Magnetic Behavior of Luminescent Dinuclear Dysprosium and Terbium Complexes Derived from Phenoxyacetic Acid and 2,2′-Bipyridine
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Abstract: Two dinuclear lanthanide complexes [Dy2(L1)6(L2)2]·2EtOH (1) and [Tb2(L1)6(L2)2]·2EtOH (2) (HL1 = phenoxyacetic acid and L2 = 2,2′-bipyridine) were synthesized and the crystal structures were determined. In both complexes, the lanthanide centers are nine-coordinated and have a muffin geometry. Detailed magnetic study reveals the presence of field-induced single molecule magnet (SMM) behavior for complex 1, whereas complex 2 is non-SMM in nature. Further magnetic study with 1′, yttrium doped magnetically diluted sample of 1, disclosed the presence of Orbach and Raman relaxation processes with effective energy barrier, $\Delta E = 16.26 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and relaxation time, $\tau_0 = 2.42 \times 10^{-8} \text{ s}$. Luminescence spectra for complexes 1 and 2 in acetonitrile were studied which show characteristic emission peaks for DyIII and TbIII ions, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Limitless research interest has been involved in the investigation of single-molecule magnets (SMMs) or molecule-based magnetic materials to date. It has been claimed that this special class of materials could be explored in terms of several potential applications, such as high-density data storage, quantum computing, molecular spintronics, cryogenic magnetic refrigeration, fabrication of nanoscopic molecular devices, etc. [1–6]. The rich quantum effect of SMMs leads to tunneling of magnetization, quantum coherence, or quantum superposition at a molecular level. The unique magnetic bistability as well as blocking of magnetization are the resultants of the high spin ground state and inherent magnetic anisotropy of SMMs [7–9]. Now recent research trends show the prior use of lanthanides to design and construct attractive SMMs, especially for their unquenched orbital angular momentum, large spin ground state, crystal field effect, and single-ion anisotropy [10–12]. There are several exciting lanthanide-based SMMs that have been reported so far [13,14].

Recently, Mills and coworkers reported a dysprosocenium complex showing magnetic hysteresis up to 60 K [15]. Zheng and coworkers reported a pentagonal bipyramidal dysprosium complex with a very high effective energy barrier [16]. On the other hand, Tang and coworkers reported an equatorially coordinated erbium mononuclear SMM [17]. A dysprosium metalloocene cation ([Cp(Dy)[Cp*]⁺], having magnetic blocking temperature above 77 K, was reported by the Layfield group [18].

However, studying the magnetic behavior of a multi-nuclear lanthanide system is still a difficult job. The complexity in a lanthanide SMM arises from the single ion anisotropy as well as
from the magnetic exchange interaction between the metal centers simultaneously [19]. These two important parameters always heavily affect the magnetic relaxations pathways. In this regard, smallest lanthanide clusters, namely dinuclear lanthanide SMMs, could be a suitable system to study the interference between single ion anisotropy and magnetic exchange interactions in detail [20–24]. In this sense, some exciting reports have been documented in the literature. Mallah et al. described how the easy axis of magnetization in a dysprosium center leads to the slow magnetic relaxation for an antiferromagnetically coupled binuclear complex [25]. Meanwhile, a systematic study has been done on the anisotropic energy barriers in a series of dinuclear dysprosium SMMs through electron-withdrawing effects by Murugesu and co-workers [26]. Winpenny and coworkers thoroughly investigated the magnetic coupling between two lanthanide centers in several dinuclear single molecule magnets in detail [27,28].

But designing a lanthanide complex for dinuclear SMMs is quite a difficult task because of the high coordination number and diverse coordination geometries of lanthanide ions. Aromatic carboxylic acids could be a suitable choice but the strong oxophilic nature of lanthanide ions generally leads to extended higher dimensional structures via different coordination modes [29,30]. So the use of ancillary ligands like 2,2′-bipyridine, 4,4′-bipyridine, 1,10-phenanthroline, or their derivatives could solve this issue by occupying the coordination sites in an efficient manner [31–34]. Additionally, such an ancillary ligand could introduce stability and fascinating luminescence properties in the resultant complexes [32].

In this regard, phenoxyacetic acid (HL1) and 2,2′-bipyridine (L2) were used to construct dinuclear dysprosium and terbium complexes and detailed study for the magnetic behavior of the complexes were performed. Furthermore, it is well known that lanthanide complexes have interesting photophysical properties which can be explored to various electronic and optical applications [35–38]. So, solution-state photoluminescence studies of the complexes were also performed.

2. Results

Two lanthanide complexes [Dy2(L1)6(L2)2]·2EtOH (1) and [Tb2(L1)6(L2)2]·2EtOH (2) were prepared by the reaction of phenoxyacetic acid (HL1), 2,2′-bipyridine (L2) and Ln-chloride salt in 3:1:1 molar ratio in ethanol at 90 °C. For the purpose of study of magnetically diluted sample with yttrium ion, complexes [Y2(L1)6(L2)2]·2EtOH (3) and [(Y0.82Dy0.18)2(L1)6(L2)2]·2EtOH (1′) were also prepared following the similar procedure. The broad band, in the range 3422–3425 cm\(^{-1}\), appearing in the IR spectra (vide infra) of the complexes 1–3 and 1′ can be assigned to the stretching(s) of O–H of ethanol. The strongly intense band in the range 1572–1574 cm\(^{-1}\) for the complexes 1–3 and 1′ arises due to the asymmetric stretching vibrations of the carboxylate moieties, whereas the strong band in the range 1429–1434 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to the symmetric stretching vibrations of the same.

2.1. Description of Crystal Structures

Complexes 1–3 are iso-structural and crystallize in the triclinic space group P\(\bar{1}\) (Figure 1 and Table S1). The centro-symmetric discrete dimeric structures contain nine-coordinated lanthanide/yttrium centers that adopt a muffin geometry, determined by SHAPE 2.1 [39] (Table S2). There are two lanthanide(III)/yttrium(III) centers, six phenoxyacetate ligands (L1–), and two 2,2′-bipyridine ligands (L2) present in the dinuclear structures.

Two lanthanide/yttrium centers are bridged by two L1– through \(\mu-\eta^1: n^l\)-bidentate carboxylate bridging mode and thus two coordination positions of each center are satisfied. Two L1– having carboxylate moieties with \(\mu-\eta^2: n^l\)-tridentate bridging modes are present in the coordination sphere of the lanthanide/yttrium centers and satisfy three coordination sites of each center. On the other hand, one L1– with \(n^2\)-chelating carboxylate moiety and one neutral 2,2′-bipyridine (L2) ligand fulfill the remaining four coordination positions of each lanthanide/yttrium center. Thus the +III charges of each lanthanide/yttrium center(s) in the dinuclear structure are balanced entirely by coordinated L1– ligands.
Figure 1. Crystal structure of \([\text{Dy}_2(L^1)_6(L^2)_2]\cdot2\text{EtOH}\) (1). Solvent ethanol molecules are deleted for clarity. Symmetry, \(A, -x, -y, 2-z\).

Selected bond lengths and bond angles in the coordination environment of the lanthanide/yttrium centers in 1–3 are listed in Table 1 and Table S3, respectively. The bonds involving the lanthanide/yttrium ions and \(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^1\)-bidentate bridging carboxylates are shorter than those involving the chelating carboxylates: the range of \(\text{Ln}^{III}/\text{Y}^{III}—\text{O(carboxylate)}\) bond distances for the chelating carboxylates are 2.411–2.479 Å in 1–3, whereas for \(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^1\)-bidentate bridging carboxylates the distances are within 2.307–2.337 Å. Three types of bonds, involving the lanthanide/yttrium ion(s) and \(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^1\)-tridentate bridging carboxylates (Figure 1) in the complexes 1–3, lie in the range 2.415–2.443, 2.303–2.337 and 2.677–2.687 Å. On the other hand, the bond lengths between the neutral 2,2′-bipyridine ligands (L^2) and the lanthanide/yttrium center(s) lie in the range of 2.507–2.569 Å in 1–3.

Table 1. Selected bond lengths in Å for Dy^{III}, Tb^{III} and Y^{III} center(s) in 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Symmetry: \(A, -x, -y, 2-z\) for 1; \(A, -x, 1-y, 2-z\) for 2 and \(A, -x, 1-y, -z\) for 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligands Involved in the Bond</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\eta^1)-chelating carboxylate</td>
<td>Dy1–O1 2.452(7) Tb1–O1 2.479(3) Y1–O1 2.449(2)</td>
<td>Dy1–O2 2.427(7) Tb1–O2 2.436(3) Y1–O2 2.411(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^1)-tridentate bridging carboxylate</td>
<td>Dy1–O3 2.430(6) Tb1–O3A 2.443(3) Y1–O3 2.415(2)</td>
<td>Dy1–O4 2.306(6) Tb1–O4 2.337(3) Y1–O4A 2.303(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\mu-\eta^1:\eta^1)-bidentate bridging carboxylate</td>
<td>Dy1–O5 2.330(6) Tb1–O5A 2.337(3) Y1–O5A 2.314(2)</td>
<td>Dy1–O6 2.330(6) Tb1–O6 2.335(3) Y1–O6 2.307(2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2′-bipyridine</td>
<td>Dy1–N1 2.556(7) Tb1–N1 2.569(4) Y1–N1 2.556(3)</td>
<td>Dy1–N2 2.507(7) Tb1–N2 2.546(3) Y1–N2 2.525(3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The intra-molecular \(\text{Ln}^{III}—\text{Ln}^{III}\) or \(\text{Y}^{III}—\text{Y}^{III}\) separation is 3.963, 3.978, and 3.976 Å for 1–3, respectively. The smallest value for intermolecular \(\text{Ln}^{III}—\text{Ln}^{III}\) separation is 8.125 and 8.121 Å for 1 and 2, respectively, while the equivalent distance is 8.113 Å in case of the yttrium analogue 3. π····π stacking interactions between the benzene rings of \(\mu-\eta^2:\eta^1\)-tridentate bridging phenoxyacetates of
two neighboring molecules are present in 1–3 and the π····π stacking distances lie in the range 3.961–3.985 Å (Figure S1). Inter-molecular π····π stacking interactions are also found between the 2,2’-bipyridine moieties of neighboring molecules with the π····π stacking distance, 3.772, 3.777, and 3.780 Å for 1–3, respectively.

The dinuclear structure in 1–3 contains two ethanol molecules as solvents of crystallization. There is a possibility of weak hydrogen bonding interaction between the hydroxyl hydrogen of the ethanol molecule with the oxygen (O1) of the chelating carboxylate. The range of D····A distance in this case is 2.883–2.996 Å for 1–3. However, this hydrogen bonding interaction does not contribute to form a supra-molecular entity.

2.2. Magnetic properties

For both the complexes, detailed magnetic studies were performed on polycrystalline powdered samples. In dc (direct current) magnetic measurements, the room temperature $\chi M T$ value of complex 1 was found to be 28.75 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K which is very close to the theoretical one (28.34 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K, $^6H_{15/2}$, $g = 4/3$) for two uncoupled dysprosium ions (Figure 2). From 300 K, the $\chi M T$ values gradually decreased up to around 55 K. After that a sudden decrease was observed and the $\chi M T$ value reached 18.83 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K at 1.9 K. The shape of the $\chi M T$ plot may not imply the presence of weak antiferromagnetic interactions between metal centers due to depopulation of stark sublevels, large orbital angular momentum, and strong spin-orbit coupling for the dysprosium ions [40–42].

The room temperature $\chi M T$ value (23.34 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K) for complex 2 was also consistent with the theoretical one (23.63 cm$^3$ mol$^{-1}$ K, $^7F_6$, $g = 3/2$) for two uncoupled terbium ions (Figure 2). A slow decrease in $\chi M T$ values was observed up to around 60 K. After that $\chi M T$ rapidly decreased to a value of 9.38 cm$^3$ K mol$^{-1}$ at 1.9 K. So in this case as well, the overall plot might not have indicated antiferromagnetic interaction in 2 [40–42]. However, while the decreasing of the $\chi M T$ is mainly attributed to the depopulation of the $m$ sublevels, and strong magnetic anisotropy, the presence of intra and or intermolecular antiferromagnetic interaction (exchange and/or dipolar) cannot be ruled out without magnetic dilution and/or computational results.

![Figure 2. Temperature dependence of the $\chi M T$ products in 1000 Oe for complexes 1 and 2.](image-url)

For complexes 1 and 2, the isothermal magnetization measurements were performed with the increasing magnetic field (0 Oe to 50 kOe) at 1.8 K (Figure S2). A rapid increase in the magnetization is observed at the low field region, afterwards a slow increase leads to an unsaturation even at 50 kOe. So the unsaturation in the magnetization plots surely suggests the existence of magnetic anisotropy and/or low-lying excited states for both complexes [43,44].

The ac (alternating current) magnetic measurements were performed for both the complexes to investigate their SMM properties. For complex 1, no peak maximum was observed in the out of
phase ac susceptibility for a temperature range of 1.85 K–10.87 K (in presence of an oscillating ac field of 3 Oe and frequency range 1-1000 Hz) without an external dc magnetic field (Figure S3). Now the absence of peak maxima in the out of phase ac susceptibility indicates the fast zero-field quantum tunneling of magnetization (QTM) [45,46]. So to suppress the effect of QTM, ac magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed under several (0 Oe to 3000 Oe) dc magnetic fields at 1.85 K for the frequency range 0.1–1000 Hz (Figure S4). Now at two optimized dc fields, ac magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed to inspect the magnetic relaxation (Figure S5). However, the occurrence of multiple peak maxima in the out of phase ac susceptibility clearly indicated some inter- and intra-molecular exchange interactions or dipolar interactions for 1 [47,48].

Now to minimize the effect of magnetic exchange interactions (or dipolar interactions), yttrium doped magnetically diluted sample (1') of 1 was prepared by a molar ratio of 2:8 (Dy:Y). No peak maxima in out of phase magnetic susceptibility was observed for 1' at zero magnetic field (Figure S6) and this suggests the effect of QTM. So an optimized field of 1000 Oe was applied to perform the ac magnetic measurements (Figures S7 and 3).

Appearance of clear frequency dependent peak maxima in the out of phase ac magnetic susceptibility confirms the SMM behavior.

The ac susceptibility data were analyzed with Cole-Cole model for a temperature range of 1.85–4.00 K (Figure S8) [49,50]. The set of $\alpha$ values (0.27–0.42) directs the broad distribution of magnetic relaxation process (Table S4). To extract the energy barrier and relaxation time, the following Equation (1) was used:

$$\tau^{-1} = AH^{\alpha}T^n + CT^m + \tau_0^{-1}\exp(-\Delta E/k_BT) + 1/QTM$$

where, the first and second term signifies the direct and Raman process whereas the third and fourth term denotes Orbach relaxation and QTM respectively [12,51,52]. The overall magnetic relaxation follows a combination of Raman and Orbach processes here. The $m$ value was fixed to be 3 for the best fitting. Generally, the expected value of $m$ is 9 for a Kramers ion like dysprosium, but values between 2 and 7 are acceptable for acoustic and optical phonon Raman [53,54]. The extracted anisotropic energy barrier is $\Delta E = 16.261$ cm$^{-1}$ with the relaxation time ($\tau_0$) = $2.425 \times 10^{-8}$ s (Figure 4 and Table S5).

![Figure 3. Frequency dependence of the (a) in phase and (b) out of phase components of the ac magnetic susceptibility for complex 1' under 1000 Oe dc field.](image)
In case of complex 1, we performed the ac magnetic susceptibility measurements for a higher frequency range of 10–10,000 Hz (Figures S9 and S10). Clear peak maxima were observed in out of phase ac susceptibility for an optimized field of 600 Oe (Figure S10). This observation confirms the presence of slow magnetic relaxation at an optimized field of higher frequency as well.

For the complex 2 (having a non-Kramers ion, Tb³⁺), frequency dependency was not observed in the out of phase ac susceptibilities under the zero field or in several applied dc magnetic fields (Figures S11 and S12). So for terbium (a non-Kramers ion) based complex 2, the integer J ground states were split by the transverse anisotropy. As a result of under-barrier magnetic relaxation mechanism (or spin-parity), non-SMM behavior was observed [40,55].

2.3. Photophysical Properties

The absorption spectra of complexes 1 and 2 and the free ligands, HL¹ and L² were studied in acetonitrile at room temperature and the spectral data are listed in Table S6. The presence of absorption band at 277 nm (ε = 31,776 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for complex 1 and ε = 34,031 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for complex 2) for both the complexes may be attributed to the π→π* transition of the ligands. On the other hand, the absorption spectra of free HL¹ and L² in acetonitrile show bands of lower absorption intensity than that of the complexes (λmax = 276 nm; ε = 1224 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for HL¹ and λmax = 281 nm; ε = 14,785 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ for L²). This could be due to the coordination interaction between the lanthanide ions with the ligands to form a more extensive π→π* conjugated system [56,57].

The emission spectra in acetonitrile were obtained at room temperature under the excitation wavelength of 300 nm for complex 1 and 277 nm for complex 2. 1 × 10⁻⁴ (M) solution of the complexes were used for this purpose. The luminescence spectra of complexes 1 and 2 are shown in Figure S13 and Figure 5, respectively. For complex 1 two prominent peaks appear at 480 and 573 nm, which can be assigned to the 4F⁹/₂ → 6H¹⁵/₂ and 4F⁹/₂ → 6H¹³/₂ transitions of the Dy³⁺ ion [58–60]. On the other hand, there are four emission peaks at 489, 545, 585, and 621 nm in the luminescence spectrum of complex 2. These emission bands correspond to the transition between the first excited state and the ground state multiplets of the Tb³⁺ ion, namely 5D₄ → 7F₆ (489 nm), 5D₄ → 7F₅ (545 nm), 5D₄ → 7F₄ (585 nm), and 5D₄ → 7F₃ (621 nm) transitions [59–63]. The strongest emission at 545 nm, due to 5D₄ → 7F₅ transition, is the characteristic transition in a terbium containing complex.
Figure 5. Emission spectrum of complex 2 in acetonitrile at room temperature.

It is evident from the above results that the ligands are able to serve as antenna for the Dy
III and Tb
III ions, i.e., the ligands can absorb energy and transfer it to the lanthanide ions. Such
intra-molecular energy transfer leads to the characteristic emission of the Dy
III and Tb
III ions.

3. Conclusions

In this work, two new lanthanide based dinuclear complexes were synthesized and
characterized in detail. Here the synthetic strategy was adopted through the using of a chelating
carboxylate ligand with the ancillary ligand, 2,2’-bipyridine. Field induced single molecule magnetic
behavior is observed for the dysprosium based complex. Furthermore, the magnetic dilution study
reveals the magnetic relaxation is happening through a combined process of Raman and Orbach
mechanisms. On the other hand, in the solution-state luminescence spectrum, both dysprosium and
terbium complexes show characteristic emission peaks for Dy
III and Tb
III ions, respectively. The
dinucelar lanthanide complexes with carboxylato ligands and 2,2’-bipyridine are able to exhibit
different interesting properties at the molecular level, finding potential applications in the field of
molecular magnetism, coordination chemistry, and molecular spintronics research.

4. Materials and Methods

All reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used as received.
Elemental analyses (C, H and N) were performed at the Research and Analytical Center for Giant
Molecules, Tohoku University. IR spectra of the samples were acquired at room temperature with a
JASCO FT/IR-4200 spectrophotometer in ATR mode. Magnetic susceptibility measurements were
conducted using a Quantum Design SQUID magnetometer MPMS-XL (Quantum Design, San Diego,
CA, USA). AC measurements were performed with an ac field amplitude of 3 Oe. High frequency ac
data were collected by Quantum Design PPMS MODEL 6000. A polycrystalline sample embedded in
n-eicosane was used for the measurements. Absorption spectra of the complexes were measured
using a Shimadzu UV-3100 PC UV-VIS-NIR scanning spectrophotometer and the luminescence
spectra in acetonitrile were measured using a JASCO FP-8300 spectrofluorometer.

The crystallographic data of 1–3 (CCDC 1921591–1921593) are summarized in Table S1.
Diffraction data were collected on a Rigaku Saturn 724+ CCD diffractometer with graphite
monochromated Mo-Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). The data collection temperature was 120 K for 1–
3. Data processing was performed using the CrysAlisPro [64] crystallographic software package for
1 and CrystalClear [65] crystallographic software package was used for 2 and 3. All the structures
were solved by SIR-92 [66] using direct methods and the structures were refined by full-matrix
least-squares based on $F^2$ using SHELXL-2014/7 [67,68] packages. C35 and C36 atoms of the solvent ethanol molecule were disordered over two sites in each of the complexes, 1–3. The disorder was fixed, allowing each individual atom to refine freely and the final occupancy parameters were set as 0.6 and 0.4 for both C35 and C36, respectively in both of the complexes 1 and 3; the same parameters were set to 0.5 and 0.5 for both C35 and C36 in complex 2. The final refinements converged at the $R_i$ values [$I > 2\sigma(I)$] 0.0804, 0.0383 and 0.0505 for 1–3, respectively.

Synthesis of [Dy$_2$(L$_1$)$_6$(L$_2$)$_2$]·2EtOH (1): 0.6 mmol (0.091 g) of phenoxyacetic acid (HL$_1$) and 0.2 mmol (0.031 g) of 2,2'-bipyridine (L$_2$) were dissolved in 20 mL of ethanol and the pH of the solution was adjusted to 5–7 by 1 (M) aqueous NaOH solution. Then 5 mL of water/ethanol (1:4) solution of DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O was added to the colorless mixed ligand solution and mixture was refluxed at 90 °C for 4 h in a round bottomed flask. The resulting colorless solution was then filtered to eliminate any suspended particles and the filtrate was kept at room temperature for slow evaporation. After 3–4 days, diffraction quality single crystals were collected by filtration and washed with cold ethanol. Yield (based on Dy): 0.075 g (46%). Anal. calcd for C$_{72}$H$_{70}$N$_4$O$_{20}$Dy$_2$: C, 57.06; H, 4.65; N, 3.70%. Found: C, 57.02; H, 4.64; N, 3.83%. IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3424(w), 2915(w), 1636(s), 1594(m), 1570(s), 1493(m), 1463(m), 1451(m), 1429(s), 1334(m), 1243(s), 1171(m), 1082(m), 1060(s), 1014(m), 840(m), 752(s), 689(s), and 640(m).

Synthesis of [Tb$_2$(L$_1$)$_6$(L$_2$)$_2$]·2EtOH (2): This compound was prepared following a similar procedure to that described for 1 except for using TbCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.075 g, 0.2 mmol) instead of DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O. Yield (based on Tb): 0.073 g (45%). Anal. calcd for C$_{72}$H$_{70}$N$_4$O$_{20}$Tb$_2$: C, 52.85; H, 4.31; N, 3.42%. Found: C, 52.75; H, 4.32; N, 3.48%. IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3425(w), 2928(w), 1636(s), 1594(s), 1574(s), 1493(m), 1463(m), 1451(m), 1429(s), 1334(m), 1243(s), 1171(m), 1082(m), 1060(s), 1014(m), 840(m), 752(s), 689(s), and 640(m).

Synthesis of [(Y$_{0.82}$Dy$_{0.18}$)$_2$(L$_1$)$_6$(L$_2$)$_2$]·2EtOH (1'): This compound was prepared following a similar procedure to that described for 1 except for using YCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.061 g, 0.2 mmol) instead of DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O. Yield (based on Y): 0.073 g (49%). Anal. calcd for C$_{72}$H$_{70}$N$_4$O$_{20}$Y$_2$: C, 52.85; H, 4.31; N, 3.42%. Found: C, 52.75; H, 4.32; N, 3.48%. IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3425(w), 2928(w), 1636(s), 1594(m), 1570(s), 1493(m), 1463(m), 1451(m), 1429(s), 1334(m), 1243(s), 1172(m), 1081(m), 1064(s), 1009(m), 839(m), 750(s), 688(s), and 638(m).

Synthesis of [(Y$_{0.82}$Dy$_{0.18}$)$_2$(L$_1$)$_6$(L$_2$)$_2$]·2EtOH (1''): This compound was prepared following a similar procedure to that described for 1 except for using YCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.048 g, 0.16 mmol) and DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O (0.015 g, 0.04 mmol) instead of pure DyCl$_3$·6H$_2$O. The ratio of Y$^{III}$ and Dy$^{III}$ ions from elemental analysis and $\chi_M$ vs. $T$ plot. (Figure S14). Yield 0.071 g (47%). Anal. calcd for C$_{72}$H$_{70}$N$_4$O$_{20}$Y$_2$: C, 57.07; H, 4.74; N, 3.76%. Found: C, 57.92; H, 4.73; N, 3.87%. IR (cm$^{-1}$): 3422(w), 2915(w), 1636(s), 1596(s), 1572(m), 1495(m), 1465(m), 1452(m), 1432(s), 1336(m), 1242(s), 1172(m), 1082(m), 1065(s), 1014(m), 840(m), 749(s), 688(s), and 644(m).

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at www.mdpi.com/xxx/s1, Figure S1: Perspective view of two dimensional sheet caused by intermolecular π···π stacking interactions in crystallographic bc plane for complex 1, Figure S2. Magnetization (M) vs. Field (H) plots at 1.8 K, Figure S3. Frequency and temperature dependency without dc field for complex 1, Figure S4. Frequency dependency of the (a) in phase and (b) out of phase ac susceptibility under indicated dc fields at 1.89K for 1, Figure S5. Frequency dependence of the (a) in phase and (b) out of phase components of the ac magnetic susceptibility for 1 under 1000 Oe dc field and frequency dependence of the (c) in phase and (d) out of phase components of the ac magnetic susceptibility for 1 under 2400 Oe dc field, Figure S6. Frequency and temperature dependency without dc field for complex 1', Figure S7. Frequency dependency of the (a) in phase and (b) out of phase ac susceptibility under indicated dc fields at 1.85 K for 1', Figure S8. Cole-Cole plots for 1' at different temperatures, Figure S9. Frequency dependency of the (a) in phase and (b) out of phase ac susceptibility under indicated dc fields at 1.9 K (the indication for the fields for both plots are shown only in (b) for clarity) for 1 in the high frequency region, Figure S10. Frequency dependency of the (a) in-phase and (b) out-of-phase components of the ac magnetic susceptibility under 600 Oe dc field for 1 in the high frequency region, Figure S11. Frequency and temperature dependency without dc field for complex 2, Figure S12. Frequency dependency of the (a) in phase and (b) out of phase ac susceptibility under indicated dc fields at 1.85 K for 2 (the indication for the fields for both plots are shown only in (b) for clarity), Figure S13. Emission spectrum of complex 1 in acetonitrile at room temperature,
Figure S14. Temperature dependence of the $\chi MT$ products in 1000 Oe for 1’, Table S1. Crystallographic data for 1–3, Table S2. Summary of SHAPE analysis around Ln$^{III}$ center(s) for 1 and 2 and Y$^{III}$ center(s) in 3 (Ln = Dy for 1, Ln = Tb for 2), Table S3. Selected bond angles in $\Theta$ for Dy$^{III}$, Tb$^{III}$ and Y$^{III}$ center(s) in 1, 2 and 3, respectively. Symmetry: A, –x, –y, 2–z for 1; A, –x, 1–y, 2–z for 2 and A, –x, 1–y, –z for 3, Table S4. Parameters obtained from Cole-Cole fitting for 1’, Table S5. List of parameters related to the magnetic properties of 1’, Table S6. Spectral parameters of the absorption spectra for complexes 1 and 2. (CCDC 1921591–1921593) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
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