Contribution Towards a Comprehensive Methodology for Wood-Based Biomass Material Flow Analysis in a Circular Economy Setting
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Abstract: It is challenging to quantify the production of wood-based biomass, to define the type and where it comes from, how it is used, and the amount that remains available. This information is crucial for the implementation of national and transnational regulations and is a pillar for the development of the future bio-based circular economy. A variety of studies estimate the production of biomass, performs material flow analyses, or addresses supply chain modelling. These studies are often built upon distinct assumptions, tailored to a specific purpose, and often poorly described. This makes comparison amongst studies, generalization of results, or replication hard to even impossible. This paper presents a comprehensive methodology for wood-based biomass material flow analysis, anchored in Material Flow Analysis, built upon literature review and deducted through systematization of previous studies. This is a five-step approach, consisting of (1) adopt proper terminology; (2) obtain accurate estimates for the biomass flows; (3) Sankey diagram for resource balance representation; (4) scenario analysis; (5) stakeholders validation. The focus is to provide instructions for producing a generalized Sankey diagram, from the categorization of biomass resources, uses/applications in a circular economy setting, towards the development of scenario analysis. Its practical implementation is presented by defining the yearly wood-based biomass resource balance of Portugal and the waste wood resource balance of Flanders. The main data sources for the quantification of the biomass sources and uses/applications are identified. Based on the insights from these case studies, our methodological approach already shows to be replicable and with comparable results. This enables the comparison of resource flows between different regions and countries and also monitoring the progress over time. This leads to improved data which can be instruments for supporting companies’ decision-making processes (e.g., infrastructure investments or other strategic decisions), as well as designing policy strategies and incentives.
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1. Introduction

Increasing the efficiency of the use of wood-based biomass in Europe is a prerogative of forest and energy national and transnational regulation and a pillar of the future circular economy. The EU Forest Strategy [1], among other sectoral strategies, emphasizes the importance of reinforcing the supply of wood-based biomass in terms of its quantity and quality, namely through the collection of waste wood and the collection of residues that up to now are seldom used, such as the residues from harvesting, thinning, pruning, landscape management, and fuel removal in sites with high risk of forest fires.
There are strong policy incentives (e.g., National Energy and Climate Plan 2021–2030) to increase the use of this renewable energy source for producing electricity and heat, which is contributing to rising the share of biomass in the total energy market in EU28, as confirmed in recent EU reports [2]. However, the portfolio of bio-based products derived from biomass is growing, as new biorefineries enter into production, as part of the Updated Bioeconomics Strategic and the Blueprint for the EU Forest-Based Industries [3]. The principle of “cascade use” deals with concurrent uses for the biomass by establishing a priority based on the added value that can be potentially generated [4–6]. Although many political systems intend to present cascading as a hierarchical approach in which other uses of wood should hold priority over energy purposes, in reality cascading use of natural resources varies with time and place [7]. Additionally, the characteristics of the wood-based biomass should be taken into consideration, as this is a heterogeneous material, for example in terms of its granularity, moisture content, and level of impurities, which makes certain types of biomass more suitable to some applications than to others.

Being able to quantify how much wood-based biomass is produced, of which type and where, how it is used, and for what, and the amount that remains available is a basilar stage of the implementation of the aforementioned policies for increasing the efficient use of wood-based biomass. Updated and harmonized spatially-explicit estimates of the forest biomass stocks are necessary to support the design of policies, incentives, and guide investment decisions related with bioeconomy and sustainable use of renewable resources, as well as to improve climate change modelling and design appropriate mitigation actions [8–10].

This is a challenging task for which a vast literature exists, although fragmented. There are many studies that provide estimates on the production of biomass, making a distinction between different sources, e.g., agriculture residues, forestry residues, urban greenery management, post-consumer wood, among others. For example, in [11] the authors assess the potential availability of forest biomass from European forests and its spatial distribution and in [12] the authors present a spatial approach for quantifying residual biomass potential in the EU-27, where a broad range of residual organic substrates have been simultaneously quantified from a theoretical point of view which does not take into account technical limitations related with its collection and mobilization.

The studies that analyze biomass uses and quantify biomass flows along the value chain are also abundant. This is a research area that typically falls in the field of Material Flow Analysis (MFA), that in general terms, consists of a systematic assessment of the flows and stocks of materials within a system defined in space and time [13]. In the context of this study, the resource balance representation summarizes all flows of wood-based biomass from the forest to wood-based industries, biomass conversion units, including bioenergy production. This representation takes into account the fact that wood is a highly versatile material, which can be used and reused in many different processes, so-called cascading. The Sankey diagrams are a common form of representation of the wood resource balance [14]. Some examples are the work done by the International Renewable Energy Agency (Irena) [15,16] and by the European Commission [17,18]. Other wood flow studies have focused on regional and national levels such as in [19–22]. Further studies addressing the increased demand for forest biomass and its impacts can be found in [23,24]. The authors of [25] have extensively quantified wood flows at the global scale, suggesting that there are still considerable uncertainties about the extraction of wood at the global level and especially of wood fuels. These studies can be instrumental for supporting policy design in respect to cascading use of biomass in the future bioeconomy due to the possibility to model the interlinked relations between the biomass sources and applications. In [26] the authors identify influence factors for the future development of a wood-based bioeconomy in Germany, four scenarios were generated based on different assumptions about the development of relevant influence factors, and what developments in politics, industry, and society have a central impact on shaping alternative futures were discussed. Some authors (e.g., of [27]) suggest the possibility to perform scenario analysis based on Sankey diagrams or similar representations, with the aim of assessing the impact of changes in biomass production or use, considering the overall flows and the
alternative uses under the cascading production and trade-offs between them. The authors of [28] present a prospective analysis in this direction but this is still an aspect poorly covered in the literature.

Complementary to the latter, are the studies on supply chain modelling and optimization. In [29,30] the authors present reviews of biomass supply chain modeling approaches. Optimization and simulation techniques are amongst the most commonly used to predict supply chain performance [31], select the most efficient supply chain configuration [32,33], optimize the sizing of supply chain components to minimize cost [30], or optimize scheduling of supply chain operations [34]. MFA are instrumental for generating good quality input data for these models, which will lead to better quality model results [32].

The comparison amongst existing studies that apply MFA for wood-based biomass is often difficult as they are built upon a different terminology. Often the concepts and data sources seem similar but do not necessarily have the same meaning. The studies are also often built upon distinct assumptions, tailored to a specific purpose which makes the generalization of results quite hard [35]. Besides the lack of harmonization from one study to another, methodologies are often poorly described [1,36], hence hardly replicable.

The main contribution of this paper is the development of a comprehensive methodology for wood-based biomass flow analysis, anchored in Material Flow Analysis, and built upon literature review. This stepwise approach is deducted through systematization of previous studies. The main focus is providing instructions for producing a generalized Sankey diagram, starting downstream with both the description of the types of biomass sources and the main uses in a circular economy setting, which extends beyond the bioenergy production. Another innovative aspect of the proposed approach is the development of scenario analysis based on the Sankey diagram. This methodology approach should be replicable and with comparable results, hence, enabling the comparison between different regions and countries. The second main contribution of this work relates to terminology harmonization, extending the EU-wide referential of UNECE-Joint Forest Sector Questionnaire (JFSQ) to consider a wider range of biomass sources and some other aspects that can be relevant for addressing circular economy principles. In this way, the methodology is a crucial instrument for supporting companies’ decision-making processes, e.g., infrastructure investments or other strategic decisions, as well as policy design strategies and incentives.

This methodology has been applied for two case studies—wood-based biomass resource balance of Portugal and the waste wood resource balance of Flanders, for the year 2016 and 2014, respectively—and several scenarios have been proposed taking into account the new trends in the wood-based biomass sector.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Selection Criteria and Analysis

The literature review, conducted between September and December 2019, consisted of the search of technological and scientific publications in the Science Direct portal. The search criteria were (“wood” OR “biomass”) AND “material flow” NOT “life cycle” in the title, abstract or author-specified keywords. The search results were filtered to include only review and research articles published since 2010 until December 2019. The studies that include life cycle analysis were excluded from this research because their purpose is to quantify the ecological, social, and economic impacts, hence, beyond biomass flow balance (e.g., in [37–39]).

In total, 86 publications were identified. The publications were evaluated by the authors based on relevancy, consistency, and objectivity resulting in a selection of 17 relevant papers, two of which are reviews. These papers were analyzed in more detail based on a Content Analysis Technique, considering: (i) description of the key concepts and terminology; (ii) form of representation of the biomass flows (e.g., Sankey or other), excluding papers on supply chain modelling and optimization;
(iii) description of the methodological approach; (iv) scope of the analysis, i.e., which biomass sources and uses are covered, geographical and temporal scales.

The selected papers were published in 12 distinct journals, mostly in 2015 and 2016. The Journal of Cleaner Production was the most used. The book on Mobilization of Forest Bioenergy in the Boreal, Temperate Biomass published in 2016, contains three of the selected articles (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Selected papers for this study, distributed according to the year of publication and journal.](image)

### 2.2. Main Findings of the Literature Review

The literature review evidences the lack of consensus and homogenization of concepts, terms, definitions, and data sources for the characterization of the wood-based biomass flows. Biomass sources are often referred to as “forestry” (e.g., in [27]), annual forest increment” (e.g., in [28]), hence it is unclear if references to a theoretical potential or biomass that can actually be mobilized and used. There are few studies including the identification and description of the categories/subcategories, and the identification of the data sources used, which leads to some difficulty in understanding exactly what is considered in each one. Authors often identify as the main gaps in this type of analysis, the uncertainty in the quality of the input data, accessibility to adequate data sources, and difficulties in the compatibilization of flows measured with different units, namely finding adequate conversion factors.

There are significant differences in the methodological approaches that are followed, which makes their comparison difficult. Amongst those that present resource balance representations, Sankey diagrams are the most frequently used [25,27,28,40,41]. Sankey diagrams are used to perform the analysis at different geographical scales, e.g., one global case, one regional at European level, and three national-wide in France, Netherlands, and Austria. In respect to the biomass sources, only half of the papers focus exclusively on wood-based biomass while the others also include agricultural and other non-wood wastes. With respect to biomass uses and applications, bioenergy is always considered, in parallel with other traditional wood applications such as sawmilling and pulp and paper. Hence, considering the by-products that re-enter the streams, usually in a clustered manner (e.g., industrial waste). Post-consumer wood is also acknowledged in the several studies. None of the selected papers addresses other uses related to biorefineries, however, this is considered promising for biomass cascade use. With respect to circularity, in [42] the authors concluded that it has been assessed mainly at product level (an example can be found in [37], that explores the challenges related to the End-Of-Life phase of products and circular systems of reuse and recycling within the commonly established frameworks.
of product lifecycle), but national and regional level assessment is also essential in evaluating the effectiveness of circular economy strategies. The possibility to use the resource balance representations to support scenario analysis is referred by several authors, but there were no examples of its application.

3. Proposed Stepwise Methodological Approach

The approaches applied in prior studies on biomass material flow analyses were systematized into a novel five-step methodological approach, presented in Figure 2. The main application of this approach is supporting the design of public policies related with the biomass sector, as well as supporting decisions concerning companies’ investments. However, it can be adapted for wider purposes, whenever is relevant to characterize current wood-based biomass flow balance and analyze future scenarios that anticipate the impacts from changes in the biomass demand and/or consumption. The geographical scale of analysis can be a country or a large region. More detailed analysis, e.g., at a municipality level, benefit from spatial-explicit data and spatial analysis that help to address the transportation costs among other technical and business limitations impacting in the amount of biomass that can actually be mobilized. The temporal scale of analysis can be a year or multi-year, as the statistics related with biomass flows are often computed at a yearly basis.

![Figure 2. Five-step methodological approach for wood-based biomass material flow analysis.](image)

3.1. Adopt Common Concepts and Terminology

The concepts and terminology should be common and widely applicable to enable comparison among studies in different locations, as well as to monitor biomass flows over time at a certain location. The two fundamental concepts in material flow analysis are flow and process. In most studies, the flow (or stream) represents the movement of material or energy, associated with a given time interval or functional unit. The process is the endpoint of the flow where the material is demanded, that can include transformation, transportation, and/or storage (e.g., in [14]). The flow starting point is also a downstream process of the value chain. The origin of the flow is often called the biomass source and the final endpoint (or sink) is the biomass product, use, or application. The Eurosat 2001 is the first framework for establishing material flow accounts and material balances for a whole economy, including biomass flows from forestry. This terminology, in combination
with those proposed in [43] was integrated, resulting in our new terminology for the categorization of the types of biomass flows (Figure 3):

**Figure 3.** Characterization of the wood-based biomass flows.

1. **Biomass potential (BP)** (or total production): total volume of wood-base biomass that is theoretically available to be used by all the transformation processes that consume biomass (some papers refer to this as growing stock or Net Primary Production);
2. **Biomass mobilized (BM):** total volume of wood-based biomass that is technically and economically mobilizable, and therefore is the amount that can actually be used by the transformation processes. Corresponds to biomass from primary sources, either roundwood, woodfuel, or residues from forest management, among others;
3. **Biomass demanded (BD):** total volume of wood-based biomass that is required by the transformation processes, in order to assure that their transformation facilities work in full capacity;
4. **Biomass consumed (BC):** total volume of wood-based biomass that is actually consumed by the transformation processes. For the purpose of generalization, we made a distinction between industrial consumption (BC1) and final consumption (BC2);
5. **Biomass repurposed (BR):** total volume of wood-based biomass sourced in the form of by-products from the wood processing industry (IB) or post-consumption waste (PC), that is supplied to the transformation processes.

There is a fraction of BM that is for exports (E), i.e., for transformation in facilities outside the location/region under study. Similarly, there is a fraction of the BC corresponding to imports (I), i.e., biomass originated outside the location/region under study.

A novelty in the proposed terminology of the wood-based biomass material flows is the identification of the material losses and waste, as a main concern of circular economy. We adopt the generic terminology that refers to waste as the unused material in the final consumption and to losses as the unused material during the supply chain. Studying these losses can be instrumental for designing effective policies for collecting and repurposing these materials for higher valorization. As so, the losses of biomass potential that are not mobilizable (LM) correspond to the difference between BM and BP. The losses due to demand unfulfilled (LD) correspond to the difference between BD and BC. The losses due to material that is not repurposed (LR) corresponds to the difference between (IB plus PC) and (BC1 plus BC2).

Wood-based biomass resources are, in many studies, categorized according to the provenance: primary biomass; industrial by-products (IB) that are the leftovers from the industrial transformation of the wood; and the post-consumption or waste materials (PC) that are the leftovers of final consumers that are reincorporated by the industry. These categories are considered in the terminology described...
before (Figure 1). Each category is typically partitioned into subcategories. However, in many cases, the subcategories are tailored to the purpose of the analysis, leading to a lack of homogeneity that hinders comparative analysis. The subcategories for the wood-based biomass proposed in this study match those used in the Eurostat in the Joint Forest Sector questionnaire (JFSQ), which were extended with additional subcategories for the primary biomass and byproducts (see Table 1).

### Table 1. Categorization of the wood-based biomass sources (definitions adapted for Eurostat-Joint Forest Sector Questionnaire (JFSQ) are marked with *).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary biomass (BM)</td>
<td>Roundwood * (RW)</td>
<td>Trees, living or dead, that are logged and removed from the forest, other wooded areas, and other felling sites. Includes the entire tree, above and below ground material. Excludes bark and other non-wood-based biomass and any wood that is not removed, for example stumps and branches.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy crops * (EC)</td>
<td>Short rotation coppices (less than six years) entirely dedicated to energy production (electric or thermal).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WoodFuel * (WF)</td>
<td>Roundwood that will be used as fuel for purposes such as cooking, heating, or power production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shrubs and understory vegetation (SH)</td>
<td>Spontaneous vegetation consisting of shrubs (e.g., Ulex, Cytisus, Genista, Pierospartum) or shrubby formations (e.g., spontaneous Quercus ilex and Arbustus unedo formations). Additionally, includes vegetation growing under the canopy of adult trees. It is usually composed by grasses, shrubs, or herbaceous vegetation, including also temporary pastures. Examples are wood material removed in landscape management operations and fuel removal in sites with high risk of forest fires.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Forest residues * (FR)</td>
<td>Biodegradable fraction of products and residues resulting from the installation, management (e.g., thinning, pruning), and harvesting operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial byproducts (IB)</td>
<td>From the primary wood processing industries (IB1)</td>
<td>Byproducts of wood processing from the sawmill industries, often clean, untreated waste wood in the form of chips, sawdust, shavings, off-cuts, and bark.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From the secondary wood processing industries (IB2)</td>
<td>Byproducts from the wood-based panel industry (e.g., particle board, fiberboard, veneer, and plywood), often dust, bark, shavings, trimmings rejects, or offcuts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From the tertiary wood processing industries (IB3)</td>
<td>Byproducts from the production of manufactured wood products and the utilization of sawn wood and wood-based panels in construction, packaging, furniture, and others, often including offcuts of wood-based panels, sawdust, shavings.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>From the pulp and paper industry (IB4)</td>
<td>Byproducts from the pulp and paper industries, including bark, wood particles, and black liquor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other byproducts (IB5)</td>
<td>Processing byproducts of other forest material e.g., pine nut, nut fruit, and cork processing industries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-consumer waste (PC)</td>
<td>Household post-consumer wood (HW)</td>
<td>Waste wood that arises after disposal of finished wooden products and materials from households, mainly including demolition wood and furniture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industrial post-consumer wood (IW)</td>
<td>Waste wood that arises after disposal of finished wooden products and materials from industrial and commercial activities such as wooden packaging material and waste wood from construction and demolition sectors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recovered fiber, pulp, and recovered paper * (RPP)</td>
<td>Pulp manufactured from recovered paper or paperboard and used for the manufacture of paper, paperboard, and fiberboard. Waste and scraps of paper or paperboard that have been collected for re-use or trade. It includes paper and paperboard that has been used for its original purpose and residues from paper and paperboard production.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is noteworthy that the byproducts were subcategorized according to the industry of origin as it is often presented in previous studies (e.g., in [25,28]). An alternative subcategorization that reflects the material characteristics (i.e., chips, particles, dust, etc.) could perhaps be more adequate for analyses concerning the biomass cascading use that consider concurrent biomass uses, because these are, in many cases, characteristics dependent.

The typification of the cascading uses and products resulting from the conversion of wood-based biomass is more challenging task. No systematic classification was found and doing such a comprehensive review is outside the scope of this work. In respect to the processes, aside from bioenergy production, two main biorefinery platforms are often referred (e.g., by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) (Table 2)). The thermochemical (or syngas platform) transforms biomass into synthesis gas (hydrogen and carbon monoxide) or pyrolysis oil. The biochemical platform (or sugar platform) breaks down biomass into different types of component sugars for fermentation or other biological processing. Hence, both platforms can produce a wide range of fuels, chemicals, and materials and also bioenergy in the form of heat and power.
Table 2. Main wood-based biomass uses and applications (definitions adapted for Eurostat-JFSQ are marked with *).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Short Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Products from forest-based industries (FP)</td>
<td>Products from primary wood processing industries (WP1)</td>
<td>Industries that use mainly roundwood and produce primary wood products such as solid wood boards, planks, wood poles.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood-based products from secondary wood processing industries (WP2)</td>
<td>Industries that use mainly byproducts from the sawmills and recovered post-consumer wood to produce secondary wood products such as particleboard, fiberboard, plywood, and veneer.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wood-based products from tertiary wood processing industries (WP3)</td>
<td>Industries that use mainly products from IB1 and IB2 to produce other wood-based products, such as the construction industry, furniture industry, and packaging industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bioenergy (BE)</td>
<td>Electricity and heat (EEC)</td>
<td>Electricity, steam, and heat produced by chemical transformation of biomass in dedicated thermoelectric power plants or in cogeneration, for industry self-consumption or injection in the national grid (*).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pellets and briquettes (PB)</td>
<td>Agglomerates produced either directly by compression or by the addition of a binder from roundwood, co-products (such as cutter shavings, sawdust, or chips) of the mechanical wood processing industry, furniture-making industry, or other wood transformation activities (*).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Woodfuel (WF)</td>
<td>Roundwood that will be used as fuel for purposes such as cooking, heating, or power production. It includes wood harvested from main stems, branches, and other parts of trees (*).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Charcoal (CH)</td>
<td>Wood carbonized by partial combustion or the application of heat from external sources (*).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofuels (BF)</td>
<td>Bioethanol</td>
<td>Ethanol produced from biomass and/or biodegradable fraction of waste. It is produced from the fermentation of carbohydrates (sugar, starch, cellulose) that originate in crops such as sugar cane, wheat, corn, potatoes, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biomethanol</td>
<td>Methanol produced from syngas, which is a combustible mixture of gases, produced from biomass gasification processes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biodiesel (BioD)</td>
<td>Vegetable oil- or animal fat-based diesel fuel consisting of long-chain alkyl (methyl, ethyl, or propyl) esters.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biochemicals based on cellulosic sugars (BChS)</td>
<td>Products generated in biorefineries through the conversion of cellulosic sugars, for example succinic acid, lactic acid, parasyrene, butanol.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biochemicals based on lignin (BChL)</td>
<td>Products generated by lignin degradation, including aromatic chemicals (benzene, toluene, xylene, vanillin, vanillic acid, and phenolic derivatives, among others) and materials (phenolic resin, eponides, surfactants, adhesives, dispersants, polymers, among others) for the chemical industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bioplastics (BPL)</td>
<td>Plastics derived from renewable sources of biomass as opposed to plastics derived from petroleum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other biomaterials (BO)</td>
<td>Products derived from biomass components produced in biorefineries and used as raw materials for the textile industry, adhesives, glues, industrial chemicals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) [http://www.omafra.gov.on.ca/](http://www.omafra.gov.on.ca/)
3.2. Obtain Accurate Estimates for the Biomass Flows

The main data sources on wood-base biomass flows are official statistics. Data is aggregated and hierarchized within each subcategory. National or regional statistics are mainly used. Existing aggregated international statistics are produced by Eurostat and FAO, including Joint Forest Sector Questionnaire (JFSQ), Joint Wood Energy Enquiry (JWEE). When no official or scientific data is available, expert’s opinions are collected, either through questionnaires or workshops.

The estimates on biomass potential (BP) for roundwood are commonly available in the National Forest Inventories. There is a vast literature on biomass statistic models being used, especially to estimate above ground, stem-related biomass, which rely on forest inventory data (e.g., growing stock) collected through a multitude of techniques, such as remote sensing, traditional inventory plot measurements, or destructive field measurements. Specific statistical models have been proposed in the literature for estimating the potential amount of shrubs and under canopy vegetation (e.g., in [44,45]). Geographical Information Systems (GIS) are often used to display spatial availability. However, comparative analysis is subjected to considerable uncertainty due to lack of harmonization and compatibility on the definitions and specifications of inventory processes [18].

The estimates on biomass mobilized (BM) for roundwood and woodfuel are commonly available in the Forest and Agriculture national statistics, which are gathered with questionnaires to the entities that are involved in forest-based products commercialization and regulation. Estimates of the wood-based biomass that can be mobilized, can be obtained from the biomass potential estimates through spatial explicit analysis (e.g., in [46]). To our knowledge, shrubs and under canopy vegetation are not systematically collected as biomass sources, therefore, are not presented in the statistics. The estimates of mobilized forest residues are not commonly found in the official statistics. In respect to the fraction of forest residues resulting from harvesting operations, it can be estimated indirectly as a percentage of the total amount of wood harvested (and mobilized) each year. In generic terms, it is assumed that 60% of the total tree volume is used in the industry (corresponding to the stem), while the remaining (branches, leaves stumps) are residues [47]. Official statistics often do not account for the amount of forest residues resulting from thinning operations.

For the biomass repurposed (BR), statistical data is more scarce. Sectorial organizations collect data on byproducts that are mobilized but not all the material is considered. In pulp and paper industries or panel industries there is some degree of biomass incorporation already within the industrial process, which is not accounted for in the statistics.

Estimates of the post-consumer waste that is mobilized can often be found in national environmental-related statistics, although the underlying data gathering method is often unclear.

In respect to biomass consumption (BC), the national energy statistics provide estimates on the consumption of biomass for bioenergy and the corresponding energy production. Data on other uses/applications is scarce. Information on biomass demanded (BD) is not usually found in official statistics and can be provided by industrial sectoral organizations. Imports and exports of primary biomass can be taken also from national statistics. The imports that do not relate directly with raw materials for the industries under study are excluded from this research. This includes, for example, the imports of finished goods like wood furniture.

3.3. Sankey Diagram for Resource Balance Representation

A Sankey diagram is used to provide a representation of the material flows between the processes, making a distinction between the different sources and its distinct uses/applications. The adoption of Sankey diagrams has been a common practice in science and engineering [48]. Examples of applications include the analysis of energy flows and their distribution in several power systems [49] and the visualization of the dynamics of land use change [50]. The authors of [28] combined a Sankey diagram for material flow analysis with economic models applied to the forest-based industrial sector in France. These Sankey diagrams depict particularly well the re-use of wood-based biomass, i.e., the cascade uses of wood-based biomass, as well as potential synergies and competition.
between its different uses/applications. Other studies with Sankey diagram to represent biomass flows include [25,27,40,41]. There are several software packages for creating Sankey diagrams, such as elsankey (wwwifu.com/en/e-sankey/), SankeyMATIC (http://sankeymatic.com), and on several dedicated software for Material Flow Analysis.

In a Sankey diagram, the processes are represented as nodes and the flows as links. In this study, the subcategories of the wood-based biomass are sinks, at the left side of the diagram, and subcategories of biomass uses and products are sinks, at the right side of the diagram. The distinctive aspects of a Sankey diagram are that (1) the diagram represents the physical flows, related to a given functional unit or period of time; (2) the magnitude of the is shown by the link widths, which are proportional to an extensive property of the flow, such as mass or energy. In respect to the reference unit, we adopted million tons, as in [27,40]. Some authors make a distinction between wet and dry mass, mainly to discount the amount of water that is included in the biomass in the upstream flows. In this study we do not provide this distinction because it can be very difficult to establish the average moisture content of the primary biomass, as it varies according to the stocking conditions and socking duration. Previous studies, such as the the wood resource balance sheets [17], adopt the cubic meters solid wood equivalent (1 hm³ corresponding to 1,000,000 m³ [41]); wood fiber equivalent (m³ [f] i.e., the volume of the wood fibers that are contained in the product at the fiber saturation point (e.g., in [28])). Whenever needed, conversions were made from the original reported units using the forest conversion factors published by UNECE-JFSQ and UNECE-FAO.

The mass conservation principle, expressed in the continuity equation of the flows in the processes, is transversal to Material Flow Analysis representations. However, as in previous studies related with wood-based products, there are difficulties in is application. The water content that is part of some of the biomass flows and can change during conversion processes, and the incomplete information on some subcategories, are amongst the most important difficulties pinpointed in the literature [25].

The total balance of forest biomass (BTB) can be computed as the difference between the production (i.e., BM, IB, and PC) and the consumption (BC1 and BC2), summing up the Imports (I) and Exports (E) of roundwood. Stock levels (S) are included to assure balance between the total production and the total consumption. Hence, BTB is given by the equation:

\[
BTB = BM + IB + PC - BC1 - BC2 + I - E - S. \tag{1}
\]

Complementary to the Sankey diagram, a wood resource balance sheet can be produced, as presented in [18]. Biomass sources—i.e., production—is represented in one side, and biomass uses—i.e., consumption—are represented in the other side. As for any balance sheet, the two sides should balance, and were all data reported correctly.

3.4. Scenario Analysis

Scenario analysis can be valuable to support policy design and decision making because throughout the creation of a scenario that considers the occurrence of a possible event, the diagram can anticipate its likelier outcomes. In the context of the material flow analysis, the Sankey diagram can be used to build up a scenario that corresponds to a change in the biomass production or consumption and project the impact over the flow balance and stock, considering the interlinkages between the processes and the trade-offs between alternative biomass uses.

The first step for creating a scenario consists of estimating the impact of the event in the conversion processes that consume biomass, or in the volume available at the biomass sinks. A new Sankey diagram is then created to reflect these changes, all other flows and processes remaining unchanged. The overall material flow balance is recomputed, and results are compared with the baseline Sankey diagram. Dashboards with relevant key performance indicators can be developed to help to compare amongst scenarios.
As an example, a scenario analysis can be conducted to assess the impact of an increase of the burned wood resulting from intense forest fires. In this situation, the total amount of burned wood is firstly estimated. The maps of burned areas are provided by the responsible national authorities and estimates for future years can be obtained with adequate fire risk analysis. Then, the fraction of burned wood that is mobilized can be computed considering the technical and economic constraints that may be applied. The final volume is added to the subcategory roundwood and the new Sankey diagram is created and then compared with the baseline scenario. If there is still available transformation capacity for the roundwood, the increase of the burned wood will lead to an increase of the wood-based products, uses and applications, including the byproducts which are refeeding the processes. Otherwise, the burned wood will be stocked.

3.5. Validation by the Stakeholders

The stakeholders have a fundamental role in this process by helping to improve data quality and providing missing data. They also can help to validate the results, discussing relevant shortcomings of the data gathering process and helping to set in place improved data gathering processes for the relevant statistics. As reported in previous studies, stakeholders can be engaged in this process through questionnaires and/or workshops. The design of the questionnaires is tailored to the purpose of the material flow analysis and no examples of questionnaires found in literature.

4. Application of the Methodological Approach into Case Studies

4.1. Wood-Based Biomass Resource Balance of Portugal

Portuguese forestland areas (forest stands, scrubs, and also unproductive land) occupy 6.2 million hectares (69.4%) of the Portuguese mainland and is the most important land use (36% of the territory). The main forest species and uses are *Eucalyptus globulus* plantations, logs are mostly used in the pulp and paper industry; *Pinus pinaster* plantations, logs mostly used for sawmilling and by the panel-based industry; and *Quercus suber* plantations, cork bark is transformed by the industry into cork stoppers and other cork-based products. There are strong incentives to increase the use of biomass, especially the wood-based, as part of the strategy to reduce the risk of forest fires and increase the contribution of renewable energy sources (RES) in the total energy consumption. In 2016, the contribution of RES on primary energy consumption was 25.4%, from which, 46% corresponds to biomass, 19% wind, and 26% hydroelectricity. Biofuels contributed 5% to RES [17]. In the year of analysis, 2016, there were in operation 12 dedicated biomass centrals and nine in cogeneration, corresponding to a total of 2481 GMh of bioenergy production, both electricity and heat. The pellet industry has been in expansion since 2013. There were 25 production facilities producing around 850 kton/year of pellets. Briquettes production is still residual. The National Plan for Promotion Biorefineries (RCM No. 163/2017) was recently approved for promoting next-generation biomass-based industries for 2030.

**Terminology and estimation of wood-based biomass wood flows:** for the year of 2016, wood-based biomass flows were reconstructed mainly through national statistics, related with agriculture and trade, but also industrial declarations, interviews, and data reconciliation (Table 3).

One of the main data gaps relates to the amount of forest residues that are mobilized. In this study, we estimated this amount as a percentage of the total harvested volume and validated the procedure with the stakeholders in the course of a workshop organized together with the National Forest Authority. It was not possible to estimate the amount of wood removed in thinning and other forest management operations. Due to lack or difficulty in estimating data related with stocks and other wood losses along the value chain, in some cases, the mobilized biomass is equal to the consumed biomass. Both subcategories of post-consumer waste are clustered in this analysis to better fit to the nature of the data available. In respect to biomass uses/applications, bioenergy production is the only one currently available in Portugal. Statistics on the production of electricity and steam, pellets, wood fuel, and charcoal were provided by the National Energy Regulatory Entity.
Table 3. Main data sources for characterizing the wood-based biomass sources in Portugal for 2016.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Roundwood (RW)</td>
<td>Potential National Forest Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed Statistics Portugal, Agriculture Statistics, Sectorial Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy crops (EC)</td>
<td>No information was found about energy crops installed in Portugal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WoodFuel (WF)</td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed Statistics Portugal, Agriculture Statistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest residues (FR)</td>
<td>Potential National Forest Inventory Estimated based on “Removals” presented at Agriculture Statistics and National Forest Inventory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shrubs and understory vegetation (SH)</td>
<td>Taken from the literature [41]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the primary wood processing industries (IB1)</td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed Agriculture Statistics Portugal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the secondary wood processing industries (IB2)</td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed Sectorial Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the tertiary wood processing industries (IB3)</td>
<td>Not considered relevant for the scope of this analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the pulp and paper industry (IB4)</td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed General Directorate of Energy and Geology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other byproducts (IB5)</td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed National Forest Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-consumer waste (HW + IW + RPP)</td>
<td>Mobilized/Consumed Environmental Statistics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wood-based biomass balance: the Sankey diagram was produced with Sankeymatic (Figure 4). The biomass resource balance is systematized in Table 4.

Figure 4. Sankey diagram of the wood-based biomass flows in Portugal, 2016.
Table 4. Wood-based biomass resource balance table (Portugal mainland, 2016) (kton).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Production</th>
<th>(BC1) Wood-Based Biomass for Industrial Consumption</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>BM/IB/PC</td>
<td>Electricity and Heat (EEC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>BMW</td>
<td>168,900.00</td>
<td>9366.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>WRF</td>
<td>764.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SHW</td>
<td>5257.80</td>
<td>1025.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FRW</td>
<td>47,185.00</td>
<td>1089.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>IB1</td>
<td>1813.60</td>
<td>1000.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IB2</td>
<td>0 (1)</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IB4</td>
<td>3669.55</td>
<td>3669.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IB5</td>
<td>358.00</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>HW + IW</td>
<td>151.05</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RPP</td>
<td>752.70</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1) It is assumed that all byproducts from IB2 are used in the wood-based panel industry.
Considering all sources of wood-based biomass (RW, WF, FR, IB, and PC) the total amount consumed for bioenergy production was 7744.53 kton. Primary forest biomass (roundwood and woodfuel), were mainly used for industrial processes, including pulp and paper, sawmills, and panel industry (7142.30 kton). A total of 2017.26 kton were used for bioenergy production. Results suggest that there is a surplus of RW, FR, and IB (of about 548, 423, 370 kton, respectively) that is not transformed by the industrial processes nor converted into bioenergy. Hence, the BTB points towards a wood-based biomass surplus of 1644.44 kton (corresponding to the stock amount represented in the Sankey diagram). However, these results should be dealt with caution because of the uncertainty in the data, especially in respect to the estimates of FR and IB, for which there is no adequate official data available. Another relevant aspect is the logistics costs which are not considered in this study and can impact the estimates of the biomass mobilized.

**Scenario analysis:** in this case study, scenarios were built to help address the uncertainty related with foreseen changes in the wood-based biomass demand. Specifically, a scenario was built to analyze the impact of three main drivers of the national policies and on-going companies’ investments:

(i). Increase of installed capacity of dedicated bioenergy plants by 116 MW;
(ii). A 10% increase in pulp and paper industry capacity; and
(iii). Introduction of three new biorefineries with a consumption of 100 kton of residual forest biomass per biorefinery, as foreseen by the National Plan for the Promotion of Biorefineries.

The new scenario is presented in Figure 5. Under these conditions, the results point towards a deficit in biomass supply, specifically related to the scarcity of primary forest biomass, including roundwood, woodfuel, shrubs, and forest residues, which may be eventually partially compensated by a surplus of post-consumer material. In this case, to be able to increase the consumption as foreseen, efforts are needed to guarantee the necessary availability of wood resources, for example increasing the quantity of roundwood harvested or the quantity imported. In either case, the sustainability of resource use and economic issues will need to be properly addressed.

![Figure 5. Sankey diagram driven by the scenario analyses applied for the Portuguese case.](image-url)
4.2. Waste Wood Resource Balance of Flanders

The chemical industry is at the core of Flemish industry, but until today it is almost completely dependent on fossil-based feedstock. The FWO-funded project “BioWood” has the objective to develop a new wood-to-lignin (BChL) value chain in Flanders, mainly relying on locally available lignocellulosic biomass, such as waste wood, which is one of the largest biomass waste streams in Flanders, being produced at household and business level. Bringing together information in relation to waste wood production and its destination is a huge challenge due to the use of different terminologies for the same waste wood types, the Green list regime, and lack of and accessibility to data. Furthermore, its quantity and quality vary considerably depending on its origin, its time of production, recycling rate, etc. Furthermore, waste wood supply and demand in Flanders are rapidly affected by the economic/financial situation of the market, policy changes, and international trade.

Terminology and estimation of waste wood flows: for the period 2002–2015, waste wood flows were reconstructed based on the industry declarations, inventories, trade statistics, interviews, and data reconciliation. The main challenge in performing the waste wood flow analysis is the heterogeneous nature of the wood waste stream and lack of clear terminology to characterize waste wood flows. Every data source assumes a different definition while deriving numbers (Table 5). The waste wood flow analysis in this paper brings all data to the same terminology and denominator, allowing comparison between time periods and between waste wood types. Data gaps are solved by interviews with experts and data reconciliation. The analysis highlights two industries that play a central role consumption of waste wood in Flanders: i.e., green-energy (BE) and wood panel (mainly particleboard) producers.

Table 5. Terminology and sources to define the waste wood balance in Flanders (OVAM = Flemish Waste Management Agency).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subcategory</th>
<th>Data Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From the primary wood processing</td>
<td>Mobilized Industry declaration of saw mill industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industries (IB1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the secondary wood processing</td>
<td>Mobilized Industry declaration of panel board industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>industries (IB2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the pulp and paper industry</td>
<td>Mobilized Industry declaration of pulp and paper industry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(IB4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household post-consumer wood (HW)</td>
<td>Mobilized/consumed OVAM inventory of household waste, and industry declaration of waste management companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industrial post-consumer wood (IW)</td>
<td>Mobilized and consumed Industry declaration of waste management companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HW + IW</td>
<td>Consumed and demanded Industry declaration of consumer industries: energy and wood-panel production</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Import/Export of HW and IW</td>
<td>Imported from exported to, neighboring countries and Wallonia and Brussels OVAM inventory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One of the data gaps can be observed in the amount of waste wood supplied and the amount of waste wood being consumed by wood-panel producers. This discrepancy can be related to the stocking of waste wood which is often done when the company faces technical challenges in the production line or to handle the dynamic nature of waste wood market (i.e., when the supply of waste wood exceeds the demand). Indeed, the wood panel industry in Flanders has seen massive fluctuations in their operations in the last decade. It has been severely affected by the economic situation of the market, technical challenges, and fluctuations in the supply of waste wood in the international market. In some cases, the fluctuations are tackled by increasing operations, whereas in other cases waste wood is stored at production sites.

Waste wood balance: Figure 6 presents the total production and use of waste wood in the Flemish economy in the year 2014.

Scenario analysis: de-risking feedstock supply for the lignin-first refinery needs a realistic estimate of feedstock supply in the coming decades. Analysis of the historical flows of feedstock informs the definition of trends in the supply quantity and the quality, the competing demands and the way the bio-based industries will be introduced in the current value chains. The future is always uncertain, and we have only limited influence on the basic developments that will shape our future.
In the development of a bio-based chemical industry, uncertainties arise for supply growth of biomass and demand growth for biomass for materials, chemicals, and energy [51]. To estimate wood-based biomass potential available for the bio-based refinery in the long term, contrasting scenarios are defined based on the integration of the bio economy scenarios defined in the SCAR report [51], the scenarios in biomass demand for chemicals, materials, and energy defined in the Euralis project and the National Renewable Energy Action plan (2016). These parameters will vary depending on how markets will evolve and how sustainability will be perceived. As in [52], this paper presents four contrasting scenarios based on two axes: open markets vs. local markets and high vs. low importance of sustainability and regulation: A1—Global economy, A2—Continental Market, B1—Global cooperation, and B2—Regional communities. These four scenarios are related to the scenarios on demand and supply growth for biomass in SCAR [51] and the National Renewable Energy Action plan (2016).

Figure 6. Sankey diagram of the waste wood flows in Flanders in 2014 (executed by Kranti Navare, 2019) (WMC = waste management centre).

This scenario analysis results in a large dataset and the huge variety of combinations between scenarios, biomass types, and destinations. To investigate the different scenarios, focus on biomass types or destination types, or to select a specific year, a dashboard was created to enable a user to filter out a specific waste wood type, a specific destination, a specific scenario, or a combination of these. Additionally, the dashboard on waste wood streams shows a Sankey diagram indicating the destination of the waste wood (export, energy, material, or chemistry) for a specific year (Figure 7a)
and includes a sheet in which the supply and demand can be compared next to each other for a specific year or a specific scenario (Figure 7b).

![Figure 7](https://example.com/figure7.png)

**Figure 7.** Screenshots of the dashboard presenting (a) a Sankey diagram of the year 2030 according to scenario A1—Global economy; (b) the supply-demand comparison between the year 2013 and 2005.

**Stakeholder’s validation:** the results from the scenario analysis were presented to the experts from the OVAM (Flemish Waste Management Agency) by means of the dashboards. Main conclusions from these interviews are that by presenting the material flows by means of a Sankey diagram increases the ability to derive insights as well as to define other kinds of questions to be solved with scenario analysis. The added value for the design of policy strategies and incentives is confirmed.

### 4.3. Comparison amongst Case Studies

The comparison amongst these case studies is facilitated to some extent, since the concepts are the same and the results are derived in a similar way. The time frame of one year is the same in both cases. However, significant differences emerge in respect to the geographical scale (national vs. regional) and the specific purpose of analysis (overall wood-based biomass sources vs. only post-consumer wood).

Considering the household post-consumer wood (HW), recovered post-consumer wood, and industrial post-consumer wood (IW) assessed in both cases, it is possible to conclude that the amount of HW + IW used in Flanders is higher than in Portugal, 550 and 151 kton, respectively. However, the total amount of post-consumer waste (PC) transformed in Portugal can actually be higher than in Flanders because the recovered fiber, pulp, and recovered paper is a major fraction of the PC, which was not accounted for in Flanders.

The supply of wood-based panel industries (second industry) is the most important application of waste wood in both case studies, followed by bioenergy production. In Portugal the panel industry consumes around 1065 kton of biomass per year, while in Flanders the value is 630 kton.

### 5. Conclusions

This paper contributes to solving the research gap identified in the literature review related to the lack of consensus and homogenization about concepts, terms, definitions, and comparable methodological approaches for characterizing wood-based biomass flows.

This paper describes a comprehensive five-step methodological approach for analyzing wood-based biomass material flows analysis that builds on the findings of a literature review. The concepts, categories of biomass sources, and biomass uses and applications are proposed to overcome the lack of harmonization found in previous studies, which hinder the possibility to replicate
the study in order to monitor progress in a biomass value chain over time or compare results amongst different countries or regions.

At the core of the proposed approach is a Sankey diagram to visualize the material flows among the value chain. The underlying Biomass Total Balance compares total production and consumption on a yearly basis. Its application in the wood-based biomass in Portugal foresees a surplus of production. Sankey diagrams are widely used in Material Flow Analysis with similar purposes.

Previous studies state the main limitations of this type of analysis, imposing caution in the interpretation of the results. The main limitations relate to the uncertainty in the quality of the input data, data sources, and difficulties related with compatibilizing flows that are measured with different units. In this study, we tried to overcome the limitations of low quality and absence of important statistical data, by engaging the stakeholders thought workshops and interviews. Nonetheless, this study showcases the use of such information and helps to identify which data sources could be relevant to consider in the future. For flow compatibilization, we adopted, whenever possible, the conversion factors published by UNECE-JFSQ and UNECE-FAO. We adopted the referential measurement unit that best fit the analysis along the value chain (kt/ton) and acknowledged limitations related to the fact that moisture content in primary forest biomass cannot be accurately estimated.

Another important feature of the proposed methodology is the development of scenario analysis. Several scenarios were drawn for the case study of wood-biomass value chain in Portugal and waste wood in Flanders in order to deal with possible sources of uncertainty with impact in the biomass flows. The comparison amongst scenarios provides valuable information to support the decision concerning future investments (e.g., biorefineries) and/or the design of adequate policy incentives for the biomass utilization markets.

Material flow analysis is a promising technique for enhancing cascade biomass use in a circular economy, as also pointed out by [25]. The Sankey diagram successfully can represent the byproducts and wastes and its reutilization in biomass conversion processes, as well as concurrent uses of the wood-based biomass, despite the fact that currently available data on wood-based biomass consumption in biorefineries is still scarce. In respect to this, the authors of [25] alert for the need of complementary studies for possible negative spillover effects. For example, increasing the use of forest-wood biomass in biorefineries to produce an alternative to fossil fuel, may lead to imports for the forest industries, which ultimately can increase fuel consumption and green gas emissions.

The proposed conceptual framework was successfully applied in two case studies with distinct purposes in Portugal and Flanders. The application of this methodology allows a common characterization of the wood biomass chains and thus the possibility of comparing the flows, balance sheets, as well as the possibility of making scenarios about the obtained results.

Considering the heterogeneity of the forest and biomass sector, future work can include regional studies and comparison between regions. At a regional scale, logistics aspects should be taken into account to better estimate biomass mobilized. Future work can also extend the scope of analysis for covering other biomass sources beyond wood, such as agriculture-based biomass and aquatic biomass.
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