The Review of Carbon Capture-Storage Technologies and Developing Fuel Cells for Enhancing Utilization
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Abstract: The amount of CO₂ released in the atmosphere has been at a continuous surge in the last decade, and in order to protect the environment from global warming, it is necessary to employ techniques like carbon capture. Developing technologies like Carbon Capture Utilization and Storage aims at mitigating the CO₂ content from the air we breathe and has garnered immense research attention. In this review, the authors have aimed to discuss the various technologies that are being used to capture the CO₂ from the atmosphere, store it and further utilize it. For utilization, researchers have developed alternatives to make profits from CO₂ by converting it into an asset. The development of newer fuel cells that consume CO₂ in exchange for electrical power to drive the industries and produce valuable hydrocarbons in the form of fuel has paved the path for more research in the field of carbon utilization. The primary focus on the article is to inspect the environmental and economic feasibility of novel technologies such as fuel cells, different electrochemical processes, and the integration of artificial intelligence and data science in them, which are designed for mitigating the percentage of CO₂ in the air.
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1. Introduction

It is a pretty common fact that carbon dioxide is one of those components of air that is responsible for the growing global warming and greenhouse gas emissions [1]. The majority of the total carbon dioxide emissions in the world is owed to industries, while the remnant can be attributed to emissions from vehicles and other similar sources [2]. To improve the health of nature, it is necessary to mitigate the amount of these harmful gases from the environment, and for this, it is necessary that the great minds in energy sciences must together and develop technologies to achieve the aim of a cleaner environment. With the rise of industries, the amount of CO₂ emitted each year has been increasing at an alarming rate and to achieve zero carbon emissions, the removal of CO₂ becomes extremely important [3]. Many technologies capture and store CO₂ in an attempt to isolate it from the atmosphere and prevent any further harm. However, these technologies are under development and have the problems of low efficiency, energy loss, etc. Therefore, development was focused on technologies offering higher efficacy in lowering the CO₂ emissions, like fuel cells [4–6]. In subsequent sections, the evolution of a fuel cell and how it helps in mitigating CO₂ levels in the environment will be discussed.

Carbon-capture and storage is a breakthrough technology that has been developed to reduce the CO₂ content from the air and store it in such a way that it is never emitted.
into the environment. This technology is much more commonly used in the oil and gas industry because processes like CO\(_2\)-based enhanced oil recovery have been in use for decades for enhancing the efficiency of oil recovery from the reservoirs [7,8]. In this paper, the different storage mechanisms that can be used to captivate the captured CO\(_2\) from the atmosphere such as geological sequestrations, storage in oceans, storage using metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) [9,10], etc., as well as the different processes that are used to capture the CO\(_2\) in the first place, have been discussed. The amount of CO\(_2\) captured on an annual basis is extremely high, and so to store it, there is an essential requirement of space. Therefore, storing underneath the ground is considered a promising mode of storage, since the available space is sufficient to store all of the CO\(_2\) captured.

However, there are risks of leakage associated with this mode of storage, and hence a large number of people are employed for analyzing this method and finding newer solutions to mitigate these risks [11–15]. A discussion on the detailed ways of governing underground storage has been provided. In many cases, it is preferred to use the captured CO\(_2\) in entities like syngas, methanol, and other chemical compounds through various methods like electrochemically or biochemically converting the stored CO\(_2\) for further usage. The article also mentions in brief about the commercial ventures that are being carried out to store as well as to convert the CO\(_2\) into valubles, and these methods are called carbon capture and utilization technologies. Simply storing CO\(_2\) at one place forever is an added burden to the businessmen, as extra costs are incurred and no monetary profit is made, but when methods like electrochemical conversion of CO\(_2\) using fuel cells, CO\(_2\)-based enhanced oil recovery, etc., are pursued, they help in making profits as well.

Utilization technologies would be more prominent in the future owing to the massive developments in technologies. Electrochemical fuel cells have been built that produce fuel from CO\(_2\) as well as produce electricity [16,17]. Therefore, it is extremely likely that coal-based electricity production, which causes an increment in CO\(_2\) emission, would be replaced by the electrochemical cells. Electrochemical fuel cell demand ought to surge owing to advancements of systems such as solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) and carbon formation reactor (CFR) cells. These cells have been discussed in the succeeding sections. Since there are many commercial projects using CO\(_2\), transportation of CO\(_2\) becomes important, primarily occurring through pipelines and ship-based transformations.

The growing need for CO\(_2\) can be understood by the fact that there are as many as 6500 km [18] of pipelines across the whole world specifically for the transport of CO\(_2\). In this paper, there is a detailed discussion about the processes used in transportation as well as the risks associated with them. The articles also mention some measures that are usually taken to prevent problems like leakages or blockages, etc. Upon in-depth research, carbon capture and storage has been made an extremely technically feasible technology, but from an economic point of view, the feasibility has not been established. However, the increasing interest of the major economies of the world in technology like carbon-capture-utilization-storage (CCUS) is a positive sign indicating that the world would be able to achieve economic feasibility as well.

2. Role of CCS

The key driver of economic development is innovation in information resources that increase productivity. It is expected that fast-growing countries will begin to narrow the per capita income disparity with developing nations and will start to push towards equilibrium with the industrialized world through the next century. The technological reliability and cost output of current energy technologies are projected to continue to develop over time. This would increase the CO\(_2\) emissions and the potential incorporation of such technologies into the production of modern fossil-fueled power is predicted to dramatically reduce the costs incurred in the capture of CO\(_2\).

It has been predicted that the performance of carbon capture will increase with time, i.e., modern and advanced technology and methods may come forward to reduce the energy penalty involved in capturing carbon from the waste streams. Detailed explanations of
carbon capture and sequestration technologies have been published [19–21]. Conceptually, they are similar to strategies used to reduce the emissions of SO₂, NOₓ, particulate matter, and other pollutants [22–26]. One big distinction, though, is that the volume of CO₂ produced is far higher than the other pollutants [27,28]. Now that CO₂ can be exported and sequestered efficiently, carbon capture is favored. Capturing and sequestration of carbon is an alternative to reduce the economic risks of aggressive climate change policies, as electricity production and availability remain uncompromising in terms of lowering CO₂ emissions. The optimum approach and the associated costs of a specific climate security policy are unpredictable and theoretically significant [29]. Uncertainties emerge from the development and versatility of electricity demand and low-carbon energy production. There is some evidence that macro-economic mitigation costs would escalate significantly if aggressive climate security targets are to be pursued to prevent harmful climate change [30].

Ways to tackle excessive CO₂ generation problems from industries:

1. Improvement of energy transfer and usage efficiency;
2. The ratio of CO₂ pollution to primary consumption by transitioning to carbon-free electricity technology;
3. Trap and store CO₂ at large industrial point sources in geological formations;
4. To accommodate alternative sources of fuel, which include a cleaner production process without carbon emissions.

To evaluate these choices and the related complexities, different integrated evaluation models can be used. A few integrated evaluation models used to tackle carbon capture and sequestration are:

The Model MIND (the Model of Investment and Technological Development):

1. Energy demand may be minimized either by replacing it with capital and labor in a macro-economic output mechanism or by growing energy efficiency in an endogenous way. Investments in conventional and clean energy markets decide the carbon balance. The performance of production in renewables improves by learning. Alternatively, fossil dioxide may be collected and deposited in natural structures that are susceptible to leakage. Utility per capita depends on per capita consumption with declining returns.

2. MiniCAMmodel, version MiniCAM 98.3 [31–33] is an applied environmental change appraisal platform with an emphasis on agricultural systems, carbon cycle components, and global energy [34].

In Figure 1, the CO₂ from the atmosphere is shown to be uptaken by a biomass source and processed in a plant. Following this, the captured CO₂ is stored using geological sequestration, and the other energy and non-energy products are released as byproducts, which are later utilized in various industrial and non-industrial activities.

Figure 1. (a) The figure depicts the cycle of carbon capture, transportation and further storage. (b) The figure shows the cycle of the carbon that it follows after sequestration involving human-made transport lines and the natural flow of carbon.
Employing aqueous amine scrubbers to use various forms of sorbents, like porous solids or ionic liquids (ILs), is one potential way to address the problems related to CO\textsubscript{2} capture. When contrasted to aqueous amine scrubbers, porous materials like silicas, zeolites, porous organic polymers (POFs), metal–organic frameworks (MOFs), and covalent–organic frameworks (COFs) possess greater thermal stabilities and smaller heat capacities [35–40]. Similarly, ILs have benefits over aqueous amines like non-volatility and architectural adjustability. Despite the fact that hydrophobic porous materials like silicon-rich zeolites and carbons can scrub CO\textsubscript{2} from higher concentration stream (for example, crude biogas) [41], most of these materials are unable to eliminate CO\textsubscript{2} from humid lower concentration streams like flue gas emissions [42]. Since CO\textsubscript{2} and water compete for that same physiosorption site within those sorbents, this restriction occurs. Another issue needed to be considered with porous solid adsorbents is their low thermal conductivity, which makes heating and cooling of adsorbent during adsorption and desorption cycling more difficult. 

The discovery of novel chemisorptive routes for selective CO\textsubscript{2} capture is an under-utilized method for overcoming constraints of amine-based materials. The production of carbamic acids by carbon dioxide capture at sites of amine is theoretically advantageous since it raises the (carbon dioxide/amine sorption) ratio to (one:one) [43]. Mounting amines on the ILs anions derived from amino acid favors CO\textsubscript{2} capture according to Schneider, Brennecke, and colleagues [44]. When compared to ILs with amine-functionalized cations that work via the conventional mechanism of ammonium carbamate, this modification in mechanism doubled the molar adsorption efficiency of such ILs. By CO\textsubscript{2} capture in ILs containing alkoxide or phenoxide anions and organic cations; Li, Dai, and colleagues proved that amines may be entirely bypassed [45]. At 30 °C and 1 atm, Wang and colleagues discovered that an ionic liquid with an aspartate diaminopyridine could reversibly bind 1.96 moles carbon dioxide per mole IL. This was thought to happen mostly through two consecutive reactions at a single amine location [46]. Due to their outstanding hydrothermal and oxidative stability, Long and colleagues recognized MOF M2 (dopdc) (dopdc = 4,4′-dioxidobiphenyl-3,3′-dicarboxylate) variants functionalized with the diamine 2,2-dimethyl-1,3-diaminopropane (dmpn) as favorable adsorbents for the post-combustion CO\textsubscript{2} capture [47,48].

Looking to nature for motivation is another possible way to unleash novel selective CO\textsubscript{2} capture reactivities in porous materials. Carbonic anhydrase enzymes, for instance, are vital for CO\textsubscript{2} transfer in the body of human. The reversible interaction of a Zn–OH species with CO\textsubscript{2} to generate Zn–OCO\textsubscript{2}H is used by several components of these classes. Zhang and colleagues showed in a previous research that high-valent monodentate metal hydroxides in the water-stable MOFs MnIIMnIII(OH)Cl\textsubscript{2}(bbta) and [ColfCoIII(OH)Cl\textsubscript{2}(bbta)] (bbta2 = dihydrobenzeno[1,2-d:4,5-d′][1,2,3]triazolato) even in humid situations, firmly bind CO\textsubscript{2} with excellent CO\textsubscript{2}/N\textsubscript{2} selectivity [25]. In (Zn5(OH)4(btdd)3) (btdd = bis(1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b],[4′,5′-i])dibenzo[1,4]dioxin), Dincă and colleagues exhibited an analogous bioinspired method to CO\textsubscript{2} capture. When contrasted against Zn(ZnOH)4(bbta)3, this structure showed higher CO\textsubscript{2} binding, but with a reduced adsorption ability at lower pressures (0.9 milli-mole per gram at temperature of 25 °C and 23 mbar pressure of CO\textsubscript{2}). This sorbent finding is yet naive, and to develop transformational materials—and sorption processes—for decreasing anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, a novel era of computational, analytical, and synthetic chemistry is required.

2.1. Technological Aspects

The CO\textsubscript{2} reduction may be incorporated into the power production cycle at various points of the power plant cycle. It can be placed either at the ends of a pipe to clean the flue gas or even at the starting point, by extracting CO\textsubscript{2} from the fuel before the actual combustion phase. Technologies are accessible commercially for completing any of these steps, and the main obstacle for advancing the idea is to incorporate these technologies into large-scale implementation programs [49]. A variety of separation methods are currently being explored, of which the most successful solutions are the following [50]:

---
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2.1.1. Post Combustion Capture and Pre Combustion Capture

Post-combustion capture implies isolating the CO$_2$ from the flue gas. In a power plant when fossil fuel is burnt with air, flue gases escape the plant through the stack, which is at ambient pressure. CO$_2$ is then collected, ideally by a process of chemical absorption [51–56]. Its usage today includes the cleaning of NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ before the isolation of CO$_2$. If the carbon sinks accept NO$_x$ and SO$_2$, independent management measures and the sequestration of NO$_x$ and SO$_2$ along with CO$_2$ may be avoided, thereby culminating in a zero-emission power plant. To trap CO$_2$, its concentration should be high else energy expensive, and costly CO$_2$ capture processes will be needed. To do so, oxygen may be incorporated in hydrogen pre-combustion or the oxygen chamber post-combustion.

The process where CO$_2$ is produced alongside a combustible that is free from carbon, such as hydrogen, by burning a fuel is called pre-combustion capture. This process is extremely relevant to various industries, but is most relevantly used in plants generating electric power from the integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) where the gasification of the fuel (solid) is done and as a result, so-called synthesis gas is produced that is composed primarily of carbon monoxide (CO) and hydrogen (H$_2$). The carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) gas enters the gasifier at high pressure and is consumed by the physical processes happening. When pre-combustion recovery was studied, it was found that gasoline transforms into CO$_2$ very rapidly. Alongside the formation of CO$_2$, the formation of a fuel that is free from any carbonaceous matter also takes place. The CO$_2$ is then separated from hydrogen [57–61].

Pre-combustion capture entails combining a fuel with oxygen, and steam to produce a synthesis gas or fuel gas that is mostly comprised of CO and H$_2$. In a catalytic reactor known as a shift converter, CO reacts with steam to produce carbon dioxide and additional H$_2$ carbon dioxide is subsequently separated, generally by a physical/chemical absorption, to produce a H$_2$-rich fuel components of pre-combustion CO$_2$ capture, with an emphasis on initiatives wherein collected CO$_2$ is kept or utilized for enhanced oil recovery.

There are two major ways to make syngas; first is adding steam (shown as reaction 1) to the primary fuel, which is known as “steam reforming”, or secondly, adding O$_2$ (shown as reaction 2) to the primary fuel. When it comes to liquid and gaseous fuels, the process is known as “partial oxidation”, and when it comes to solid fuels, it is known as “gasification”, but the fundamentals are the same.

Steamforming

\[
C_xH_y + xH_2O \leftrightarrow xCO + \left( x + \frac{y}{2} \right) H_2 \Delta H_{CH_4} = 206 \text{ KJ mol}^{-1}
\]

Partialoxidation

\[
C_xH_y + x/2O_2 \leftrightarrow xCO + (y/2)H_2 \Delta H_{CH_4} = -36 \text{ KJ mol}^{-1}
\]

Normally, partial oxidation is carried out with O$_2$ that has been isolated from the air. The method is called auto-thermal reforming when both steam and oxygen are utilized, because the reactions of endothermic reforming are compensated by the reactions of exothermal partial oxidation. Following the syngas generation, the water–gas shift (WGS) reaction is being used for converting carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide and hydrogen by adding steam (shown in reaction (3)):

\[
\text{Water–Gas Shift } CO + H_2O \leftrightarrow CO_2 + H_2 \Delta H = -41 \text{ Kmol}^{-1}
\]

CO$_2$ separation is done at room temperature utilizing traditional washing methods. For power production, the H$_2$-rich gas is utilized as a lower-C fuel in a combined cycle unit (that is, Brayton + Rankine cycle).

The CO$_2$ removal is facilitated by the higher pressure of the water–gas shift product gas stream. CO$_2$ concentrations at the intake of the CO$_2$/H$_2$ separation process can vary from 15 to 60 percent (on dry basis) at a total pressure of 2 to 7 megapascal, making the separation of carbon dioxide and compression process lower energy consuming than post-combustion operations with lower total pressures and CO$_2$ concentrations [62,63]. The CO$_2$ that has been separated is then ready to be stored. However, energy needs
for air separation, reforming or gasification, and loss in energy recovery during syngas temperature fluctuations balance out this decreased energy demand.

Pressure swing adsorption methods (PSA) were also used to purify hydrogen by removing CO₂, with the goal of achieving higher hydrogen purity and production. Nevertheless, high purity and yield for the heavier carbon dioxide component are required for CCS purposes, requiring a cycle design that differs considerably from standard H₂-PSA [64–66]. Nonetheless, the PSA separating is a trade-off among CO₂ purity and recovery. A modelling research by [65] using activated C as sorbent led to plots of pareto, which reflect the best performance points for a specific PSA cycle, restricted at around ninety-five percent CO₂ purity and recovery. A 60 to 40 percent hydrogen to carbon dioxide feed may therefore achieve the required 90 percent CO₂ capture at 95 percent CO₂ purity.

2.1.2. Artificial Intelligence in Carbon Capture

Artificial intelligence is a deep-belief neural network used to aid in combating climate change and is developing as a strong methodology that has recently demonstrated the ability to alter several boundaries of carbon capture. It proposes a novel approach to coordinate carbon and electrical couplings for the effective and ecologically beneficial operation of the upcoming reduced carbon energy systems. Artificial intelligence requires multiple parameters (operating cost, heat, reduction in renewable power, electricity, carbon emission, etc.) to reflect the complex interaction between them. The optimal solution, out of the multiple goals set, is obtained via particle swarm heuristic. According to Chen, when a carbon capture process is made fully accommodating, then the maximum bilateral benefits between renewable power and carbon capture can be obtained. Chen et al. [67] demonstrated an optimal strategy based on artificial intelligence for scheduling renewable power penetration. A reduction of 51% can be achieved in renewable power curtailments with simultaneous capture of 35% of the emitted carbon due to optimal scheduling of surplus renewable power. Rahimi et al. explained how machine learning (ML) implementations have enhanced the carbon capture process in numerous ways, from the molecule to the process level, including both absorption and adsorption-based techniques. ML aids in process improvement by determining the most cost-effective process scheme, which involves selecting a solid adsorbent and creating a process configuration to forecast thermodynamic parameters. Wu et al. used the most influential CO₂ production rate factors, such as solvent circulation duty, solvent concentration, CO₂ lean loading, and heat duty, to model and analyze data from an amine-based post-combustion CO₂ capture method.

3. CO₂ Storage

The CO₂-capture technologies have been developed to mitigate the harmful environmental effects caused due to the presence of CO₂ in large amounts. However, to make sure that the captured CO₂ is not readmitted in the environment, there is a necessity to build efficient and safe storage technologies. Technologies like subsurface storage, ocean-based storage, etc., have been developed and are continuously being improved to allow for the storage of CO₂ in adequate amounts.

3.1. Studying the Subsurface Storage of CO₂ and Related Challenges

The importance of stripping the air of CO₂ has been already discussed, along with the fact that there has been great technological progress in this domain. However, simply capturing the carbon-dioxide is not enough; it is an important requirement to store this captured CO₂. While there are many modes that have been tested or are under consideration for CO₂ storage, one of the best modes is to store this captured gas beneath the ground owing to the teeming underground storage resource availability. Additionally, by a fortunate chance, there are several places where the entrapment of oil and gases can be done, and thus, a considerable amount of workforce is focused on activities related to the storage of CO₂ [68–71]. For it to be termed as an efficient storage site, there are a few geological
characteristics that the site of storage should exhibit. For picking the most appropriate location for pursuing the storage activity of CO$_2$, the carbon dioxide’s thermo-physical properties with the reservoir fluids must be analyzed ineffectually. This analysis also provides a better insight into designing strategies for injection and also, for making a prediction about the CO$_2$ that has been injected. Since previous researches have contributed a good amount of insight into the thermo-physical properties of CO$_2$, the modern researchers have tried to gather their focus on the effects that the impurities have on the above mentioned thermo-physical properties [72,73]. Apart from analyzing the fluid properties and the geoscience of the reservoir, it is important to evaluate the extent to which it is suitable to have CO$_2$ injection, and this evaluation is termed as site characterization. These evaluation techniques include activities like making an observation from the geological perspective and taking samples.

Therefore, the scopes of research in this domain have expanded from technical feasibility and have moved on to finding answers to provide better characterization, reduced uncertainty, managed risks of leakage, and also to evaluate the role that CO$_2$ capture plays in energy systems. Apart from analyzing the fluid properties and the geoscience of the reservoir, it is important to evaluate the extent to which it is suitable to have CO$_2$ injection, and this evaluation is termed as site characterization. These evaluation techniques include activities like making an observation from the geological perspective and taking samples. However, all these topics are outside the scope of this review, and if interested, the readers should read the vast literature available that discussed the above mentioned facets of CO$_2$ storage.

3.2. The Capacity Available for Storage and the Role Played by CO$_2$ in Different Energy Systems

It has been found that the space available for underground storage is enough to meet the environmental targets. The countries with higher CO$_2$ emissions are reported to have spaces in abundance to store all the captured CO$_2$. The storage capacity of a site is dependent on the potential of a subsurface site to store CO$_2$ and evaluating that capacity is a task having paramount importance in reservoir management [74,75]. At a given location, as the injection progresses further, there can be an up-gradation of models so that they can paint a more accurate picture of the storage capacity. There is a huge importance of storing CO$_2$, and its importance is judged by its potential to manage the CO$_2$ emissions. Worldwide assessments have been made on the capacity to store CO$_2$, and these assessments support the fact that the pore volume available for injection exceeds the one available for CO$_2$ mitigation [76,77]. A majority of modeling, highlighting the reports of IPCC, have not considered capacity limiting. In the more recent reports, the capacity estimates are termed as static and they are calculated by considering the assumption that a part of the total pore space of a geologic unit can be used for storage purposes of CO$_2$. In more advanced versions of determining storage capacity, modeling the pressure and plume migration during injection are also taken into consideration, but there is not much in common that can be interpreted between static estimates and this advanced version of estimation of CO$_2$ storage. Certain semi-analytical models have been developed for simplifying the evaluation of CO$_2$ storage capacity, and these models consider the dynamics of plume migration, pressure evolution, and impacts on injection around a well [78–82]. Along with all the simplifications that this method brings, certain challenges also come alongside. These challenges complicate their use in representing the area with more than one injection site [83,84]. All these models can enhance knowledge and provide a further insight in understanding the role of CO$_2$ storage.

3.3. Different CO$_2$ Storage Methods

There are a myriad of technologies that have been developed over the last few decades to store the captured carbon dioxide. These methods are geological sequestration, ocean storage, bio-energy-based storage, etc. Although, several research and review articles can be easily found in the literature and since, these topics are not the main focus of the review,
and the authors would urge the readers to go through the available literature on these topics. However, a brief discussion of these storage technologies has been mentioned for the reader’s convenience.

### 3.3.1. Geological Sequestration

Carbon-capture-storage (CCS) is conceptually simple. It absorbs CO₂ emissions from fossil fuel sources and injects CO₂ into deep geological rocks, sequestering vast volumes of buoyant CO₂ underground (geological sequestration) and reducing ozone pollution. Deep salt aquifers, exhausted oil and gas deposits, and coal seams may act as geological sequestration reservoirs. Injection of CO₂ into geological formations for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) is a technology very well developed, and it contains an ample amount of carbon (in the order of Gigatons) (see Figure 1b). Figure 1b shows a flowchart and depicts the different steps required in geologically sequestering the CO₂. The technology used to inject CO₂ into the soil is already existent and an adequate amount of study has been done on those technologies [85,86]. If the CO₂ is collected, the gas stream will be contained deep in geological formations that will keep greenhouse gases from entering the environment as it will be sealed with a natural layer of cap rock having low permeability. The greenhouse gases have been known to cause a myriad of problems in the environment and to the individuals involving a number of diseases and interference in the natural phenomenon [87–89].

A lot of research has been done to encourage and aid in geological sequestration of carbon. Geological sequestration poses a lot of risks to the environment if it is not regulated properly. The major problem is to find the best possible sites to inject the carbon so that it does not cause any unexpected harm. Coal can preferentially absorb carbon dioxide over methane at a level of 2–13-fold. Methane recovery is a potential field for carbon dioxide usage, but there are uninvited consequences that come alongside. Carbon dioxide is injected into coal seams, and this can cause a change in the physical and chemical properties of coal. It is always advisable to calculate the degree of alteration it could cause and to make sure that it is below a hazardous level. For this, a few artificial intelligence-based models have been proposed and have been found very useful. These models would check the parameters such as interaction temperature and interaction time of carbon dioxide, saturation pressure, etc., and can predict strength alteration.

### 3.3.2. Bioenergy-Based Aspect of Carbon Capture and Storage

The solution that has gained the most attention is biological carbon storage, and it is supported within the environmental community, which includes improving the normal photosynthetic cycle whereby atmospheric CO₂ is consumed by rising plants and transformed into organic energy. Many have suggested the concept of planting trees as a means to combat climate change, and this is a smart idea for those concerned with the atmosphere, partially because of the other related environmental advantages of promoting forest production. One of the newer topics of interest in this direction is the bioenergy with carbon capture and storage (BECCS) technology (see Figure 2). Figure 2 shows the pathway of CO₂ storage by means of bioenergy, in which the trees absorb the atmospheric CO₂, and biomass is then sent for treatment in bioenergy plants for CO₂ capture. The captured CO₂ is then transported to the subsurface and is stored further. It is a negative emission technology, by production of energy through the combustion of biomass, it has been estimated that if employed properly the BECCS process could deter the carbon dioxide emissions and save the United States alone about a gigaton of CO₂ emission by the year 2050. However, BECCS requires large amounts of land for the growing of biomass to be used as fuel, and it can prove harmful for the growth of agricultural crops [90–93].
3.3.3. Artificial Intelligence in Carbon Storage

Carbon dioxide storage in underground reservoirs is subject to possible difficulties and influenced by a variety of variables, which may well emerge during and/or after the injection stage. The most serious possible issue is leaking. Over time, the reservoir seal is breached by the high injection rates of buoyancy and reservoir pressure. Here, the capillary breakthrough is guided by reservoir fluids’ interfacial tension. To provide proper containment over time, comprehensive examinations of the IFT behavior and formation fluids are necessary for any individual subsurface reservoir considered for long-term CO₂ storage. Thus, the ecosystem functioning and climate change implications are tracked by dynamic monitoring of carbon storage in forest resources. To examine the relative prediction accuracy of different models, four common statistical measures of prediction accuracy are used: average percentage error (APRE), average absolute percentage error (AAPRE), root mean square error (RMSE), and coefficient of determination (R²). Six neural network models are evaluated using different algorithms, out of which three developed multi-layer perceptron (MLP) and the rest developed radial basis function (RBF). The RBF models optimized with the particle swarm optimization (PSO) and farmland fertility (FFA) methods both outperformed the brine solutions in terms of IFT prediction performance. Taking into consideration all 107 data records, both RBF models had a prediction performance assessed by RMSE 2 > 0.99.

Zhang et al. estimated forest aboveground carbon (AGC) and examined its spatiotemporal variations over the past two decades using a Random Forest (RF) technique and multi-year Landsat data. The testing samples’ standardized residuals in different models were all in the range of −2 to 2, demonstrating that the optimized RF model has strong stability and dependability in forecasting forest AGC with reasonably high accuracies (86.86 percent and 89.47 percent).

A simulation approach was integrated by Mohammadpour et al. with artificial intelligence techniques to forecast the complicated behavior of coal bed methane (CBM) reservoirs. CMG software is used for the first stage of simulation. A dual-porosity model was created to account for the best circumstances during CO₂ sequestration and, as a result, the best methane recovery from coal bed reservoirs. The gathered data from the simulated CBM reservoir was further used to train the ANN model and as input for the network, several coal seam properties such as pressure, porosity, thickness, permeability, temperature, etc., are considered. The amount of CO₂ injected and the outlet methane...
produced to determine the efficiency of the model and the R-squared value for the above were 0.92 and 0.94, respectively.

3.3.4. Storage Using Metal-Organic Frameworks

Another technology that has garnered massive importance in the recent past is the use of metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) for capturing CO\(_2\) that could be employed in power plants across the globe reducing carbon dioxide emissions via pre-combustion carbon capture. MOFs can be made with a huge variety and are tunable in nature, thus, they are such intriguing technologies in the field of carbon capture and storage (CCS). However, the large variety of MOFs is as much a curse as much it is a boon because with an existence in the order of millions, it becomes exceedingly difficult to underpin the best possible MOF which can be used in storing the CO\(_2\). In a recent research by Chung et al. [94] a genetic algorithm was applied to identify the best MOF out of a database consisting 55,000 MOFs and it was found that NOTT-101 had the highest CO\(_2\) bearing capacity of all the existing MOFs. MOFs are considered to be efficient storage materials for gases owing to the fact that they possess pores (of the order of nanometers in diameter) and have intriguingly high values of surface area. Owing to the large surface area, a large volume of CO\(_2\) can be stored in an MOF. In one of the previous researches, an MOF that can segregate between CO\(_2\) and other gases that are released from the exhaust of a power plant was designed. Chemical processing of the fuel at the inlet of the power plant leads to the production of CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\) and the CO\(_2\) can be captured by the MOF. Selective MOFs having high adsorption capacity at high pressure and low pressure are depicted in Table 1. Maximum capacity at high pressure is observed in MOFs with high surface area; however, at low pressure the maximum capacity is governed by functionalized surfaces.

Table 1. High pressure and low pressure CO\(_2\) adsorption in specific metal organic frameworks within 273–313 K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MOFs</th>
<th>BET Capacity (m(^2)/g)</th>
<th>Capacity (wt%)</th>
<th>Pressure (bar)</th>
<th>Temperature (K)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOF-210</td>
<td>6240</td>
<td>74.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF-200</td>
<td>4530</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU-100</td>
<td>6143</td>
<td>69.8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[96]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn40(FMA)(_3)</td>
<td>1120</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>[97]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-21-MOF-5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>[98]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF-205</td>
<td>4460</td>
<td>62.6</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUT-9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>62.1</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[99]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOF-177</td>
<td>4500</td>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be-BTB</td>
<td>4030</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>[100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCN-68</td>
<td>5109</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[101]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-MOF-74</td>
<td>1174</td>
<td>27.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[103]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKSUT-1</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>42.8</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>[105]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKSUT-1</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>[106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKSUT-1</td>
<td>1781</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[107]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKSUT-1</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>[108]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HKSUT-1 (4 wt% H(_2)O)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[109]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNU-5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>[110]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu-EBTC</td>
<td>1852</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>[111]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-MOF-74</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni-MOF-74</td>
<td>936</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>[104]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Transporting the Stored CO\(_2\)

Transportation is the path that connects CO\(_2\) emitters and storage locations. There is a certain amount of expenditure needed for transportation as well. There are many requirements for selecting the best suitable material and the chosen operational setup. All these uncertainties induce a hint of conservativeness in the design of the systems. The major challenge in transportation technology lies in the reduction of costs and complicacies
in design. These processes are also important for bringing a change in the way the carbon-capture-storage (CCS) networks function.

Transportation methods are either networks of pipelines subjected to pressures or by transporting via ships. The efficiency of these methods is dependent on the quantity and distance of transportation. In both modes of transportation, first the compression of fluid is done. In the next stage, the steam is taken to a supercritical state, and this is done so that the greater density in these phases is advantageous to us [112,113]. In the case where CO\textsubscript{2} is transported via pipelines, the denser phase is given preference since operating at temperatures more than critical temperature is not desired because of the heat transfer that causes cooling [114–116]. The amount by which the fluid is compressed is a function of the volumetric flow rate and also on the pressure drop, which is developed along the length of the pipeline. This drop in pressure is in itself a function of the thermophysical and hydrodynamic properties of the fluid flowing in through the inlet. While the designing of the transport systems is done, all the mentioned factors become exceedingly important. Additionally, these properties depend on the composition of the stream. For optimizing the transportation cost and minimizing shipping, it is important to weigh all the factors like, Composition of the CO\textsubscript{2} stream undergoing transportation, the compression/liquefaction stage in transportation operation, etc. One of the really interesting factors to consider is the mode of transportation. There are basically two modes of transportation of the stored carbon-dioxide and a detailed information about both these modes are as follows:

4.1. Available Modes for Transporting the CO\textsubscript{2}

As discussed in the sections above, there are majorly two kinds of transportation that are used, through pipelines and transportation through ships

4.1.1. The Pipeline Mode for Transportation

While designing pipelines for CO\textsubscript{2} transportation it has to be made sure that they are totally safe and do not pose any kind of threat, but at the same time, it has to be made economically efficient. The study of pipelines consists of various subcategories, which focus on studying the designing, safety, management, etc., of the pipelines. All these subcategories themselves qualify to open the doors for further research, as it can be seen in Figure 3. Under normal conditions of operation, the flow rate and hydrodynamic properties of the inlet CO\textsubscript{2} stream decide the design requirements of the transportation operation. Although it is important to monitor that there should not be any phase change because phase change leads to the formation of liquid slugs and to prevent this situation from arising, pressure and temperature at the inlet point are chosen in a manner so that the fluid does not change its phase inside the pipeline. Additionally, it must be totally clear that pure CO\textsubscript{2} is not fed as the feed, as stream composition is an important design parameter of a pipeline. Apart from this, it also affects the vapor–liquid equilibrium. The type of impurities in a stream can affect the material selection for building the pipeline.

![Distribution of research performed on different fields based on transportation.](image)

Figure 3. Distribution of research performed on different fields based on transportation.

There is a significant capital requirement to transport CO\textsubscript{2} over large distances via pipelines, and there have been many studies focused on the direction of developing a
financially optimized pipeline network [115,117–121]. In the case of network designs of pipelines, there is a trunk pipeline at which various emitters can be linked and due to this, there is a benefit since a shared infrastructure can be provided in which more sources can join as carbon-capture-storage (CCS) [122–124]. The trunk pipeline is always made oversized so that it can contain the growth in the volume of CO₂ being transported [125]. It was found in some studies that while load changes happen, there are chances that the fluid undergoes a phase change and this leads to an operational problem [126]. It was found that there is a considerable effect on the dynamic behavior of fluid, and these effects arise because of the CO₂ streams [127]. In total, the length of CO₂ pipelines across the world is 6500 km [128], and most of these are situated in sparsely populated areas, and in order to build pipelines in the more densely populated areas, researchers are working to develop high fidelity instruments that can perform the task of assessing the risks associated with this in a quantitative manner [129–134]. These analyses help in mitigating the needless aspects while designing. For designing a pipeline at its optimum cost, it is important that those materials are chosen which are economical but also serve the purpose of transportation without any compromise. However, design parameters like the diameter of the pipe is one of the most important factors that lead to determining the cost of the pipeline system. Scientists have tirelessly worked on determining the factors that determine the diameter of a pipe and have tried to devise different formulae for the same, which results in giving the optimum pipe diameter. Some of those correlations are mentioned in Table 2.

### Table 2. Pipe diameter specification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>( D = \sqrt{\frac{2.2521/\rho C_o \varepsilon}{L \Delta}} )</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>( D = \sqrt{\frac{32 f L}{\rho \pi^2 \Delta \rho}} )</td>
<td>[136]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>( D = \sqrt{\frac{20 f}{\pi \rho L}} )</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>( D = 0.363 \rho^{0.13} \varepsilon^{0.025} \left( \frac{Q_o}{\rho L} \right)^{0.45} )</td>
<td>[138]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>( D = \left( \frac{-64Z_0 R^2T_0 f \rho L}{\pi^2 \left[ M_{ave} R_{ave} \left( \frac{T_o}{T} \right)^2 + 2g \rho_{ave} M_{ave} \rho \right]} \right)^{0.2} )</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>( D = \frac{1}{Q_o} C_1 f^{-0.5} \left[ \frac{P_1 - P_2 - C_2 G A h \rho_{ave} M_{ave}}{C_{ave} \rho_{ave} T_{ave}} \right] )</td>
<td>[140]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>( D = \left( \frac{4/3N^2 Q_o L}{\pi^2 \rho F_{ave} (P_1 - P_2) / \rho L} \right)^{0.16} )</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>( D = \left( \frac{64f Q_o L}{\pi^2 \rho^2 F_{ave}} \right)^{0.2} )</td>
<td>[141]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>( D = \left( \frac{8f Q_o L}{\rho^2 \rho (P_1 - P_2)} \right)^{0.2} )</td>
<td>[139]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>( D = \frac{P_1 \rho L}{2 \Delta \rho p} )</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>( D = \frac{P_1 \rho L}{2 \Delta \rho p} )</td>
<td>[137]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the most daunting tasks for an engineer is designing the standards and specifications, as it is an extremely exacting task that demands sharp skills and solid research. In the designing of CO₂-based pipelines, the availability of standards and specifications are pretty minimal as compared to the ones available for the pipelines transporting oil and gas. On a worldwide basis, only 15 standards could be found for the pipelines carrying CO₂, and Figure 4 shows that the most common standards for CO₂ pipelines are DNV (Det Norske Veritas) and ISO (International Organization of Standardization).

It is extremely important to select the proper quality of steel for the pipeline so that it is able to resist any kind of failure and corrosion and also be cost-efficient [124,128]. It is decided whether steel is suitable or not by looking at its fracture propagation resistance ability. Fractures can happen by corrosion or by the interference of some external sources.
Providing external coatings for protection, cathodic support, etc., are the few ways that are used to prevent the corroding of CO₂ pipelines [128].

Figure 4. Data on the pipeline related specifications of carbon dioxide from the aspects of formulations and content.

The transportation operation, just like any other process, inflicts some risks that alter the smoothness of operation. However, there are always precautionary measures available to mitigate the chances of transportation-related risks. In order to reduce the probability of these unfortunate events like leakage, there are measures like minimizing the distance of transportation and reducing the percentage of highly toxic gases like sulfur dioxide, etc., in the CO₂ stream that has been captured. In addition to these measures, which are the most generally used, there are other precautions that can be taken, like processing the CO₂ stream before subjecting it to transportation or building the pipeline system through areas that are sparsely populated. By doing this, a very low percentage of people would be exposed to the risk of leakages and thus the most preferred routes are the ones through hilly terrains.

4.1.2. Ship-Based Transportation

The article discussed the transportation modes to be pipelines and ships, but shipping transportation is the one that has received very little attention, as this mode of transportation is in its incipient stage [142,143]. There are very few numbers of ships that are being used currently for CO₂ transportation even when this is very similar to Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) transportation via shipping. Over large distances, shipping mode is preferred over pipelines [144–146]. The ships carry the CO₂ from one source and distribute it to the customers through pressurized cylinders. It was found that most of the costs incurred in shipping are due to operational expenditure while in the case of pipelines, the capital expenditure is more and as discussed earlier, a massive chunk of this operational expenditure is required in the compression process [147]. Therefore, the requirement of reducing this cost is extremely important like designing the injection system. Apart from the costs needed to build the ships, there is an important necessity to invest in loading and unloading systems, in liquefaction units, etc. There are other operational costs as well, like the cost of labor, electricity, fuel for the ships, and maintenance. The costs incurred in transporting CO₂ via ships are much more than the transportation of other energy sources like liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). This can be understood by the fact that since liquefied CO₂ is denser than liquefied petroleum gas (LPG), the load on the ship is much more in the carriage of CO₂ than it is in those ships that are carrying liquefied petroleum gas (LPG) or liquefied natural gas (LNG) [148,149].

5. Development of CO₂ Utilization Technology

CO₂ capture and utilization have now become an increasingly popular domain related to carbon capture. Carbon-capture-utilization (CCU) finds applications across farming and greenhouses to convert into fuels, chemicals, polymers, and building materials. Carbon-capture-utilization (CCU) basically adds value to the carbon capture process because originally carbon was simply buried underground. Polymers can play an important
role especially polyurethane networks when it comes to development of technologies for utilization of carbon. Recent researches show that reprocessibility and recyclability for a polyurethane have been enhanced and could come to use in these fields [150,151]. Through a number of simple conversion processes, this carbon can be made useful.

5.1. Development of a Newer Fuel-Cell Technology That Produces Electric Current from CO₂

Due to the growing concerns of global warming, carbon-capture-storage-utilization (CCUS) is gaining prominence because of its ability to nullify the carbon emission and it has been found that a fuel cell has the capacity to eliminate CO₂ and also produce electricity [152–159]. One such development is based on the phenomenon of the dissolution of CO₂ into water. Since an acidic solution is produced due to the dissolution, it was realized that an electrochemical reaction can happen and thus, a battery can be created. As can be seen in Figure 5, the anode is composed of sodium metal, which is put in an organic electrolyte while the cathode is composed of platinum. The reaction is initiated by bubbling CO in water and as a result of which H₂ liberation happens at the cathode and the flow of current starts in the circuit. The H₂ thereby produced can be used as a fuel for various purposes.

![Figure 5](image_url)  
Figure 5. The figure is a representation for the Na-CO₂ system and its pathway of reaction.

SOECs have been made for creating renewable fuels and energy transporters, which will enable renewable energy to be transported and stored [160]. Notwithstanding encouraging pre-commercial examples, certain significant technological obstacles must be overcome before this technology may be commercialized. Lowering capital costs through low-cost material and cell architectures, as well as advances in electrode performance and longevity, the cathode, are among them. The traditional Ni-YSZ cathode is shown to have been encouraging talk about performance during the initialization step; yet, to avoid nickel oxidation to nickel oxide, a constant supply of precious reducing gas is required, and rapid deterioration can be seen because of nickel migration and deactivation. For the electrolysis of dry carbon dioxide without the need of extra protective gas, Kaur et al. [160] demonstrated efficient and stable dual phase electrodes relying on A-site deficient lanthanum ferrite and doped ceria. In a simple, inexpensive, and scalable tubular cell, the material was utilized for both anode and cathode, and both electrodes were coated at the very
same times, decreasing manufacturing time. When just dry carbon dioxide was utilized as the input gas and no extra reducing gas has been utilized, the new LSCF-GDC electrode produced considerably more CO than the state-of-the-art Nickel-YSZ electrode. This method offers a strong argument for continued design and validation of non-nickel-based electrodes for use in solid oxide electrolysis systems [160].

On the permeate side, Chen et al. [161] devised two membrane processes for use with a SOFC setup, one with an air sweep (SOFCMBair) and another with a vacuum (SOFC-MBvac). The developed membranes’ transport characteristics had been implemented to the operations, and a techno-economic analysis (TEA) was performed to determine the cost of carbon dioxide removal for every operation. Importantly, with 100 percent anode exhaust recycling, the system fuel consumption for both operations may be increased to greater than 99 percent. A steam-to-carbon ratio of more than 1.5 can be achieved by fine-tuning the stack fuel usage. The SOFCMBvac method was discovered to have a cheaper carbon dioxide removal expense than the SOFC-MBair method. When evaluating the recovered fuel price in the SOFC-MBvac method, the CO₂ removal expense is not only completely offset by the recovered fuel price, but there is also a profit of around 38 dollars/tonne of carbon dioxide captured. Furthermore, using a forty dollars per tonne CO₂ selling price for increased oil recovery, a profit of nearly 66 dollars/tonne of carbon dioxide captured may be realized. Moreover, creating membranes with larger CO₂ permeances might result in greater profit. Furthermore, the SOFC-MBvac method allows for zero-carbon electricity generation.

Other application of Carbon dioxide use is the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to convert hydrocarbons. Nevertheless, photocatalytic CO₂ reduction’s low yield and product selectivity pose substantial hurdles to its broad use. To solve this critical problem, Xie and colleagues [162] created a coupled system that combines photocatalytic CO₂ reduction with photocatalytic fuel cells (PFC) for carbon-dioxide energy production. Under this combined systems, the results of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction serve as fuel for the PFC, which generates electricity directly. Just in the carbon-dioxide presence as the supply during illumination is direct power production by this linked system shown. Furthermore, the fuel levels at the PFC exit are much greater than at the PFC intake, suggesting that the coupled system described in this work is capable of generating energy as well as yielding solar fuels. This research provides up a novel path for CO₂ to be used in direct electricity production.

Consonni et al. [163] found out that when standard amine technology is used to capture CO₂, the efficiency drops below seventy percent. Steam CH₄ reforming and auto thermal reforming are two common methods for producing H₂, and they now account for the majority of producing H₂. These activities emit roughly 8.7 kilograms carbon dioxide for every kilogram of H₂ generated if CO₂ is not captured. Consonni et al. [163] suggested a molten carbonate fuel cell process with CO₂ capture to refit the flue gas flow of an existing Steam CH₄ reforming plant rated at 100,000 Nm³ h⁻¹ of 99.5 percent pure hydrogen. Direct CO₂ emissions may be decreased by >95 percent, to 0.4 to 0.5 kg carbon-dioxide per kilograms hydrogen, whilst creating 17 percent extra hydrogen, according to the thermodynamic study. The efficiency loss linked with capturing CO₂ is modest because of the carbonate fuel cell’s increased power and H₂ production, decreasing the SMR efficiency from 76.6 percent without capture to 75.6 percent with capture. This illustrates the carbonate fuel cells’ synergistic quality, since they can convert natural gas to hydrogen even while collecting CO₂ from the SMR flue gas and generating power, resulting in a complete system with extremely low emissions and great efficiency.

5.1.1. Development of a Novel Fuel Cell: Generating Electricity and Mitigating CO₂ Levels Simultaneously

For mitigating the levels of CO₂ available in the air alongside making the most out of this gas known for its harmful greenhouse emissions, the method of electrochemical conversion is deemed to be a futuristic approach in producing hydrocarbons from CO₂. The greenhouse gases if not controlled are not only causative factors in climate change, but
can also bring about diseases to humans, like lung cancer, which lies among the deadliest of cancers in the world and especially United States [164]. For achieving the conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} into compounds like CO or CH\textsubscript{4}, the electrochemical conversion is a widely considered method. However, considering the fact that this method is only in its nascent stages, it is unlikely that it would have the ability to have a practical application and the main reason for this is the higher energy that it demands. These high energy demands still exist even when catalysts are used to facilitate the electrochemical conversion of CO\textsubscript{2} [165,166]. It has been reported in various experimental conclusions that the employment of catalysts like copper and silver requires an extra potential of about -1 Volt against a reversible hydrogen electrode and thus, it is important to find a solution to reduce or even nullify this extra potential [167]. It has been reported that a novel CO\textsubscript{2}/H\textsubscript{2} fuel cell has been developed that does not consume electricity, but on the contrary, it is an electricity generator and at the same time it produces CH\textsubscript{4} (a synthetic fuel) from CO\textsubscript{2} [168–172]. Hence, it can be said that it can be easily differentiated from the conventional electrochemical cell owing to the electricity generation property [173,174]. In this cell, the production of H\textsuperscript{+} ions happens at the anode owing to the oxidation of H\textsubscript{2}. Thereafter, the H\textsuperscript{+} ions travel through a proton exchange membrane and result in the production of CH\textsubscript{4} because of reduction reactions happening at the cathode. It has been seen that these cells are better performers at a higher temperature range, which makes them extremely potent for usage in thermal power plants. In a majority of conventional electrochemical fuel cells, the oxidant at the cathode is O\textsubscript{2}, but in this particular cell, the oxidant is CO\textsubscript{2} [175]. This cell makes use of a polymer named phosphoric acid-treated-polybenzimidazole (PA-PBI) as a proton exchange membrane [176]. This is an extremely stable polymer based on its thermal properties. The chemical reactions governing the working of this fuel cell are mentioned beneath [177–179].

**Anode:**

\[
\text{H}_2 \rightarrow 2\text{H}^+ + 2e^- \rightarrow E = 0.000 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (1)
\]

**Cathode:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^- & \rightarrow \text{C} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E = 0.210 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (2) \\
\text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6e^- & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E = 0.210 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (3) \\
\text{CO}_2 + 8\text{H}^+ + 8e^- & \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E = 0.210 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (4) \\
2\text{CO}_2 + 12\text{H}^+ + 12e^- & \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + 4\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E = 0.210 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (5) \\
2\text{CO}_2 + 12\text{H}^+ + 12e^- & \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{CH}_2\text{OH} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} \quad E = 0.210 \text{ V vs. SHE} \quad (6)
\end{align*}
\]

5.1.2. The Progress in Oxygen Regeneration Owing to the Integration of Solid Oxide Co-Electrolyzer (SOCE) and Carbon Formation Reactor (CFR)

In the case of a spacecraft life support, the crew produces CO\textsubscript{2}, which is to be removed, and the recovery of the oxygen is to be done. This recovery is done by subjecting CO\textsubscript{2} through reduction reactions, and the process that is being employed to carry out this reduction process is called the Sabatier process. In the reactions of the Sabatier process, the reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} is done to produce different products like methane and water. In the succeeding step, the electrolysis of water is carried out through the oxygen generation assembly (OGA). In the oxygen generation assembly (OGA), the process of oxygen recovery is completed and the methane is allowed to ventilate. Upon calculation, it was found that the rate of recovery for oxygen is about 50%. There is a loss of 2 moles of H\textsubscript{2} per mole of CH\textsubscript{4} that is vented, and thus to compensate for this loss, there is an essential requirement to supply hydrogen again. The amount of hydrogen supplied for each person is about 33 kg per year. This hydrogen is generally made available from water, which means that for producing 33 kg of H\textsubscript{2}, there is a need for 298 kg of water. In order to augment the recovery rate for oxygen, the carbon to the hydrogen of the product hydrocarbon should be enhanced from that of CH\textsubscript{4}. For this purpose, a plasma pyrolysis assembly (PPA) has been developed, and it is known to convert CH\textsubscript{4} into acetylene (C\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}) and enhance the carbon to hydrogen ratio. This technology has been successfully tested by NASA and
the conversion fraction for the same is as high as 0.9\cite{180,181}. When this technology is combined with the Sabatier process, the oxygen recovery rate can be elevated to a level of 78% from the 50% mark. For an even elevated recovery percentage, the reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} should be done. There have been some significant developments marked by a CO\textsubscript{2}-H\textsubscript{2}O system, which is a doubly staged system with solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) cells and carbon formation reactor (CFR) cells. The presence of these can elevate the oxygen recovery levels to the 90% mark with added benefits of having lower power and volume required for resupply.

5.1.3. Operational Mode of Solid Oxide Co-Electrolyzer Cell

The solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) is composed of 2 electrodes, and between them is a membrane of yttria-stabilized zirconia (YSZ), as can be seen in Figure 6e. As it can be seen in Figure 6e, at the cathodic end, CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O are fed as gases and at a potential difference of 1 to 2 volts. The reactions governing the conversion here are:

\begin{align*}
\text{CO}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{O}^{2-} \quad (7) \\
\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow \text{H}_2 + \text{O}^{2-} \quad (8) \\
\text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2 & \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (9)
\end{align*}

Figure 6. (a) Blue crude being produced by Sunfire process. (b) Rate of energy conversion for ETOGAS process. (c) SOEC and TREMP system energy. (d) Integral SOEC and TREMP\textsuperscript{TM} systems. (e) The figure is the pictorial representation of the solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) cell.

\text{CO}_2 \text{ is dissociated to CO and oxygen ions (O}^{2-}\text{), and H}_2\text{O dissociates into H}_2 \text{ and O}^{2-}\)
owing to these temperature ranges, there is a shift in the chemical reaction mentioned above. Due to this, there is a shift in the equilibrium in the rightward direction and thus, the equilibrium conversion is increased. Additionally, there is electrolysis of $\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and removal of $\text{O}_2$ happens to form the cathodic end. Owing to this, the concentration of $\text{H}_2$ increases, and the above chemical reactions shift in the direction of higher equilibrium conversion. If in case there is an installation of the solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) for the purpose of rejuvenating the air, there are massive changes that the $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ electrolyzer can be eliminated, and the resupply of extraneous volume can be mitigated. A doubly staged solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) oxygen system is advantageous in terms of the amount of oxygen recovery because of the higher conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ into oxygen, which is obtained from the coming together of solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) and Carbon Formation Reactor (CFR) [182–184].

5.1.4. Carbon Formation Reactor (CFR) and its Mode of Operation

With the help of a carbon formation reactor (CFR), it is possible to form elemental carbon in the solid form from $\text{CO}_2$ and CO. This conversion is carried out with the help of Bosch and Boudouard reactions.

(Bosch reaction)

$$\text{CO}_2 (g) + 2\text{H}_2 (g) \rightarrow \text{C} (s) + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} (g) \quad (10)$$

(Boudouard reaction)

$$2\text{CO} (g) \rightarrow \text{C} (s) + \text{CO}_2 (g) \quad (11)$$

As discussed in the preceding section, a doubly staged system leads to higher regeneration of oxygen. Initially, the $\text{CO}_2$ is reduced to CO and this conversion happens inside a solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) cell. The first-pass conversion is improved by separating the Bosch reaction. It has been ascertained that in the first-pass through the solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE), the conversion obtained for $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ is about 80%. Due to highly concentrated CO and $\text{H}_2$ inputs, the Carbon Formation Reactor (CFR) performs better by having better efficiency. It has been seen that about 70% of oxygen can be recovered by this two-staged system. Theoretically, it is possible that even 100% of oxygen can be recovered by the process of recycling the outlet stream of the carbon formation reactor (CFR) gas, but since it is impossible to reach the 100% mark, it can be practically said that around 90% of the oxygen can be recovered [185–187].

5.2. Electrochemical Conversion of $\text{CO}_2$

The electrochemical conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ is the process wherein the $\text{CO}_2$ is transformed into reduced chemical substances. The origin of this process dates back to the 19th century when this method was used to convert $\text{CO}_2$ into CO. The cathode was made up of zinc. However, ever since the late 20th century, the importance of the electrochemical conversion of $\text{CO}_2$ has been growing because of the increasing demand for renewable fuels, as well as stricter restrictions imposed on $\text{CO}_2$ emissions. Other common sources of renewable energy like solar, wind, etc., have been able to cater to only 30% of the total energy needs, and this is one more reason behind the growing prominence of electrochemical conversion. In electrochemical conversion, $\text{CO}_2$ is transformed into syngas, methane, and other fuels, and thus there is great potential in electrochemical transformation.

A company named Sunfire GmbH has designed a process to produce a syngas that lays its dependence upon electrolyzing $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $\text{CO}_2$ at very high ranges of temperature. This process is carried out with the help of a strong oxide electrolysis cell (SOEC). The syngas can then be converted into fuel, which can later be used [188]. The strong oxide electrolysis cells (SOEC) operate at pressures exceeding 1 MPa and high temperatures (>800 °C).

The steam then gets divided into hydrogen and oxygen. In this method, with the help of the Fischer–Tropsch process, the syngas is converted into Blue Crude, which is a hydrocarbon with long chains (−CH$_2$−) and is later used to produce synthetic compounds.
This is an exothermic process and water is disintegrated for steam electrolysis with the help of the heat released from the process (see Figure 6a). Figure 6a depicts the method of producing blue crude by the process designed by the company named Sunfire GmbH. The complete unit is comprised of a high-temperature electrolyzer that separates the incoming stream of steam into \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \), a conversion reactor for producing syngas, a heat-exchanger for enhancing the temperature of the syngas, and finally, a synthesis reactor that produces the end product named Blue Crude. Thus, elevated productivity is achieved [188,189]. There are various companies like SunfireGmbH, Nordic Blue Crude AS, ETOGAS, etc., which have been working on providing more efficient and cleaner fuels, and they have invested considerable efforts for the same. For instance, ETOGAS has built a procedure to produce \( \text{H}_2 \) from soluble pressurized electrolysis of \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). This \( \text{H}_2 \) then forms \( \text{CH}_4 \) upon reaction with carbon dioxide [188]. In one of the plants of ETOGAS, testing of biogas updating is done and it was also assembled with an automobile plant of a capacity of 6 MegaWatt equivalent power. The plant produces Audi AG automobiles and is situated in Germany. Three 2 MWe units deliver engineered methane or Audi e-gas, which has 96% methane concentration. The plant delivers around 1000 tons per year of Audi e-gas, artificially restricting somewhere in the range of 2800 tons of \( \text{CO}_2 \). Upon increasing the number of electrolyzer units, the unit size can be scaled to a higher level [188,190]. Figure 6b shows the vitality productivity of the PtG procedure. Danish organization HaldorTopsøe built up a methanation process called TREMP™. It had three adiabatic fixed bed-reactors, which make use of catalysts for methanation [190]. The heat that is released due to this methanation process is recuperated and is put into use for producing steam at very high-temperature, which is then utilized in the solid oxide electrolyzer cell (SOEC) unit. HaldorTopsøe has shown a high-proficiency process that can lead to yield \( \text{CH}_4 \) at a flow rate of 10 \( \text{m}^3/\text{h} \) (Figure 6d). The percentage of methane converted from electrical power is around 80% (Figure 6c).

The power that is used up is 290 kWh/ton of \( \text{CO}_2 \), the new water utilization is 1.6 tons/ton of \( \text{CO}_2 \), and also, there is no waste-water or different emanations from the procedure [188,190,191]. A company named DNV GL built an ECFORM procedure to change over \( \text{CO}_2 \) into formic corrosive and formate salts. This procedure includes an electrolysis reactor, which is depicted in Figure 7. It makes use of a tin-based amalgam as cathodes to form formate salts from \( \text{CO}_2 \) while, \( \text{O}_2 \) is produced at the anode. Additionally, the reactor consists of an ionic membrane as well. The reactor cell potential is low and resistive misfortunes that bring about expanded vitality productivity of the procedure that makes it all the more financially plausible [192]. The energy that is consumed by the cell is approximately 5.5 MWh/ton, and it can be sufficed by renewable energy in total. The company has created a semi-pilot ECFORM showing reactor. The limit of diminishing is around 1 kg of \( \text{CO}_2 \) per day. By utilizing 1 ton of carbon dioxide, 1.04 tons of formic acid formation takes place, speaking to, for all intents and purposes, a proportional reduction of \( \text{CO}_2 \) [188,192]. This procedure is prepared to scale up; however, innovative head-ways are required before huge scope creation can occur. A group of researchers has been building up the \( \text{C}_2\text{CNT} \) procedure, which can change over \( \text{CO}_2 \) into carbon nanofibers (CNF) and carbon nanotubes even at low voltages. Carbon composites possess a wide assortment of applications, for example, batteries, gadgets, etc., which are in contrast to metals that are employed in airplanes, opulent vehicles, and sports gear. In the method of \( \text{C}_2\text{CNT} \), \( \text{CO}_2 \) rises and disintegrates in a liquid carbonate shower. The \( \text{CO}_2 \) is parted by electrolysis at anodes submerged in the liquid shower into \( \text{O}_2 \) at the anode and carbon as unadulterated carbon nanotubes at the cathode. Upon varying some parameters, it is possible to control the arrangement of carbon nanofibers nanotubes that can be controlled, and then the item structure can be tuned for a particular application [193–196]. The \( \text{C}_2\text{CNT} \) procedure can be utilized to catch \( \text{CO}_2 \) straightforwardly from different sources. Researchers have developed some structures for making the frameworks fit for application in gaseous petrol and coal-terminated power plants, where \( \text{CO}_2 \) is captured and converted into carbon nanotubes or nanofibers, and unadulterated oxygen. The utilization of this oxygen is done
to improve ignition and thus, the plant has zero CO$_2$ discharges [197]. The creation of carbon nanotubes could be way more beneficial for power plants based on non-renewable sources of energy than it would be for creating power. A traditional natural-gas-fired-combined cycle (NPCC) accounts for the production of power costing as high as US$909 for each ton of natural gas. Additionally, radiation of about 2.74 tons of CO$_2$ takes place for every ton of natural gas. It is also proposed that a joint venture by NGCC and C$_2$CNT plant has the ability to produce power costing ~USD 835 along with the production of carbon nanotubes (approximately 0.75 tons). This quantity of carbon nanotubes cost as much as ~USD225,000 without any CO$_2$ radiation. By this procedure, the expense of delivering carbon nanotubes would be US$2000/ton [198]. In this time, the researchers have gathered their focus on leveling up the C$_2$CNT procedure. In quest of a larger scope, ECFORM, C$_2$CNT, and HaldorTopsøe’s procedures require further research [199,200].

![ECFORM Electrolysis Reactor](image_url)

**Figure 7.** ECFORM electrolysis reactor.

### 6. Efficient CCUS Technologies and Their Economic Benefits

In the mid-19th century, it was demonstrated for the first time that electricity could be produced from a reaction between hydrogen and oxygen with the help of platinum as a catalyst and to date, researchers have gathered their interests in developing a hydrogen fuel cell in the search for a cleaner fuel [201]. However, due to the lack of technology in hydrogen fuel cells, it has not been an economically efficient replacement for conventional fossil-based fuel. In a few electric plants across the world, fuel cell technology has been proposed that can capture about 90% of the CO$_2$ being emitted from the electricity generating unit [202]. The CO$_2$ can then be recycled as feedstock for the industry. Fuel cells that produce electricity in synchronization with producing hydrocarbons were first probed back in the 1950s and were found to be economically much more viable than the hydrogen fuel cell because these fuel cells were operated at higher temperatures and so the catalyst it used was a cheaper Nickel metal. Additionally, since it can be used in electricity production, it would cause much less of an economic burden. Companies worth in the order of billions of dollars have taken forward the efficiency levels of these fuel cells as they went on to install about 50
power plants with capacities in excess of 50 MegaWatts each [203]. Setting up these many electricity generating plants not only mitigates the use of fossil fuels, which are a major reason for CO$_2$ emission but also produces cleaner energy [204]. Several multinationals have proposed that they can build systems capable of producing as much as 500MegaWatts of power from fuel cells and capture as much as 6.6 million metric tonnes of CO$_2$, which is nearly the emission caused by a million vehicles each year. The above technologies have extreme potential with the everyday developments happening in this field. A proper revenue and returns on investment can be earned by selling the power produced by these fuel cells in addition to making the air free of pollutants. Fuel cell technologies are affordable and can be scaled up and down based on the demands. Fuel cell-powered industries can be enhanced by starting from extremely low levels of CO$_2$ capture that cause little capital inflow. It has been estimated that in order to achieve a capture of about 90%, the costs of power are only elevated by a small margin of 0.02 Dollars per kWh. The fuel cell capture is economically more efficient than traditional capturing technologies, as they are twice as expensive as the fuel cell technologies [205]. As mentioned above, the fuel cells are more power generators than consumers. The conventional capturers reduce the output of a plant by a margin of approximately 20% (Figure 8). Figure 8 depicts the ways in which a fuel cell-based power generation system is better than a conventional power generation system. Developing these technologies in this direction is important, as the fuel cells not only generate a profit (because of electricity generation), but also provide millions of people with a cleaner electricity source [206].

Monitoring worldwide energy demand growth with reducing CO$_2$ emissions is one of the century’s most pressing challenges. Carbon capture and storage (CCS), as per the International Energy Agency (IEA), is a necessary method to drastically cut down the carbon dioxide emissions to achieve the international community’s objective of 26 GtCO$_2$/year by 2030.8. The budget for carbon is expected to exhaust in the upcoming 27 years according to the present global carbon dioxide emissions of 42 Gt CO$_2$ per year [207,208]. Mohsin et al. demonstrated three products of carbon that will cause economic (increment of 10% in
gross margin) or environmental advantages (721,000-ton carbon dioxide per year) along the route of carbon capture and utilization. The carbon dioxide source and the access to low power markets are frequently isolated by geography. For instance, this associates the transportation of either collected carbon dioxide or electricity generation, creating concerns regarding the whole process’s economic viability [209,210]. Developing integrated systems in which an electrochemical unit is intimately linked with a suitable carbon dioxide capture procedure, results in an in situ manufacture of superior products [158,211,212].

Zhang et al. [213] demonstrated a cost–benefit study of chemical, physical, and hybrid physical–chemical absorption methods for carbon dioxide capture from a variety of industrial streams with varying pressures and compositions.

The most favored economical process at atmospheric pressure having carbon dioxide concentration less than 30.4 percent is chemical absorption, while for medium carbon dioxide percentage (30.4–59.3%) the favorable process is hybrid absorption, and for maximum carbon dioxide (>59.3%), it is physical absorption. The economic outlook of the three absorption procedures is assessed following simulation and process design. The process total annualized cost is calculated via Equations (12)–(15).

\[
TAC = ACC + AOC \tag{12}
\]

\[
ACC = CRF.C_{cap} \tag{13}
\]

\[
CRF = \frac{dr.(1 + dr)^n}{(1 + dr)^n - 1} \tag{14}
\]

\[
AOC = C_{ut} + \alpha C_{ut} \tag{15}
\]

\(TAC\) = Total annualized cost  
\(ACC\) = Annualized capital cost  
\(AOC\) = Annualized operating cost  
\(CRF\) = Capital recovery factor  
\(C_{cap}\) = Total capital cost  
\(dr\) = Discounted rate  
\(n\) = Equipment lifetime  
\(C_{ut}\) = Annual utility cost  
\(\alpha\) = Ratio of operating cost over utility cost

As shown in Figure 9a, the cost of electricity overshadows the physical absorption total annualized cost. Figure 9b,c shows three types of feed with varying carbon dioxide content and their cost breakdown value. Compressing the input gas and capturing carbon dioxide consumes a significant amount of electricity. Furthermore, when \(x_{CO2f}\) (mole fraction of carbon dioxide in feed gas) grows, the electricity cost somewhat rises. The maximum increment in TAC during chemical absorption is due to the steam cost that is employed to regenerate the solvent (MEA). The quantity of steam required rises linearly with the amount of carbon dioxide, resulting in a substantial expansion of total annualized cost. When compared to chemical and physical absorption, the hybrid absorption technique can reduce total annualized costs by up to 0.7 and 3.1 million dollars per year, respectively. In a nutshell, the hybrid absorption process with high pressure carbon dioxide concentration greater than 59.3% is the most economical one.
The used CO₂ must be less expensive than a traditional source of fossil carbon in order for CCU to make a profit. Economic feasibility depends upon technology as costs are primarily determined by the purity of the available carbon dioxide source and the effectiveness of the chemical reaction. The majority of CCU techniques do not permit long-term CO₂ storage. The CO₂ absorbed into the product will be released into the environment after a period of time. CO₂ can, therefore, be kept for days or weeks (for example in liquid fuels), years (for example in polymers), or possibly decades or centuries (for example in cement), based on the product lifespan [214,215].

The high price of CO₂ capture, which makes up 2/3rd of the overall cost of CCUS, has hampered its broad adoption. Moreover, CCUS technology is only appropriate in large-scale emission sources thanks to diverse work environment like pressure, temperature, and material [216], demonstrating the potential of cost reduction by optimizing emission source, capture size, location, and numerous transportation paths alternative options of large-scale interconnected platform [217].

Even the most optimistic projections presently imply that the total quantity of CO₂ that may be used for CCU is very—around 180 Mt (0.5%) for chemicals and 2 Gt (5.5%)—for fuels in 2014 [218] compared to total anthropogenic CO₂ emissions of about 37 Gt. The CCU capability, especially for chemicals, appears to be very limited, particularly as compared to the IEA CCS objectives of 7 Gt of CO₂ stored yearly by 2050. (IEA, 2013). As a result, the amount of CO₂ that needs to be captured to achieve carbon emission reduction objectives is far larger than the economic potential [219].

A lifecycle analysis (LCA) could be used to see if a particular CCU technology improves a product’s total environmental impact (again, not only the CO₂ footprint). CO₂-based polyols, for example, have a 13–16 percent lower fossil resource use than traditionally...
manufactured polyols, according to recent LCA studies. In terms of climate implications, this replacement of fossil raw materials can prevent the release of up to 3 tonnes of CO$_2$ per tonne of CO$_2$ used in polyol manufacture [220]. This shows how CCU may reduce the CO$_2$ footprints of traditional products by substituting fossil resources with a high CO$_2$ footprint, resulting in a win–win situation for both the economy and the environment.

Zhang et al. [221] created a methodology based on optimization for the implementation of a CCUS supply chain that is both cost-effective and environmentally friendly. Over a twenty-year time scale, the whole supply chain is optimized using the superstructure approach to determine the placement and quantity of capture and sequestration facilities, and also the most efficient CO$_2$ transport pathways capable of reaching the reduction objective. The multi-objective approach generates a collection of Pareto-optimal solutions that reflect the optimum economic and environmental trade-offs. The environmental effect is calculated using the Global Warming Potential (GWP) caused by the supply chain activity and evaluated using life cycle assessment methods (LCA). The generated multi-objective model is solved using the $\varepsilon$-constraint technique. The most cost-effective approach gives policy suggestions, stating that the levelized value of the CCUS supply chain is 24.89 dollars per t CO$_2$, with 36.65 Mt CO$_2$-equivalent GHG emissions. The levelized cost of most environmental solutions is $67.84/t CO_2$, and the environmental effect is 19.35 Mt CO$_2$-equivalent. It has also been discovered that the capture and transportation phases contribute significantly to GWP, implying that one of the difficulties in 32 CCUS design is the creation of more ecologically friendly sorbents.

Hasan et al. (2014) [222] developed a CCUS supply chain model for the U.S using mixed integer linear programming (MILP). The findings revealed that a 50 percent decrease in CO$_2$ emissions may be achieved at <40 dollars/tonne cost. Methanol generation through CH$_4$ dry reforming for CO$_2$ consumption was incorporated in Leonzio et al. (2019) [223] supply chain network architecture for German. D’Amore and Bezzo (2019) [224] established a cooperative CCUS supply chain for all of Europe by implementing rules that balance the dispersion of costs between nations. They also evaluated how community approval of CCUS technology implementation could lead to cancellations or postponement, and developed a multi-objective model. To encourage the implementation of truly sustainable development paradigms, multi-criteria indicators like environmental effect, impact on society, operation adaptability, and risk assessment must be included in the design and operation of the CCUS supply chain [225].

7. Conclusions

In an era where ecological concerns keep on growing, there has been an acceptance that advancements in carbon-capture-utilization technologies can bring about carbon-capture-storage technologies. While with a country like the United States, this statement may hold its validity in the field of CO$_2$-based enhanced oil recovery where there is the availability of exceptional technologies for the storage of CO$_2$. Therefore, there is immense potential in Residual Oil Zones because of the large amount of CO$_2$ that is injected in these reservoirs to achieve better oil recovery. Various innovations have been done in the last two decades that are aimed to minimize the capital required to be spent on CO$_2$ capture. By the employment of methods such as CO$_2$-based enhanced oil recovery the probability of creating an improved infrastructure for carbon-capture-storage technology can be made possible. Although the procedure that is used to pursue an enhanced oil recovery operation is the most important factor in determining the capacity of a CO$_2$-based enhanced oil recovery operation to store CO$_2$. The amount of CO$_2$ to be stored is also dependent on the type of oil that the reservoir contains. Although controlling the changes in climatic conditions are more governed by carbon-capture-storage technologies than carbon-capture-utilization technologies. Carbon-capture-utilization has more of a role to play in reducing the costs incurred in CO$_2$ removal and making money for the energy businessmen. One of the breakthroughs of carbon-capture-utilization is that it provided an option wherein the CO$_2$ captured can be converted and used as a fuel. These fuels are
carbon-neutral and may help in maintaining the CO\textsubscript{2} content in the air. One roadblock in carbon-capture-utilization technologies is the higher costs incurred due to hydrogen and for a more practical application, it is important to look for innovations that can reduce the cost of production of hydrogen. Additionally, for carbon-capture-utilization technologies to be more efficient in creating a carbon-neutral atmosphere, it is important to make sure that the CO\textsubscript{2} emitted upon reusing the fuel is captured and utilized again. The development of fuel cells has aided the utilization process, wherein the CO\textsubscript{2} is electrochemically converted into hydrocarbons that can be used as fuels. In some devices, the electrochemical cells do not need electricity input; rather, they produce electricity alongside fuels. It is an extremely efficient method, as they generate currents of magnitude 94.1 A/m\textsuperscript{2}. Other technologies like the integration of solid oxide co-electrolyzer (SOCE) and carbon formation reactor (CFR) technologies are also path-breaking in the field of utilizing CO\textsubscript{2}, like converting the CO\textsubscript{2} into usable fuel and recovering oxygen from it at the same time.

Since there have been enhanced regulations posed by the government on reducing the CO\textsubscript{2} emission, serious advancements have happened in the field of CO\textsubscript{2} capture. However, alongside capture technologies, storage technologies have developed too. Methods like geological sequestration have garnered massive attention from engineers as well as investors. Other storage technologies like ocean storage, etc., have immense potential for storage as well, but research has been limited only to laboratory and computer-based simulation. Mitigating leakage is the main area of concern for the people working in CO\textsubscript{2} storage and is a field that needs further development.

It can be said that carbon-capture-utilization-storage (CCUS) technologies have developed largely in an attempt to purify the air around us. It can hence be implicated that scientists have developed viable technologies and have found alternatives to use CO\textsubscript{2} capture to provide economic benefits.
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