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Molecular weight distribution curves of MA–PIB–MA and PMMA samples (Figures S1–S6)
1H NMR spectra of MA–PIB–MA samples (Figures S7–S11)
Figure S1. The molecular weight distribution of the MA–PIB–MA2.3 methacrylate–telechelic polyisobutylene in logarithmic scale obtained by GPC measurement ($M_n = 2600 \text{ g/mol}, M_w/M_n = 1.06$).

Figure S2. The molecular weight distribution of the MA–PIB–MA4.1 methacrylate–telechelic polyisobutylene in logarithmic scale obtained by GPC measurement ($M_n = 4500 \text{ g/mol}, M_w/M_n = 1.15$).
Figure S3. The molecular weight distribution of the MA–PIB–MA6.9 methacrylate–telechelic polyisobutylene in logarithmic scale obtained by GPC measurement ($M_n = 6800$ g/mol, $M_w/M_n = 1.12$).

Figure S4. The molecular weight distribution of the MA–PIB–MA9.2 methacrylate–telechelic polyisobutylene in logarithmic scale obtained by GPC measurement ($M_n = 9100$ g/mol, $M_w/M_n = 1.13$)
Figure S5. The molecular weight distribution of the MA–PIB–MA13.3 methacrylate–telechelic polyisobutylene in logarithmic scale obtained by GPC measurement ($M_n = 11,900$ g/mol, $M_w/M_n = 1.07$).

Figure S6. The molecular weight distribution of the PMMA in logarithmic scale obtained by GPC measurement ($M_n = 23,300$, $M_w/M_n = 4.97$).
Figure S7. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the MA–PIB–MA2.3 sample.

Figure S8. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the MA–PIB–MA4.1 sample.
Figure S9. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the MA–PIB–MA6.9 sample.

Figure S10. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the MA–PIB–MA9.2 sample.
Figure S11. $^1$H NMR spectrum of the MA–PIB–MA13.3 sample.