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Abstract: Three coordination polymers of metal(II)-dicyanamido (dca) complexes with 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide (4-MOP-NO); namely, catena-[Co(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP-NO)2] (1), catena-[Mn(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP-NO)2] (2), catena-[Cd(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP-NO)2] (3), and the mononuclear [Cu(κ2-dca)(4-MOP-NO)2] (4), were synthesized in this research. The complexes were analyzed by single crystal X-ray diffraction as well as spectroscopic methods (UV/vis, IR). The polymeric 1-D chains in complexes 1–3 were achieved by the doubly µ1,5-bridging dca ligands and the O-donor atoms of two axial 4-MOP-NO molecules in trans configuration around the distorted M(II) octahedral.

On the other hand, the two “trans-axial” pyridine-N-oxide molecules in complexes 2 and 3 display opposite orientation (s-trans). The DFT (density functional theory) computational studies on the complexes 1–3 were consistent with the experimentally observed crystal structures. Compounds 1 and 2 display weak antiferromagnetic coupling between metal ions (J = −10.8 for 1 and −0.35 for 2).
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1. Introduction

Small pseudohalide ions such as N3−, NCS−, NCSe−, and NCO−, as well as the longer dicyanamide ion N(CN)2−, are known to bind divalent 3d and 4d metal ions in a variety of ways, and thus have been extensively used as linkers between metal ions and the construction of coordination polymers (CPs) with different nuclearities, frameworks, and topologies [1–26]. This class of materials has some interesting applications in crystal engineering as they can be used to utilize molecular sensors [27], microporous materials for gas adsorption and storage [28–30], electrical conductivity [30], non-linear optical activity (NLO) [31,32], and catalytic reactions [33], as well as some polymeric chains, which have been constructed with Zn2+ and Cd2+ complexes, exhibit some potential applications as MOFs (metal-organic frameworks) [34,35]. The interaction of Zn(II) and Cd(II) with nitrogen heterocyclic coligands may lead to enhancement or quenching the fluorescence emission of the organic ligand [9,10,36]. In addition, CPs containing paramagnetic metal ions can serve as molecule-based magnets [37–40]. The bridged pseudohalide complexes have the capability to transmit the magnetic interaction between the paramagnetic metal centers across the bridged pseudohalides [1–8,10–14,18–24].
The dicyanamido complexes display a wide range of coordination modes with divalent metal ions ranging from simple coordination mode to the terminal or central amide group (μ₁ or μ₃) [13,14,20,41] to complex modes including both of them. These modes, which are illustrated in Scheme 1 and have been previously summarized [10,14], include μ2 bonding: μ₁,5 (end-to-end), μ₁,1 (end-on), and μ₁,3 [9–14,17–21,23,41]; μ3 bonding: μ₁,1,5 and μ₁,1,3 [14,18–20,24,25,42–49]; μ4 bonding: μ₁,1,5,5 and μ₁,1,3,5; and μ5 bonding: μ₁,1,3,5,5 [14,18,24,25,45–49]. The majority of bridged-dicyanamido–metal(II) complexes exhibit weak antiferromagnetic coupling [10–14,30,50,51]; however, in some cases, strong antiferromagnetic [21,52] or weak ferromagnetic interactions have also been reported [43,53].

Scheme 1. Bonding modes of dicyanamide anion, N(CN)₂⁻ (dca), with metal ions.

In continuation of our effort to explore the coordination geometry and magnetic properties of pseudohalide metal(II) complexes containing pyridine and pyridine-N-oxide derivatives as ligands, the following study was undertaken. With pyridine-N-oxide compounds, only two bonding modes (mono-dentate and bridging μ-O,O,NPR) [7,8,10,54–59] were found (Scheme 2). In the present study, the preparation and structural characterization of the 1D chain coordination polymers of 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide (4-MOP-NO) with dicyanamide, catena-[M(μ₁,5-dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (M(II) = Mn (1), Co (2), Cd (3)) and the monomeric [Cu(dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (4), are reported. Furthermore, compounds 1 and 2 were magnetically characterized and the results are compared to those derived from dicyanamido-metal(II) compounds containing pyridyls.

Scheme 2. Coordination bonding modes of pyridine-N-oxide derivatives (NOPR).
2. Discussion of the Results

2.1. Preparation and Spectroscopy (IR, UV/Vis) of Complexes

The reaction of aqueous solutions of metal(II) nitrates with Nadca and 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide in 1:2:2 molar ratio resulted in the formation of the polymeric chains catena-[M(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP-NO)2] [M = Co (1), Mn (2), Cd (3)] and the mononuclear complex [Cu(dca)2(4-MOP-NO)] (4), whereas in the analogous reaction of Zn(II) nitrate, catena-[Zn(µ1,5-dca)2] was separated, where the ZnN4 tetrahedra are linked via four single µ1,5-dca to neighboring metal centers to result in a square grid 2D layer system [60].

The dicyanamide anion shows characteristic IR absorption bands at 2286 cm$^{-1}$ for $\nu_s + \nu_{as}$(N-C), 2232 cm$^{-1}$ for $\nu_{as}$(N≡C), and 2179 cm$^{-1}$ for $\nu_s$(N≡C), respectively [61]. These vibrations were observed at 2290, 2232, and 2172 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for complex 1; at 2290, 2232, and 2172 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for 2; at 2287, 2225, and 2170 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for 3; and at 2292, 2241, and 2166 cm$^{-1}$, respectively, for 4. The absorption bands of the dca anion at 1344 cm$^{-1}$ for $\nu_{as}$(N-C), 930 cm$^{-1}$ for $\nu_s$(N-C), 664 cm$^{-1}$ for $\delta$(CNC), 543 cm$^{-1}$ for $\delta$(NCN), and 529 cm$^{-1}$ for $\delta$(NCN) are covered by pyridine derivative ligand vibrations (Figures S1–S4 of Supplementary Material).

The UV/vis spectrum of the solid Co(II) compound 1 exhibits three absorption bands at 1550, 780, and 420 nm (Figure S5). For Co(II) in an octahedral environment, these bands refer to the electronic transitions $4T_{2g}(F) \leftarrow 4T_{2g}(F)$, $4T_{2g}(F) \leftarrow 4T_{1g}(F)$, and $4A_{2g} \leftarrow 4T_{1g}(F)$, respectively. The corresponding spectrum of the Cu(II) complex 4 (diluted with BaSO4) shows a broad band centered around 1100 nm and two more bands at 588 and 266 nm. The latter band is most likely results from the electronic transition of the coordinated ligand, whereas the two intense bands centered located at 588 and 1100 nm may be attributed to the electronic transitions $^2B_{1g} \rightarrow ^2B_{2g}$ and $^2B_{1g} \rightarrow ^2E_g$, respectively, for Cu(II) in a square planar arrangement [62]. On the other hand, the electronic spectrum of catena-[Mn(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP-NO)2] (2) revealed the presence of a band at 378 nm, due to L $\rightarrow$ M CT, and un-resolvable broad bands over the visible region between 500 and 800 nm, with a shoulder around 460 nm. These bands are very common in Mn(II)-d$^5$ octahedral configuration as a result of spin forbidden transitions. The solid spectra of the three complexes are shown in Figures S5–S7.

2.2. Crystal Structures

Figures 1–3 represent plots of the polymeric chains and packing views for 1, 2, and 3, respectively. In these complexes, the metal(II) centers are coordinated by four N atoms of dicyanamide anions, which act in the bis-µ(1,5)-bridging mode, and O atoms of two terminal 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide molecules in trans configuration. The MN4O2 chromophores form distorted octahedra, with M-O/N bond lengths in the 2.0571(9) to 2.1320(11) Å range for 1, in the 2.1639(14) to 2.2402(19) Å range for 2, and in the 2.2713(10) to 2.3303(12) Å range for 3. The bis-µ(1,5)-bridging dicyanamides form polymeric chains of polyhedra.
The structures of complexes 2 and 3 are orthorhombic with space groups P2\(_1\)2\(_1\)2\(_1\) (no. 18) and Pccn (no. 56), respectively, and their metal(II) centers have site symmetry 2, as the two "trans-axial" pyridine-N-oxide molecules are not ligated vertical to the "equatorial" MN4(dca) plane. In the Co(II) complex, the pyridine-N-oxide molecules show the opposite orientation when viewed along the chain direction (s-trans, N1-O···O1′-N1′ torsion angle is −180°). In complexes 2 and 3, the pyridine-N-oxide molecules have the same orientation when viewed along the chain direction (s-cis, N-O···O′-N′ torsion angle is +131.6 and −123.2°, respectively). Furthermore, in 3, an alternative CdN4O2 octahedron in the polymeric chain is inclined with an N1-O1···O1″-N1″ torsion angle of −119.5° (Figure 3).
catena of two 4-methoxypyridine-\(N\) center of inversion and is ligated by \(N\) atoms of the two terminal dicyanamide anions and \(O\) atoms. The \(4\)-\(methoxy\) group of the \(4\)-\(MOP–NO\) molecule deviates by 3.2° from its pyridine ring plane. The \((0.57(2)/0.43(2))\) two-fold split occupancies. The \(N(1)–O(1)–Cu(1)\) bond angle is 118.39(6)°. The adjacent polyhedra are separated by 2.959(7) Å (Figure 4). The dicyanamide anions are disordered geometry, with Cu–O/\(N\) bond lengths within the 1.924(13) to 1.9578(9) Å range. \(N(3)\) atoms of they have the same \(MN\) 4\(O\)2 with distorted octahedra, they are not isostructural with those reported M–O–\(N\) bond angles of the 4-MOP–NO ligand molecules are in the range from 123.39(8) to 127.65(18)°. Their methoxy groups form synperiplanar C–O–C–C torsion angles in the range from 3.2 to 5.8°.

One dimensional (1D) chain system of the \(\text{Cu(II)}\) complex which is also the case for the current \(\text{Co(II)}\) complex. Non-covalent ring–ring interactions along the chain direction, as summarized in Table 1.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{lcccc}
Comp. & Ring(I)…Ring(J) & Symmetry of J & Cg…Cg (Å) & Alpha (°) & Cg(I)$_{\perp}$ (Å) \\
\hline
\(\text{Cg(py)}\)…\(\text{Cg(py)}\) & \(1-x,1/2+y,3/2-z\) & 4.6548(8) & 0.61(6) & 3.2652(5) \\
& \(3/2-x,1/2+y,2-z\) & 4.7114(12) & 2.57(10) & 3.2329(9) \\
& \(1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z\) & 4.7135(8) & 1.85(6) & 3.2118(5) \\
& \(1-x,-1/2+y,1/2-z\) & 4.7136(8) & 1.85(6) & 3.2119(5) \\
& \(1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z\) & 4.6548(8) & 0.61(6) & 3.2730(5) \\
\end{tabular}
\caption{Comp. Ring(I)…Ring(J) Symmetry of J Cg…Cg (Å) Alpha (°) Cg(I)$_{\perp}$ (Å).}
\end{table}

Figure 2. (top) One dimensional (1D) chain system of 2. Symmetry operations: (’) 1-x,1-y,1-z; (") 1-x,1-y,1-z; (*) x,y,-1+z; (top) packing plot of 2. (bottom) packing plot of 3.
The structures of complexes 2 and 3 are orthorhombic with space groups P2₁2₁2 (no. 18) and Pccn (no. 56), respectively, and their metal(II) centers have site symmetry 2, as the two “trans-axial” pyridine-N-oxide molecules are not ligated vertical to the “equatorial” MN₂(dca) plane. In the Co(II) complex, the pyridine-N-oxide molecules show the opposite orientation when viewed along the chain direction (s-trans, N-O-O′-O′-torsion angle is −180°). In complexes 2 and 3, the pyridine-N-oxide molecules have the same orientation when viewed along the chain direction (s-cis, N-O-O′-O′-torsion angle is +131.6 and −123.2°, respectively). Furthermore, in 3, an alternative CdN₂O₂ octahedron in the polymeric chain is inclined with an N1-O1′-O′-N1′ torsion angle of −119.5° (Figure 3).

The M–M intra-chain separations are 7.3603(6), 7.5065(6), and 7.6311(6) Å, whereas the shortest metal–metal inter-chain distances are 6.6096(5), 6.6283(3), and 6.6699(3) Å, for 1–3, respectively. The M–O–N bond angles of the 4-MOP–NO ligand molecules are in the range from 123.39(8) to 127.65(18)°.

It is interesting to mention that the 1D polymeric complexes under investigation, regardless, they have the same MN₂O₂ with distorted octahedra, they are not isostructural with those reported for the analogous 1D systems of catena-[M(μ₁,5-dca)₂(L)₂] (L = 4-hydroxymethylpyridine or 3-aminopyridine [10,63]). The 1D polymeric complexes with these two coligands crystallize in the monoclinic system (P2₁/c, space group no. 14), and their metal centers sit on the centers of inversion, which is also the case for the current Co(II) complex 1. In contrast to the N-coordinated 4-hydroxymethylpyridine and 3-aminopyridine ligands, the complexes 2 and 3 adopt different structural orientations. However, they are similar to the analogous 1D systems of catena-[M(μ₁,5-dca)₂(L)₂] (L = 4-hydroxymethylpyridine or 3-aminopyridine) [10,63], where the pyridine rings of adjacent 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide molecules in 1–3 form non-covalent π-π ring–ring interactions along the chain direction, as summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1. Non-covalent π-π ring–ring interactions in 1–3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>Ring(I) ... Ring(J)</th>
<th>Symmetry of J</th>
<th>Cg ... Cg (Å)</th>
<th>Alpha (°)</th>
<th>Cg(I)_perp (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Cg(py)...Cg(py)</td>
<td>[1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z]</td>
<td>4.7136(8)</td>
<td>1.85(6)</td>
<td>3.2119(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cg(py)...Cg(py)</td>
<td>[1-x,1/2+y,1/2-z]</td>
<td>4.7135(8)</td>
<td>1.85(6)</td>
<td>3.2118(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cg(py)...Cg(py)</td>
<td>[3/2-x,1/2+y,2-z]</td>
<td>4.7115(12)</td>
<td>2.57(10)</td>
<td>3.3814(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cg(py)...Cg(py)</td>
<td>[3/2-x,1/2+y,2-z]</td>
<td>4.7114(12)</td>
<td>2.57(10)</td>
<td>3.3239(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cg(py)...Cg(py)</td>
<td>[1-x,1/2+y,3/2-z]</td>
<td>4.6548(8)</td>
<td>0.61(6)</td>
<td>3.2300(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cg(py)...Cg(py)</td>
<td>[1-x,1/2+y,3/2-z]</td>
<td>4.6548(8)</td>
<td>0.61(6)</td>
<td>3.2625(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cg(py) = centroid of pyridine ring (N1,C1–C5); Cg ... Cg = distance between ring centroids (<5 Å); Alpha = dihedral angle between plane I and J; Cg(I)_perp = perpendicular distance of Cg(I) on ring J.

The copper(II) center of the mononuclear [Cu(x₁⁺dca)(4-MOP–NO₂)] (4) (Figure 4) sits on the center of inversion and is ligated by N atoms of the two terminal dicyanamide anions and O atoms of two 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide molecules. Its CuN₂O₂ chromophore has a trans-square planar geometry, with Cu–O/N bond lengths within the 1.924(13) to 1.9578(9) Å range. N(3) atoms of adjacent polyhedra are separated by 2.959(7) Å (Figure 4). The dicyanamide anions are disordered (0.57(2)/0.43(2) two-fold split occupancies). The N(1)–O(1)–Cu(1) bond angle is 118.39(6)°. The 4-methoxy-goup of the 4-MOP–NO molecule deviates by 3.2° from its pyridine ring plane. The 4-MOP–NO molecule forms a C(6)–O(2)–C(3)–C(4) torsion angle of 3.2°. The N(1)–O(1)–Cu(1) bond angle is 118.39(6)°.
4-MOP–NO molecule forms a C(6)–O(2)–C(3)–C(4) torsion angle of 3.2°. The N(1)–O(1)–Cu(1) bond angle is 118.39(6)°.

Figure 4. (top) Molecular plot of 4. Symmetry operations: (’) 1-x,1-y,1-z; (bottom) packing plot of 4.

2.3. Magnetic Data

The magnetic susceptibility for catena-[Co(μ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP-NO)2] (1) was measured in the temperature range of 2–299 K, in a 5000 Oe dc field. The χMT versus T plot for 1 is shown in Figure 5. The room temperature χMT value of 2.9 cm³mol⁻¹K is much greater than the expected spin only value of 1.87 cm³mol⁻¹K for S = 3/2, which results from the orbital contribution in Co(II) with g values strongly deviating from 2.00. The χMT values decrease with decreasing the temperature and reaching a value of 1.11 cm³mol⁻¹K at 2 K. The decrease in the χMT values when the temperature decreases is partially attributed to antiferromagnetic intra-chain interactions, but this can be assumed to display minor exchange interactions, which are mediated by the μ1,5-bridging dicyanamido bridges. This result is in agreement with previously published experimental data and MO calculations [63–68]. Therefore, in order to obtain an approximate estimate for the coupling constant, J, the magnetic behavior of the Co(II)-ion in axially distorted octahedral coordination may be well described with the effective spin S = 1/2 and strongly anisotropic g factor. The Hamiltonian for the Ising chain of Co(II) ions in 1 is given by Equation (1):

\[ \hat{H} = -J \sum_{i,j} s_i s_j + \mu_B \sum_k H \delta \cdot s_k. \]  (1)
The solution of this Hamiltonian for the parallel and perpendicular susceptibility in a magnetic field close to zero was derived by Fisher [69]:

\[
\chi_\parallel = \frac{N_A \mu_0^2 \beta^2 \delta^\perp}{4kT} \exp\left(\frac{J}{2kT}\right) \\
\chi_\perp = \frac{N_A \mu_0^2 \beta^2 \delta^\perp}{2J} \left\{ \tanh\left(\frac{J}{4kT}\right) + J/\left[4kT \cosh^2\left(\frac{J}{4kT}\right)\right] \right\} 
\]

For powder samples, the average susceptibility \( \chi = (\chi_\parallel + 2\chi_\perp)/3 \) is measured. The experimental \( \chi T \) versus T data in the dc field of 5000 Oe were fitted with the Ising model over the temperature range of 10–300 K (Figure 5). The best fit parameters are as follows: \( J = -10.8 \pm 0.4 \text{ cm}^{-1}, g_\parallel = 9.5 \pm 0.1, \) and \( g_\perp \approx 0 \).

The \( \chi_M^{-1} \) versus T values for 1 are plotted in Figure 6. The behavior of this compound is fully described by the Curie–Weiss law \( \chi_M = C/(T-\theta) \) over the temperature range of 50–300 K, as indicated by the linear \( \chi_M^{-1} \) versus T plot. The best fit of the magnetic susceptibility data with the Curie–Weiss law yielded \( C = 2.99 \text{ cm}^3\text{Kmol}^{-1} \) and \( \theta = -13.8 \text{ K} \) values.

![Figure 5. \( \chi_M T \) vs. T Plot for catena-[Co(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP–NO)] (1). The solid line shows the best fit obtained (see text).](image)

The magnetic susceptibility of the compound catena-[Mn(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP–NO)] (2) was measured over the 2–300 K temperature range in a dc field of 3000 Oe. The plot of \( \chi_M T \) versus T for 2 is illustrated in Figure 7. When the sample is cooled, the \( \chi_M T \) values decrease slowly from 4.4 cm\(^3\)K mol\(^{-1}\) at 300 K, followed by a more rapid decrease below 50 K, and tends to zero at a low temperature. The \( \chi_M \) values increase continuously throughout cooling. The overall magnetic behavior...
of 2 is consistent with a weak antiferromagnetically coupled system. The data were analyzed by the analytical expression of Equation (4) for an infinite chain of classical spins [70]:

\[
\chi = \frac{3}{5}B^{2}S(S + 1)/JkT\left[(1 + u)/(1 - u)\right]
\]

with \(u = \coth[J(S + 1)/kT] - kT/J(S + 1)\).

The best-fit parameters produced \(J = -0.35(1)\) cm\(^{-1}\) and \(g = 2.002(1)\). The weak antiferromagnetic interaction was revealed through the magnetization measurements at 2 K up to an external field of 5 T. At high fields, the magnetization in M/N\(\beta\) units indicates a quasi-saturated \(S = 5/2\) system (Figure 8). The comparison of the overall shape of the plots with the Brillouin plot for a fully isolated \(S = 5/2\) system, \(g = 2.00\), shows that observed slower magnetization is consistent with a weak AF (antiferromagnetic) interaction. The resulting low \(J\) value for 2 is fully in agreement with the determined weak antiferromagnetic coupling found in 1D bridged-dca-Mn(II) compounds [14,64,65,71].

Figure 7. \(\chi M T\) vs. \(T\) Plot for \(\text{catena-}[\text{Mn}(\mu_{1,5}\text{-dca})_{2}(4\text{-MOP-NO})_{2}]\) (2). The solid line represents the best fit (see text).

Figure 8. Plot of the magnetization in 2\(S\) units measured at 2 K for compound 2. Solid line corresponds to the Brillouin function for fully isolated \(S = 5/2\) system, \(g = 2.00\).

3. DFT Calculations

Figure 9 shows the minimum energy geometry of the \(\text{catena-}[\text{M}(\mu_{1,5}\text{-dca})_{2}(4\text{-MOP-NO})_{2}]\) complexes, where M = Co, Mn, or Cd complexes. The figure shows the Co(II) and Mn(II) complexes maintain the \(\text{cis}\) orientation of the 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide ligands (located at two adjacent metal ions), which is in line with the experimentally observed crystal structure. In contrast, the corresponding Cd(II) complex shows a \(\text{trans}\) orientation for the 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide ligands, which again is consistent with the experimentally observed crystal structure.
In order to quantify these observations, potential energy (PE) profiles were computed along the oxygen–metal–metal–oxygen dihedral (see molecular inset for highlighted dihedral angle); these are given in Figure 10. The PE profiles show very distinct profiles, in which the Co(II) and Mn(II) complexes reveal minima at $\phi \approx 0^\circ$, wherein the 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide ligands maintain a *cis* conformation. In the Cd(II) complex, a global minimum is observed at $\phi \approx 50^\circ$, which constitutes a *trans* conformation of the 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide ligands.

**Figure 9.** The global minimum energy geometries associated with the 1, 2, and 3 structures.
Figure 10. Potential energy profiles along the $\phi$ dihedral angle, which is defined at the top of the structure of (a) Mn(II), (b) Co(II), and (c) Cd(II) complexes of 2, 1, and 3, respectively.

The aforementioned theoretical results can be understood by considering the relative strength associated with the bridging dca when bound to Co, Mn, or Cd. In the case of Co and Mn, the strong $d$-orbital overlap of the metal center and the dca ligand maintains a rigid bonding arrangement about the bridging ligand. In contrast, the somewhat longer Cd–dca bond leads to a reduction in the $d$-orbital
overlap, thus weakening the rigidity about the Cd–dca moiety. This weakening in the d-electron overlap inevitably leads to high amplitude motion around the bridging ligand. In fact, this result is in complete agreement with the observed M–ON bond distances, M(1)–O(1’): 2.0571, 2.1639, and 2.2713 Å for M = Co, Mn, and Cd, respectively.

Further, in order to assess the short-range antiferromagnetic coupling of 1 and 2, two additional computations were performed. Although the returned calculations explore the short-range magnetic effect, the results are strikingly consistent with the experimental results. These are comprised of computing the ground state minimum energy of both species in their high-spin configurations, in which each Co(II) or Mn(II) center of 1 and 2, respectively, contained a quartet and sextet spin-symmetry, respectively. This high-spin symmetry configuration was then compared to the minimum energy geometry of the low-spin singlet spin-symmetry analogues.

In complexes 1 and 2, the localized quartet or sextet spin-symmetry on both Co(II) or Mn(II) centers, one with α spins and the other with β spins (doublet symmetry in both cases), returns a lower energy than that of the low-spin, single-spin configuration (see Table S1 in Supplementary Materials section for the results of these calculations). This indicates that the alignment of the electrons in an anti-ferromagnetic arrangement with anti-parallel spins on the distinct Co(II) or Mn(II) centers is energetically favorable over the corresponding low-spin alignment of electrons. This agrees well with the experimental observations, which shows anti-ferromagnetic coupling for both 1 and 2.

4. Conclusions

To conclude, two categories of coordination polymers, doubly bridged by the terminal nitriles of dicyanamide (dca), of the structural formula catena-[M(µ1,5-dca)2(4-MOP–NO)2] (M = Co, 1; Mn, 2; Cd, 3) and a mononuclear [Cu(dca)2(4-MOP–NO)2] were synthesized and structurally characterized based on 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide (4-MOP–NO). In the CP complexes, the polymeric 1D chains were achieved by the doubly µ1,5-bridging dca ligands and the O-donor atoms of the two axial 4-MOP–NO molecules are in trans configuration around the distorted M(II) octahedral. The trans-orientation adapted in title complexes, 1–3 are very similar to those observed in the corresponding CPs, catena-[M(µ1,5-dca)2(L)2], where L = 4-hydroxymethylpyridine or 3-aminopyridine and M = Co, Mn, Cu, Zn, or Cd [10,63]. As in these structures, the adjacent pyridine rings of 1–3 also constitute extra stability through the non-covalent π–π ring–ring interactions along the chain direction. The adaption of these configurations seem to be more common and unified in this class of compounds [15,62,63,65,72–73]. As a consequence of the long intramolecular distances between paramagnetic metal ions linked by µ1,5-dca coordination modes, the complexes 1 and 2 display weak antiferromagnetic coupling (small |J| values) [63,65,72–76].

5. Experimental

5.1. Materials and Physical Measurements

All materials used for the preparation of the compounds were of reagent grade quality. A Bruker Alpha P with a platinum-ATR-cap was used for the measurement of the IR spectra of the solid complexes. UV/vis-NIR spectra of the cobalt(II) and copper(II) complexes were recorded with an LS950 Lambda-spectrometer (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA). Elemental microanalyses (CHN) were measured with an Elementar Vario EN3 analyzer. X-ray powder patterns of the bulk material were recorded with a D8 Advance diffractometer (Bruker-AXS, Billerica, MA, USA) (Figures S8–S11). Magnetic data of polycrystalline solid samples of 1 and 2 were recorded with a MPMS-XL SQUID magnetometer (Quantum Design, San Diego, CA, USA) at the Magnetic Measurements Unit of the Universität de Barcelona. Pascal’s constants were applied for diamagnetic corrections.
5.2. Preparation of the Compounds

5.2.1. Preparation of Catena-[M(µ-1,5-dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (1–3)

A similar procedure was used to prepare the complexes catena-[M(µ-1,5-dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (1–3) (M = Co, 1; M = Mn, 2; M = Cd, 3). A mixture of metal(II) nitrate hexahydrate, M(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (1 mmol), NaN(CN)₂ (0.18 g, 2 mmol), and 4-methoxypyridine-N-oxide (0.19 g, 2 mmol) was dissolved in H₂O (50 mL). The resulting solution, which was heated up to 70 °C and stirred for 30 min, was then filtered and the clear solution was slowly concentrated in an open glass beaker to crystallize at approximately 300 K (room temperature). After approximately two days, the well-shaped resulting needles were filtered off and dried in air.

Catena-[Co(µ-1,5-dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (1): pink needles were obtained (yield: 0.26 g, 59%). Characterization: Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₆CoN₈O₄ (441.28 g/mol): C, 43.6; H, 3.2; N, 25.4%. Found: C, 43.7; H, 3.1; N, 25.3%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3118 (w), 3085 (w), 3043 (w), 2287 (m), 2238 (m), 2175 (s), 1624 (m), 1566 (w), 1494 (s), 1441 (m), 1337 (m), 1287 (m), 1242 (w), 1209 (s), 1182 (m), 1114 (w), 1036 (w), 1009 (m), 935 (w), 856 (m), 756 (s), 662 (m), 527 (m), 502 (m), 421 (w).

Catena-[Mn(µ-1,5-dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (2): tint yellow needles (yield: 0.29 g, 66%). Characterization: Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₄MnN₈O₄ (437.29 g/mol): C, 43.9; H, 3.2; N, 25.6%. Found: C, 43.7; H, 3.1; N, 25.8%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3115 (w), 3041 (w), 2290 (m), 2232 (m), 2172 (s), 1624 (m), 1564 (w), 1495 (s), 1440 (m), 1342 (m), 1288 (m), 1214 (s), 1122 (w), 1034 (w), 1010 (m), 934 (w), 853 (m), 759 (s), 660 (w), 522 (m), 489 (m), 412 (w).

Catena-[Cd(µ-1,5-dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (3): colorless needle (yield: 0.31 g, 63%). Characterization: Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₄CdN₈O₄ (494.76 g/mol): C, 38.8; H, 2.9; N, 22.6%. Found: C, 38.6; H, 2.8; N, 22.8%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3115 (w), 3051 (w), 2292 (m), 2241 (m), 2166 (s), 1624 (m), 1569 (w), 1499 (s), 1460 (w), 1439 (m), 1355 (m), 1300 (s), 1257 (m), 1198 (s), 1118 (w), 1015 (s), 924 (w), 855 (s), 756 (s), 661 (w), 546 (w), 509 (m), 461 (m), 411 (w).

5.2.2. Synthesis of [Cu(dca)₂(4-MOP-NO)₂] (4)

A procedure similar to that described above was used with Cu(NO₃)₂·3H₂O, where green needle shaped crystals of 4 were obtained (yield: 0.26 g, 58%). Characterization: Anal. calcd for C₁₆H₁₄CuN₈O₄ (445.90 g/mol): C, 43.1; H, 3.2; N, 25.1. Found: C, 43.0; H, 3.2; N, 25.0%. IR (ATR, cm⁻¹): 3115 (w), 3051 (w), 2292 (m), 2241 (m), 2166 (s), 1624 (m), 1569 (w), 1499 (s), 1460 (w), 1439 (m), 1355 (m), 1300 (s), 1257 (m), 1198 (s), 1118 (w), 1015 (s), 924 (w), 855 (s), 756 (s), 661 (w), 546 (w), 509 (m), 461 (m), 411 (w).

5.3. X-Ray Single Crystal Measurements of 1–4

Single-crystal data of 1–4 were measured on an APEX II CCD diffractometer (Bruker-AXS). Table 2 summarizes crystallographic data, intensity data collection, and structure refinement specifications. Data collections were performed at 100(2) K with Mo–Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å); computer programs APEX and SADABS [77,78] were used for data reduction, LP, and absorption corrections. The program library SHELX [79,80] was used for solution (direct methods) and refinement (full-matrix least-squares methods on F²). Anisotropic displacement parameters were applied to all non-hydrogen atoms. H atoms (Uiso) were obtained from difference Fourier maps. HFIX geometrical constraints were applied only for C–H bonds. Additional software: Mercury [81]; PLATON [82]. CCDC deposition numbers: CCDC 1905722 for 1, CCDC 1905723 for 2, CCDC 1905724 for 3, and CCDC 1905725 for 4.
Table 2. Crystallographic data and processing parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{14}CoN_{8}O_{4}</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{14}MnN_{8}O_{4}</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{14}CdN_{8}O_{4}</td>
<td>C_{16}H_{14}CuN_{8}O_{4}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formula mass</td>
<td>441.28</td>
<td>437.29</td>
<td>494.76</td>
<td>445.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Orthorhombic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
<td>P2₁2₁2</td>
<td>Pccn</td>
<td>P2₁/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>7.3603(4)</td>
<td>18.2240(7)</td>
<td>17.7537(7)</td>
<td>11.0685(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>6.6096(5)</td>
<td>6.6283(3)</td>
<td>6.6690(3)</td>
<td>6.0407(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>18.4806(13)</td>
<td>7.5065(3)</td>
<td>15.2622(6)</td>
<td>13.4842(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>101.257(4)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91.770(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å³)</td>
<td>881.76(10)</td>
<td>906.74(6)</td>
<td>1807.04(13)</td>
<td>901.14(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
<td>100(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>µ (mm⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.018</td>
<td>0.772</td>
<td>1.253</td>
<td>1.256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dₐₗc (Mg/m³)</td>
<td>1.662</td>
<td>1.602</td>
<td>1.819</td>
<td>1.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ max (°)</td>
<td>30.598</td>
<td>30.092</td>
<td>29.998</td>
<td>30.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data collected</td>
<td>38388</td>
<td>29257</td>
<td>70221</td>
<td>48444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique refl.</td>
<td>2707</td>
<td>2662</td>
<td>2648</td>
<td>2643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rint</td>
<td>0.0547</td>
<td>0.0522</td>
<td>0.0378</td>
<td>0.0306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parameters</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goof on F²</td>
<td>1.058</td>
<td>1.134</td>
<td>1.105</td>
<td>1.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1 (I &gt; 2σ(I))</td>
<td>0.0282</td>
<td>0.0276</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
<td>0.0219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wR2 (all data)</td>
<td>0.0699</td>
<td>0.0653</td>
<td>0.0431</td>
<td>0.0619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual extrema (e/Å³)</td>
<td>0.43/−0.42</td>
<td>0.45/−0.40</td>
<td>0.41/−0.68</td>
<td>0.44/−0.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.4. The Computational Methodology

Using Gaussview 6.0 [83], three model metal (II) dicyanamido (dca) complexes, as depicted in Figure 9, were constructed in order to describe the oligomeric bonding via the bridging ligand. The three structures are distinguishable by the metal(II) centers (Co, Mn, or Cd) and are consistent with those studied herein. As our interest is in the inherent connectivity of the dca unit, each of the three structures was terminated by an inert and benign carbonyl moiety, as shown in Figure 9. Using Gaussian 16 [83], the ground state minimum energy geometry of each of the three complexes was optimized using density functional theory (DFT), with the Becke–3rd Parameter–Lee–Yang–Parr (B3LYP) functional [84], coupled to the LANL2DZ [85] basis set. Potential energy (PE) profiles were computed along the oxygen–metal–metal–oxygen dihedral (see molecular inset in Figure 10; henceforth φ) in order to reveal the optimal orientation of the ligands with respect to the dca-bridge. The same level of theory as shown above was used for the PE profiles.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at http://www.mdpi.com/2312-7481/5/3/41/s1, Figure S1–S4: IR spectra of 1–4 compounds, respectively, Figure S5–S7. UV-VIS-NIR spectra of 1, 2 and 4 compounds, respectively, Figure S8–S11. Observed and simulated X-ray powder pattern of 1–4, Table S1: The ground state minimum energy of Co(II) or Mn(II) centers of 1 and 2, respectively in their high-spin and low-spin configurations.
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