$ and $L_2 = 89\text{mm}$ which corresponds to a frequency of $f_2 = 1.61\text{ GHz}$.

Figure 10. Current paths and antenna effective electric lengths.

According to the radiation pattern plots presented in Figure 11, it is noted that the antenna is omni-directional in the XY plane (H plane) with a weak cross polarization. In the XZ plane (E plane), the radiation pattern is stable with a weak cross polarization as well. Whereas, for the YZ plane, the cross polarization is slightly higher.
To validate the simulated results, an antenna prototype is realized as shown in Figure 12a,b and measurements were performed using an HP8510C vector network analyzer.

In general, and from Figure 13a,b, measurements of the dual-band antenna $S_{11}$ coefficient and gain coincide well with simulations. For $S_{11}$, a slight shift of less than 11 MHz between simulations and measurements is observed. For the gain, the obtained results of simulations and measurements for the two frequency bands are: 4.5 and 4.34 dB for 1.8 GHz and 5.29 and 5.09 dB for 2.4 GHz.
respectively. The measured gains of the prototype were carried out in a far-field anechoic chamber using a calibrated EMCO type 3115 broadband horn as the reference antenna. The reference fixed antenna was a broadband horn (EMCO type 3115) positioned at 4 m from the antenna under test. We have scanned for three orthogonal planes. For each plane a co-polar and cross-polar gain components were measured.

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13.** Comparison between simulated and measured results of: (a) $S_{11}$ and (b) gain of the proposed dual-band antenna.

2.4. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Analysis

As we have seen in the parametric analysis section, that the antenna can be used as a single, double or triple band antenna centered at: 1.8, 1.9, 2, 2.2, 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 GHz, like Digital Cellular System DCS (1.71–1.88 GHz), PCS (1.85–1.99 GHz), UMTS (1.92–2.17 GHz), Bluetooth (2.4–2.5 GHz), and Wi-Fi (2.4–2.454 GHz) spectrum Industrial Scientific and Medical radio frequency band (ISM–2.4 GHz), Wireless Local Area Network (WLAN-2.4). The above mentioned bands are commonly used in hand-held modern devices. It is useful to study the impact of the electromagnetic radiations on the human body at these frequencies.

In this work, we only studied the SAR for 1.8 and 2.4 GHz. We modeled a three layer human model using properties of skin, fat and muscle [41,42] as shown in Figure 14a. We placed the antenna at a distance of 5 mm from the skin to avoid direct contact with the skin layer. As can be seen from the Figure 14b, the proposed antenna has 10-g peak SAR values of 1.49 and 1.33 W/kg at 1.8 and 2.4 GHz, respectively for input power of 1 W. According to IEEE C95.1-2005, the SAR values should not exceed 2 W/kg for 10-g sample and 1.6 W/kg for 1-g sample [43]. From the peak SAR values, it is clear that the proposed antenna is safe to be used in the any hand-held device with input power not more than 1.34 W.

![Figure 14](image)

**Figure 14.** On-body analysis of the proposed antenna: (a) simulation setup for on-body analysis (b) 10-g SAR distributions at 1.8 and 2.4 GHz.
Let us conclude this part with a comparison of the size, operating bands and gain of our antenna with those realized or simulated available in the literature. It is clear from Table 1 that our proposed structure presents a good compromise between miniaturization and the significant gain obtained compared to the reported studies. Our antenna structure is well miniaturized and has the best ratio gain/size. By comparing with higher gain antenna cases, close to ours, presented in [2, 5, 6, 17, 23, 44], our proposed antenna size is reduced by more than three times. However, for reported antennas with size close to ours [3, 30, 45, 46, 9, 10, 13, 18, 26], the proposed antenna presents a higher gain.

Table 1. Antenna dimensions and properties comparison with published data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference, Year</th>
<th>Total Area (mm²)</th>
<th>Centered Operating Bands (GHz)</th>
<th>Peak Gain in dBi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[2], 2001</td>
<td>90 × 75</td>
<td>1.8, 2.4/5.6</td>
<td>6/4.2/4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5], 2008</td>
<td>75 × 75</td>
<td>2.4/5</td>
<td>4.5/6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[17], 2010</td>
<td>75 × 75</td>
<td>2.4–3.0/3.25–3.68/4.9–6.2</td>
<td>3.86/3.52/4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[23], 2014</td>
<td>70 × 40</td>
<td>2.4/5.5</td>
<td>1.99/3.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[12], 2014</td>
<td>30 × 30</td>
<td>2.4/5.2/5.8</td>
<td>not reported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28], 2014</td>
<td>40 × 50</td>
<td>3.5/5.2</td>
<td>2.84/0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[3], 2015</td>
<td>48 × 18</td>
<td>1.6/2.45/3.6/5.5</td>
<td>3.05/3.5/4.2/4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[30], 2015</td>
<td>26 × 25</td>
<td>2.5/3.5/5.5</td>
<td>1.73/1.86/2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[44], 2015</td>
<td>55 × 50</td>
<td>2.54/3.55/5.7</td>
<td>5.71/16.6/4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[45], 2015</td>
<td>31 × 14</td>
<td>2.5/3.5/5.5</td>
<td>nearly 2.9/3.1/4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29], 2016</td>
<td>30 × 15</td>
<td>2.45/3.19–6.44</td>
<td>0.2/2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27], 2016</td>
<td>38 × 38</td>
<td>2.4/3.5/5.8</td>
<td>1.52/1.6/1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[46], 2017</td>
<td>22 × 40</td>
<td>2.45/3.49/5.13/5.81</td>
<td>1.72/2/0.8/2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[33], 2018</td>
<td>56 × 44</td>
<td>3.1/5.52/7.31/9.72</td>
<td>1.35/1/0.1/0.7/1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[9], 2018</td>
<td>45 × 17</td>
<td>0.868/2.4</td>
<td>1.18/2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[10], 2018</td>
<td>20 × 21</td>
<td>913–934/1.5–1.59/2.43–2.50</td>
<td>0.32/1.2/1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[13], 2018</td>
<td>28 × 30</td>
<td>1.6/2.5/5.8/9.5</td>
<td>2.9/2.4/3.1/1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[18], 2018</td>
<td>32 × 32</td>
<td>3.5/5.9/6.7/8.5/9.8</td>
<td>1.2/1.6/2.1/2.5/2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[34], 2018</td>
<td>57.2 × 31.2</td>
<td>0.8/2.4/3.5/5.5</td>
<td>-8.12/-1.31/1.46/3.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[36], 2018</td>
<td>40 × 40</td>
<td>2.16–3.42</td>
<td>2–3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[4], 2019</td>
<td>40 × 45</td>
<td>2.2–2.6/3.21–3.5/3.8–6.38</td>
<td>2.2/4.3/6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[6], 2019</td>
<td>110 × 89</td>
<td>1.8/2.4</td>
<td>6.31/7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[11], 2019</td>
<td>220 × 220</td>
<td>0.9–6.1</td>
<td>Between 3.5–7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26], 2019</td>
<td>35 × 30</td>
<td>2.3/2.7/3.5/3.8/4.3/5.6</td>
<td>2.3/2.7/3.5/3.8/4.3/5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[32], 2019</td>
<td>16.45 × 16</td>
<td>1.89/3.5/5.5</td>
<td>0.136/2.12/3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26], 2019</td>
<td>35 × 30</td>
<td>2.3/2.7/3.5/3.8/4.3/5.6</td>
<td>2.2/2.5/3.4/3.2/3.5/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Our proposed antenna</td>
<td>30 × 28.5</td>
<td>1.8/2.4</td>
<td>4.39/5.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Conclusions

In this work, we proposed a new slot antenna design for dual-band operation. Two adjunct arc-shaped slots are added to two main semi-circular slots, all etched on the ground plane to realize an appropriate adjustment of the desired frequency bands. Thus, two resonant frequencies centered at 1.8 and 2.4 GHz have been achieved resulting in a dual-band antenna. A radiation peak gain of 4.39/5.09 dB has been obtained. The key design advantages, including size reduction, simple configuration, adequate gain, and dual-band feature make the proposed antenna an excellent candidate with potential features for current and future applications.
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