Characterization of Two AGL6–Like Genes from a Chinese Endemic Woody Tree, *Manglietia patungensis* (Magnoliaceae) Provides Insight into Perianth Development and Evolution in Basal Angiosperms
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Abstract: *Manglietia patungensis* (Magnoliaceae) exhibits radially symmetric flowers with perianth consisting of three separate sepaloid tepals in whorl 1 and six petaloid tepals in the inner two whorls, which shows an obvious difference from flowers of most Magnoliaceae species that contain three uniform petaloid tepals whorls, and make it an excellent model for understanding perianth morphology differentiation during early flower evolution. Here, two AGL6 orthologs, *MapaAGL6-1* and *MapaAGL6-2*, were isolated from *M. patungensis*. Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses grouped both genes into the AGL6 lineage. *MapaAGL6-1* is expressed only in the perianth whorls, while *MapaAGL6-2* is strongly expressed in the perianth whorls but is lowly expressed in gynoecium. Furthermore, ectopic expression of *MapaAGL6-1* results in strong complementation phenotypes in the *Arabidopsis ap1*-10 flower and production of normal floral organs in four floral whorls only with the petal number reduced in whorl 2, while ectopic expression of *MapaAGL6-2* only results in petals partly rescued but failing to terminate carpelloid development in *Arabidopsis ap1*-10 mutant. In addition, the daughter lines generated from a cross between 35S::*MapaAGL6-1* transgenic plants showing strong phenotypes and 35S::*MapaAGL6-2* transgenic plants showing phenotypic changes produce normal flowers. Our results suggest that *MapaAGL6-1* is a reasonable A-function gene controlling perianth identity in Magnoliaceae, which infers from its expression region and complementation phenotypes in *Arabidopsis ap1* mutant, while *MapaAGL6-2* is mainly involved in petaloid tepal development. Our data also provide a new clue to uncover the perianth development and early evolution in basal angiosperms.
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1. Introduction

The long-established ABCE model has provided a detailed picture of how perfect floral organs are formed in the core eudicot model systems *Arabidopsis* and *Antirrhinum* [1–3]. According to the model, the expressions of A-function genes are restricted to the first and second whorls for specifying perianth (sepal and petal) identity by the C-class genes [3,4]. APETALA1 (AP1) and AP2 reportedly confer A function in *Arabidopsis*. However, the AP1 ortholog from *Antirrhinum*, SQUAMOSA (SQUA), does not have A-function, although the AP2 homologs, LIPLESS1 (LIP1) and LIP2, confer partial A-function by contributing to perianth identity [5]. Previous study has suggested that the A-function for AP1 may be derived in *Arabidopsis* and perhaps relative species in the rosid clade, but this function has not yet been demonstrated in any species other than *Arabidopsis* [6]. Notably, the AGAMOUS-LIKE6 (AGL6)
lineage member, *NdAGL6* from a basal eudicot species *Nigella damascena*, has been identified to be an A-function gene [7]. Also, *AGL6* homologs from other basal angiosperm species, such as *Magnolia grandiflora* and *Amborella trichopoda*, have been strongly expressed in tepals [8]. In addition, an *AGL6* ortholog, *AfmAGL6* from another basal angiosperm, *Aristolochia fimbriata*, has been observed to be exclusively expressed in flowers and capsules and to be involved in regulating sepal identity [9,10]. In monocots, the *AGL6* ortholog, *OsMADS6* from *Oryza sativa*, has been seen to be strongly expressed in paleas (sepal homologs), lodicules (petal homologs), carpels and receptacle, and regulated flower development of four whorls in rice [11,12]. Moreover, three *AGL6*-like genes have been found in orchids, including *Erycina pusilla* and *Cymbidium goeringii*, and has suggested subfunctionalization of the orchid *AGL6* orthologs following gene duplication events [13,14]. For example, *EpMADS4* from *E. pusilla* has been seen to be mainly expressed in the median sepal which has resulted in petaloid sepal appearance, while *EpMADS5* from *E. pusilla* has been observed to be highly expressed in the lips and to be involved in lip development [13]. In addition, *CgAGL6-1* from *C. goeringii* has been found to be strongly expressed in the sepals and petals and to be involved in promoting sepal/petal formation, while *CgAGL6-3* from *C. goeringii* has been seen to be highly expressed in the lips and to be involved in lip development [14]. In asterid eudicots, *AGL6* orthologs, such as *SlAGL6* from *Solanum lycopersicon* and *PhAGL6* from *Petunia hybrida*, have been found to be expressed in all floral organs [15,16]. However, *SlAGL6* has been seen to be mainly expressed in sepals, petals, and carpels, but also to be involved in tomato sepal and petal development [15]. *PhAGL6* has been seen to be mainly expressed in petals, anthers, and ovaries, and has been found to work together with *SEPALLATA* (*SEP*) genes to regulate petal, anther, and ovary development [15,16]. Functional identifications of *AGL6* orthologs from different clades of angiosperms species have suggested interesting examples of expression patterns and functional evolution.

Here, two *AGL6* homolog mRNAs were isolated from *Manglietia patungensis*, a Chinese endemic woody tree that belongs to the family Magnoliaceae. *M. patungensis* produces perianth consisting of three whorls of three separate tepals, with the first whorl of three sepaloid tepals and the inner two whorls of six petaloid tepals (Figure 1), which exhibits obvious differences from typical Magnoliaceae flowers, which have three uniform petaloid tepals whorls that are highly similar to the putative ancestral angiosperm flower perianth [17], and make it an excellent model for understanding perianth morphology differentiation during early flower evolution. Sequence alignment and phylogenetic analyses grouped both *AGL6* homologs, *MapaAGL6-1* and *MapaAGL6-2*, into the *AGL6* lineage. Expression analyses showed that *MapaAGL6-1* and *MapaAGL6-2* were mainly expressed in the development tepals. Ectopic expression of *MapaAGL6-1* in *Arabidopsis* ap1-10 mutants produced flowers with sepaloid organs in whorl 1 and petaloid structures in whorl 2, while many of the 35S::*MapaAGL6-2* transgenic *Arabidopsis* ap1-10 mutants produced flowers with the anthers converted into petaloid organs. Our data provide a new clue to uncovering the differentiation of sepaloid and petaloid tepals in basal angiosperms.

![Figure 1. Flower of Manglietia patungensis. Shown are the sepaloid tepal (ste), outer petaloid tepal (opte), inner petaloid tepal (ipte), stamen (sta), and gynoecium (gyn).](image-url)
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Flower buds at various developmental stages were collected from *M. patungensis* growing under natural conditions in the Three Gorges Botanical Garden, Yichang, Hubei Province. Juvenile leaves, sepaloid tepals, outer petaloid tepals, inner petaloid tepals, stamens, and gynoecia were sampled before anthesis, immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen, and stored at −80 °C until use. The *Arabidopsis ap1*-10 mutant line (CS6230) in ecotype Landsberg background was obtained from the *Arabidopsis* Biological Resource Center, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA.

2.2. Isolation and Identification of AGL6 Homologs from *M. patungensis*

Total RNAs were extracted from floral buds using an EASYspin Plant RNA Extraction Kit (Aidlab China) following the manufacturer’s protocol. First-strand cDNA was synthesized from 2 µg of total RNA using an oligo (dT)18 primer and M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (TaKaRa, Japan). *MapaAGL6-1* cDNA was isolated by PCR amplification using the forward primer MapaAGL6-1F (5′-GTCTAGAAATCATCTCTCGTACAAAAG-3′) and the reverse primer MapaAGL6-1R (5′-GGACGTCTCAAACCATATTGTGTG-3′). *MapaAGL6-2* cDNA was isolated by PCR amplification using the forward primer MapaAGL6-2F (5′-GTCTAGAGAAAATCTGAGATGGGGAG-3′) and the reverse primer MapaAGL6-2R (5′-GGACGTCTCAAACCATATTGTGTG-3′). Primer design was based on the sequences of *AGL6* homologs from close species, such as *MawuAGL6-1* (Genbank accession numbers: KU860522.1) and *MawuAGL6-2* (Genbank accession numbers: KU860523.1) from *M. wufengensis*, respectively. PCR was performed with a 3 min 94 °C denaturation step, followed by 30 cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s annealing at 57 °C, a 45 s extension at 72 °C, and then a final extension period of 10 min.

2.3. Sequence Alignments and Phylogenetic Analysis

Deduced amino acid sequences of *MapaAGL6-1* and *MapaAGL6-2*, and other MADS-box proteins from various angiosperm lineages were selected for phylogenetic trees from NCBI Genbank (Table S1). Phylogenetic trees were constructed with MEGA5.0 software using the maximum likelihood method with 1000 bootstrap replication [18]. Full-length amino acid sequences of AGL6-like proteins containing the MADS, I, K and C domains were aligned with BioEdit 7.0.9 software using the ClustalW program with default settings. Sequence data used were as follows: *M. patungensis*, MapaAGL6-1 (ATB53133.1), MapaAGL6-2 (ATB53135.1); *A. thaliana*, AGL6 (AEC10582.1), AGL13 (AEE80158.1) [19]; *A. fimбриата*, AfimAGL6 (ALV83432.1) [9]; *Chimonanthus praecox*, CpAGL6 (ACN88212.1) [20]; *N. damascene*, NdAGL6 (ALM95509.1) [5]; *S. lycopersicum*, SlAGL6 (NP_001348459.1) [21]; *O. sativa*, OsMADS6 (Q6EU39.1), OsMADS17 (ACX35552.1) [22].

2.4. Expression Analysis of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2

Juvenile leaves, four whorls of floral organs (sepaloid tepals, petaloid tepals, stamens, and gynoecium) from floral buds (27 mm in length) and five whorls of floral organs (sepaloid tepals, outer petaloid tepals, inner petaloid tepals, stamens, and gynoecium) from floral buds (40 mm in length) were collected from *M. patungensis*, respectively. Moreover, flower buds at various developmental stages were also sampled. Total RNAs were extracted from these organs and the first-strand cDNAs were subsequently synthesized using the protocol described above. Expressions of *MapaAGL6-1* and *MapaAGL6-2* were detected in these organs using quantitative real-time PCR (qPCR), respectively. Quantitative real-time PCR with three biological replicates was carried out according to Zhang et al. [23] with the gene-specific primers qMapaAGL6-1F (5′-ACTTTATCGTCTTCTCACGCC-3′) and qMapaAGL6-1R (5′-ACCATCCCTGTATCTACCCG-3′) for *MapaAGL6-1*, as well as qMapaAGL6-2F (5′-GATGCTGAGGCTGCTTCA-3′) and qMapaAGL6-2R (5′-TCAACTTTGACACTTCTGA-3′) for *MapaAGL6-2*. Amplification of *M. patungensis* actin
with specific primers qMpactinF (5′-AAGAACATCCGTCCTCCTTACTG-3′) and qMpactinR (5′-ACCGGAATCAAGCAAAATAACCTGT-3′) was used as a normalization.

2.5. Vector Construction and Arabidopsis Transformation

Full-length MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 cDNAs in the sense orientation were cloned into binary vector pBI121 (BD Biosciences, Clontech) with Xba I and Sac I restriction enzymes under control of the CaMV35S promoter, respectively. The 35S::MapaAGL6-1 and 35S::MapaAGL6-2 constructs were transformed into homozygous ap1-10 Arabidopsis using the floral-dip method according to the protocol suggested by Clough and Bent via Agrobacterium tumefaciens strain GV3101, respectively [24]. Plasmid pBI121 (negative control) was also transformed into homozygous ap1-10 Arabidopsis using the same protocol described above. Transgenic Arabidopsis seeds were selected and seedlings were cultivated according to Li et al. [25]. Moreover, transgenic A. thaliana lines were confirmed by PCR and qPCR as described above using the same gene-specific primers for MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2, respectively. Amplification of the A. thaliana β-actin with the primers qactinF (5′-GATTTGGCATCACACTTTCTACAATG-3′) and qactinR (5′-GTTCCACCACTGACCAATG-3′) was used as a normalization. Transgenic homozygous mutant plants were analyzed after genotyping.

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were carried out with three biological replicates and data were expressed as mean ± SD (standard deviation). Statistical significance was determined by LSD, and statistical significance was declared at p value ≤ 0.01 or 0.05, respectively.

3. Results

3.1. Cloning and Sequence Alignments of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2

The MapaAGL6-1 cDNA is 822 bp with a 729 bp ORF (Open Reading Frame, ORF) encoding 242 amino acids (aa) (Genbank accession number: KX926430.1), whereas the MapaAGL6-2 cDNA is 833 bp with a 729 bp ORF encoding 242 aa (Genbank accession number: KX926432.1). Deducing protein alignment and a phylogenetic tree grouped both the MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 into AGL6 lineage (Figure 2). Hence both genes were designated as MapaAGL6-1 (Manglietia patungensis AGAMOUS-like 6) and MapaAGL6-2, respectively. Conceptual translation shows that both proteins with 242 aa contain a 57 highly conserved MADS-box domain (1–57) at the N-terminal region, a 68 aa moderately conserved K domain (89–156) in the middle region, and an 86 aa variable C domain (157–242), but with two highly conserved AGL6 I and II motifs (Figure 3) in the C-terminal region [26–28]. Moreover, both proteins consist of three putative amphipathic α-helices referred to as the K1 (89–110), K2 (123–137), and K3 (145–177) subdomain with conserved hydrophobic amino acids at the a and d positions in the (abcdefg)n heptad repeats [27]. However, both proteins show an 81.40% sequence identity. Two important residues of MapaAGL6-1 show difference with MapaAGL6-2 even in the key position with expected hydrophobic amino acids of the K1 and K2 subdomains (Figure 3). For example, Cys-100 in the K1 and Thr-137 in the K2 of MapaAGL6-1 correspond to Tyr-100 in the K1 and Ala-137 in the K2 of MapaAGL6-2, respectively. In addition, several amino acids residues in the K3 and AGL6 motifs II also display differences between MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2.
Figure 2. Phylogenetic analysis of MADS-box proteins. The tree was constructed with MEGA5.0 software using the maximum likelihood method. Numbers along the branches are bootstrap values from 1000 replications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tree Level</th>
<th>Bootstraps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Sequence alignments of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 with AGL6-like MADS-box proteins from other plants. The first underlined region represents the MADS domain and the second the K domain. The AGL6-I motif and AGL6-II motif in the C-terminal region are boxed. Amino acid residues identical to MapaAGL6-1 are indicated as dots. Dashes were introduced into the sequence to improve the alignment. Three heptad (abcdefg) repeats represented by k1, k2, and k3 subdomains that usually contain hydrophobic amino acids are present at positions a and d [27].
3.2. Expression Analyses of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 in M. patungensis

MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 were mainly expressed in sepaloid tepals of 27 mm floral buds and both genes in the first whorl of floral organs were expressed significantly higher than that in other floral whorls. In addition, MapaAGL6-2 was also found in petaloid tepals and gynoecia for its low expression levels, whereas MapaAGL6-1 was only found for its low expression levels in petaloid tepals (Figure 4a). Moreover, with the floral bud increasing in length and the petaloid tepals developing, MapaAGL6-1 expression was extended inward into the inner petaloid tepals of 40 mm floral buds, while high levels of MapaAGL6-2 expression were also detected in the perianth whorls (Figure 4b). Furthermore, MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 expressions were also detected in 16 mm, 22 mm, 35 mm, 38 mm, and 52 mm floral buds, respectively. In addition, the expressions of both genes achieved high levels in 52 mm floral buds when the perianth rapidly enlarged in size (Figure 5).

![Figure 4](image1.png)

**Figure 4.** Expression of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 in the juvenile leaves (lea), sepaloid tepals (ste), petaloid tepals (pte), outer petaloid tepals (opte), inner petaloid tepals (ipte), stamens (sta), and gynoecia (gyn) detected by qPCR with M. patungensis actin as the normalization. (a) Expression of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 in different floral organs of 27 mm long floral buds and juvenile leaves, respectively; (b) expression of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 in different floral organs of 40 mm long floral buds and juvenile leaves, respectively.

![Figure 5](image2.png)

**Figure 5.** Relative expression of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 in floral buds of different lengths.

3.3. Ectopic Expression of MapaAGL6-1 and MapaAGL6-2 in ap1-10 Arabidopsis

In order to explore their roles in regulating floral development, we transformed 35S::MapaAGL6-1 and 35S::MapaAGL6-2 constructs into Arabidopsis ap1-10 mutants that produced flowers with petal loss and abnormal sepals to create complementation lines in these well-characterized mutant backgrounds, respectively. After identification by PCR and quantitative real time PCR (Figure 6), thirty 35S::MapaAGL6-1 and forty 35S::MapaAGL6-2 of independent homozygous transformants under ap1-10 mutant background were obtained, respectively. Moreover, twenty ap1-10 homozygous transformants with the pBI121 vector only were also obtained.
Among a total of 40 35S::MapaAGL6-1 homozygous ap1-10 transformants, 22 (55.00%) lines displayed no obvious changes in floral phenotypes. In addition, the daughter lines generated from a cross between 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic plants showing strong phenotypes and 35S::MapaAGL6-2 transgenic plants showing phenotypic changes could produce the normal inflorescence and perfect flowers consisting of four whorls of floral organs (Figure 7o, p). However, all transformants with the pBI121 vector only mimicked the flower phenotypes of Arabidopsis ap1-10 mutants.

Figure 6. The 35S::MapaAGL6-1 and 35S::MapaAGL6-2 independent homozygous transformants under Arabidopsis ap1-10 mutant background identified by qPCR, respectively. (a) The relative expression of MapaAGL6-1 was detected in the homozygous ap1-10 mutant Arabidopsis; (b) Expression of MapaAGL6-2 was confirmed in the homozygous ap1-10 mutant Arabidopsis. (CK) Homozygous ap1-10 mutant Arabidopsis; (A1) 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic line without phenotypic change; (A2, A3, and A4) 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic lines displayed medium rescue phenotypes; (A5, A6, and A7) 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic lines showed strong complementation phenotypes; (B1, B2, B3, and B4) 35S::MapaAGL6-2 transgenic lines without phenotypic change; (B5, B6, and B7) 35S::MapaAGL6-2 transgenic lines displayed phenotypic changes in floral structure.
Figure 7. Phenotype comparison of wild-type, homozygous ap1-10 mutant, 35S::MapaAGL6-1, and 35S::MapaAGL6-2 transgenic Arabidopsis under a homozygous ap1-10 mutant background, respectively. (a) Inflorescence of wild-type Arabidopsis; (b) wild-type Arabidopsis flower with normal four whorls floral organs; (c) inflorescence of homozygous ap1-10 mutant Arabidopsis; (d) flower of homozygous ap1-10 mutant Arabidopsis with first whorl sepal converted into carpel-like organs bearing ovules and numbers of stamens reduced; (e–k) inflorescence or flowers of 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic Arabidopsis under homozygous ap1-10 mutants with different degrees of rescue: (e) inflorescence of 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic Arabidopsis displaying strong complementation phenotypes; (f) flowers of a rescued ap1-10 mutant with four sepals in whorl 1, three petals in whorl 2, and normal stamens and gynoecia in whorl 3 and whorl 4, respectively; (g) flowers of a rescued ap1-10 mutant with two sepals in the first whorl, three petals in the second whorl, and normal stamens and gynoecia in the inner two whorls; (h) flowers of a rescued ap1-10 mutant with two sepals in whorl 1, two petals in whorl 2, six stamens in whorl 3, and normal gynoecia in whorl 4; (i) cluster bract-like sepals enclosing normal reproductive structures on an obvious compression floral axis; (j–k) inflorescence and flowers of a rescued ap1-10 mutant without petal whorl; (l–n) inflorescence or flowers of 35S::MapaAGL6-2 transgenic Arabidopsis under homozygous ap1-10 mutants with different degrees of rescue: (l–m) inflorescence and flowers of a rescued ap1-10 mutant with petaloid anthers on the top of the filament; (n) flowers of a rescued ap1-10 mutant with one petal in the second whorl; (o–p) inflorescence and flowers of the daughter lines generated from a cross between 35S::MapaAGL6-1 transgenic plants showing strong phenotypes and 35S::MapaAGL6-2 transgenic plants showing phenotypic changes under homozygous ap1-10 mutant background. Bars: (b,d,f–h,l–n,p) 500 μm; (a,c,e,j–k,o) 1 mm.
4. Discussion

Perianth is widely present in almost all extant angiosperms and a double perianth with sepals and petals differentiated is considered to be a key innovation for eudicots [29]. Although the molecular developmental basis for perianth differentiation in eudicots model species, such as *Arabidopsis* and *Antirrhinum*, is well explained by the ABCE-model [3,30], how perianth identity is programmed in magnoliids remains unclear. The perianth of most magnoliids species consists of two or three whorls of morphologically identical laminar organs, termed tepals [31], and hence exhibits a considerable difference from eudicot perianths, which consist of morphologically distinct sepals and petal whors. Moreover, the tepals in basal angiosperms show more similar traits with the sepal in eudicots except their showy color, which indicates that the tepal identity program may be more different with perianth form eudicots.

*AfinAGL6*, an AGL6 ortholog from magnoliids species *A. fimbriata*, is only expressed in flowers and capsules, and is involved in regulating petaloid sepal (tepal) development [10]. In another magnoliids species, *C. praecox*, the AGL6 ortholog is mainly expressed in tepals and carpels but is lowly expressed in stamen and juvenile leaves and shows a potential role in controlling flowering time and floral organ identity [20]. Moreover, the AGL6-like gene of *M. grandiflora* is expressed only in tepals and an AGL6 ortholog, *AmtrAGL6* from *A. trichopoda*, is highly expressed in tepals but is weakly expressed in other floral organs [6,8]. If function can be inferred from expression, AGL6 orthologs are reasonable candidates for A-function genes in basal angiosperms. In addition, an AGL6-like gene, *NdAGL6* from a basal eudicot species *N. damascena*, has been recently identified as conferring A-function [7]. However, AGL6 orthologs from asterid eudicots show broader expression zones and play diverse roles in regulating floral development. For examples, tomato *SlAGL6* and *Petunia PhAGL6* have been expressed in four floral organs. *SlAGL6* plays a key role in regulating tomato sepal and petal development, while *PhAGL6* plays a key role in regulating petal, anther, and ovary development [15,16]. In monocots, the rice AGL6 ortholog, *OsMADS6*, has been found to be strongly expressed in all floral organs and has been observed to be involved in regulating flower development of four whors [11,12]. All these data suggest the functional evolution of AGL6 orthologs follows their changes in expression pattern during flower evolution of angiosperms.

In *M. patungensis*, *MapaAGL6-1* is only expressed in the perianth whors, and *MapaAGL6-2* is strongly expressed in the perianth whors but is lowly expressed in gynoecium. Moreover, with petaloid tepal development, both gene expressions are expanded into the petaloid tepal whors and achieve a high level in this region, which suggests that they may be essential for the perianth identity. In addition, the function of AGL6 orthologs from orchids can be inferred from their expressions. For examples, *CgAGL6-1* from *C. goeringii* has been found to be strongly expressed in the sepals and petals and has been observed to be involved in promoting sepal/petal formation, while *CgAGL6-3* from *C. goeringii* has been found to be highly expressed in the lips and to be involved in lip development [14]. This also provides a clue to understanding the subfunctionalization of AGL6 orthologs following gene duplication events.

In *M. patungensis*, ectopic expression of *MapaAGL6-1* results in strong complementation phenotypes in the *Arabidopsis* ap1-10 flower and produces normal flowers consisting of the normal four whors of floral organs, with only the petal number reduced in whorl 3 (Figure 7e,f); ectopic expression of *MapaAGL6-2* in *Arabidopsis* ap1-10 mutant only results in petals partly rescued in whorl 2 but fails to terminate carpelloid organs development in whorl 1 (Figure 7m,n). In addition, the daughter lines generated from a cross between 35S::*MapaAGL6-1* transgenic plants showing strong phenotypes and 35S::*MapaAGL6-2* transgenic plants showing phenotypic changes could produce normal inflorescence and perfect flowers consisting of four whors of floral organs. All these data raise the possibility that *MapaAGL6-1* contributes to A-function and *MapaAGL6-2* involves petaloid tepal development in *M. patungensis*. Our study also provides a clue to the development and evolution of differentiated perianth in basal angiosperms.

Table S1: Information on Sequences selected for alignments and phylogenetic analyses from NCBI GenBank.
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LSD Least Significant Difference
ORF Open Reading Frame
PCR Polymerase Chain Reaction
qPCR Quantitative real-time PCR
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