Near Field Wireless Powering of Deep Medical Implants
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Abstract: This study deals with the inductive-based wireless power transfer (WPT) technology applied to power a deep implant with no fixed position. The usage of a large primary coil is here proposed in order to obtain a nearly uniform magnetic field inside the human body at intermediate frequencies (IFs). A simple configuration of the primary coil, derived by the Helmholtz theory, is proposed. Then, a detailed analysis is carried out to assess the compliance with electromagnetic field (EMF) safety standards. General guidelines on the design of primary and secondary coils are provided for powering or charging a deep implant of cylindrical shape with or without metal housing. Finally, three different WPT coil demonstrators have been fabricated and tested. The obtained results have demonstrated the validity of the proposed technology.
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1. Introduction

The wireless power transfer (WPT) technology can be applied to power or charge an active implantable medical device (AIMD). With this technology, the power can be transferred from the on-body transmitter to the in-body AIMD equipped with a receiver [1–10]. There are mainly two different approaches for this kind of application. The first one is based on the inductive coupling between two coils: the primary coil is worn by the patient, while the secondary coil is installed in the AIMD. The performance of the inductive power transfer is significantly improved using magnetic resonant coupling (MRC) between the primary and secondary coils at intermediate frequencies (IFs), i.e., 10 kHz–10 MHz [1–8].

The other popular WPT technology used for biomedical applications is based on midfield wireless powering (MWP) [9,10]. This last technology relays the properties of the field propagation inside biological tissues at radiofrequency (RF) that permits creation of a high-energy density region deep in tissues suitable to energize an AIMD.

Both WPT technologies have pros and cons. The MRC technology has the advantage of operating at IFs where the field attenuation in biological tissues is quite negligible. It can also be applied for high power transfer, but when powering deep implants it has a big limitation due to the exponential decay of the magnetic field produced by a planar coil. Therefore, when using a wearable small size coil, the inductive WPT technology cannot efficiently power deep implants, while it is very suitable for subcutaneous AIMDs, such as pacemakers [1–6]. On the other hand, the MWP technology [9,10] is
very powerful for deep microimplants, but it is not very good for powering AIMDs in motion, with a variable position or with a metal housing.

A possible solution to power deep implant devices by MRC is the use of a primary coil with large dimensions that can generate a quite uniform magnetic field in a wide part of the body as the trunk or the head \[7,8\]. Currently, the use of large primary coils is applied to power only capsule endoscopes, which are characterized by low power and motion. Operating at IFs, the electromagnetic field deeply penetrates in the human body. This approach is, therefore, suitable for powering deep implants, no matter where they are placed or whether they are in motion or not. Unfortunately, the use of a large primary coil could have a significant impact on human safety, and hence it is necessary to assess the compliance with electromagnetic field (EMF) safety standards \[11-13\].

The starting point of the proposed method is the selection of the most suitable operating frequency that maximizes the WPT performance without exceeding the EMF safety limits. To this aim, an engineering solution for the MWP system has been addressed in \[9,10\], where the optimal frequency has been derived by a careful analysis of cost-benefit ratio. However, in MWP the frequency is much higher than 10 MHz and antennas are used for millimeter size receivers. Currently, no similar studies are available in the literature for MRC systems applied to power AIMDs at IFs. In the past, the frequency has been varied only to optimize the WPT performance without taking into account EMF safety issues \[14\].

The main novelty of the present study is the procedure to select the optimal frequency to wirelessly power deep implants using the near-field MRC technology. This result is achieved by maximizing the transferred power while being compliant with the EMF exposure limits.

Moreover, a new design of both on-body primary coil and in-body secondary coil is proposed. The electro-geometrical configuration of the transmitting coil is optimized to maximize the spatial region inside the human body where it is possible to power AIMDs in motion efficiently. General guidelines for the design of the secondary coil are also provided to enhance the transferred power reducing weight and size of a cylindrical shaped AIMD. The proposed application is particularly original as the considered AIMD can be with or without a metallic housing with or without ferrite cover, such as in the case of a leadless pacemaker that has been recently introduced in the market. Finally, three different WPT coil demonstrators have been fabricated and tested to verify the validity of the proposed method.

2. Wireless Power Transfer (WPT) System Design

2.1. Electromagnetic Field Model

To investigate the performance of a WPT system to power deep medical implants, it is necessary to use advanced electromagnetic field models due to the configuration complexity. When modeling a dispersive medium like the human body the electric and magnetic fields at IFs are described by:

\[
\nabla \times \frac{1}{\mu} \nabla \times \mathbf{A} + j \omega \sigma \mathbf{A} + \sigma \nabla V = \mathbf{J}_s \tag{1a}
\]

\[
\mathbf{B} = \nabla \times \mathbf{A} \tag{1b}
\]

\[
\mathbf{E} = -j \omega \mathbf{A} - \nabla V \tag{1c}
\]

where \( \omega = 2\pi f \) is the angular frequency, \( \mathbf{A} \) is the magnetic vector potential, \( \mathbf{J}_s \) the source current density, \( V \) the electric potential, \( \mu \) the magnetic permeability and \( \sigma \) the electrical conductivity. The physical constants of biological tissues are frequency-dependent. Tissues properties at the frequency of interest can be found in \[15\].

Equation (1a) together with the current density continuity equation can be solved numerically by many commercial software tools, as those based on the finite element method (FEM).
The EMF safety of a WPT system requires the compliance with the basic restrictions (BRs) for the general public according to the ICNIRP guidelines and IEEE-TC95.1 standard. The BRs are given in terms of specific absorption rate (SAR) and internal electric field [11–13]. The electric field is obtained by (1c), while the SAR is calculated using the following expression:

\[ \text{SAR} = \sigma E^2 / \rho \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where \( E \) is the rms value of the electric field and \( \rho \) the mass density of the considered body tissue.

2.2. Transmitting Side Design

The design of the transmitting system for powering or recharging a deep implant with no fixed position is based on the solution of the following two problems:

1. design of the primary coil that maximizes the working area inside the human body where the AIMD is located;
2. definition of the most suitable operational frequency that allows a deep penetration of the time-varying magnetic field without exceeding the EMF safety limits.

For the inductively-based WPT system, the main goal of the transmitting system design is to cover a large operational zone inside the human body where the magnetic field level must be higher than a prefixed value and spatially constant as much as possible. It is well known that the use of the Helmholtz coil is an adequate solution to obtain a nearly uniform magnetic field [16]. It consists of two identical circular solenoids, series-connected and coaxial, separated by a distance equal to the solenoid radius. The Helmholtz coil theory, developed for static field in air, can be used also in the presence of the human body and for time-variable fields [7,8]. To explain how it would be possible, we have to consider the behavior of the tissue physical constants in the frequency range 10 kHz–10 MHz. Their values, especially the relative permeability and low conductivity, make the human body quasi transparent to time-varying magnetic fields [17]. For these reasons, the Helmholtz coil theory is assumed to be valid also in the presence of the human body.

Taking inspiration from the Helmholtz coil theory, three possible configurations of two series-connected solenoids, purposely adapted for the human body, are considered as shown in Figure 1: top-bottom, front-back, right-left. The first configuration consists in winding the two solenoids around the trunk, one in a lower and the other in an upper position. The front-back configuration consists in positioning one coil in the front of the thorax and the other in the back. The right-left configuration finally consists in positioning both solenoids beside the trunk, one at the right side, and the other at the left side. The top-bottom configuration can be considered the most efficient, for the shape of the solenoids that can be simply worn as a belt or a chest strap, or integrated into a jacket. Obviously, their shape is no longer circular, but quite elliptical fitting the human body. Furthermore, the two solenoids are no longer identical and, in general, they can be adapted for any human body conformation. Brush spring contacts or small pieces of elastic wire can be used to create comfortable and adaptable coils.

Since the ratio radius/distance of the Helmholtz coil theory has no more sense for non-circular solenoids, the separation distance must be adjusted in order to obtain nearly uniform magnetic field in a target zone inside the human body. The resulting configuration will not exactly produce a uniform magnetic field, but it is more than adequate to assure field levels suitable to power deep implants in a wide volume, as well as to power/charge the battery of an AIMD in motion as a leadless pacemaker or similar devices. The only problem could be the reciprocal orientation of the primary coil worn by the patient and the secondary coil in the AIMD. Indeed, when the coil coupling is too low, the WPT efficiency and transferred power can rapidly degrade. This inconvenience can be overcome using multiple primary coils with different axis orientations.
The human body is assumed to be a simple multilayer cylinder with vertical axis. The excitation is given by two series-connected elliptical solenoids with top-bottom configuration.

Another important key factor in the design of deep implants powering system is the choice of the optimal frequency. It depends on many factors, such as: the electro-geometric configuration of the coils and of the human body composed of different biological tissues; the AIMD depth and orientation; the compliance with the BRs; the power to be transferred to the load. For simplicity, the choice of the optimal frequency is first treated assuming the following simplifications:

- the excitation is given by two series-connected elliptical solenoids with top-bottom configuration assuming the human body in upright position;
- the human body is assumed to be a simple multilayer cylinder with vertical axis.

The aim of the procedure is to maximize the induced voltage $V$ in a single turn small loop $S$ representing the secondary coil located inside the human body without exceeding the BRs. The open-ended induced voltage $V$ in the loop is obtained by Faraday’s law of induction in the frequency domain as:

$$ V = -j \omega \phi $$

where $\phi$ is the magnetic flux produced by the magnetomotive force $MMF = N_1 I_1$ generated by the primary coil with $N_1$ turns and current $I_1$, and linked with the loop area $S$. The magnetic flux $\phi$ in the human body is linearly dependent on the $MMF$ and is given by:

$$ \phi = \int_S \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{n} \, dS $$

where $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit normal vector to the loop area $S$.

The induced voltage $V$ could be very large for high values of the $MMF$, but it must be limited in order to be compliant with the BRs of the human exposure standards. In the considered case of two series connected single-turn loops for the primary coil, we have $N_1 = 2$. In the ICNIRP 2010 guidelines, the BRs for the general public are given in terms of internal electric field $E$ averaged over a $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm$^3$ cube for frequencies up to 10 MHz, while in the ICNIRP 1998 the BRs are in terms of localized SAR averaged over a 10 g contiguous tissue for frequencies higher than 100 kHz.

Finally, the IEEE-TC95.1 standard provides both in-situ electric field (averaged over a 5 mm length) and SAR (averaged over 10 g cubic tissue) in the whole frequency range under investigation. To find the maximum induced voltage $V$, the primary coil of the top-bottom configuration has been considered, as schematically shown in Figure 2 where the trunk is schematized by a multilayer elliptic cylinder with semi-major axis $s_{\text{max}} = 180$ mm, semi-minor axis $s_{\text{min}} = 140$ mm, and height $h_c = 600$ mm. The multilayer cylinder is made of three frequency-dispersive biological tissues: inner muscle covered by two thin external layers of fat (thickness $d_{\text{fat}} = 3$ mm) and skin (thickness $d_{\text{skin}} = 2$ mm). The dielectric properties
of muscle and fat are taken from [15], while those of the skin are taken from [18]. The distance between the coil and the torso is assumed as \(d_t = 2\) mm, while that between the two series-connected elliptical solenoids is \(h_t = 100\) mm. The procedure to find the best frequency to power deep implants is described in the following, and schematically summarized in Figure 3. At a considered angular frequency \(\omega\), the 3-D configuration is analyzed by a FEM solver to calculate the magnetic flux density \(\mathbf{B}\) produced by the unit current \(I_1(\omega) = 1\) A flowing through the excitation coils with \(N_1\) turns. Then the current \(I_1(\omega)\) and, therefore, the excitation \(\text{MMF}(\omega) = N_1 I_1(\omega)\), is increased in magnitude until the ICNIRP BRs are not exceeded inside the human body [5]. According to the previously mentioned safety standards, compliance with the BRs needs to be verified in terms of both the induced \(\text{MMF}_{\text{SAR}}(\omega)\) such that the 10 g averaged \(\text{SAR}\) in the human body never exceeds the BR. In analogy, for \(f \geq 100\) kHz, a maximum \(\text{MMF}_{\text{SAR}}(\omega)\) is obtained by increasing \(I_1(\omega)\) such that the 10 g averaged \(\text{SAR}\) never exceeds the BR. For frequencies in the range \(100\) kHz \(< f \leq 10\) MHz, the minimum value between \(\text{MMF}_E(\omega)\) and \(\text{MMF}_{\text{SAR}}(\omega)\) is taken as the maximum admissible excitation \(\text{MMF}_{\text{max}} = \min(\text{MMF}_E, \text{MMF}_{\text{SAR}})\) that assures compliance with both ICNIRP and IEEE BRs. The calculated maximum admissible excitation \(\text{MMF}_{\text{max}}(\omega)\) is shown in Figure 4.

**Figure 2.** Sketch of the electro-geometrical configuration made of a 3D simplified multilayer torso with 2- solenoids (top-bottom) excitation.

**Figure 3.** Scheme of the optimal frequency selection procedure.
For the maximum admissible excitation $MMF_{\text{max}}(\omega)$, the maximum magnetic flux density $B_{\text{max}}(\omega)$ is obtained, as well as the maximum induced voltage in a small loop area $S$ given by $V_{\text{max}}(\omega) = \omega B_{\text{max}} S$. Obviously $V_{\text{max}}(\omega)$ is obtained assuming $B_{\text{max}}$ and $n$ to be parallel in (4). The maps of $V_{\text{max}}(\omega)$ shown in Figure 5 are calculated at different frequencies when assuming the maximum admissible excitation $MMF_{\text{max}}(\omega)$, and the loop having a surface $S = 1 \text{ cm}^2$ occupying a variable position inside the human torso. The results show that the maximum voltage $V_M = \max(V_{\text{max}}(\omega))$ in the considered frequency range occurs at a frequency around 4 MHz and for $MMF_{\text{max}} = 16.7 \text{ At}$. Therefore, $f = 4 \text{ MHz}$ is taken as the optimal frequency for the considered configuration.

The proposed procedure to find the optimal frequency is very general and can be applied for different coil-torso configurations. It is worth mentioning that the optimal frequency has been selected considering a simplified configuration, but it is a good starting point for the WPT design of a deep implant. Furthermore, to avoid small values of the induced voltage due to a bad orientation between $B$ and $n$, other excitations can be adopted, e.g., biaxial or triaxial primary coils, combining some of the configurations shown in Figure 1.

A further analysis is carried out to investigate whether the separation distance $h_t = 100 \text{ mm}$ between the two solenoids was a good design choice. Thus, a sensitivity analysis is performed to maximize the working volume in the human body at $f = 4 \text{ MHz}$ when varying $h_t$. Specifically, three separation distances are considered: a) $h_t = 50 \text{ mm}$; b) $h_t = 100 \text{ mm}$; c) $h_t = 150 \text{ mm}$. The maps of $V_{\text{max}}(\omega)$ obtained for $MMF_{\text{max}}(\omega)$ excitations are shown in Figure 6 and point out as the field decreases significantly at the center of the torso for the configuration a), while a significant lack of field uniformity occurs in configuration c). Thus, the configuration b) confirms to be the best tradeoff between the size of working volume and the field uniformity and is, therefore, adopted in this work.
Once the best primary coil configuration and the optimal frequency have been selected, a more realistic torso model is considered such as a high definition human body model (HBM) of the virtual family (i.e., Duke) [19], as shown in Figure 7a. The HBM model with the coil source is modeled in Sim4Life [20], which allows the solution of the magneto quasi static (MQS) field equations for complex anatomies. With this tool, the maximum admissible $MMF_{max}$ is accurately obtained. At 4 MHz, a value of $MMF_{max} = 23.7$ At is found to be compliant with BRs in terms of both $E$ and SAR. As expected, this value is slightly higher than 16.7 At obtained with the simplified torso of Figure 2 due to the discontinuities in the HBM dielectric properties [21]. The magnetic field distribution inside the Duke model for $MMF_{max}$ excitation is shown in Figure 7b. It should be noted that for the considered leadless devices it is not possible to verify the immunity to the external magnetic field because, in the current standard [22], at the considered frequency the limit is given in terms of peak-to-peak induced voltage $V_{pp}$ at the input port of the pacemaker. However, the absence of the pacing leads makes the system very tolerant to the external magnetic fields. The $E$-field and SAR distributions are shown in Figure 7c,d, respectively. The maximum values of averaged internal electric field $E$ and 10 g averaged SAR for ICNIRP guidelines and IEEE standard are reported in Table 1.

![Figure 6](image_url)  
**Figure 6.** Maps of $V_{max}$ in a small loop ($S = 1\, \text{cm}^2$) inside the human body for different values of the separation distance $h_t$ between the solenoids: (a) $h_t = 50\, \text{mm}$; (b) $h_t = 100\, \text{mm}$; (c) $h_t = 150\, \text{mm}$.

![Figure 7](image_url)  
**Figure 7.** Duke human body model (HBM) with transmitting coil (a), magnetic flux density distribution in dB inside the torso normalized to 100 $\mu$T (b), maps of induced $E$-field (c) and SAR (d) inside Duke’s torso normalized to the peak values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Avg $2 \times 2 \times 2,\text{mm}^3$ $E$ (V/m)</th>
<th>Avg 5 mm $E$ (V/m)</th>
<th>10 g avg SAR (W/kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR Limit</td>
<td>540 (ICNIRP)</td>
<td>835 (IEEE)</td>
<td>2.00 (ICNIRP-IEEE)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.3. Receiving Side Design

In order to improve the system performances, the design of the receiving coil is of paramount importance to take advantage of the magnetic field produced by the primary coil excitation. Some AIMDs, such as leadless pacemakers, have the housing made of titanium to improve electrical safety and to protect the human body from dangerous components as the battery. However, for WPT biomedical applications, the presence of conductive materials can be a strong limitation in terms of transferred power and safety. To overcome this issue, the conductive materials can be replaced, when possible, by other biocompatible non-conductive materials [10]. To analyze the effect of the housing material on the WPT technology, a comparative analysis for a generic AIMD of cylindrical shape is performed, as shown in Figure 8. The hollow cylindrical enclosure has radius \( r_p = 3.5 \) mm, height \( h_p = 30 \) mm and thickness \( t_{hi} = 0.5 \) mm. These dimensions are very close to that of a leadless pacemaker, selected here as demonstrator for the proposed technology. Specifically, three different materials are investigated for the housing:

- Test case #1: silicone;
- Test case #2: titanium;
- Test case #3: titanium covered with an external layer of ferrite with thickness \( t_{fe} = 0.4 \) mm.

The primary magnetic field is generated by two elliptic large coils with semiaxes \( s_{\text{max}} = 180 \) mm and \( s_{\text{min}} = 140 \) mm vertically separated by a distance \( h_l = 100 \) mm (i.e., the same as before). The effect of a coil rotation between the fixed primary coils and the secondary coil is investigated by varying the angle \( \theta \) between the coil axes. The effect of a coil rotation between the fixed primary coils and the secondary coil is investigated by varying the angle \( \theta \) between the coil axes. The primary and secondary coils are parallel for \( \theta = 0^\circ \), but they are not necessarily coaxial. The distribution of the magnetic flux density and the magnetic flux lines are calculated for parallel \( (\theta = 0^\circ) \) and rotated \( (\theta = 60^\circ) \) conditions, as shown in Figure 9. The magnetic flux \( \Phi \) linked with a circular surface having area \( S = 40 \) mm\(^2\) and placed in the center of the device (see Figure 8), is calculated for the three different configurations. The results reported in Table 2 show that the presence of the ferrite strongly improves the coil coupling and the tolerance to misalignment conditions. This is due to the ferrite layer that acts as a magnetic shield creating a preferential path for the flux lines [23,24]. When only a titanium housing is present, the incident magnetic field produced by the transmitting coil generates eddy currents that lead to a reduction of the magnetic coupling. For non-conductive materials, such as silicone, the incident magnetic field is unaltered. Therefore, the use of a ferrite layer covered with biocompatible silicone is the best solution from an electromagnetic point of view, although it is not always compatible with some medical exams, such as the magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Thus, for devices with a more limited lifetime, such as an endoscope capsule, the use of ferrite is a good solution to improve system performances. On the other hand, the presence of ferrite could represent an important limitation for those devices that have an extended lifetime, such as a leadless pacemaker. In the following, a solution to overcome this limitation is proposed. The housing material of the receiving device has a noticeable

![Figure 8. Sketch of a generic cylindrical active implantable medical device (AIMD) with a rotation \( \theta \) between the excitation and the device coil axes.](image-url)
impact on the magnetic field. Thus, an adequate design of the receiving coil is a key factor to obtain the maximum efficiency. Generally, the shape and dimension of the secondary coil are constrained by the external dimension of the considered device. Hence, an optimization is performed using the mathematical model of the WPT system. The electrical performances are derived by the analysis of the equivalent circuits shown in Figure 10a,b for series-series (SS) and series-parallel (SP) compensation topologies, respectively. In this figure, R₁ and R₂ are the primary and secondary coil resistances, L₁ and L₂ are the coil self-inductances, M is the coil mutual inductance, C₁ and C₂ are the compensation capacitors, \( V_G \) and \( R_G \) model the feeding source, and \( R_L \) is the resistive load [1]. When considering the SS compensation of Figure 10a, with capacitances \( C_1 = 1/(\omega_0^2L_1) \) and \( C_2 = 1/(\omega_0^2L_2) \) to assure resonance condition at the resonance angular frequency \( \omega_0 \), the currents on the primary and secondary coils are given by [25]:

\[
I_1 = \frac{V_G}{R_1 + R_G + \omega_0^2M^2 / (R_2 + R_L)} \tag{5a}
\]

\[
I_2 = -\frac{j\omega_0M}{(R_1 + R_G)(R_2 + R_L) + \omega_0^2M^2} V_G \tag{5b}
\]

Figure 9. Magnetic flux lines and B maps in dB for three housing materials for \( \theta = 0^\circ \) (silicone (a), titanium (b), titanium with ferrite cover (c)) and for \( \theta = 60^\circ \) (silicone (d), titanium (e), titanium with ferrite cover (f)).

Table 2. Flux linkage \( \phi \) in Wb vs angle inclination and materials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Angle ( \theta )</th>
<th>Silicone</th>
<th>Titanium</th>
<th>Titanium + Ferrite Cover</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0°</td>
<td>3.62 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>1.15 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>2.69 × 10(^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30°</td>
<td>3.16 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>1.01 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>2.34 × 10(^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60°</td>
<td>1.90 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>6.54 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>1.36 × 10(^{-9})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80°</td>
<td>0.76 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>0.33 × 10(^{-10})</td>
<td>0.48 × 10(^{-9})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The efficiency \( \eta \), defined as the ratio of the active power \( P_{out} \) delivered to the load \( R_L \) over the active power \( P_{in} \) at port 1-1', is given by:

\[
\eta = \frac{P_{out}}{P_{in}} = \frac{\omega_0^2M^2}{(1 + R_2 / R_L)(R_1(R_2 + R_L) + \omega_0^2M^2)} \tag{6}
\]
Similar equations can be easily derived for the SP compensation, but they are here omitted for brevity.

$$V_{in} = AV_{out} + BI_{out} \quad (7a)$$
$$I_{in} = CV_{out} + DI_{out} \quad (7b)$$

By simple manipulations, the efficiency is then obtained as:

$$\eta = \frac{2R_L}{(AR_L + B)(CR_L + D)^\ast + (AR_L + B)^\ast(CR_L + D)} \quad (8)$$

where the superscript * denotes the complex conjugate. The WPT system efficiency reaches the maximum value when $\partial\eta/\partial R_L = 0$. This condition is satisfied when,

$$R_{L,\text{opt}} = \sqrt{\text{real}(BD^\ast)/\text{real}(AC^\ast)} \quad (9)$$

The solution can be optimized by adequately designing the coils or by matching the load with an impedance matching network. The optimum efficiency is obtained introducing $R_{L,\text{opt}}$ given by (9) in (8). For the SS topology in resonance condition, $R_{L,\text{opt}}$ is given by:

$$R_{L,\text{opt}} = R_2 \sqrt{1 + \omega_0^2 M^2/(R_1 R_2)} \quad (10)$$

For a given operational frequency $f_0$, the maximum efficiency can be achieved by varying the coil configurations, i.e., $R_1, R_2, M$. The optimization variables of the secondary coil are the turns number $N_2$, the intra-turn spacing $s_p$, and the width $w_q$. The resistance $R_2$, depending on the wire length and section, is consequently obtained. The optimal configuration can be initially found using simple analytical models. Then, it is further optimized using numerical and experimental tests.

To achieve the desired incident field on the secondary coil, the primary coil with the top-bottom configuration (see Figure 1a) must produce a $\text{MMF}_{\text{max}} = 23.7$ A.t. Assuming $N_1 = 6$, grouped in 2 series-connected solenoids of 3 turns each and separated by a distance $h_l = 100$ mm, the primary current $I_1$ flowing in each turn is calculated as $I_1 = \text{MMF}_{\text{max}}/N_1 \approx 4$ A that is an acceptable maximum current value. The primary coil is assumed to be a copper Litz wire. The secondary coil is spirally wound around the same cylindrical enclosure, with radius $r_p = 3.5$ mm, height $h_p = 30$ mm, and made by 3 different materials referred to the three test cases as previously described. The secondary spiral winding, shown in Figure 11, has external radius $r_e = 4.5$ mm and winding height $h_c = 28$ mm. The coil
wire has rectangular cross section $w_s \times t_c$ with fixed thickness $t_c = 35 \, \mu\text{m}$ and variable width $w_s$ that depends on $N_2$ as $h_t$ is kept fixed. The width $w_s$ is calculated as:

$$w_s = \left( h_t - s_p (N_2 - 1) \right) / N_2$$  \hfill (11)

The intra-turn spacing $s_p$ is kept fixed to $s_p = 0.1 \, \text{mm}$ since it has not big relevance at the considered frequency [26]. A thin layer of dielectric insulation material with thickness $d_{	ext{die}} = 0.6 \, \text{mm}$ is interposed between the coil and the housing.

![Figure 11. Secondary coil configuration.](image)

The optimization is carried out to find the optimum $N_2$ and the optimal compensation topology. The turns number of the secondary coil is varied in the range $N_2 = 5$–30. For each value of $N_2$, the circuit parameters extracted by FEM simulations are used to calculate the performances of the WPT system while keeping fixed the maximum primary current $I_1 = 4 \, \text{A}$ and the load resistance $R_L = 200 \, \Omega$ [27].

### 2.4. WPT Electrical Performances

The efficiency for the three test cases when assuming the secondary coil placed in the center of the two primary solenoids is shown in Figure 12 considering both SS and SP compensation topologies. The corresponding maximum transferable power is shown in Figure 13. The results clearly show that the SP topology is the best in terms of both efficiency and transferred power $P_{\text{out}}$. Thus, only SP compensation will be considered in the following. The optimal transferred power is obtained for:

- $N_2 = 12$ for the test case #1 (silicone);
- $N_2 = 20$ for the test case #2 (titanium housing);
- $N_2 = 8$ for the test case #3 (titanium housing + ferrite).

![Figure 12. Efficiency $\eta$ vs. $N_2$ for SS and SP compensation topologies.](image)
The obtained results highlight the capability of the proposed solution to transfer a relatively large amount of power to the AIMD also for the test case #2 (titanium housing without any ferrite cover) obtaining a maximum output power $P_{out} = 310$ mW. Note that, in the case of a deep implantable device such as a leadless pacemaker, the required power is generally smaller than 10 mW [3,28], that is much lower than $P_{out}$.

In order to investigate the influence of the load on the WPT performances, the analysis of the efficiency is carried out by varying $R_L$ in the range 0–1000 $\Omega$ for the three considered test cases. The obtained results are shown in Figure 14, highlighting as these WPT systems can operate very well for a wide range of $R_L$ values.

Finally, the tolerance of the WPT systems to misalignment conditions has been tested. This aspect is very important as the implantation position (mostly the angular alignment) changes with individual body conformations and possible motions of the AIMD. To this aim, the transferred power, when keeping fixed the primary current $I_1 = 4$ A, is calculated for several inclination angles $\theta$ of the device axis respect to the primary coil axis. The results obtained shown in Figure 15 demonstrate the capacity of the system to deliver the target power of 10 mW up to an angle of $\theta = 80^\circ$ for a device with housing made in titanium, and up to $\theta = 85^\circ$ for the other considered test cases.
2.5. Thermal Analysis

In the proposed application the thermal rise in tissues is mainly given by the electromagnetic field produced by the excitation coil current, while the heating produced by the receiving coil current and eddy currents in the housing are negligible due to the very low output power (10 mW) delivered to the secondary circuit. For this reason, the calculation of the temperature increase adopting the realistic HBM presented in Section 2.2, was performed considering only the electromagnetic field produced by the primary coil current. To validate this assumption, a thermal analysis adopting the simplified torso model of Figure 2 is adopted, introducing also the secondary coil and the titanium housing (test case #2). Note that test case #1 (silicone) and test case #3 (titanium covered by ferrite) will produce less eddy currents and thus heating, as explained in Section 2.3. The bio-heat equation (BHE) is numerically solved to predict the temperature increase in the biological tissues and to calculate the temperature distribution inside the human body tissues exposed to electromagnetic fields after 6 min of operation [2]. The maps of SAR and temperature increase for this configuration for a given output power Pout = 10 mW are shown in Figure 16 where a very low tissue heating, well below 0.1°, can be observed.

![Figure 15. Output power Pout vs. inclination angle \( \theta \).](image)

**Figure 15.** Output power Pout vs. inclination angle \( \theta \).

![Figure 16. SAR (a) and temperature increase (b) distribution inside the simplified torso model.](image)

**Figure 16.** SAR (a) and temperature increase (b) distribution inside the simplified torso model.
3. WPT System Demonstrator

3.1. Electrogomegnetical Configuration

Three WPT secondary coil demonstrators with the same configurations adopted in the simulations have been realized to validate the numerical results. The secondary coils are wound around a cylinder with radius $r_p = 3.5 \text{ mm}$ and height $h_p = 30 \text{ mm}$ with the following specifications:

- Test case #1: $N_2 = 12$, $r_c = 4.5 \text{ mm}$, $w_s = 1.9 \text{ mm}$, $h_c = 28 \text{ mm}$.
- Test case #2: $N_2 = 20$, $r_c = 4.5 \text{ mm}$, $w_s = 0.9 \text{ mm}$, $h_c = 28 \text{ mm}$.
- Test case #3: $N_2 = 8$, $r_c = 4.5 \text{ mm}$, $w_s = 3 \text{ mm}$, $h_c = 28 \text{ mm}$, MnZn ferrite [11] with thickness $t_{fc} = 0.4 \text{ mm}$.

The devices, always covered by an insulation layer to assure biocompatibility, are assumed to be at point $p_n$ with $d_{px} = 50 \text{ mm}$ and $d_{pz} = 50 \text{ mm}$ (see again Figure 8). To reproduce the presence of biological tissues, the device under test is immersed in a saline solution (0.9% solution of sodium chloride and water) as described in [29]. In all test cases, the primary coil is the same as described in the previous sections (two series connected elliptical solenoids with 3 turns each). The secondary coil demonstrators and the measurement setup are shown in Figure 17.

3.2. Numerical and Experimental Results

First, the lumped circuit parameters of the WPT system are numerically extracted by a field simulation [1]. Then, after fabrication, they are tested using a Keysight 4285A Precision LCR Meter. The measured and calculated circuit parameters are compared for aligned primary and secondary coils ($\theta = 0^\circ$). The calculated primary coil self-inductance is $L_1 = 18.8 \text{ mH}$ and the measured one is $L_1 = 18.1 \text{ mH}$, while the self-resistance value is obtained from Litz wire datasheet as $R_1 = 350 \text{ m\Omega}$. The values of the measured and calculated lumped parameters of the secondary coil are reported in Table 3. The SP compensation capacitors $C_1$ and $C_2$ are obtained as $C_1 = 1/(\omega_0^2(L_1 - M^2/L_2))$ and $C_2 = 1/(\omega_0^2/L_2)$. The output power, the current flowing into the primary and secondary coils and the efficiency are measured and calculated. In the experimental test, the WPT systems are driven by a class E inverter that permits to amplify the generated signal. The transferred power is adjusted by varying the DC voltage level at the input of the inverter. A shunt resistor in series between the inverter and the transmitting coil is used to measure the input current on the system.

On the receiving side, the compensation capacitor and the resistive load are installed inside the pacemaker and insulated using silicone. To measure the output power, a shielded twisted cable is used to connect the load resistor of the device to a high input impedance oscilloscope.

![Figure 17. Sketch of the measurement setup with details of the three devices.](image-url)
Table 3. Calculated and measured secondary circuit parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case</th>
<th>$L_2$ ($\mu$H)</th>
<th>$M$ (nH)</th>
<th>$R_2$ (m$\Omega$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>490</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>1420</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Measured</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The output power is then measured as $P_{out} = (V_{out})^2/R_L$, being $V_{out}$ the load voltage. The amplitude of the input voltage $V_{in}$ is manually adjusted in order to obtain an output power $P_{out} = 10$ mW. The measured and simulated electrical quantities (current $I_1$ flowing into the primary coil and the efficiency $\eta$) are shown in Table 4, where a satisfactory agreement can be observed. The measured input current $I_1$ and voltage $V_{in}$ waveforms at the output of the inverter are shown in Figure 18 while the load voltage $V_{out}$ is shown in Figure 19 for the test case #3. For the same test case, the tolerance of the WPT systems to misalignment conditions has been investigated. This aspect is very important as the implantation position of the device (mostly the angular alignment) can be variable. To this aim, the transferred power is measured and calculated while keeping fixed the primary current ($I_1 = 4$ A, $MMF_{max} = 23.7$ A) for several angles $\theta$ of the pacemaker housing/secondary coil axis. The results are shown in Figure 20 confirming that the WPT system can transfer 10 mW target power up to an inclination angle $\theta = 85^\circ$. Finally, several measurements have been addressed to verify the capability of powering the device in a large volume inside the torso. To this aim, the device for the test case #3 was placed in many positions $p_n$ inside the torso (see Figure 8), and the electrical performances were numerically calculated and measured for a fixed output power $P_{out} = 10$ mW. The results obtained are shown in Table 5 highlighting the very good tolerance of the system to a variable position of the device inside the torso.

Table 4. Calculated and measured $I_{1,RMS}$ (A) and efficiency $\eta$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Case</th>
<th>Primary Coil Current (A)</th>
<th>Efficiency $\eta$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Numerical</td>
<td>Measured</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#1</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#2</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>#3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 18. Measured waveforms of the input voltage $V_{in}$ (red line) and input current $I_1$ (light blue line).
4. Conclusions

A feasibility study to power a deep implant with no fixed position using a WPT technology with a large size primary coil has been presented. The study has revealed that the optimal frequency to energize deep implants using resonant coupled magnetic coils at IFs is around 4 MHz. This value is obtained considering both the biological tissue attenuation and the compliance with the BRs specified by the EMF safety standards.

As regards the design of both transmitting and receiving coils, multiple primary coil configurations have first been analyzed in order to derive the best coverage area for the recharging process of a deep AIMD. Then, the design and optimization of the receiving coil for a leadless pacemaker has been
proposed for the first time. Finally, three WPT demonstrators have been realized and tested to validate the proposed technology. The results have demonstrated that deep implants with titanium housing without ferrite cover can also be successfully powered and this is an important new result.
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