Simulation of Natural Convection in a Concentric Hexagonal Annulus Using the Lattice Boltzmann Method Combined with the Smoothed Profile Method
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Abstract: This research work presents results obtained from the simulation of natural convection inside a concentric hexagonal annulus by using the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM). The fluid flow (pressure and velocity fields) inside the annulus is evaluated by LBM and a finite difference method (FDM) is used to get the temperature filed. The isothermal and no-slip boundary conditions (BC) on the hexagonal edges are treated with a smooth profile method (SPM). At first, for validating the present simulation technique, a standard benchmarking problem of natural convection inside a cold square cavity with a hot circular cylinder is simulated. Later, natural convection simulations inside the hexagonal annulus are carried out for different values of the aspect ratio, AR (ratio of the inner and outer hexagon sizes), and the Rayleigh number, Ra. The simulation results are presented in terms of isotherms (temperature contours), streamlines, temperature, and velocity distributions inside the annulus. The results show that the fluid flow intensity and the size and number of vortex pairs formed inside the annulus strongly depend on AR and Ra values. Based on the concentric isotherms and weak fluid flow intensity at the low Ra, it is observed that the heat transfer inside the annulus is dominated by the conduction mode. However, multiple circulation zones and distorted isotherms are observed at the high Ra due to the strong convective flow. To further access the accuracy and robustness of the present scheme, the present simulation results are compared with the results given by the commercial software, ANSYS-Fluent®. For all combinations of AR and Ra values, the simulation results of streamlines and isotherms patterns, and temperature and velocity distributions inside the annulus are in very good agreement with those of the Fluent software.
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1. Introduction

Natural convection heat transfer in an annular space between two concentric cylinders (also known as concentric annuli) is one of the most studied problems in the field of heat transfer. This fundamental problem has attracted many researchers because of its significance in many engineering applications such as the design of heat exchanger devices, solar energy collectors, cooling of electric power cables, nuclear and chemical reactors, food processing devices, aircraft cabin insulation, etc. [1,2]. For early research works of theoretical and/or experimental investigations on natural convection in an annular space between cold outer and hot inner cylinders, one can find the literature [1–8]. Studying the behavior of natural convection flow in an annulus with irregular geometries (other than the square or rectangular such as circular, elliptical, triangular, and hexagonal) by using the numerical simulations is highly challenging due to complex irregular boundaries. The irregular boundary problems are generally treated with unstructured (body-fitted) grid methods, also known as conforming-mesh
methods, which are very complicated and are computationally intensive. In the past two decades, many researchers have paid attention to develop non-conforming-mesh methods, which use a fixed Cartesian grid to simulate the fluid flow in complex geometries. Some of those numerical methods are immersed boundary method (IBM) [9], distributed Lagrange multiplier method or fictitious domain method [10], and smoothed profile method (SPM) [11–13].

In the past three decades, the lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) has evolved as a powerful computational technique for solving fluid flow and heat transfer problems. In LBM, one gets the solution for the particle density distribution functions (PDF) (by solving Boltzmann kinetic equation on a discrete lattice mesh) instead of directly solving the pressure and velocity fields. The macroscopic variables (such as pressure, velocity, and temperature) are obtained by calculating the hydrodynamic moments of PDF [14]. Because of its many advantages [15] compared to the classical Navier–Stokes equations solvers, LBM has been successfully used to simulate various Multiphysics problems such as multiphase flows [15–17], magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) flows [18,19], micro- and nano-flows [20–22] and fluid-solid interactions [13,23–26]. LBM has also been successfully implemented to predict the behavior of the fluid flow due to natural convection in complex geometries [27–37]. In the above-mentioned research works on natural convection, the following techniques have been used to handle the no-slip and constant temperature BC on complex irregular surfaces: the bounce back (BB) scheme [27–32], IBM [33–35], and SPM [36,37].

BB rule was first proposed by Ladd [38,39] to impose the no-slip BC at curved surfaces of solid particles. In this scheme, the irregular surface of a solid body is imagined as a flat edge that lies in-between two neighboring solid and fluid grid points. The no-slip BC can then be achieved with the help of the standard mid-plane BB scheme which bounces back the missing distribution functions coming from the solid nodes to the fluid nodes. Later, Bouzidi et al. [40] and Yu et al. [41] developed an improved version of the Ladd scheme, known as the interpolated bounce back (IBB) scheme to achieve the second-order accuracy for the fluid velocity and temperature. Sheikholeslami et al. [27,28] investigated MHD flow and heat transfer in an annular space between a heated inner circular and a cold outer square cylinder and they reported the fluid flow and heat transfer results at various $Ra$ and $AR$ values. Lin et al. [29] performed simulation of natural convection flow in an annulus between a heated inner circular cylinder, which is located eccentrically, and a cold square enclosure. Bararnia et al. [30] simulated the natural convection between a heated inner elliptical cylinder and a square outer cylinder. They reported the fluid flow and heat transfer characteristics for various combinations of the vertical positions of the inner cylinder and $Ra$. Sheikholeslami et al. [31] studied the effect of a magnetic field on the fluid flow and heat transfer characteristics of a nano-fluid inside a circular cylinder with an inner triangular cylinder. Moutouakil et al. [32] conducted lattice Boltzmann simulations of natural convection in an annulus between an inner hexagonal cylinder and an outer square cavity. In all the above-mentioned articles [27–32], IBB scheme was used to treat the complex boundaries of circular, triangular, elliptical, and hexagonal geometries. Even though IBB scheme can effectively be used for treating the complex curved boundary problems, the main drawback is that there may be fluctuations in the velocity and temperature fields at fluid-solid interfaces especially when the solid boundary is moving with a certain velocity.

In IBM, the complex irregular boundaries of solid bodies are represented with a set of Lagrangian nodes while the evaluation of the fluid flow is considered on a fixed Eulerian grid. To enforce the no-slip and constant temperature BC on the solid nodes, artificial body force and heat source terms are added to fluid momentum and energy equations, respectively. On can refer to the review article by Mittal and Iaccarino [42] for a clear discussion on different approaches for calculating the body force terms. Hu et al. [33] simulated natural convection in a concentric annulus of circular cylinders using LBM and they used IBM to treat the no-slip and isothermal BC on the curved boundaries. Hu et al. [34] developed an immersed boundary lattice Boltzmann method (IBLBM) for simulating fluid flow due to natural convection in a cold square cavity with a heated inner circular cylinder covered by a porous layer. They investigated the effects of thermal conductivity ratio, $Ra$, and Darcy
number on the behavior of fluid flow and heat transfer. Khazaeli et al. [35] used IBLBM to simulate the natural convection due to a hot circular cylinder inside a square and circular enclosures (cold) for different Ra values. IBM could resolve the problem of fluctuations in the velocity field of IBB scheme. However, the main disadvantage of IBM is that it requires complex interpolation functions, and needs a lot of data exchange between the fluid (Eulerian) and solid (Lagrangian) nodes. Therefore, the parallel computational performance of the scheme based on the LBM and IBM becomes lower as the global data communication between the neighboring grid points increases [13].

In SPM, a smoothed profile function is used to recognize the complex surfaces of a solid body, and the same grid system is used for fluid and solid. The no-slip and isothermal BC at the complex surfaces are implemented by adding a hydrodynamic force and heat source terms to the fluid momentum and energy equations, respectively. The main advantage of SPM over the other non-conforming-mesh methods is that all operations are completely local to a grid point as both fluid and solid are represented with the same grid system; so, the implementation of this scheme to parallel computing applications is easier [13]. Also, SPM does not need any complex interpolation function as needed by IBM. Although SPM is computationally more efficient and easier to apply than IBB and IBM schemes, till now, only a few researchers have used the method based on LBM and SPM to study the fluid flow and heat transfer behavior in complex boundaries. Hu et al. [36] used the LBM combined with SPM for simulating natural convection in complex irregular geometries. They reported the simulation results for the velocity and temperature inside a square enclosure with a hot circular cylinder for different values of Ra and AR. All the above-mentioned research works [27–36] considered the double populations model (DPM) (where two sets of PDF are used: one set for solving the velocity field and another one for the temperature field). Recently, Alapati et al. [37] developed a numerical technique based on the combination of LBM and SPM to simulate particulate flows with heat transfer. They used a hybrid method (HM), which solves the fluid flow by LBM with a set of PDF and the temperature field by FDM and concluded that LBM-SPM method based on HM is computationally more efficient than the method based on DPM.

Fluid flow and heat transfer thorough or over hexagonal-shaped geometries is a ubiquitous problem in many engineering applications such as solar energy collectors [43,44], nuclear power plants [45], microfluidic heat sinks [46], lamella type compact heat exchangers [47], air-conditioning applications [48], etc. In solar energy collectors, to minimize the radiation and convection losses to the surrounding atmosphere, an array of transparent tubes, arranged in a hexagonal honeycomb pattern, is used in-between the absorbing surface and cover plate. Marshall et al. [43] and Buchberg et al. [44] found that the thermal efficiencies of honeycomb solar collectors were higher compared to the collectors without the honeycomb layer. The fuel rods of a nuclear reactor core are stacked in the form of a hexagonal lattice and are located inside a circular or hexagonal channel to pass a coolant longitudinally over them [45]. In a ministered heat sink used for electronic systems cooling, an array of pin-fins of various cross-sectional shapes are attached to a microchannel wall. Aliabadia et al. [44] found that hydrothermal (hydraulic and thermal) performance was best for the pin-fins with the circular and hexagonal cross-sections. Hexagonal duct shape is a commonly used shape in lamella type compact heat exchangers, which is used in many industries such as pulp and paper, alcohol, petrochemical, and other chemical industries [47]. A desiccant disk used in air-conditioning applications consists of an array of several ducts packed in the form of honeycomb pattern. Zhang [48] found that the heat and mass transfer efficiencies of ducts with hexagonal cross-section were higher compared to circular and rectangular ducts as the hexagonal duct walls are more uniformly placed in the desiccant wheel.

Even though it has great significance and applications only a few researchers have investigated the behavior of natural convection flow in an annulus with a heated hexagonal cylinder. Boyd [3] experimentally investigated natural convection in an annulus with a heated hexagonal inner cylinder and cold outer circular cylinder. Raithby et al. [6] simulated the natural convection in an annulus bounded by a circular cylinder outside and horizontal hexagonal cylinder inside by using an orthogonal curvilinear coordinates system (a body-fitted grid system). Galkape and Asfaw [7] employed a
non-orthogonal coordinate system to study the same problem of Raithby et al. [6]. More recently, Moutaouakil et al. [32] studied the natural convection due to a hot hexagonal cylinder inside a square enclosure (cold) with LBM. They presented the fluid flow and heat transfer characteristics for different combinations of AR and Ra by considering two orientations for the hexagonal cylinder. As mentioned earlier, they used DPM with IBB scheme to treat BC on hexagonal surfaces.

The literature review showed that the problem of natural convection in an annulus space between two hexagonal cylinders (concentric with each other) has not been studied. The main objective of this work is to solve the problem of two-dimensional natural convection flow caused by a hot hexagonal cylinder placed concentrically inside a cold hexagonal cylinder. The numerical technique that combines LBM, SPM, and FDM methods is employed because it offers many advantages over the other methods. In the present work, an equation for smoothed profile function that identifies the hexagonal boundaries is proposed. Assessing the accuracy and robustness of the present simulation technique by comparing results given by the present method with ANSYS-Fluent® results is also another purpose of this work. The remainder of this paper is arranged as follows. A brief description of the simulation technique is given in Section 2. A discussion on numerical results is presented in Section 3. At first, the validation results, by applying the present simulation scheme to a standard benchmarking test, are provided. Later, the simulation results of streamlines, isotherms, and temperature and velocity distributions inside the concentric hexagonal annulus are presented. The concluding remarks of the present study are provided in Section 4.

2. Numerical Method

Figure 1 shows the simulation set-up, which consists of an annulus region formed by two concentric horizontal hexagonal cylinders of different sizes, considered in the present work. Simulations are performed inside a square enclosure and 251 × 251 lattice grid points are used to divide the computational domain. The center positions of the two hexagonal cylinders are fixed at the center of the enclosure. $L_{\text{out}}$ in the figure represents the distance between two opposite sides of the outer hexagonal cylinder (the size of the outer cylinder). Throughout the simulations, $L_{\text{out}}$ is kept constant at $L_{\text{out}} = 212$ and the size for the inner hexagonal cylinder, $L_{\text{in}}$ in Figure 1, is varied based on the aspect ratio, which is defined as: $\text{AR} = L_{\text{in}}/L_{\text{out}}$. $g$ in the figure denotes the gravitational acceleration constant.

![Figure 1. Simulation set-up for investigating the natural convection in an annulus region between two concentric hexagonal cylinders. The origin of the lattice grid is mentioned by ‘O’ in the figure.](image)

The initial values for the fluid velocity and temperature inside the domain are set to zero. The no-slip and constant temperature BC ($T_o = T_c \equiv 0$) are applied at the enclosure walls for the flow
field and temperature field, respectively, and the standard mid-plane BB scheme is used to treat the no-slip boundary condition. The temperature value for all edges/sides of the outer hexagonal cylinder is kept constant at, \( T_{\text{out}} = T_c = 0 \) (cold surface), and that for the inner one is fixed at \( T_{\text{in}} = T_h = 1 \) (hot surface). The constant temperature and no-slip BC on all sides of the inner and outer cylinder are treated with SPM. In below, the formulations for LBM for solving the fluid flow field, FDM for solving the temperature field, and SPM for treating BC on hexagonal edges are provided.

2.1. Solving Fluid Flow Using LBM

In this work, as mentioned earlier, LBM is used to obtain the flow field due to natural convection. In LBM, the macroscopic variables such as fluid pressure and the velocity, are computed from the fluid-PDF, \( f_n(x,t) \), which are evaluated by solving the Boltzmann kinetic equation on a discrete lattice mesh. Here, \( f_n(x,t) \) is the probability of finding a fluid particle at a lattice position, \( x \), and at a time, \( t \), moving with a discrete velocity, \( c_n \) (the subscript \( n \) indicates the PDF number), which is selected in such a way that after time step \( \Delta t \), the particle arrives at the \( n^{th} \) neighboring grid point \[21\]. The single-relaxation-time lattice Boltzmann equation (LBE) with external body force term is given by \[37\]

\[
    f_n(x + c_n\Delta t, t + \Delta t) = f_n(x, t) - \frac{1}{\lambda}(f_n(x, t) - f_n^{eq}(x, t)) + \frac{w_n \Delta t}{c_s^2}\left((f_l^{th}(x, t) + f_l^{th}(x, t)) \cdot c_n\right) \tag{1}
\]

where \( \lambda \) is the relaxation time, \( f_n^{eq}(x, t) \) is the equilibrium distribution functions, \( w_n \) is the weighing function, and \( c_s \) is the sound speed. \( f_l^{th}(x, t) \) and \( f_l^{th}(x, t) \) in Equation (1) represent the buoyancy force and the hydrodynamic force (due to the no-slip BC on the hexagonal surfaces) source terms, respectively. Through the Chapman–Enskog analysis, the above equation recovers the Navier–Stokes equations in the low Mach number limit, \( |u|/c_s \ll 1 \) \[14\]. The relation between the fluid kinematic viscosity, \( \nu \), and relaxation time, \( \lambda \), is given by

\[
    \nu = c_s^2 \Delta t \left( \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right). \tag{2}
\]

To model the buoyancy force, \( f_l^{th}(x, t) \), the Boussinesq approximation is used as follows

\[
    f_l^{th} = \rho_0 \beta(T - T_0)g \hat{j}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is the initial value for fluid density, \( T_0 \) is the initial fluid temperature, \( \beta \) is the fluid thermal expansion coefficient at \( T_0 \), \( T \) is the fluid temperature field, and \( \hat{j} \) is the unit vector in the vertical direction (\( y \) direction). The hydrodynamic force term, \( f_l^{th}(x, t) \) of Equation (1) is obtained with SPM.

After solving for \( f_n(x, t) \), the fluid density and the velocity fields, \( \rho(x, t) \) and \( u(x, t) \), are obtained from

\[
    \rho(x, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{b} f_n, \quad u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{n=0}^{b} f_n c_n. \tag{4}
\]

2.2. Solving Temperature Distribution with FDM

The temperature distribution inside the computational domain is obtained by discretizing the energy equation with FDM by using the standard central difference scheme in space and the forward difference scheme in time \[37\]. After discretization, the equation for finding the temperature value at a grid point in new time level is (\( q \) in the below expression represents the heat source term due to the constant temperature BC on the hexagonal edges)

\[
    T_{i,j}^{\text{new}} = T_{i,j}^{\text{old}} + (\text{RHS}^{\text{old}} + q_{i,j}^{\text{old}})\Delta t, \tag{5}
\]
with

\[ \text{RHS}^{\text{old}} = -4\alpha T_{ij}^{\text{old}} + \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} T_{i+1,j}^{\text{old}} \right) T_{i+1,j}^{\text{old}} + \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} T_{i-1,j}^{\text{old}} \right) T_{i-1,j}^{\text{old}} + \left( \alpha - \frac{1}{2} T_{i,j+1}^{\text{old}} \right) T_{i,j+1}^{\text{old}} + \left( \alpha + \frac{1}{2} T_{i,j-1}^{\text{old}} \right) T_{i,j-1}^{\text{old}} \]  

(6)

In the above equation, \( \alpha \) is the thermal diffusivity, and the subscripts \( i \& j \) represent lattice grid indices in \( x- \& y- \) directions, respectively.

2.3. Evaluation of \( f^f(x, t) \) and \( q(x, t) \) with SPM

In SPM, a smoothed profile function (also termed as concentration function or indicator function), \( \phi_k(x, t) \), is used to identify the solid regions [13] (here, \( k \) is the index value for the hexagonal cylinders; \( k = 1 \) for the inner hexagon and \( k = 2 \) outer one). The equation for \( \phi_k(x, t) \) is defined in such a way that \( \phi_k = 0 \) in the fluid region, \( \phi_k = 1 \) in the solid region, and \( \phi_k \) smoothly varies from 0 to 1 at the fluid-solid interface. Here, the following equation is used to evaluate \( \phi_k(x, t) \) of each hexagon

\[ \phi_k(x, t) = f(d_k(x, t)), \]  

(7a)

\[ f(d_k(x, t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & r < -\xi_k / 2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \left( \sin \left( \frac{\pi}{30} \frac{d_k(x, t)}{\xi_k} \right) + 1 \right) & |r| < \xi_k / 2 \\ 1 & r > \xi_k / 2 \end{cases}, \]  

(7b)

where \( d_k(x, t) \) and \( \xi_k \) are the signed normal distance function to the solid surface (here, edges of two hexagons) and the interface thickness of each hexagon, respectively. Unless otherwise mentioned, throughout this work, the values for the interface thickness for the two hexagons are chosen as, \( \xi_1 = \xi_2 \equiv 0.5 \). The following equation is used for finding \( d_k(x, t) \) of each hexagon

\[ d_k(x, t) = \max \left\{ \frac{L_{x,k}}{2}, \max \left\{ \left| x - X_{c,k} \right| \sin(30^\circ) + \left| y - Y_{c,k} \right| \cos(30^\circ), \left| y - Y_{c,k} \right| - \frac{L_{y,k}}{2} \right\} \right\} \]  

(8)

\( L_{x,k} \) and \( L_{y,k} \) in the above equation are the distance between two corners and two opposite sides of each hexagon, respectively (\( L_{y,1} = L_{in} \) and \( L_{y,2} = L_{out} \) are the sizes of the two hexagons), and \( X_{c,k} \) and \( Y_{c,k} \) are the center positions of hexagons, in \( x- \) and \( y- \) directions, respectively. The smoothly distributed concentration field of two hexagons, \( \phi(x, t) \), is obtained by adding the \( \phi_k(x, t) \) values of two hexagons

\[ \phi(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_k(x, t). \]  

(9)

The body force term for enforcing no-slip BC on hexagonal edges, \( f^b(x, t) \) in Equation (1), is evaluated by using

\[ f^b(x, t) = [u_p(x, t) - u(x, t)] \phi(x, t) / \Delta t, \]  

(10)

\( u_p(x, t) \) in the above equation is the velocity field for the solid regions, which is zero as the two hexagonal cylinders are stationary. Similarly, the heat source term for treating the constant temperature BC, \( q(x, t) \) of Equation (5), can be obtained by

\[ q(x, t) = \left[ T_p(x, t) - T(x, t) \right] \phi(x, t) / \Delta t, \]  

(11)

where \( T_p(x, t) \) is the hexagonal cylinders temperature field, which is evaluated by using

\[ \phi(x, t)T_p(x, t) = \sum_{k=1}^{2} \phi_k(x, t)T_k(t), \]  

(12)
where $T_k(t)$ is the temperature of each hexagon; $T_1(t) = T_{in} \equiv 1$ for hot inner cylinder and $T_2(t) = T_{out} \equiv 0$ the cold outer cylinder.

3. Simulation Results

3.1. Validation

The standard benchmarking problem of natural convection due to the hot circular cylinder inside a square enclosure [36,49] is chosen to validate the numerical code developed based on the present simulation technique (see Figure 2 for simulation set-up). The following equation is considered to fix the kinematic viscosity, $\nu$ [37]

$$v = \sqrt{\frac{Pr}{Ra} U_c L_c},$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

where $Pr$, $U_c$, and $L_c$ are the Prandtl number, the characteristic velocity, and the characteristic length, respectively, and the definitions for $Ra$, $Pr$, and $U_c$ are given by

$$Ra = \frac{8\beta \Delta T L_c^3}{\nu \alpha}, \quad Pr = \frac{\nu}{\alpha}, \quad \text{and} \quad U_c = \sqrt{\frac{8\beta \Delta T L_c}{\nu}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

$\Delta T$ in the above equation is the temperature difference between the inner circular cylinder (hot, $T_{in} = T_h \equiv 1$) and outer square cavity (cold, $T_{out} = T_h \equiv 1$). The computational domain is divided into 201 $\times$ 201 lattice grid points. The simulations are performed for, $Pr = 0.71$ (i.e., heat transferring medium is air), $U_c = 0.1$, and $L_c = L_{out}$ (size of the outer square cavity). Three different combinations for Rayleigh number, $Ra = 10^4$, $10^5$, and $10^6$, and the aspect ratio, $AR = \frac{L_{in}}{L_{out}} = 0.2, 0.4,$ and $0.6$ are considered. Figure 3 shows the isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) inside the enclosure when $Ra = 10^6$ and $AR = 0.2$. Two symmetrical vortices appear in the upper region of the enclosure, as the natural convection flow intensity is predominant in the upper region of the cavity due to $Ra$ value is very high. The details of the surface-averaged Nusselt number, $\overline{Nu}$, on the inner cylinder at all combinations of Ra and AR considered in the present work are provided in Table 1. The corresponding results obtained by the previous works [36,49] are also given in Table 1. $\overline{Nu}$ increases with $Ra$ for all values of $AR$. The streamlines and the isotherms patterns, and $\overline{Nu}$ values of all Ra and AR combinations are in excellent agreement with the previous results [33,35]. After this validation, simulation of fluid flow and heat transfer due to natural convection inside the hexagonal annulus is performed and the corresponding results are discussed in the following section.

![Figure 2. Set-up, consists of an annular space between an outer square enclosure (cold) and an inner circular cylinder (hot), considered for validating the present numerical method.](image-url)
Figure 2. Set-up, consists of an annular space between an outer square enclosure (cold) and an inner circular cylinder (hot), considered for validating the present numerical method.

Figure 3. Isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) patterns inside the square enclosure when Rayleigh number is, $Ra = 10^6$, and aspect ratio, $AR = 0.2$.

Table 1. The details of the surface average Nusselt number, $\overline{Nu}$, on the inner cylinder at different combinations of $Ra$ and $AR$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$Ra$</th>
<th>$AR$</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Previous [36]</th>
<th>Previous [49]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$10^4$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2.042</td>
<td>2.035</td>
<td>2.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3.202</td>
<td>3.173</td>
<td>3.331</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5.349</td>
<td>5.266</td>
<td>5.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^5$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>3.714</td>
<td>3.751</td>
<td>3.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>4.843</td>
<td>4.893</td>
<td>5.080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>6.182</td>
<td>6.175</td>
<td>6.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$10^6$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5.959</td>
<td>6.115</td>
<td>6.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>8.718</td>
<td>8.897</td>
<td>9.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>11.662</td>
<td>11.940</td>
<td>11.620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Natural Convection in the Concentric Hexagonal Annulus

In this session, the results obtained by the simulation of the fluid flow and heat transfer in the annulus bounded by two horizontal concentric hexagonal cylinders are presented (set-up is shown in Figure 1). Simulations are performed for different values of $AR$ and $Ra$, by varying $AR$ in the range, $AR = 0.2$–0.6, and $Ra$ in the range, $Ra = 10^3$–$10^6$. The characteristic length in Equation (14) is set as, $L_c = L_{out}$ (the size of the outer hexagon).

All the results obtained by the present simulation technique are compared with those given by commercial software, ANSYS-Fluent®. Fluent 18.2 is used to simulate a steady laminar flow and heat transfer inside a two-dimensional annular space bounded by two concentric hexagonal cylinders. The size of the outer cylinder is fixed at $L_{out} = 212$ m and that of the inner cylinder is varied as per the $AR$. The values for the temperatures at the walls of the inner and outer cylinder are set at $T_{in} = 289$ K and $T_{out} = 288$ K, respectively. Constant temperature and no-slip BC are used for heat transfer and fluid flow, respectively. The initial value for density is taken as $\rho_0 = 1.225$ kg/m$^3$ (air density value at temperature 288 K) and the Boussinesq model is used to model the variation of the density as a function of temperature. Dry air properties at temperature 288 K are used to set the values for specific heat, viscosity, thermal conductivity, and thermal expansion coefficient. The value for the $y-$directional gravitational acceleration constant is varied corresponds to $Ra$. SIMPLE (Semi-Implicit Method for Pressure Linked Equation) scheme has opted for the pressure-velocity coupling. The governing equations are discretized using the least square cell-based method and a second-order upwind scheme.
is chosen to solve momentum and energy equations. The Gauss–Seidal iterative method with default under-relaxation factors is selected to solve the system of algebraic equations. The convergence criterion for the residuals of all continuity, momentum, and energy equations is set as $10^{-9}$.

3.2.1. Streamlines and Isotherms Patterns Inside the Annulus

It is observed from the simulation results that irrespective of $AR$ and $Ra$ values, the fluid flow patterns (streamlines) and temperature contours (isotherms) are symmetrical about the vertical centerline of the annulus. Figure 4 shows the isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) pattern inside the annulus for the two values of $AR = 0.2$ (Figure 4a) and $AR = 0.6$ (Figure 4b), when $Ra = 10^5$. As $Ra$ is very low, the strength of the buoyancy force (strength of the gravitational acceleration in this case) that causes the convective flow is very low. Therefore, the heat transfer process inside the annulus is mainly dominated by the conduction mode and the isotherms are very smooth (no distortion of isotherms takes place due to very weak fluid flow) and are almost concentric to the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders. Both isothermal and streamlines are symmetrical concerning the vertical as well as horizontal centerlines of the annulus. When $AR = 0.2$, the isotherms are almost circular and the spacing between them increases with the distance from the inner hexagon as the available space between inner and outer hexagons is more than that when $AR = 0.6$. On the other hand, when $AR = 0.6$, the isotherms in the vicinity of both inner and outer cylinders are in the form of the hexagon and the spacing between them is less as they get squeezed due to constricted space between inner and outer hexagons. The streamlines pattern for both $AR = 0.2$ and $AR = 0.6$ show that two symmetrical recirculating eddies (kidney-shaped cells) are formed inside the annulus and the location of cell centers is almost close to the horizontal centerline of the annulus as the fluid flow intensity in the upward direction is almost negligible because of very low $Ra$. When we observe Figure 4b carefully, we can see that there is slight penetration of the streamline into the solid edges, which is a slight drawback of the present scheme. The main reason for this phenomenon is that as SPM is a non-conforming-mesh method, the same grid system is used for the solid and fluid regions and simulations are also performed inside the solid regions (even though it is enough to consider the boundary effects on the hexagonal edges). However, performing the simulations inside the solid does not affect the flow field in the fluid domain and the overall behavior of the fluid flow and heat transfer is well captured.

Figure 5 shows the isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) pattern inside the annulus for the values of $AR = 0.2$ (Figure 5a) and $AR = 0.6$ (Figure 5b), and when $Ra = 10^6$. As the $Ra$ is very high, the effect of buoyancy-driven flow is significant and hence the heat transfer in the upper region of the annulus is mainly dominated by the convection mode. Isotherms and streamlines are no longer symmetrical about the horizontal median of the annulus. For $AR = 0.2$, it is concluded from the isotherms and streamlines pattern that the fluid near the inner hexagonal cylinder surface gets heated and moves upwards along the upper inclined edges of the hexagon due to the buoyancy effect. Because of strong convection currents, a thermal plume is formed on the top of the inner cylinder and thermal boundary layer thickness at the top flat edge of the outer cylinder is very thin (indicated by close clustering of isothermal lines) as continuous impingement of fluid flow in the upper region of the annulus. The thermal boundary layer thickness at the bottom of the inner hexagonal cylinder is also found to be very low and the fluid temperature below the inner cylinder is almost uniform and is equal to that of the outer cylinder as heat transfer in this region is dominated by conduction. The centers of the symmetrical recirculating eddies are located well above the horizontal median as the fluid flow is dominant in the upper half of the annulus. The streamline pattern for $AR = 0.2$ also reveals that two symmetric secondary vortices are formed at the bottom wall of the outer cylinder due to the separation of the momentum boundary layer as a result of strong upward convective flow.
(b) $AR = 0.6$

(a) $AR = 0.2$

Figure 4. Isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) patterns inside the hexagonal annulus when the Rayleigh number is, $Ra = 10^3$, and for $AR = 0.2$ (a), and $AR = 0.6$ (b).

Figure 5. Isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) patterns inside the hexagonal annulus when the Rayleigh number is, $Ra = 10^6$, and for $AR = 0.2$ (a), and $AR = 0.6$ (b).

A completely different phenomenon is observed when $AR = 0.6$. Since there is limited available space for convection on the top of the inner cylinder, two separate thermal plumes (due to the buoyancy-driven fluid flow along the upper inclined edges of the hexagon) are formed along each upper corner of the hexagon. A third thermal plume is also seen on the top flat edge of the inner cylinder in the reverse direction as the uppermost corner of the inner hexagonal cylinder separates the fluid flow and generates two secondary vortices. The fluid flow separation phenomenon can be confirmed by noticing the two counter-rotating cells over the top flat edge of the inner cylinder from the streamline pattern of $AR = 0.6$. This type of flow separation phenomena at a high $AR$ value was also observed by Raithby et al. [6], Bararnia et al. [30], Moutaouakil et al. [32], and Hu et al. [36], and even though their simulation domains were completely different from the present study.

To assess the capability of the present simulation method for predicting the behavior of natural convection flow in the concentric hexagonal annulus, the results obtained from the present method are compared with ANSYS-Fluent® results. Figure 6 shows the simulation results of isotherms and streamlines patterns obtained from Fluent for the values of $AR = 0.2$ (Figure 6a) and $AR = 0.6$ (Figure 6b), and the case when $Ra = 10^6$. By comparing the isotherms and streamlines patterns of Figures 5 and 6, we can say that the present simulation results are successfully reproduced the Fluent results.
A completely different phenomenon is observed when $AR = 0.6$. Since there is limited available space for convection on the top of the inner cylinder, two separate thermal plumes (due to the buoyancy-driven fluid flow along the upper inclined edges of the hexagon) are formed along each upper corner of the hexagon. A third thermal plume is also seen on the top flat edge of the inner cylinder in the reverse direction as the uppermost corner of the inner hexagonal cylinder separates the fluid flow and generates two secondary vortices. The fluid flow separation phenomenon can be confirmed by noticing the two counter-rotating cells over the top flat edge of the inner cylinder from the streamline pattern of $AR = 0.6$. This type of flow separation phenomena at a high $AR$ value was also observed by Raithby et al. [6], Bararnia et al. [30], Moutaouakil et al. [32], and Hu et al. [36], and even though their simulation domains were completely different from the present study.

To assess the capability of the present simulation method for predicting the behavior of natural convection flow in the concentric hexagonal annulus, the results obtained from the present method are compared with ANSYS-Fluent® results. Figure 6 shows the simulation results of isotherms and streamlines patterns obtained from Fluent for the values of $AR = 0.2$ (Figure 6a) and $AR = 0.6$ (Figure 6b), and the case when $Ra = 10^6$. By comparing the isotherms and streamlines patterns of Figures 5 and 6, we can say that the present simulation results are successfully reproduced the Fluent results.

**Figure 6.** Isotherms (left side) and streamlines (right side) patterns obtained from ANSYS-Fluent® software for the values of $AR = 0.2$ (a) and $AR = 0.6$ (b) when $Ra = 10^6$. 
3.2.2. Temperature and Velocity Profiles

Figure 7 shows the temperature distribution along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders at three different angular directions, \( \theta = 0^\circ \) (along the line passing through the vertical median), \( \theta = 30^\circ \) (along the line passing through the right uppermost corners of the two hexagons), and at \( \theta = 90^\circ \) (along the line passing through the horizontal median) when \( AR = 0.2 \). \( R_i \) and \( R_o \) in the figure denote the radius of circles that pass through the edges of inner and outer hexagonal cylinders, respectively. The temperature distribution profiles are plotted for two Rayleigh numbers: \( Ra = 10^3 \) and \( Ra = 10^6 \). The symbols in the figure indicate the corresponding results obtained from the Fluent, which are in good agreement with the present simulation results. When \( Ra = 10^3 \), the temperature profiles, along all three \( \theta \) directions, show a quasi-linear pattern (in other words, the temperature gradients along the gap are almost constant) with the gap as the conduction is the primary mode of the heat transfer process in the annulus. The temperature profiles along \( \theta = 30^\circ \) and \( \theta = 90^\circ \) are almost the same as the isothermal lines are almost concentric and \( R_i \) values at \( \theta = 30^\circ \) and \( \theta = 90^\circ \) are the same. When \( Ra = 10^6 \), as the strong convective fluid flow disturbs the uniform temperature distribution over the inner hexagon, high-temperature gradients are observed near the inner and outer hexagonal cylinder edges. As mentioned earlier, a thermal plume is formed in the direction of \( \theta = 0^\circ \) for \( AR = 0.2 \), the temperature gradients closer to the outer cylinder wall are very steep for the temperature profile along \( \theta = 0^\circ \) as the thermal boundary layer thickness is very thin due to continuous impingement thermal plume against the top flat edge of the outer hexagonal cylinder. The slope of the temperature profile near the outer cylinder wall is steeper for \( \theta = 30^\circ \) compared to that for \( \theta = 90^\circ \) as the convective fluid flow intensity is weaker at \( \theta = 90^\circ \).

![Figure 7](image_url)  

**Figure 7.** Temperature distribution along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders at different angular directions, \( \theta = 0^\circ, \theta = 30^\circ \), and at \( \theta = 90^\circ \) for \( AR = 0.2 \). The symbols represent corresponding data from Fluent software.

The curves for the temperature distributions along the gap when \( AR = 0.6 \) are provided in Figure 8 for Rayleigh numbers, \( Ra = 10^3 \) and \( Ra = 10^6 \). The temperature data obtained from Fluent software is also provided (the symbols in the figure) for comparison purposes. The agreement between the two results is excellent, implying the capability of the present simulation technique in the simulation of fluid flow and heat transfer in the hexagonal annuals. In this case, also the slope of the temperature profiles in each \( \theta \) direction is almost constant when \( Ra = 10^3 \). For \( Ra = 10^6 \) case, the temperature profile in the direction of \( \theta = 30^\circ \) is in a similar trend with that of \( \theta = 0^\circ \) of Figure 7 data (for the case of \( AR = 0.2 \)) as the formation of thermal plume for \( AR = 0.6 \) is along the direction of \( \theta = 30^\circ \). The slope of the temperature profile near the inner cylinder wall is very steep for \( \theta = 0^\circ \) as thermal boundary layer thickness over the flat top edge of the inner cylinder is very small due to the formation of the thermal plume in the reverse direction.
The velocity gradients for the profile at $\theta = \theta$ point for the profile at velocity, at low Ra. For the velocity profile at $\theta$ are very small (almost zero) compared to those when $Ra = 10$ for the intensity of the convective fluid flow. Figure 9 shows the variations of tangential velocity, $u_{\theta}$, along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders for Rayleigh numbers, $3Ra = 10^3$ and $6Ra = 10^6$, and for aspect ratio, $AR = 0.2$. The profiles are plotted for $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$. The reference velocity, $\alpha / (R_o - R_i)$, has chosen to normalize $u_{\theta}$. It is noted that the magnitudes of $u_{\theta}$ when $Ra = 10^3$ are very small (almost zero) compared to those when $Ra = 10^6$ because of a weak fluid flow intensity at low Ra. For the velocity profile at $\theta = 90^\circ$, the location of the flow reversal point is exactly at the center of the gap (at the halfway between the corners of two hexagons). However, the flow inversion point for the profile at $\theta = 30^\circ$ is located a bit away from the gap center towards the outer cylinder. The velocity gradients for the profile at $\theta = 90^\circ$ are steeper near the inner cylinder than those at the outer cylinder as the convective currents in the region adjacent to the inner cylinder (where fluid gets heated) are stronger than those near the outer cylinder.

The heat transfer between the outer and inner cylinders is enhanced by convection mode through fluid circulation. The rotational velocity (tangential velocity), $u_{\theta}$, can be used as a good indication for the intensity of the convective fluid flow. Figure 9 shows the variations of tangential velocity, $u_{\theta}$, along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders for Rayleigh numbers, $Ra = 10^3$ and $Ra = 10^6$, and for aspect ratio, $AR = 0.2$. The profiles are compared with those of Fluent software. It is noted that the magnitudes of $u_{\theta}$ when $Ra = 10^3$ are lower compared to those at $Ra = 10^6$ because of a weak fluid flow intensity at low Ra. For the velocity profile at $\theta = 90^\circ$, the location of the flow reversal point is exactly at the center of the gap (at the halfway between the corners of two hexagons). However, the flow inversion point for the profile at $\theta = 30^\circ$ is located a bit away from the gap center towards the outer cylinder. The velocity gradients for the profile at $\theta = 90^\circ$ are steeper near the inner cylinder than those at the outer cylinder as the convective currents in the region adjacent to the inner cylinder (where fluid gets heated) are stronger than those near the outer cylinder.

**Figure 8.** Temperature distribution along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders at angular directions, $\theta = 0^\circ$, $\theta = 30^\circ$, and at $\theta = 90^\circ$ for $AR = 0.6$. The symbols represent corresponding data from Fluent software.

**Figure 9.** Variation of tangential velocity, $u_{\theta}$, along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders at angular directions, $\theta = 30^\circ$, and $\theta = 90^\circ$ for $AR = 0.2$. The symbols represent the corresponding data from Fluent software.
The profiles for the tangential velocity distributions when $AR = 0.6$ are provided in Figure 10 for Rayleigh numbers, $Ra = 10^3$ and $Ra = 10^6$. In this case, as well the magnitudes of $u_{\theta}$ when $Ra = 10^3$ are very small compared to those when $Ra = 10^6$. When $AR = 0.6$, as the thermal plume is formed along the $\theta = 30^\circ$ direction, the tangential velocity in that direction is very low (fluid flow radially outwards along $\theta = 30^\circ$). Therefore, the magnitude of $u_{\theta}$ along $\theta = 30^\circ$ very low compared to that along $\theta = 90^\circ$. The locations for the flow reversal points of the two velocity profiles along $\theta = 30^\circ$ and $\theta = 90^\circ$ are the same and are located away from the gap center and are towards the inner cylinder. The magnitudes of $u_{\theta}$ obtained for $AR = 0.6$ case are lower compared to those obtained for $AR = 0.2$ ($Ra = 10^6$ data of Figure 9) as available space for convection flow is constricted at $AR = 0.6$. The tangential velocity profiles data obtained from the present simulation technique, for cases of $AR = 0.2$ and $AR = 0.6$, and $Ra = 10^3$ and $Ra = 10^6$, are compared with those of Fluent software (the symbols in Figures 9 and 10). The present simulation results show good agreement with the Fluent data.

![Figure 10. Variation of tangential velocity, $u_{\theta}$, along the gap between the inner and outer hexagonal cylinders at angles, $\theta = 30^\circ$, and $\theta = 90^\circ$ for $AR = 0.6$. The symbols represent the corresponding data from Fluent software.](image)

4. Conclusions

In this work, a FORTRAN code based on a hybrid method (which uses a combination of LBM, SPM, and FDM) has been developed to simulate the natural convection inside an annulus between two concentric hexagonal cylinders. After validating the numerical code by applying it to a standard benchmarking problem, natural convection simulations inside the hexagonal annulus have been performed by considering different combinations of Rayleigh number, $Ra$, and aspect ratio, $AR$. When $AR = 0.6$, two separate thermal plumes are formed due to the separation of convective flow at the upper corner of inner hexagon, which is in accordance with the previous studies. To verify the accuracy and robustness of the present method for simulating natural convection flow inside the hexagonal annulus, all the simulation results obtained from the present technique have been compared with the Fluent results. The simulation results of isotherms and streamlines patterns, temperature, and velocity distributions inside the annulus show good agreement with those obtained from Fluent software.
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