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1. Introduction

In recent decades, fractional equations and inclusions have proven to be interesting tools in the modeling of many physical or economic phenomena. In addition, there has been a significant development in fractional differential theory and applications in recent years [1–7]. In the case of the sole inclusion, \( D^\alpha u(t) \in F(t, u(t)) \), one can find an important piece of literature. For examples, in following papers, study is made with different boundary conditions [8–12], with use of the non-compactness measure [13,14], with use of contraction principle in the space of selections of the set valued map instead in the space of solutions [15], with compactness conditions [16] or inclusions with infinite delay [17]. To the best of our acknowledge, a very few study is available in the fractional order differential inclusion coupled with a time and state dependent maximal monotone operator ([18] with subdifferential operators).

The main objective of the present work is to develop the existence theory for a coupled system of evolution inclusion driven by fractional differential equation and time and state dependent maximal monotone operators. The developments of the article are as follows.
At first, we investigate a second order problem governed a time and state dependent maximal monotone operator with Lipschitz perturbation in a separable Hilbert space $E$ (The second order is in the state variable $x$).

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\dot{x}(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t u(s)ds, t \in [0,T] \\
u(t) \in D(A_{1,x(t)}), t \in [0,T] \\
-\dot{u}(t) \in A_{1.\dot{x}(t)}u(t) + f(t,x(t),u(t)) \quad \text{a.e.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

Secondly, we investigate a class of fractional order problem driven by a time and state dependent maximal monotone operator with Lipschitz perturbation in $E$ of the form

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
\dot{\alpha}^\beta h(t) + \lambda \alpha^{-\beta-1}h(t) = u(t), t \in [0,1] \\
\int_0^t \alpha(t) = \lim_{t \to 0} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}h(s)ds = 0, \quad h(0) = h(1) = \int_0^1 (1-s)^{\gamma-1}h(s)ds \\
-\dot{u}(t) \in A_{1,\dot{x}(t)}u(t) + f(t,h(t),u(t)) \quad \text{a.e.}
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

where $\alpha \in [1,2]$, $\beta \in [0,2-\alpha], \lambda \geq 0, \gamma > 0$ are given constants, $\dot{\alpha}$ is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, $I$ is the gamma function, $(t,x) \to A_{(t,x)} : D(A_{(t,x)}) \to E$ is a maximal monotone operator with domain $D(A_{(t,x)})$ and $f : [0,1] \times E \times E \to E$ is a single valued Lipschitz perturbation w.r.t $y \in E$.

Thirdly, we finish the paper with a Filippov theorem and relaxation theorem for fractional differential inclusion in a separable Banach space $E$

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1}u(t) \in F(t,u(t)), a.e. \ t \in [0,1] \\
\int_0^t \alpha(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \int_0^1 \alpha(1)
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
\begin{cases}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1}u(t) \in \overline{co}F(t,u(t)), a.e. \ t \in [0,1] \\
\int_0^t \alpha(0) = 0, \quad u(1) = \int_0^1 \alpha(1)
\end{cases}
\end{equation}

where $F$ is closed valued $L(I) \times B(E)$-measurable and Lipschitz w.r.t $x \in E$.

Within the framework of studies concerning coupled systems of evolution inclusion driven by fractional differential equation and time and state dependent maximal monotone operator, our results are fairly general and new and give further insight into the characteristics of both evolution inclusion and fractional order boundary value problems.

2. Notations and Preliminaries

In the whole paper, $I := [0,T]$ ($T > 0$) is an interval of $\mathbb{R}$ and $E$ is a separable Hilbert space with the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and the associated norm $\| \cdot \|$. $\overline{B}_E$ denotes the unit closed ball of $E$ and $r\overline{B}_E$ its closed ball of center 0 and radius $r > 0$. We denote by $\mathcal{L}(I)$ the sigma algebra on $I$, $\lambda := dt$ the Lebesgue measure and $\mathcal{B}(E)$ the Borel sigma algebra on $E$. If $\mu$ is a positive measure on $I$, we will denote by $L^p(I,E,\mu)$ $p \in [1,+\infty]$ (resp. $p = +\infty$), the Banach space of classes of measurable functions $u : I \to E$ such that $t \to \|u(t)\|^p$ is $\mu$-integrable (resp. $u$ is $\mu$-essentially bounded), equipped with its classical norm $\| \cdot \|_p$ (resp. $\| \cdot \|_{\infty}$). We denote by $C(I,E)$ the Banach space of all continuous mappings $u : I \to E$, endowed with the sup norm.

The excess between closed subsets $C_1$ and $C_2$ of $E$ is defined by $e(C_1,C_2) := \sup_{x \in C_1} d(x,C_2)$, and the Hausdorff distance between them is given by

$$d_H(C_1,C_2) := \max \left\{ e(C_1,C_2), e(C_2,C_1) \right\}.$$ 

The support function of $S \subset E$ is defined by: \(\delta^*(a,S) := \sup_{x \in S} \langle a,x \rangle, \forall a \in E\).

If $X$ is a Banach space and $X^*$ its topological dual, we denote by $\sigma(X,X^*)$ the weak topology on $X$ and by $\sigma(X^*,X)$ the weak* topology on $X^*$. 


Let $A : E \rightrightarrows E$ be a set-valued map. We denote by $D(A)$, $R(A)$ and $Gr(A)$ its domain, range and graph. We say that $A$ is monotone, if $\langle y_1 - y_2, x_1 - x_2 \rangle \geq 0$ whenever $(x_1, y_1) \in D(A)$, and $(x_2, y_2) \in A(x_1)$, $i = 1, 2$. In addition, we say that $A$ is a maximal monotone operator of $E$, if its graph could not be contained properly in the graph of any other monotone operator. By Minty’s Theorem, $A$ is maximal monotone iff $R(I_E + A) = E$.

If $A$ is a maximal monotone operator of $E$, then, for every $x \in D(A)$, $A(x)$ is nonempty closed and convex. We denote the projection of the origin on the set $A(x)$ by $A^0(x)$.

Let $A, B$ be maximal monotone operators of $E$. Then, $\langle y - y', x' - x \rangle + \|x\| + \|y\| = \sup \left\{ \langle y - y', x' - x \rangle : x \in D(A), y \in Ax, x' \in D(B), y' \in Bx' \right\}$. (1)

This pseudo-distance due to Vladimir [21] is particularly well suited to the study of operators (see its use in [22]) and also, in the sweeping process, for its links with the Hausdorff distance in convex analysis. Indeed, if $N_{C(t,x)}$ is the normal cone of the closed convex set $C(t,x)$, we have

\[ dis(N_{C(t,x)}, N_{C(s,y)}) = d_H(C(t,x), C(s,y)). \]

This property will be used in this paper.

For the proof of our main theorems, we will need some elementary lemmas taken from reference [23].

**Lemma 1.** Let $A$ be a maximal monotone operator of $E$. If $x \in \overline{D(A)}$ and $y \in E$ are such that

\[ \langle A^0(z) - y, z - x \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall z \in D(A), \]

then $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in A(x)$.

**Lemma 2.** Let $A_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$, $A$ be maximal monotone operators of $E$ such that $dis(A_n, A) \to 0$. Suppose also that $x_n \in D(A_n)$ with $x_n \to x$ and $y_n \in A_n(x_n)$ with $y_n \to y$ weakly for some $x, y \in E$. Then, $x \in D(A)$ and $y \in A(x)$.

**Lemma 3.** Let $A, B$ be maximal monotone operators of $E$. Then,

1. for $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in D(A)$
   \[ \|x - J_A^\lambda(x)\| \leq \lambda \|A^0(x)\| + dis(A, B) + \sqrt{\lambda (1 + \|A^0(x)\|)} \cdot dis(A, B). \]

2. For $\lambda > 0$ and $x, x' \in E$
   \[ \|J_A^\lambda(x) - J_A^\lambda(x')\| \leq \|x - x'\|. \]

**Lemma 4.** Let $A_n (n \in \mathbb{N})$, $A$ be maximal monotone operators of $E$ such that $dis(A_n, A) \to 0$ and $\|A_n^0(x)\| \leq c(1 + \|x\|)$ for some $c > 0$, all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in D(A_n)$. Then, for every $z \in D(A)$, there exists a sequence $(\xi_n)$ such that

\[ \xi_n \in D(A_n), \quad \xi_n \to z \quad \text{and} \quad A_n^0(\xi_n) \to A^0(z). \]
3. On Second Order Problem Driven by a Time and State Dependent Maximal Operator

Let \( I = [0, T] \) and let \( E \) be a separable Hilbert space. In this part, we are interested in solving the problem (1.1).

**Lemma 5.** Let \((t, x) \rightarrow A_{(t,x)} : D(A_{(t,x)}) \rightarrow 2^E\) a maximal monotone operator satisfying:

\[(H_1) \|A^0_{(t,x)}y\| \leq c(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|) \text{ for all } (t, x, y) \in I \times E \times D(A_{(t,x)}),\]

for some positive constant \(c\),

\[(H_2) \text{dis}(A_{(t,x)}), A_{(\tau,y)}) \leq a(t) - a(\tau) + r\|x - y\|, \text{for all } 0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T \text{ and for all } (x, y) \in E \times E,\]

where \(r\) is a positive number, \(a : I \rightarrow [0, +\infty]\) is nondecreasing absolutely continuous on \(I\) with \(a \in L^2\), shortly \(a \in W^{1,2}(I)\).

Then, the following hold:

**Fact I:** For any absolutely continuous \(x \in W^{1,2}_E(I)\) and for any \(u_0 \in D(A_{(0,x(0))})\), the problem

\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\dot{u}(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))}u(t), \ a.e. \ t \in I \\
u(t) \in D(A_{(t,x(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
u(0) = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,x(0))})
\end{array}\right.
\]

has a unique absolutely continuous solution with \(\|\dot{u}(t)\| \leq K(1 + \beta(t))\) where \(\beta(t) = \int_0^t [\dot{a}(s) + r\|\dot{x}(s)\|]ds\), \(\forall t \in I\) and \(K\) is a positive constant depending on \(\|u_0\|, c, T, x\) and \(\beta\).

**Fact J:** Assume that

\[(H_3) (t, x, y) \rightarrow A^A_{(t,x)}(y) \text{ is } \mathcal{L}(I) \otimes \mathcal{B}(E) \otimes \mathcal{B}(E)\text{-measurable}.
\]

Then, the composition operator \(A_x : D(A_x) \subset L^2(I, E, dt) \rightarrow 2^{L^2(I, E, dt)}\) defined by

\[A_xu = \{v \in L^2(I, E, dt) : v(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))}u(t) \ a.e. \ t \in I\}
\]

for each \(u \in D(A_x)\) where \(D(A_x) := \{u \in L^2(I, E, dt) : u(t) \in D(A_{(t,x(t))}) \ a.e. \ t \in I, \text{ for which } \exists y \in L^2(I, E, dt) : y(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))}u(t), \ a.e. \ t \in I\}\)

is maximal monotone. Consequently, the graph of \(A_x : D(A_x) \subset L^2(I, E, dt) \rightarrow 2^{L^2(I, E, dt)}\) is strongly-weakly sequentially closed in \(L^2(I, E, dt) \times L^2(I, E, dt)\).

**Proof.** **Fact I.** The mapping \(B_t = A_{(t,x(t))}\) is a time dependent absolutely continuous in variation maximal monotone operator: For all \(0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T\), we have by \((H_2)\)

\[
\text{dis}(B_t, B_\tau) = \text{dis}(A_{(t,x(t))}, A_{(\tau,x(\tau))}) \\
\leq |a(t) - a(\tau)| + r\|x(t) - x(\tau)\| \\
\leq \int_\tau^t \dot{a}(s)ds + r \int_\tau^t \|\dot{x}(s)\|ds \\
= \beta(t) - \beta(\tau)
\]

where \(\beta(t) = \int_0^t [\dot{a}(s) + r\|\dot{x}(s)\|]ds\), \(\forall t \in I\). Furthermore, by \((H_1)\), we have

\[
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\|B^0_t y\| = \|A^0_{(t,x(t))}y\| \leq c(1 + \|x(t)\| + \|y\|) \\
\leq c_1(1 + \|y\|)
\end{array}\right.
\]
for all \( y \in D(A_{(t,x(t))}) \), where \( c_1 \) is a positive generic constant. Consequently, by [22] (Theorem 3.5), for every \( u_0 \in D(B_0) \), a unique absolutely continuous mapping \( u : I \to E \) exists satisfying

\[
\begin{cases}
-\dot{u}(t) \in B_t u(t) = A_{(t,x(t))} u(t), \text{ a.e. } t \in I \\
u(t) \in D(B_t) = D(A_{(t,x(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
u(0) = u_0 \in D(B_0) = D(A_{(0,x(0))})
\end{cases}
\]

with \( \|\dot{u}(t)\| \leq K(1 + \beta(t)) \), where \( \beta(t) = \int_0^t |\dot{a}(s) + r| ds \), \( \forall t \in I \) and \( K \) is a positive constant depending on \( \|u_0\|, c, T, \beta \).

**Fact J.** Taking account \( J \), it is clear that \( D(A_x) \) is nonempty and \( A_x \) is well defined. It is easy to see that \( A_x \) is monotone. Let us prove that \( A_x \) is maximal monotone. We have to check that \( R(I_{t,td}) + \lambda A_x \) is \( L^2(I, E, dt) \) for each \( \lambda > 0 \). Let \( g \in L^2(I, E, dt) \). Then, from (H3) \( t \mapsto v(t) = \int_t^\lambda \dot{g}(t) - \lambda A_x \dot{u}(t) \) is measurable. Set

\[
h(t) = \lambda A_x^\lambda \dot{u}(t) - \lambda A_x \dot{g}(t) = \lambda A_x \dot{g}(t) - \lambda A_x \dot{u}(t) + \lambda A_x^\lambda \dot{u}(t)
\]

where \( u \) denotes the absolutely continuous solution to \(-\frac{du}{dt}(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))} u(t) \) using Fact I. Then, \( h \) is measurable with

\[
\|h(t)\| \leq 2\|\dot{g}(t) - u(t)\| + \lambda \|A_x^\lambda u(t)\|
\]

by noting that \( A_x^\lambda \) is \( \frac{2}{\lambda} \)-Lipschitz and so we deduce that \( h \in L^2(I, E, dt) \) because \( g \in L^2(I, E, dt) \) and \( t \mapsto A_x^\lambda u(t) \in L^\infty(I, E, dt) \) using (H1). This proves that \( v \in L^2(I, E, dt) \) and \( g \in v + \lambda A_x v \) so that \( R(I_{t,td}) + \lambda A_x \) is \( L^2(I, E, dt) \).

Here is a useful application.

**Corollary 1.** With hypotheses and notation of the preceding lemma, let \( (v_n) \) and \( (u_n) \) be two sequences in \( L^2(I, E, dt) \) such that \( v_n(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))} u_n(t) \) a.e. for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \). If \( v_n \to v \) weakly in \( L^2(I, E, dt) \) and \( u_n \to u \) strongly in \( L^2(I, E, dt) \), then \( v(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))} u(t) \) a.e.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( I = [0, T]. \) Let \( (t, x) \to A_{(t,x)} : D(A_{(t,x)}) \to 2^E \) a maximal monotone operator satisfying:

(H1) \( \|A_{(t,x)}^0 y\| \leq c(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|) \) for all \( (t, x, y) \in I \times E \times D(A_{(t,x)}) \), for some positive constant \( c \).

(H2) \( \text{dis}(A_{(t,x)}^0, A_{(t,x)} y) \leq a(t) - a(\tau) + r \|x - y\| \) for all \( 0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T \) and all \( (x, y) \in E \times E \), where \( r \) is a positive number, \( a : I \to [0, +\infty] \) is nondecreasing absolutely continuous on \( I \) with \( \dot{a} \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R}, dt) \).

(H3) \( D(A_{(t,x)}) \) is boundedly-compact measurable in the sense, for any bounded set \( B \subset E \), there is a measurable compact valued integrably bounded mapping \( \Psi_B : I \to E \) such that \( D(A_{(t,x)}) \subset \Psi_B(t) \subset \gamma(t) B_E \) for all \( (t, x) \in I \times B \) where \( \gamma \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R}_+, dt) \).

Then, for any \( (x_0, u_0) \in E \times D(A_{(0,x_0)}) \), there exist an absolutely continuous \( x : I \to E \) and an absolutely continuous \( u : I \to E \) such that

\[
\begin{cases}
x(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t u(s)ds, \; \forall t \in I \\
x(0) = x_0, u(0) = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,x_0)}) \\
-\dot{u}(t) \in A_{(t,x(t))} u(t) \; \text{a.e.} \; t \in I \\
u(t) \in D(A_{(t,x(t))}), \forall t \in I
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** Let us consider the closed convex subset \( \mathcal{X}_\gamma \) in the Banach space \( C_E(I) \) defined by

\[
\mathcal{X}_\gamma : \{h \in W^{1,2}(I, E) : h(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \dot{h}(s)ds, ||\dot{h}(s)|| \leq \gamma(s) \; \text{a.e.}, \gamma \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R}, dt) \}.
\]
Then, $\mathcal{X}_I$ is equi-absolutely continuous. By the fact that $\mathcal{J}$, for each $h \in \mathcal{X}_I$, there is a unique $W^{1,2}(I, E)$ mapping $u_h : I \to E$, which is the $W^{1,2}(I, E)$ solution to the inclusion

$$
\begin{cases}
-\dot{u}_h(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_h(t) & \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
u_h(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
u_h(0) = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,0)}) = D(A_{(0,0)}),
\end{cases}
$$

with $\|\dot{u}_h(t)\| \leq K(1 + \tilde{\beta}(t))$, where $\tilde{\beta}(t) = \int_0^t [a(s) + \gamma(s)]ds$, $\forall t \in I$ and $K$ is a positive constant depending on $\|u_0\|, c, T, \beta$. We refer to [22] (Theorem 3.5) for details of the estimate of the velocity. Now, for each $h \in \mathcal{X}_I$, let us consider the mapping

$$
\Phi(h)(t) := x_0 + \int_0^t u_h(s)ds, \; t \in I.
$$

As $u_h(s) \in D(A_{(s,h(s))}) \subset \bigcup_{s \in I \times \mathcal{X}_I} D(A_{(s,x)}) \subset \Psi_I(s) \subset \eta(s)\overline{\Psi}_E$ for all $s \in [0,T]$, where $\Psi_I : I \to E$ is a compact valued measurable mapping given by condition (H3). It is clear that $\Phi(h) \in \mathcal{X}_I$. Our aim is to prove the existence theorem by applying some ideas developed in [24] via a generalized fixed point theorem [25] (Theorem 4.3), [26] (Lemma 1). Nevertheless, this needs a careful look using the estimation of the absolutely continuous solution given above. For this purpose, we first claim that $\Phi : \mathcal{X}_I \to \mathcal{X}_I$ is equi-continuous and, for any $h \in \mathcal{X}_I$ and for any $t \in I$, the inclusion holds

$$
\Phi(h)(t) \in u_0 + \int_0^t \overline{\Psi}_I(s)ds.
$$

Since $s \mapsto \overline{\Psi}_I(s)$ is a convex compact valued and integrably bounded multifunction, the second member is convex compact valued [27] so that $\Phi(\mathcal{X}_I)$ is equicontinuous and relatively compact in the Banach space $C_E(I)$. Now, we check that $\Phi$ is continuous. It is sufficient to show that, if $(h_n)$ converges uniformly to $h$ in $\mathcal{X}_I$, then the AC solution $u_{h_n}$ associated with $h_n$

$$
\begin{cases}
u_{h_n}(0) \in D(A_{(0,h_n(0))}) \\
u_{h_n}(t) \in D(A_{(t,h_n(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_{h_n}(t) \in A_{(t,h_n(t))}u_{h_n}(t) & \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
$$

uniformly converges to the AC solution $u_h$ associated with $h$

$$
\begin{cases}
u_{h}(0) = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,h(0))}) \\
u_{h}(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_{h}(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_{h}(t) & \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
$$

As $(u_{h_n})$ is equi-absolutely continuous with the estimate $\|\dot{u}_{h_n}(t)\| \leq K(1 + \tilde{\beta}(t))$ a.e for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we may assume that $(u_{h_n})$ converges uniformly to a AC mapping $u$ and $(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt})$ converges weakly in $L^2_E(I, dt)$ to $w \in L^2_E(I, dt)$ with $\|w(t)\| \leq K(1 + \hat{\beta}(t))$ a.e. $t \in I$ so that

$$
\text{weak-}\lim_n u_{h_n} = \text{weak-}\lim_n u_{h_n}(0) + \text{weak-}\lim_n \int_I \frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}
$$

$$
= u(0) + \int_I w dt := z(t), \; t \in I
$$
By identifying the limits, we get $u(t) = z(t) = u(0) + \int_0^t w \, dt$, $t \in I$ with $u(0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{h_n}(0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_{h_n}(0)$ and $\frac{du}{dt} = w$. As $u_{h_n}(t) \in D(A(t,j_{h_n}(t)))$, $\forall t \in I$ and $u_{h_n}(t) \to u(t)$, $A_{(t,j_{h_n}(t))}^0 u_{h_n}(t)$ is bounded using $(H_2)$ for every $t \in [0,T]$ and

$$\text{dis}(A_{(t,j_{h_n}(t))}, A_{(t,j(t))}) \leq r\|h_n(t) - h(t)\| \to 0$$

when $n \to \infty$ by $(H_2)$, from Lemma 2, we deduce that $u(t) \in D(A_{(t,j(t))})$, $\forall t \in I$. Now, we are going to check that $u$ satisfies the inclusion

$$-\frac{du}{dt}(t) \in A_{(t,j(t))} u(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I$$

As $\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt} \to \frac{du}{dt}$ weakly in $L^2(I, E, dt)$, we may assume that $(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt})$ Komlos converges to $\frac{du}{dt}$. There is a $dt$-negligible set $N$ such that for $t \in I \setminus N$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{du_{h_j}}{dt}(t) = \frac{du}{dt}(t).$$

(3)

Let $\eta \in D(A_{(t,j(t))})$.

Using Lemma 4, there is a sequence $(\eta_n)$ such that $\eta_n \in D(A_{(t,j_{h_n}(t))})$, $\eta_n \to \eta$ and $A_{(t,j_{h_n}(t))}^0 \eta_n \to A_{(t,j(t))}^0 \eta$. From (4), by monotonicity,

$$\langle \frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}, u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n \rangle \leq \langle A_{(t,j_{h_n}(t))}^0 \eta_n, \eta_n - u_{h_n}(t) \rangle.$$

(5)

From

$$\langle \frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}(t), u(t) - \eta \rangle = \langle \frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}(t), u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n \rangle + \langle \frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}(t), u(t) - u_{h_n}(t) - (\eta - \eta_n) \rangle,$$

let us write

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{du_{h_j}}{dt}(t), u(t) - \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{du_{h_j}}{dt}(t), u_{h_j}(t) - \eta_j \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{du_{h_j}}{dt}(t), u(t) - u_{h_j}(t) \rangle$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{du_{h_j}}{dt}(t), \eta_j - \eta \rangle,$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{du_{h_j}}{dt}(t), u(t) - \eta \rangle \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle A_{(t,j(t))}^0 \eta_j, \eta_j - u_{h_j}(t) \rangle + K(1 + \beta(t)) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|u(t) - u_{h_j}(t)\|.$$ 

$$+ K(1 + \beta(t)) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\eta_j - \eta\|.$$ 

Passing to the limit using (3) when $n \to \infty$, this last inequality gives immediately

$$\langle \frac{du}{dt}(t), u(t) - \eta \rangle \leq \langle A_{(t,j(t))}^0 \eta, \eta - u(t) \rangle \text{ a.e.}$$
As a consequence, by Lemma 1, we get $-\frac{du}{dt}(t) \in A(t,h(t))u(t)$ a.e. with $u(0) \in D(A(0,h(0)))$ so that, by uniqueness, $u = u_h$.

Now, let us check that $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is continuous. Let $h_n \to h$. We have

$$
\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^t u_{h_n}(s)ds - \int_0^t u_h(s)ds = \int_0^t [u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)]ds
$$

As $\|u_{h_n} - u_h\| \to 0$ pointwise and is uniformly bounded, we conclude that

$$
\sup_{t \in I} \|\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t)\| \leq \sup_{t \in I} \int_0^t \|u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)\|ds \to 0
$$

so that $\Phi(h_n) - \Phi(h) \to 0$ in $C_E(I)$. Since $\Phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X}$ is continuous and $\Phi(\mathcal{X})$ is relatively compact in $C_E(I)$, by [25] (Theorem 4.3), [26] (Lemma 1), $\Phi$ has a fixed point, say $h = \Phi(h) \in \mathcal{X}$ that means

$$
h(t) = \Phi(h)(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t u_h(s)ds, \ t \in I,
$$

the proof is complete. \(\square\)

There is a direct application to sweeping process.

**Corollary 2.** Let $C : I \times E \to E$ be a convex compact valued mapping satisfying

(i) $C(t,x) \subset \gamma(t)\overline{B}_E, \forall (t,x) \in I \times E$, where $\gamma \in L^2(I,\mathbb{R},dt)$,

(ii) $d(t)(C(s,x),C(t,y)) \leq a(t) - a(\tau) + r|\tau - y|$, for all $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq 1$ and for all $(x,y) \in E \times E$, where $r$ is a positive number, $a : I \to [0,\infty]$ is nondecreasing absolutely continuous on $[0,t]$, $\gamma \in L^2(I,\mathbb{R},dt)$,

(iii) For any $t \in I$, for any bounded set $B \subset E$, $C(t,B)$ is relatively compact.

Then, for any $(x_0,u_0) \in E \times C(0,x_0)$, there exist an absolutely continuous $x : I \to E$ and an absolutely continuous $u : I \to E$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
x(t) &= x_0 + \int_0^t u(s)ds, \quad \forall t \in I \\
x(0) &= x_0, u(0) = u_0 \in C(0,x_0) \\
-\dot{u}(t) &\in N_{C(t,x(t))} u(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
u(t) &\in C(t,x(t)), \quad \forall t \in I
\end{align*}
$$

**Proof.** It is easy to apply Theorem 1 with $A(t,x(t)) = N_{C(t,x(t))} \square$

Now, we proceed to the Lipschitz perturbation of the preceding theorem.

**Theorem 2.** Let $I = [0,T]$. Let $(t,x) \to A_t(x) : D(A(t,x)) \to 2^E$ be a maximal monotone operator satisfying:

(H$_1$) $\|A_t(x,y)\| \leq c(1 + \|x\| + \|y\|)$ for all $(t,x,y) \in I \times E \times D(A(t,x))$, for some positive constant $c$

(H$_2$) $d(t)(A_t(x),A_t(y)) \leq a(t) - a(\tau) + r|\tau - y|$, for all $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq T$ and for all $(x,y) \in E \times E$, where $r$ is a positive number, $a : I \to [0,\infty]$ is nondecreasing absolutely continuous on $[0,T]$, $\gamma \in L^2(I,\mathbb{R},dt)$

(H$_3$) $D(A_t(x))$ is boundedly-compactly measurable in the sense, for any bounded set $B \subset E$, there is a measurable compact valued integrably bounded mapping $\Psi : I \to E$ such that $D(A(t,x)) \subset \Psi(t) \subset \gamma(t)\overline{B}_E$ for all $(t,x) \in I \times B$, where $\gamma \in L^2(I,\mathbb{R},dt)$.

Let $f : I \times E \times E \to E$ such that

(i) $f(.,x,y)$ is Lebesgue measurable on $I$ for all $(x,y) \in E \times E$

(ii) $f(.,x,.)$ is continuous on $E \times E$,

(iii) $\|f(t,x,y)\| \leq M$ for all $(t,x,y) \in I \times E \times E$,

(iv) $\|f(t,x,y) - f(t,x,z)\| \leq M|y - z|$, for all $(t,x,y,z) \in I \times E \times E \times E$
for some positive constant \( M \).

Then, for any \((x_0, u_0) \in E \times D(A(0, x_0))\), there exists an absolutely continuous \( x : I \to E \) and an absolutely continuous \( u : I \to E \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
  x(t) &= x_0 + \int_0^t u(s) \, ds, \quad \forall t \in I \\
  x(0) &= x_0, u(0) = u_0 \in D(A(0, x_0)) \\
  -\dot{u}(t) &\in A_{(t, x(t))} u(t) + f(t, x(t), u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
  u(t) &\in D(A_{(t, x(t))}), \forall t \in I
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Let us consider the closed convex subset \( X_\gamma \) in the Banach space \( C(I) \) defined by

\[
X_\gamma : \{ h \in W^{1,2}(I, E) : h(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t \dot{h}(s) \, ds, ||\dot{h}(s)|| \leq \gamma(s) \text{ a.e., } \gamma \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R}, dt) \}.
\]

Then, \( X_\gamma \) is equi-absolutely continuous. By fact \( J \), for each \( h \in X_\gamma \), there is a unique \( W^{1,2}(I, E) \) mapping \( u_h : I \to E \), which is the \( W^{1,2}(I, E) \) solution to the inclusion

\[
\begin{align*}
  -\dot{u}_h(t) &\in A_{(t, h(t))} u_h(t) + f(t, h(t), u_h(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
  u_h(t) &\in D(A_{(t, h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
  u_h(0) &\in D(A_{(0, h(0))}) = D(A(0, x_0))
\end{align*}
\]

with \( ||\dot{u}_h(t)|| \leq K(1 + \dot{\beta}(t)) + M(K + 1) = \eta(t) \) where \( \beta(t) = \int_0^t [\dot{u}(s) + \gamma(s)] \, ds, \forall t \in I \) and \( K \) is a positive constant depending on \( ||u_0||, c, T, \beta \). We refer to (Theorem 3.5) for details of the estimate of the velocity. Now, for each \( h \in X_\gamma \), let us consider the mapping

\[
\Phi(h)(t) := x_0 + \int_0^t u_h(s) \, ds, \; t \in I.
\]

As \( u_h(s) \in D(A_{(s, h(s))}) \subset \bigcup_{\gamma \in X_\gamma} D(A_{(s, h(s))}) \subset \Psi_\gamma(s) \subset \gamma(s) \mathcal{B}_E \) for all \( s \in [0, T], \) where \( \Psi_\gamma : I \to E \) is a compact valued measurable mapping given by condition \((H_3)\). It is clear that \( \Phi(h) \in X_\gamma \).

Our aim is to prove the existence theorem by applying some ideas developed in Castaing et al. \([24]\) via the same generalized fixed point theorem already used \([25, 26]\). Nevertheless, this needs a careful look using the estimation of the absolutely continuous solution given above. For this purpose, we first claim that \( \Phi : X_\gamma \to X_\gamma \) is continuous, and, for any \( h \in X_\gamma \) and for any \( t \in I \), the inclusion holds

\[
\Phi(h)(t) \in u_h + \int_0^t \overline{\mathcal{C} \Psi}_\gamma(s) \, ds.
\]

Since \( s \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{C} \Psi}_\gamma(s) \) is a convex compact valued and integrably bounded multifunction, the second member is convex compact valued \([27]\) so that \( \Phi(X) \) is equicontinuous and relatively compact in the Banach space \( C(I) \). Now, we check that \( \Phi \) is continuous. It is sufficient to show that, if \( (h_n) \) converges uniformly to \( h \) in \( X_\gamma \), then the AC solution \( u_{h_n} \) associated with \( h_n \)

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_{h_n}(0) &\in D(A_{(0, 0)(0)}) \\
  u_{h_n}(t) &\in D(A_{(t, h_n(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
  -\dot{u}_{h_n}(t) &\in A_{(t, h_n(t))} u_{h_n}(t) + f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{align*}
\]

uniformly converges to the AC solution \( u_h \) associated with \( h \)

\[
\begin{align*}
  u_h(0) &\in D(A_{(0, 0)(0)}) \\
  u_h(t) &\in D(A_{(t, h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
  -\dot{u}_h(t) &\in A_{(t, h(t))} u_h(t) + f(t, h(t), u_h(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{align*}
\]
As \((u_{h_n})\) is equi-absolutely continuous with the estimate \(\|\dot{u}_{h_n}(t)\| \leq K(1 + \beta(t)) + (K + 1)M = \psi(t)\) a.e. for all \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we may assume that \((u_{h_n})\) converges uniformly to a AC mapping \(u\) and \(\left(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}\right)\) converges weakly in \(L^2_E(I, dt)\) to \(w \in L^2_E(I, dt)\) with \(\|w(t)\| \leq K(1 + \beta(t)) + (K + 1)M\) a.e. \(t \in I\) so that

\[
\text{weak-}\lim_n u_{h_n} = \text{weak-}\lim_n u_{h_n}(0) + \text{weak-}\lim_n \int_{[0,t]} \frac{du_{h_n}}{dt}
= u(0) + \int_{[0,t]} w dt := z(t), \quad t \in I
\]

By identifying the limits, we get
\[
u(t) = z(t) = u(0) + \int_{[0,t]} w dt, \quad t \in I \text{ with } u(0) = \text{weak-}\lim_n u_{h_n}(0) = \lim_n u_{h_n}(0)\] and \(\frac{du}{dt} = w\). As \(u_{h_n}(t) \in D(A(\eta_{h_n}(t))), \forall t \in I\) and \(u_{h_n}(t) \to u(t)\), \(A^{0}_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))} u_{h_n}(t)\) is bounded using \((H_1)\) for every \(t \in I\) and

\[
dis(A_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))}, A_{(\eta(t))}) \leq r\|h_n(t) - h(t)\| \to 0
\]
when \(n \to \infty\) by \((H_2)\), from Lemma 2, we deduce that \(u(t) \in D(A(\eta_{h_n}(t))), \forall t \in I\).

Now, we are going to check that \(u\) satisfies the inclusion

\[
-\frac{du}{dt}(t) \in A_{(\eta(t))}u(t) + f(t, h(t), u_h(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\]

As \(u_{h_n} \to u\) weakly in \(L^2_E(0, 1)\), \(u_{h_n} \to u\) Komlos. Note that \(f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)) \to f(t, h(t), u(t))\) weakly in \(L^2_E(0, 1)\). Thus, \(z_n(t) := f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)) \to z(t) := f(t, h(t), u(t))\) Komlos. Hence, \(u_{h_n}(t) + f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)) \to u(t) + f(t, h(t), u(t))\) Komlos. Apply Lemma 4 to \(A_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))}\) and \(A_{(\eta(t))}\) to find a sequence \((\eta_n)\) such that \(\eta_n \in D(A_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))}), \eta_n \to \eta, A^{0}_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))} \eta_n \to A^{0}_{(\eta(t))} \eta\) from\(u_{h_n}(t) \in A_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))}u_{h_n}(t) + f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t))\)

by monotonicity

\[
\left(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt} + z_n(t), u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n\right) \leq A^{0}_{(\eta_{h_n}(t))} \eta_n, \eta_n - u_{h_n}(t))
\]

From

\[
\left(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt} + z_n(t), u(t) - \eta\right) = \left(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt} + z_n(t), u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n\right)
+ \left(\frac{du_{h_n}}{dt} + z_n(t), u(t) - u_{h_n}(t) - (\eta - \eta_n)\right),
\]

let us write

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{du_{h_j}}{dt} + z_j(t), u(t) - \eta\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{du_{h_j}}{dt} + z_j(t), u_{h_j}(t) - \eta_j\right)
+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{du_{h_j}}{dt} + z_j(t), u(t) - u_{h_j}(t)\right)
+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{du_{h_j}}{dt} + z_j(t), \eta_j - \eta\right),
\]

so that

\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{du_{h_j}}{dt} + z_j(t), u(t) - \eta\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(A^{0}_{(\eta_{h_j}(t))} \eta_j - u_{h_j}(t)\right) + (\psi(t) + M) \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \|v(t) - u_{h_j}(t)\|.
\]
where the fractional derivative, and by a time and state dependent maximal monotone operator: differential inclusion (FDI) in a separable Hilbert space.

As a consequence, by Lemma 1, we get \( -\frac{d}{dt} (t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u(t) + z(t) \) a.e. with \( u(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}) \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \) so that, by uniqueness, \( u = u_h \).

Since \( h_n \to h \), we have

\[
\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 u_{h_n}(s)ds - \int_0^1 u_h(s)ds
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 |u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)|ds
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^1 \|u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)\|ds
\]

As \( \|u_{h_n}(\cdot) - u_h(\cdot)\| \to 0 \) uniformly, we conclude that

\[
\sup_{t \in [0,1]} \|\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t)\| \leq \int_0^1 \|u_{h_n}(\cdot) - u_h(\cdot)\|ds \to 0
\]

so that \( \Phi(h_n) \to \Phi(h) \) in \( C_E([0,1]) \). Since \( \Phi: \mathcal{X}_\gamma \to \mathcal{X}_\gamma \) is continuous and \( \Phi(\mathcal{X}_\gamma) \) is relatively compact in \( C_E(I) \), by [25,26] \( \Phi \) has a fixed point, say \( h = \Phi(h) \in \mathcal{X}_\gamma \) that means

\[
h(t) = \Phi(h)(t) = x_0 + \int_0^t u_h(s)ds, \ t \in I,
\]

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
 u_h(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}) \\
 -\frac{du_h}{dt}(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_h(t) + f(t,h(t),u_h(t)) \ dt \text{-a.e.}
\end{array} \right.
\]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

4. Towards a Fractional Order of Evolution Inclusion with a Time and State Dependent Maximal Monotone Operator

Now, \( I = [0,1] \) and we investigate a class of boundary value problem governed by a fractional differential inclusion (FDI) in a separable Hilbert space \( E \) coupled with an evolution inclusion governed by a time and stated dependent maximal monotone operator:

\[
D^\alpha h(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha - 1} h(t) = u(t), \ t \in I, \tag{6}
\]

\[
l^\beta_{0+}h(t) |_{t=0} := \lim_{t \to 0^+} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\beta-1}}{\Gamma(\beta)}h(s)ds = 0, \quad h(1) = l^\gamma_0 h(1) = \int_0^1 \frac{(1-s)^{\gamma-1}}{\Gamma(\gamma)}h(s)ds,
\]

\[
-\frac{du_h}{dt}(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u(t) \text{ a.e.} \ t \in I. \tag{8}
\]

where \( \alpha \in [1,2], \beta \in [0,2 - \alpha], \lambda \geq 0, \gamma > 0 \) are given constants, \( D^\alpha \) is the standard Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative, and \( \Gamma \) is the gamma function.
4.1. Fractional Calculus

For the convenience of the reader, we begin with a few reminders of the concepts that will be used in the rest of the paper.

**Definition 1** (Fractional Bochner integral). Let \( E \) be a separable Banach space. Let \( f : I = [0,1] \rightarrow E \). The fractional Bochner-integral of order \( \alpha > 0 \) of the function \( f \) is defined by
\[
I^\alpha_0 f(t) := \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} f(s) ds, \quad t > a.
\]

In the above definition, the sign “\( \int \)” denotes the classical Bochner integral.

**Lemma 6** ([10]). Let \( f \in L^1([0,1], E, dt) \). We have
(i) \( \alpha \in [0,1] \) then \( I^\alpha f \) exists almost everywhere on \( I \) and \( I^\alpha f \in L^1(I, E, dt) \).
(ii) \( \alpha \in [1,\infty) \), then \( I^\alpha f \in C_E(I) \).

**Definition 2.** Let \( E \) be a separable Banach space. Let \( f \in L^1(I, E, dt) \). We define the Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha > 0 \) of \( f \) by
\[
D^\alpha f(t) := D^\alpha_{0^+} f(t) = \frac{d^n}{d t^n} I^{n-\alpha}_0 f(t) = \frac{d^n}{d t^n} \int_0^t \frac{(t-s)^{n-\alpha}}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} f(s) ds,
\]
where \( n = [\alpha] + 1 \).

In the case \( E \equiv \mathbb{R} \), we have the following well-known results.

**Lemma 7** ([1,3]). Let \( \alpha > 0 \). The general solution of the fractional differential equation \( D^\alpha x(t) = 0 \) is given by
\[
x(t) = c_1 t^{a-1} + c_2 t^{a-2} + \cdots + c_N t^{a-N}, \quad (9)
\]
where \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i = 1,2,\ldots,N \) (\( N \) is the smallest integer greater than or equal to \( \alpha \)).

**Remark 1.** Since \( D^\alpha_{0^+} I^\alpha_{0^+} v(t) = v(t) \), for every \( v \in C(I) \), \( D^\alpha_{0^+} [I^\alpha_{0^+} D^\alpha_{0^+} x(t) - x(t)] = 0 \) and, by Lemma 7, it follows that
\[
x(t) = I^\alpha_{0^+} D^{\alpha}_{0^+} x(t) + c_1 t^{a-1} + \cdots + c_N t^{a-N}, \quad (10)
\]
for some \( c_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1,2,\ldots,N \).

We denote by \( W^{\alpha,1}_{B,E}(I) \) the space of all continuous functions in \( C_E(I) \) such that their Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha - 1 \) are continuous and their Riemann–Liouville fractional derivative of order \( \alpha \) are Bochner integrable.

4.2. Green Function and Its Properties

Let \( \alpha \in [1,2], \beta \in [0,2-\alpha], \lambda \geq 0, \gamma > 0 \) and \( G : [0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a function defined by
\[
G(t,s) = \varphi(s) I^\beta_{0^+} \left( \exp(-\lambda t) \right) + \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\exp(\lambda s) I^\alpha_{s^+} \left( \exp(-\lambda t) \right), & 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1, \\
0, & 0 \leq t \leq s \leq 1,
\end{array} \right. \quad (11)
\]
where
\[
\varphi(s) = \frac{\exp(\lambda s)}{\mu_0} \left[ \left( I^{\alpha-1+\gamma}_{s+}(\exp(-\lambda t)) \right)(1) - \left( I^{\alpha-1}_{s+}(\exp(-\lambda t)) \right)(1) \right]
\]  
(12)
with
\[
\mu_0 = \left( I^{\alpha-1}_{0+}(\exp(-\lambda t)) \right)(1) - \left( I^{\alpha-1+\gamma}_{0+}(\exp(-\lambda t)) \right)(1).
\]  
(13)

We recall and summarize a useful result ([28]).

**Lemma 8.** Let \( E \) be a separable Banach space. Let \( G \) be the function defined by (11)–(13).

(i) \( G(\cdot, \cdot) \) satisfies the following estimate
\[
|G(t, s)| \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1 + \Gamma(\gamma + 1)}{|\mu_0|\Gamma(\gamma + 1)} + 1 \right) = M_G.
\]

(ii) If \( u \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha,1}_{B,E}([0,1]) \) satisfying boundary conditions (7), then
\[
u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s) \left( D^\alpha u(s) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1} u(s) \right)ds \quad \text{for every } t \in [0,1].
\]

(iii) Let \( f \in L^1_{\mathbb{B}}([0,1]) \) and let \( u_f : [0,1] \to E \) be the function defined by
\[
u_f(t) := \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1].
\]

Then,
\[
\left. \frac{d}{ds} u_f(t) \right|_{s=0} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad u_f(1) = \left( I^{\alpha}_{0+} u_f \right)(1).
\]
Moreover \( u_f \in \mathcal{W}^{\alpha,1}_{B,E}([0,1]) \) and we have
\[
\left( D^{\alpha-1} u_f \right)(t) = \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda(t-s))f(s)ds + \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^1 \varphi(s)f(s)ds \quad \text{for } t \in [0,1],
\]
(14)
\[
\left( D^\alpha u_f \right)(t) + \lambda \left( D^{\alpha-1} u_f \right)(t) = f(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0,1].
\]
(15)

**Remark 2.** From Lemma 8, we can claim that, if
\[
u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t, s)f(s)ds, \quad f \in L^1_{\mathbb{B}}([0,1]),
\]
then, for all \( t \in [0,1] \),
\[
\left\| u_f(t) \right\| \leq M_G \| f \|_{L^1_{\mathbb{B}}([0,1])} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| D^{\alpha-1} u_f(t) \right\| \leq M_G \| f \|_{L^1_{\mathbb{B}}([0,1])},
\]
(16)

Indeed, by Lemma 8(i), it suffices to prove that \( \left\| D^{\alpha-1} u_f(t) \right\| \leq M_G \| f \|_{L^1_{\mathbb{B}}([0,1])} \).

It follows from (14) that
\[
\left\| D^{\alpha-1} u_f(t) \right\| \leq \int_0^1 (1 + |\varphi(s)|) |f(s)|ds.
\]

This, by an increase of \( \varphi \) (See [28] (2.9)), gives
\[
\left\| D^{\alpha-1} u_f(t) \right\| \leq \Gamma(\alpha)M_G \| f \|_{L^1_{\mathbb{B}}([0,1])}.
\]
and, since $\alpha \in [1, 2]$, implies our conclusion.

4.3. Topological Structure of the Solution Set

From Lemma 8, we summarize a crucial fact.

**Lemma 9.** Let $E$ be a separable Banach space. Let $f \in L^1(I, E, dt)$. Then, the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1}u(t) = f(t), & t \in I \\
I^\alpha_{0+} u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, & u(1) = I^\gamma_{0+} u(1)
\end{cases}$$

has a unique $W^{1}_{\alpha,1}B_{E}(I)$-solution defined by

$$u(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds, \quad t \in I.$$

**Theorem 3.** Let $E$ be a separable Banach space. Let $X : I \to E$ be a convex compact valued measurable multifunction such that $X(t) \subset \gamma B_E$ for all $t \in I$, where $\gamma$ is a positive constant and $S^I_X$ be the set of all measurable selections of $X$. Then, the $W^{1}_{\alpha,E}(I)$-solutions set of problem

$$\begin{cases}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1}u(t) = f(t), f \in S^I_X, \text{ a.e. } t \in I \\
I^\alpha_{0+} u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, & u(1) = I^\gamma_{0+} u(1)
\end{cases}$$

(17)

is compact in $C_E(I)$.

**Proof.** By virtue of Lemma 6, the $W^{1}_{\alpha,E}(0,1)$-solutions set $X$ to the above inclusion is characterized by

$$X = \{ u_f : I \to E, u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds, f \in S^I_X, t \in I \}$$

**Claim:** $X$ is bounded, convex, equicontinuous and **compact** in $C_E(I)$.

From definition of the Green function $G$, it is not difficult to show that $\{ u_f : f \in S^I_X \}$ is bounded, equicontinuous in $C_E(I)$. Indeed, let $\{ u_{f_n} \}$ be a sequence in $X$. We note that, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $u_{f_n} \in W^{1}_{\alpha,E}(I)$, and

$$u_{f_n}(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f_n(s)ds, \quad t \in I,$$

with

- $I^\alpha_{0+} u_{f_n}(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad u_{f_n}(1) = I^\gamma_{0+} u(1),$
- $\left(D^{\alpha-1}u_{f_n}\right)(t) = \int_0^t \exp(-\lambda(t-s))f_n(s)ds + \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^1 \varphi(s)f_n(s)ds, \quad t \in I,$$
- $\left(D^\alpha u_{f_n}\right)(t) + \lambda \left(D^{\alpha-1}u_{f_n}\right)(t) = f_n(t), \quad t \in I.$
For $t_1, t_2 \in I, t_1 < t_2$, we have

$$u_{f_n}(t_2) - u_{f_n}(t_1) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)(f_n(t_2,s) - f_n(t_1,s))ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 \varphi(s)f_n(s)ds \left( \int_0^{t_2} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} (t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2} d\tau \right)$$

$$+ \int_0^{t_2} e^{\lambda s} \left( \int_s^{t_2} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) f(s)ds - \int_0^{t_1} e^{\lambda s} \left( \int_s^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) f(s)ds$$

$$= \int_0^1 \varphi(s)f(s)ds \left[ \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2} - (t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau + \int_0^{t_2} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right]$$

$$+ \int_0^{t_1} e^{\lambda s} \left( \int_s^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) f(s)ds + \int_0^{t_2} e^{\lambda s} \left( \int_s^{t_2} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \right) f(s)ds.$$

Then, we get

$$\|u_{f_n}(t_2) - u_{f_n}(t_1)\| \leq \int_0^1 \left( |\varphi(s)| + e^{\lambda s} \right) |X(s)| ds \int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2} - (t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau$$

$$+ \int_0^1 \left( |\varphi(s)| + e^{\lambda s} \right) |X(s)| ds \int_0^{t_2} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau$$

$$+ \int_0^{t_1} e^{\lambda s} |X(s)| ds \int_0^{t_2} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau.$$

It is easy to obtain, after an integration by part, that

$$\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2} - (t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \leq 1 + \lambda \int_1^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} d\tau \leq \frac{1 + \lambda}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha-1}$$

and

$$\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2} - (t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \leq \frac{(t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha-1} + t_1^{\alpha-1} - t_2^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}.$$

Using the inequality that $|a^p - b^p| \leq |a - b|^p$ for all $a, b \geq 0$ and $0 < p \leq 1$, we yield

$$\int_0^{t_1} e^{-\lambda \tau} \frac{(t_2 - \tau)^{\alpha-2} - (t_1 - \tau)^{\alpha-2}}{\Gamma(\alpha-1)} d\tau \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(\alpha)} (t_2 - t_1)^{\alpha-1}.$$

Then, since $\alpha \in [1,2]$, we can increase $\|u_{f_n}(t_2) - u_{f_n}(t_1)\|$ by

$$\|u_{f_n}(t_2) - u_{f_n}(t_1)\| \leq K \|t_2 - t_1\|^{\alpha-1}$$

with $K = \int_0^1 \left( |\varphi(s)| + (4 + 2\lambda)e^{\lambda s} \right) |X(s)| ds$. This shows that $\{u_{f_n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equicontinuous in $C_F(I)$. Moreover, for each $t \in I$, the set $\{u_{f_n}(t) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is contained in the convex compact set $\int_0^1 G(t,s)X(s)ds$ [27,29] so that $X$ is relatively compact in $C_F(I)$ as claimed. Thus, we can assume that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} u_{f_n} = u_\infty \in C_F(I).$$
Then, there is a well-defined mapping \( I \to H \) from the interval \( I \) to the space \( H \) such that \( u_n \to u_{\infty} \) weakly in \( C_E(I) \) where 
\[
u_n(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s) f_n(s) ds \quad \text{and so, for every } t \in I, \]
\[
u_{\infty}(t) = \vartheta \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu_n(t) = \vartheta \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 G(t,s) f_n(s) ds = \int_0^1 G(t,s) f_{\infty}(s) ds = u_{\infty}(t), \]
and
\[
u_{\infty}(D^{a-1} u_{\infty})(t) = \nu_{\infty} \{ \int_0^t \exp(\lambda(t-s)) f_n(s) ds + \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^1 \phi(s) f_n(s) ds \}
= \int_0^t \exp(\lambda(t-s)) f_{\infty}(s) ds + \exp(-\lambda t) \int_0^1 \phi(s) f_{\infty}(s) ds
= \left(D^{a-1} u_{\infty}\right)(t), \quad t \in I.
\]

This means \( u_{\infty} \in X \), and the proof of the theorem is complete. \( \square \)

**Remark 3.** In the course of the proof of Theorem 3, we have proven the continuous dependence of the mappings 
\( f \mapsto u_f \) and \( f \mapsto D^{a-1} u_f \) on the convex \( \sigma(\mathcal{L}^1, \mathcal{L}^\infty) \)-compact set \( S_1^X \). This fact has some importance in further applications.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( I = [0,1] \). Let \( (t,x) \to A_{(t,x)} : D(A_{(t,x)}) \to 2^E \) a maximal monotone operator satisfying:
(H1) \( ||A_{(t,x)}|| \leq c(1 + ||x|| + ||y||) \) for all \( (t,x,y) \in I \times E \times D(A_{(t,x)}) \), for some positive constant \( c \),
(H2) \( \text{dis}(A_{(t,x)}), A_{(t,y)} \leq a(t) - a(\tau) + r||x - y|| \) for all \( 0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq 1 \) and for all \( (x,y) \in E \times E \), where \( r \) is a positive number, \( a : I \to [0,\infty[ \) is nondecreasing absolutely continuous on \( I \) with \( \dot{a} \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R}, dt) \),
(H3) \( D(A_{(t,x)}) \subset X(t) \subset \gamma_{B,E} \) for all \( (t,x) \in I \times E \), where \( X : I \to E \) is a convex compact valued measurable mapping and \( \gamma \) is a positive number.

Then, there is a \( W_{0,1}^a(I) \) mapping \( x : I \to E \) and an absolutely continuous mapping \( u : I \to E \) satisfying
\[
\begin{aligned}
D^a x(t) + \lambda D^{a-1} x(t) &= u(t), \quad t \in I \\
\dot{\mathbf{u}}(t) = 0, \quad x(1) = \mathbf{f}(0), \quad x(1) = 1 \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I.
\end{aligned}
\]

**Proof.** Let us consider the convex compact subset \( X \) in the Banach space \( C_E(I) \) defined by
\[
X := \{ u_f : I \to E : u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s) f(s) ds, \quad f \in S_1^X, \quad t \in I \}
\]

We note that \( X \) is convex compact and equi-Lipschitz. Cf the proof of Theorem 3. Now, for each \( h \in X \), let us consider the unique absolutely continuous solution \( u_h \) to
\[
\begin{aligned}
-\mathbf{\dot{u}}(t) &\in A_{(t,h(t))} u_h(t), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
\mathbf{u}_h(t) &\in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \quad \forall t \in I \\
\mathbf{u}_h(0) &\in D(A(0,h(0)))
\end{aligned}
\]

For each \( h \), let us set
\[
\Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s) u_h(s) ds, \quad t \in I
\]
Since $u_h(s) \in D(A_{(0,h(s))}) \subset X(s)$, then it is clear that $\Phi(h) \in X$. Now, we check that $\Phi$ is continuous. It is sufficient to show that, if $(h_n)$ converges uniformly to $h$ in $X$, then the absolutely continuous solution $u_{h_n}$ associated with $h_n$

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_{h_n}(0) = u_0^h \in D(A_{(0,h_n(0))}) \\
u_{h_n}(t) \in D(A_{(t,h_n(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_{h_n}(t) \in A_{(t,h_n(t))}u_{h_n}(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
\]

uniformly converges to the absolutely solution $u_h$ associated with $h$

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_h(0) = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,h(0))}) \\
u_h(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in [0,T] \\
-\dot{u}_h(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_h(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0,T]
\end{cases}
\]

This fact is ensured by repeating the proof of Theorem 1. Since $h_n \to h$, we have

\[
\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_{h_n}(s)ds - \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_h(s)ds
\]

\[
= \int_0^1 G(t,s)[u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)]ds
\]

\[
\leq \int_0^1 M_G|u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)||ds
\]

As $\|u_{h_n}(\cdot) - u_h(\cdot)\| \to 0$ uniformly, we conclude that

\[
\sup_{t \in I} |\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t)| \leq \int_0^1 M_G|u_{h_n}(\cdot) - u_h(\cdot)|ds \to 0
\]

so that $\Phi(h_n) \to \Phi(h)$ in $C_E(I)$. Since $\Phi : X \to X$ is continuous, $\Phi$ has a fixed point, say $h = \Phi(h) \in X$. This means that

\[
h(t) = \Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_h(s)ds,
\]

with

\[
\begin{cases}
  u_h(0) \in D(A_{(0,h(0))}) \\
u_h(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_h(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_h(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
\]

Coming back to Lemma 9 and applying the above notations, this means that we have just shown that there exists a mapping $h \in W_0^{1,\infty}(I)$ satisfying

\[
\begin{cases}
  D^\alpha h(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1} h(t) = u_h(t), \\
  t^\beta h(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad h(1) = T^\gamma, h(1) \\
u_h(0) \in D(A_{(0,h(0))}) \\
u_h(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_h(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_h(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
\]

Now, we present an extension of the preceding theorem dealing with a Lipschitz perturbation.
**Theorem 5.** Let $I = [0,1]$. Let $(t,x) \to A_{(t,x)}: D(A_{(t,x)}) \to 2^E$ a maximal monotone operator satisfying:

1. $(H_1)$ \[ |A_t^0(y)| \leq c(1 + |x| + |y|) \] for all $(t,x,y) \in I \times E \times D(A_{(t,x)})$, for some positive constant $c$.

2. $(H_2)$ dis$(A_{(t,x)}, A_{(t,y)}) \leq a(t) - a(\tau) + r|x - y|$, for all $0 \leq \tau \leq t \leq 1$ and for all $(x,y) \in E \times E$, where $r$ is a positive number, $a: I \to [0, +\infty)$ is nondecreasing absolutely continuous on $I$ with $a \in L^2(I, \mathbb{R}, dt)$.

3. $(H_3)$ $D(A_{(t,x)}) \subset X(t) \subset \gamma E$ for all $(t,x) \in I \times E$, where $X: I \to E$ is a convex compact valued measurable mapping and $\gamma$ is a positive number.

Let $f: I \times E \times E \to E$ such that

(i) \( f(., x, y) \) is Lebesgue measurable on $I$ for all $(x,y) \in E \times E$

(ii) \( f(t, ., .) \) is continuous on $E \times E$,

(iii) \( ||f(t, x, y)|| \leq M \) for all $(t,x,y) \in I \times E \times E$,

(iv) \( ||f(t, x, y) - f(t, x, z)|| \leq M||y - z||, \) for all $(t,x,y,z) \in I \times E \times E$.

Then, there is a $W_{0,1}^1(I)$ mapping $x : I \to E$ and an absolutely continuous mapping $v : I \to E$ satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
D^s x(t) + \lambda D^{s-1}x(t) & = v(t), \ t \in I \\
\int_0^s x(t) \big|_{t=0} = 0, \ x(1) & = \int_0^1 x(1) \\
v(t) & \in D(A_{(t,x(t))}), \ t \in I \\
-\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(t) & \in A_{(t,x(t))}v(t) + f(t, x(t), v(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in I.
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** Let us consider the convex compact subset $X$ in the Banach space $C(I)$ defined by

\[
X := \{ u_f : I \to E : u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds, \ f \in S_{X_1}, \ t \in I \}
\]

We note that $X$ is convex compact and equi-Lipschitz. Cf the proof of Theorem 3. Now, for each $h \in X$, let us consider the unique absolutely continuous solution $u_h$ to

\[
\begin{align*}
-\dot{u}_h(t) & \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_h(t) + f(t, h(t), u_h(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in I \\
u_h(t) & \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
u_h(0) & = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,h(0))})
\end{align*}
\]

Existence and uniqueness of absolutely solution $u_h$ are ensured by the fact that the operator $B_h(t) = A_{(t,h(t))}$ is a time dependent maximal monotone operator absolutely continuous in variation (See Lemma 5), and the mapping $f_h(t,x) := f(t, h(t), y)$ is measurable with $t \in I$ and Lipschitz with $y \in E$. Furthermore, we have the estimate $||u_h(t)|| \leq \psi(t) \text{ a.e. for all } h \in X$ where $\psi \in L^2(I)$ by the consideration given in Lemma 5 and the estimate of velocity given in ([22], Theorem 1). For each $h,$ let us set

\[
\Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_h(s)ds, \ t \in I.
\]

Since $u_h(s) \in D(A_{(0,h(s))}) \subset X(s)$, then it is clear that $\Phi(h) \in X$.

Now, we check that $\Phi$ is continuous. It is sufficient to show that, if $(h_n)$ converges uniformly to $h$ in $X$, then the absolutely continuous solution $u_{h_n}$ associated with $h_n$

\[
\begin{align*}
-\dot{u}_{h_n}(t) & \in A_{(t,h_n(t))}u_{h_n}(t) + f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in I \\
u_{h_n}(0) & = u_0 \in D(A_{(0,h_n(0))}) \\
u_{h_n}(t) & \in D(A_{(t,h_n(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_{h}(t) & \in A_{(t,h(t))}u_{h}(t) + f(t, h(t), u_{h}(t)) \text{ a.e. } t \in I
\end{align*}
\]


uniformly converges to the absolutely solution \( u_h \) associated with \( h \)
\[
\begin{aligned}
&u_h(0) \in D(A_{(0,h(0))}) \\
&u_h(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}), \forall t \in I \\
&-u_h(t) \in A_{(t,h(t))} u_h(t) + f(t, h(t), u_h(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{aligned}
\]

This need careful look. We note that \( u_{h_n} \) is equicontinuous with \( \|\dot{u}_{h_n}(t)\| \leq \psi(t) \) for almost all \( t \in I \) and for all \( n \in N \) where \( \psi \in L^2 \) and \( u_{h_n}(t) \in D(A_{(t,h_n(t))}) \subset X(t) \) for all \( t \in I \) and for all \( n \in N \). Thus, by extracting subsequence, we may assume that \( u_{h_n}(t) \rightarrow v(t) = v(0) + \int_0^t \dot{v}(s) \, ds \) with \( \dot{v} \in L^2(I) \) for all \( t \in I \) and \( u_{h_n} \rightarrow \dot{v} \) weakly in \( L^2(I) \). Let us check that \( v(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}) \) for all \( t \in I \). We have \( \text{dis}(A_{(t,h_n(t))}, A_{(t,h(t))}) \leq r \|h_n(t) - h(t)\| \rightarrow 0 \). It is clear that \( (y_n = A^0_{(t,h_n(t))} u_{h_n}(t)) \) is bounded and hence relatively weakly compact. By applying Lemma 2 to \( u_{h_n}(t) \rightarrow v(t) \) and to a convergence subsequence of \( (y_n) \) using \( u_{h_n}(t) \in X(t) \subset \gamma B_E \) to show that \( v(t) \in D(A_{(t,h(t))}). \) As \( u_{h_n} \rightarrow \dot{v} \) weakly in \( L^2(I), \) \( u_{h_n} \rightarrow \dot{v} \) Komlos. Note that \( f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)) \rightarrow f(t, h(t), u_h(t)) \) weakly in \( L^2(I) \). Thus, \( z_n(t) := f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t)) \rightarrow z(t) := f(t, h(t), v(t)) \) Komlos. Hence, \( u_{h_n}(t) + f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t) \rightarrow v(t) + f(t, h(t), v(t)) \) Komlos. Apply Lemma 4 to \( A_{(t,h_n(t))} \) and \( A_{(t,h(t))} \) to find a sequence \( (\eta_n) \) such that such that \( \eta_n \in D(A_{(t,h_n(t))}), \eta_n \rightarrow \eta, A_{(t,h_n(t))} \eta_n \rightarrow A_{(t,h(t))} \eta \) From
\[
-u_{h_n}(t) \in A_{(t,h_n(t))} u_{h_n}(t) + f(t, h_n(t), u_{h_n}(t))(^{**})
\]

by monotonicity
\[
\langle \frac{d u_{h_n}}{d t} + z_n(t), u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n \rangle \leq A_{(t,h_n(t))}^0 \eta_n, u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n\rangle \]

From
\[
\langle \frac{d u_{h_n}}{d t} (t) + z_n(t), v(t) - \eta \rangle = \langle \frac{d u_{h_n}}{d t} (t) + z_n(t), u_{h_n}(t) - \eta_n \rangle \\
+\langle \frac{d u_{h_n}}{d t} (t) + z_n(t), v(t) - u_{h_n}(t) - (\eta - \eta_n) \rangle,
\]

let us write
\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{d u_{h_i}}{d t} (t) + z_j(t), v(t) - \eta \rangle = \\
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{d u_{h_i}}{d t} (t) + z_j(t), u_{h_j}(t) - \eta_j \rangle + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{d u_{h_i}}{d t} (t) + z_j(t), v(t) - u_{h_j}(t) \rangle \\
+ \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{d u_{h_i}}{d t} (t) + z_j(t), \eta_j - \eta \rangle,
\]

so that
\[
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle \frac{d u_{h_i}}{d t} (t) + z_j(t), v(t) - \eta \rangle \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \langle A_{(t,h_n(t))}^0 \eta_j, u_{h_j}(t) \rangle + \langle \psi(t) + M \rangle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|v(t) - u_{h_j}(t)\| \\
+ \langle \psi(t) + M \rangle \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|\eta_j - \eta\|.
\]

Passing to the limit using (5) when \( n \rightarrow \infty \), this last inequality gives immediately
\[
\langle \frac{d v}{d t} (t) + z(t), v(t) - \eta \rangle \leq \langle A_{(t,h(t))}^0 \eta, v(t) - \eta \rangle \quad \text{a.e.}
\]
As a consequence, by Lemma 1, we get
\[
-\frac{d}{dt}(t) \in A_{\{h(t)\}}v(t) + z(t) \text{ a.e. with } v(t) \in D(A_{\{h(t)\}}) \text{ for all } t \in I \text{ so that, by uniqueness, } v = u_h.
\]
Since \( h_n \to h \), we have
\[
\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_{h_n}(s)ds - \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_h(s)ds \\
= \int_0^1 G(t,s)[u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)]ds \\
\leq \int_0^1 M_G||u_{h_n}(s) - u_h(s)||ds
\]
As \( ||u_{h_n}(\cdot) - u_h(\cdot)|| \to 0 \) uniformly, we conclude that
\[
\sup_{t \in I} ||\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t)|| \leq \int_0^1 M_G||u_{h_n}(\cdot) - u_h(\cdot)||ds \to 0
\]
so that \( \Phi(h_n) \to \Phi(h) \) in \( C(E) \). Since \( \Phi : X \to X \) is continuous, \( \Phi \) has a fixed point, say \( h = \Phi(h) \in X \).
This means that
\[
h(t) = \Phi(h)(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)u_h(s)ds,
\]
with
\[
\begin{align*}
u_h(0) \in D(A_{\{h(0)\}}) \\
u_h(t) \in D(A_{\{h(t)\}}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_h(t) \in A_{\{h(t)\}}u_h(t) + f(t,h(t),u_h(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{align*}
\]
Coming back to Lemma 9 and applying the above notations, this means that we have just shown that there exists a mapping \( h \in W^{1,\infty}_{R,E}(I) \) satisfying
\[
\begin{align*}
D^s h(t) + \lambda D^{s-1} h(t) &= u_h(t), \\
 I^\beta, h(t)|_{t=0} &= 0, \quad h(1) = I^\gamma, h(1) \\
u_h(0) \in D(A_{\{h(0)\}}) \\
u_h(t) \in D(A_{\{h(t)\}}), \forall t \in I \\
-\dot{u}_h(t) \in A_{\{h(t)\}}u_h(t) + f(t,h(t),u_h(t)) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in I
\end{align*}
\]

We finish the paper by investigating a fractional order to a sweeping process [30,31].

We begin recall the existence of absolutely continuous solution to a class of sweeping process [18,32].

**Theorem 6.** Let \( f : [0,T] \to E \) be a continuous mapping such that \( ||f(t)|| \leq \beta \) for all \( t \in [0,T] \), let \( v : [0,T] \to R^+ \) be a positive nondecreasing continuous function with \( v(0) = 0 \). Let \( C : [0,T] \to E \) be a convex weakly compact valued mapping such that \( d_{H}(C(t),C(\tau)) \leq |v(t) - v(\tau)| \) for all \( t, \tau \in [0,T] \). Let \( A : E \to E \) be a linear continuous coercive symmetric operator and let \( B : E \to E \) be a linear continuous compact operator. Then, for any \( u_0 \in E \), the evolution inclusion
\[
f(t) + Bu(t) - A \frac{du}{dt}(t) \in N_C(t)\left(\frac{du}{dt}(t)\right)
\]
\( u(0) = u_0 \)
admits a unique \( W^{1,\infty}_E([0,T]) \) solution \( u : [0,T] \to E \).
Theorem 7. Let $f : I \times E \to E$ be a bounded continuous mapping such that $||f(t,x)|| \leq M$ for all $(t,x) \in I \times E$, for some positive constant $M$, let $v : I \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a positive nondecreasing continuous function with $v(0) = 0$. Let $C : I \to E$ be a convex compact valued mapping such that $d_{\mathcal{U}}(C(t),C(\tau)) \leq |v(t) - v(\tau)|$ for all $t, \tau \in I$. Let $A : E \to E$ be a linear continuous coercive symmetric operator and let $B : E \to E$ be a linear continuous coercive symmetric operator.

Then, for any $u_0 \in E$, there exists a $W^{1,1}_0(I)$ mapping $x : I \to E$ and an absolutely continuous mapping $u : I \to E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{cases}
  u(0) = u_0 \in E \\
  D^nx(t) + \lambda D^{n-1}x(t) = u(t), \ t \in I \\
  f(t,x(t)) + Bu(t) - A \frac{dx}{dt}(t) \in N_{C(t)}(\frac{dx}{dt}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 6 and the assumptions on $f$, for any bounded continuous mapping $h : I \to E$, there is a unique absolutely continuous solution $v_h$ to the inclusion

$$
\begin{cases}
  v_h(0) = u_0 \in E \\
  f(t,h(t)) + Bv_h(t) - A \frac{dx}{dt}(t) \in N_{C(t)}(\frac{dx}{dt}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
$$

with $\frac{dx}{dt}(t) \in C(t)$ a.e. so that $v_h(t) = u_0 + \int_0^t \frac{dx}{dt}(s)ds \in u_0 + \int_0^t C(s)ds, \forall t \in I$. By our assumption, $C$ is scalarly upper semicontinuous convex compact valued integrably bounded: $C(t) \subset \rho \overline{B}_g, \forall t \in I$, hence, by [33], $t \mapsto \Psi(t) := u_0 + \int_0^t C(s)ds$ is a scalarly upper semicontinuous convex compact valued integrably bounded mapping with $\Psi(t) := u_0 + \int_0^t C(s)ds \subset u_0 + \rho \overline{B}_g, \forall t \in I$. Let us consider the closed convex subset $\mathcal{X}$ in the Banach space $C_E(I)$ defined by

$$
\mathcal{X} := \{u_I : I \to E : u_I(t) = \int_0^t G(t,s)f(s)ds, f \in S_{u_0+\rho \overline{B}_g}, t \in I\},
$$

where $S_{u_0+\rho \overline{B}_g}$ denotes the set of all integrable selections of the convex weakly compact valued constant multifunction $u_0 + \rho \overline{B}_g$. Now, for each $h \in \mathcal{X}$, let us consider the mapping defined by

$$
\Phi(h)(t) := \int_0^t G(t,s)v_h(s)ds,
$$

for $t \in I$. Then, it is clear that $\Phi(h) \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $u_0 + \int_0^t C(s)ds$ is a convex compact, $\Phi(\mathcal{X})$ is equicontinuous and relatively compact in the Banach space $C_E(I)$ by virtue of Theorem 3 using the compactness of $\Psi(t)$. Now, we check that $\Phi$ is continuous. It is sufficient to show that, if $(h_n)$ uniformly converges to $h$ in $\mathcal{X}$, then the absolutely continuous solution $v_{h_n}$ associated with $h_n$

$$
\begin{cases}
  v_{h_n}(0) = u_0 \in E \\
  f(t,h_n(t)) + Bv_{h_n}(t) - A \frac{dx}{dt}(t) \in N_{C(t)}(\frac{dx}{dt}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
$$

uniformly converges to the absolutely continuous solution $v_h$ associated with $h$

$$
\begin{cases}
  v_h(0) = u_0 \in E \\
  f(t,h(t)) + Bv_h(t) - A \frac{dx}{dt}(t) \in N_{C(t)}(\frac{dx}{dt}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I
\end{cases}
$$

As $(v_{h_n})$ is equi-absolutely continuous with $v_{h_n} \in u_0 + \int_0^t C(s)ds, \forall t \in I$, we may assume that $(v_{h_n})$ uniformly converges to an absolutely continuous mapping $z$. 

Since \( v_{h_n}(t) = u_0 + \int_{0}^{t} \frac{dnu_n}{dt}(s) ds, t \in I \) and \( \frac{dnu_n}{dt}(s) \in C(s), a.e. s \in I \), we may assume that \( \left( \frac{dnu_n}{dt} \right) \) weakly converges in \( L^1(I) \) to \( w \in L^1(I) \) with \( w(t) \in C(t), t \in I \) so that

\[
\lim_n v_{h_n}(t) = u_0 + \int_{0}^{t} w(s) ds := u(t), t \in I.
\]

By identifying the limits, we get

\[
u(t) = z(t) = u_0 + \int_{0}^{t} w(s) ds
\]

with \( u = w \). Therefore, by applying the arguments in the variational limit result in [34], we get

\[
f(t, h(t)) + Bu(t) - A \frac{du}{dt}(t) \in N_{C(t)}(\frac{du}{dt}(t)), a.e. t \in I
\]

with \( u(0) = u_0 \in E \), so that, by uniqueness, \( u = v_h \). Since \( h_n \to h \), we have

\[
\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)v_{h_n}(s)ds - \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)v_{h}(s)ds
\]

\[
= \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)[v_{h_n}(s) - v_{h}(s)]ds
\]

\[
\leq \int_{0}^{1} M_C||v_{h_n}(s) - v_{h}(s)||ds
\]

As \( ||v_{h_n}(\cdot) - v_{h}(\cdot)|| \to 0 \) uniformly, we conclude that

\[
\sup_{t \in I} ||\Phi(h_n)(t) - \Phi(h)(t)|| \leq \int_{0}^{1} M_C||v_{h_n}(\cdot) - v_{h}(\cdot)||ds \to 0
\]

so that \( \Phi(h_n) \to \Phi(h) \) in \( C_E(I) \). Since \( \Phi : \mathcal{X} \to \mathcal{X} \) is continuous and \( \Phi(\mathcal{X}) \) is relatively compact in \( C_E(I) \), by [25, 26] \( \Phi \) has a fixed point, say \( h = \Phi(h) \in \mathcal{X} \). This means that

\[
h(t) = \Phi(h)(t) = \int_{0}^{1} G(t,s)v_{h}(s)ds,
\]

with

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
v_h(0) = u_0 \in E \\
D^\alpha h(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1} h(t) = v_h(t), t \in I \\
I^\beta_0 h(t)|_{t=0} = 0, h(1) = I^\gamma_0 h(1)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
f(t, h(t)) + Bv_h(t) - A \frac{du}{dt}(t) \in N_{C(t)}(\frac{du}{dt}(t)), a.e. t \in I
\]

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

Theorem 8. Theorems 6 and 7 results are inspired by some ideas in [18]. At this point, some variants are available, mainly when the second member is a time dependent subdifferential operator [35], namely, for any \( u_0 \in E \), there exists a \( W_{b,E}^{1,1}(I) \) mapping \( x : I \to E \) and an absolutely continuous mapping \( u : I \to E \) satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{cases}
u(0) = u_0 \in E \\
D^\alpha x(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1} x(t) = u(t), t \in I \\
I^\beta_0 x(t)|_{t=0} = 0, x(1) = I^\gamma_0 x(1)
\end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
f(t, x(t)) + Bu(t) - A \frac{du}{dt}(t) \in \partial \phi(t, \frac{du}{dt}(t)), a.e. t \in I
\]
5. On a Fillipov Theorem

We end this section with a Fillipov theorem and a relaxation theorem for the fractional differential inclusion

\[
\begin{cases}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1} u(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I \\
I^\alpha_{0^+} u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad u(1) = I^\alpha_{0^+} u(1)
\end{cases}
\]

where \( F : I \times E \to E \) is a closed valued Lipschitz mapping w.r.t. \( x \in E \).

**Theorem 9.** Assume that \( E \) is a separable Banach space. Let \( F : I \times E \to E \) be a closed valued \( L(I) \otimes B(E) \)-measurable mapping such that

\[(H_1) : d_H(F(t,x), F(t,y)) \leq l(t)||x - y|| \text{ for all } t, x, y \text{ where } l \in L^1(I) \text{ such that } \rho := M_G||l||_{L^1(I)} < 1.\]

Assume further that

\[(H_2) : \text{there exists } g \in L^1_{\text{c}}(I) \text{ such that } d(g(t), F(t,u_g(t))) < \frac{l(t)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1}} \text{ where } u_g(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)g(s)ds, \forall t \in I.\]

Then, the fractional differential inclusion

\[
\begin{cases}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1} u(t) \in F(t, u(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I \\
I^\alpha_{0^+} u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad u(1) = I^\alpha_{0^+} u(1)
\end{cases}
\]

has at least a \( W^{\alpha,1}_{\text{loc}}(I) \)-solution \( u : I \to E \).

**Proof.** We use the ideas in the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [36], Remark 2 and Lemma 9.

It is worth mentioning that the series \( \Lambda := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1} \) is convergent. Indeed, we have

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n+1)\rho^n}{n \rho^{n-1}} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} - \rho = \rho < 1.
\]

Thus, by d’Alembert’s ratio test, the series \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \rho^{n-1} \) is convergent

**Step 1.** We shall construct inductively sequence \( \{f_n(\cdot)\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) where \( f_1 = g \) such that the following conditions are fulfilled, for all \( n \geq 1, \)

\( f_n \in L^1_I \) and \( f_{n+1}(t) \in F(t, f_n(t)), t \in I, \)

\[
\|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)\| \leq (n+1)\rho^{n-1}l(t)\Lambda^{-1}, \tag{19}
\]

\[
\|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 G(t,s)[f_{n+1}(s) - f_n(s)]ds \right\| \leq (n+1)\rho^n\Lambda^{-1}, \tag{20}
\]

for all \( t \in I \). We note that the passage from (18) to (19) is obtained, thanks to (16) of Remark 2, with

\[
\|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 G(t,s)[f_{n+1}(s) - f_n(s)]ds \right\| \leq M_G \|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)\|
\]

By \((H_2)\), we have \( d(f_1(t), F(t,u_{f_1}(t))) < l(t)\Lambda^{-1}, \forall t \in I\). Let us consider the multifunction \( \Sigma_1 : I \to c(E) \) defined by

\[
\Sigma_1(t) = \left\{ v \in F(t, u_{f_1}(t)) : \|v - f_1(t)\| \leq 2l(t)\Lambda^{-1} \right\}.
\]

Clearly, \( \Sigma_1 \) is Lebesgue measurable with nonempty closed values. In view of the existence theorem of measurable selections (see [29]), there is a measurable function \( f_2 : I \to E \) such that \( f_2(t) \in \Sigma_1(t) \) for all \( t \in I \). This yields

\[
f_2(t) \in F(t, u_{f_1}(t)), \quad \|f_2(t) - f_1(t)\| \leq 2l(t)\Lambda^{-1},
\]
for all $t \in I$. Thus, it is easy to see that $f_2 \in L^1_k(I)$ and
\[
\|u_{f_2}(t) - u_{f_1}(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^t G(t,s)|f_2(s) - f_1(s)|ds \right\| \leq 2\rho\Lambda^{-1},
\]
for all $t \in I$.

Thus, such a sequence $\{f_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ with the required properties exists.

**Step 2.** It follows that, for all $n \geq 1$, we have
\[
\|f_{n+1} - f_n\|_{L^1_k(I)} = \int_0^1 \|f_{n+1}(t) - f_n(t)\| dt \leq (n + 1)\rho^{n-1} \|t\|_{L^1_k(I)} \Lambda^{-1}. \tag{21}
\]
On the other hand, by $\rho < 1$ the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n+1)\rho^{n-1}$ is convergent (using d’Alembert’s ratio test). Now, we assert that $\{f_n(\cdot)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1_I$. Indeed, using (10), for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m > n$, we have the estimate

$$
\|f_m - f_n\|_{L^1_I} \leq \|f_{n+1} - f_n\|_{L^1_I} + \|f_{n+2} - f_{n+1}\|_{L^1_I} + \cdots + \|f_m - f_{m-1}\|_{L^1_I} \\
\leq [(n+1)\rho^{n-1} + (n+2)\rho^{n-2} + \cdots + m\rho^{m-2}] \|f\|_{L^1_I} \Lambda^{-1} \\
\leq \left( \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k+1)\rho^{k-1} \right) \|f\|_{L^1_I} \Lambda^{-1}.
$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality, we see that $\|f_m - f_n\|_{L^1_I}$ goes to 0 when $m, n$ goes to $\infty$. Since the normed space $L^1_I$ is complete, $(f_n)$ norm converges to an element $f \in L^1_I$. By the properties of our Green function and the definition of $u_{f_n}$, we conclude that $u_{f_n}$ Pointwise converge with respect to the norm topology to $u_f$

$$
u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds, \forall t \in I.
$$

Now, we claim that $f(t) \in F(t,u_f(t))$, a.e. $t \in I$. Let us write

$$
d(f(t), F(t,u_f(t))) \leq \left| d(f(t), F(t,u_f(t))) - d(f_n(t), F(t,u_f(t))) \right| + d(f_n(t), F(t,u_f(t))). \tag{22}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\left| d(f(t), F(t,u_f(t))) - d(f_n(t), F(t,u_f(t))) \right| \leq \|f(t) - f_n(t)\|, \tag{23}
$$

and, by $f_n(t) \in F(t,u_{f_{n-1}}(t))$, $t \in I$, we have

$$
d(f_n(t), F(t,u_f(t))) \leq d_H \left( F(t,u_{f_{n-1}}(t)), F(t,u_f(t)) \right) \\
\leq l(t) \|u_{f_{n-1}}(t) - u_f(t)\|. \tag{24}
$$

Since $(f_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ norm converges to $f \in L^1_I$, we may, by extracting subsequences, assume that $\|f_n(t) - f(t)\|_{E} \to 0$ a.e. Now, passing to the limit when $n \to \infty$ in the preceding inequality, we get

$$
d(f(t), F(t,u_f(t))) = 0 \quad a.e. \ t \in I.
$$

This implies that $f(t) \in F(t,u_f(t))$, a.e. $t \in I$ because $F$ is closed valued. Thus, by Lemma 9, we have shown that $u_f$ is a solution of the problem

$$
\begin{cases}
D^d u_f(t) + \lambda D^{d-1} u_f(t) \in F(t,u_f(t)), \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
I^\beta_0 u_f(t)|_{t=0} = 0, \quad u_f(1) = I^\gamma_0 u_f(1)
\end{cases}
$$

The proof of theorem is complete.

A relaxation theorem is available using the machinery developed in [36] Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 9.

Theorem 10. **Relaxation** Assume that $E$ is a separable Banach space. Let $F : I \times E \to E$ be a closed valued $\mathcal{L}(I) \otimes B(E)$-measurable mapping such that
Then, the following holds:

\[(H_1): \text{ } d_H(F(t,x), F(t,y)) \leq l(t)||x - y|| \text{ for all } t, x, y \text{ where } l \in L^1(I) \text{ such that } \rho := M_G||l||_{L^1(I)} < 1.\]

Assume further that

\[(H_2): \text{ there exists } g \in L^1(I) \text{ such that } d(g(t), F(t, u_g(t))) < \frac{l(t)}{1 + ||x||} \text{ where } u_g(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)g(s)ds, \forall t \in I.\]

\[(H_3): \text{ } d(0, F(t,x)) < c(t)(1 + ||x||), \forall (t,x) \in I \times E \text{ where } c \text{ is a positive integrable function.}\]

Then, the following holds:

(a) \(\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1}u(t) \in F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
I_0^\alpha u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, & u(1) = I_0^\alpha u(1)
\end{array} \right.\)

and

(b) \(\left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
D^\alpha u(t) + \lambda D^{\alpha-1}u(t) \in \overline{\partial} F(t, u(t)), & \text{a.e. } t \in I \\
I_0^\alpha u(t)|_{t=0} = 0, & u(1) = I_0^\alpha u(1)
\end{array} \right.\)

have at least a solution in \(W^{\alpha,1}_{\overline{\partial},E}(I)\).

Then, for every \(\varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(f \in L^1(I)\) such that

\[f_0(t) \in \overline{\partial} F(t, u_{f_0}(t))\]

\[u_{f_0}(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f_0(s)ds, \forall t \in I\]

Then, for every \(0 > \varepsilon > 0\), there exists \(f \in L^1(I)\) such that

\[f(t) \in F(t, u_f(t)), \text{ a.e.}\]

\[u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds, \forall t \in I\]

and

\[\sup_{t \in I} ||u_f(t) - u_{f_0}(t)|| \leq \varepsilon.\]

**Proof.** We will proceed in several steps.

**Step 1.** (a) follows from Theorem 9 applied to both \(F\) and \(\overline{\partial} F\) taking account of \((H_1) - (H_2)\). Let \(u_{f_0}(\cdot)\) be a \(W^{\alpha,1}_{\overline{\partial},E}(I)\)-solution of the problem \((P_{\overline{\partial} F})\) that is, \(u_{f_0} \in \mathcal{D}_{\overline{\partial} p}\)

\[f_0(t) \in \overline{\partial} F(t, u_{f_0}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I,\]

\[u_{f_0}(t) := \int_0^1 G(t,s)f_0(s)ds, \forall t \in I\]

Let \(S^1_I\) and \(S^1_{\overline{\partial} F}\) denote the set of all \(L^1(I)\)-selections of the set valued mappings \(t \mapsto F(t, u_{f_0}(t))\) and \(t \mapsto \overline{\partial} F(t, u_{f_0}(t))\). By \((H_3)\), the multifunction \(t \mapsto F(t, u_{f_0}(t))\) is closed valued and integrable:

\[d(0, F(t, u_{f_0}(t))) < c(t)(1 + ||u_{f_0}(t)||)\]

so that \(S^1_I\) is non empty. Then, according to Hiai–Umegaki [37], \(S^1_{\overline{\partial} F} = \overline{\partial} S^1_I\) where \(\overline{\partial}\) is taken in \(L^1(I)\).

This equality along with \(f_0(t) \in \overline{\partial} F(t, u_{f_0}(t)), \text{ a.e. } t \in I\) yields \(f_0 \in \overline{\partial} S^1_I\). Let \(\varepsilon > 0\). There exists \(g_\varepsilon \in L^1(I)\) such that \(g_\varepsilon \in \text{co} S^1_I\) and \(||f_0 - g_\varepsilon||_{L^1(I)} \leq \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}M_G^{-1}\) so that

\[||u_{f_0}(t) - u_{g_\varepsilon}(t)|| < \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}.\]
As \( g_t \in \cos \Sigma \), then \( g_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i f_i \) with \( f_i \in L^1_x(I) \), \( f_i(t) \in F(t, u_{f_0}(t)) \), \( \lambda_i \geq 0 \), \( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1 \). Let \( \Phi(t) := \{ f_i(t) : 1 \leq i \leq n \} \), then \( \Phi(t) \) is a compact valued integrably bounded mapping with \( |\Phi(t)| \leq r(t) := \sup_{1 \leq i \leq n} |f_i(t)| \). Then, from [38], there exists \( h_1 \in L^1_x(I), h_1(t) \in \Phi(t) \subset F(t, u_{f_0}(t)) \), \( \forall t \in I \) such that

\[
\sup_{0 \leq t \leq t \leq 1} \int_t^1 [h_1(s) - g_t(s)]ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon M_G^1 \Delta^{-1}
\]

so that

\[
\|u_{h_1}(t) - u_{g_1}(t)\| = \| \int_0^1 G(t, s)[h_1(s) - g_t(s)]ds \| \leq M_G \int_0^1 [h_1(s) - g_t(s)]ds \leq \frac{1}{2} \epsilon \Delta^{-1}.
\]

Consequently,

\[
(*) \quad \|u_{h_1}(t) - u_{f_0}(t)\| \leq \epsilon \Delta^{-1}.
\]

**Step 2.** We shall construct inductively sequence \( \{ h_n(\cdot) \}_{n=1}^\infty \) such that the following conditions are fulfilled, for all \( n \geq 1 \),

\[
h_n \in L^1_x(I) \quad \text{and} \quad h_{n+1}(t) \in F(t, u_{h_n}(t)), t \in I,
\]

\[
\|h_{n+1}(t) - h_n(t)\| \leq (n + 1) \rho^n \Delta^{-1},
\]

\[
\|u_{h_{n+1}}(t) - u_{h_n}(t)\| = \| \int_0^1 G(t, s)[h_{n+1}(s) - h_n(s)]ds \| \leq (n + 1) \rho^n \epsilon \Delta^{-1}, t \in I.
\]

\( \wedge \) The multifunction \( F(\cdot, u_{h_n}(\cdot)) \) is Lebesgue-measurable and

\[
d_H \left( F(t, u_{h_n}(t)), F(t, u_{f_0}(t)) \right) \leq l(t) \|u_{h_n}(t) - u_{f_0}(t)\|
\]

This implies that, for \( t \in I \),

\[
d_H \left( F(t, u_{h_n}(t)), F(t, u_{f_0}(t)) \right) \leq l(t) \epsilon \Delta^{-1},
\]

As \( h_1(t) \in F(t, u_{f_0}(t)) \), we have \( d(h_1(t), F(t, u_{h_1}(t)) \leq l(t) \epsilon \Delta^{-1}, t \in I \). Let us consider the multifunction \( \Sigma_1 : I \to c(E) \) defined by

\[
\Sigma_1(t) = \{ v \in F(t, u_{h_1}(t)) : \|v - h_1(t)\| \leq 2l(t) \epsilon \Delta^{-1} \}.
\]

Clearly, \( \Sigma_1 \) is Lebesgue measurable with nonempty closed values. In view of the existence theorem of measurable selections (see [29]), there is a measurable function \( h_2 : I \to E \) such that \( h_2(t) \in \Sigma_1(t) \) for all \( t \in I \). This yields

\[
h_2(t) \in F(t, u_{h_1}(t)), \quad \|h_2(t) - h_1(t)\| \leq 2l(t) \epsilon \Delta^{-1},
\]

for all \( t \in I \). Thus, it is easy to see that \( h_2 \in L^1_x(I) \) and

\[
\|u_{h_2}(t) - u_{h_1}(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 G(t, s)[h_2(s) - h_1(s)]ds \right\| \leq 2p \epsilon \Delta^{-1},
\]

for all \( t \in I \).

\( \wedge \) Suppose that we have constructed integrable functions \( h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n \) such that

\[
h_{i+1}(t) \in F(t, u_{h_i}(t)), \ a.e. t \in I,
\]
The function $u$ with respect to the norm topology to properties of our Green function and the definition of such that $m$ $(28)$. Now, we assert that

$$\|h_{i+1}(t) - h_i(t)\| \leq (i + 1)\rho^{i-1}l(t)\epsilon\Lambda^{-1},$$

for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$. Then,

$$\|u_{h_{i+1}}(t) - u_h(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 G(t,s)[h_{i+1}(s) - h_i(s)]ds \right\| \leq (i + 1)\rho^i\epsilon\Lambda^{-1},$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$.

The multifunction $\Sigma_n : I \to c(E)$, defined by

$$\Sigma_n(t) = \{ v \in F(t, u_h(t)) : \|v - h_n(t)\| \leq (n + 1)\rho^{n-1}l(t)\epsilon\Lambda^{-1} \},$$

is Lebesgue measurable with nonempty closed values. Thus, there exists a measurable function $h_{n+1}$ such that

$$h_{n+1}(t) \in F(t, u_h(t)), \quad \|h_{n+1}(t) - h_n(t)\| \leq (n + 1)\rho^{n-1}l(t)\epsilon\Lambda^{-1},$$

for all $t \in I$. Then, it is clear that, for all $t \in I$,

$$\|u_{h_{n+1}}(t) - u_h(t)\| = \left\| \int_0^1 G(t,s)[h_{n+1}(s) - h_n(s)]ds \right\| \leq (n + 1)\rho^n\epsilon\Lambda^{-1},$$

Thus, a sequence $\{h_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying (27)–(29) exists.

**Step 3.** It follows from (28) that, for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\|h_{n+1} - h_n\|_{L^1_k(I)} = \int_0^1 \|h_{n+1}(t) - h_n(t)\| dt \leq (n + 1)\rho^{n-1} \|l\|_{L^1_k(I)} \epsilon\Lambda^{-1}. \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, by $\rho < 1$, the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n + 1)\rho^{n-1}$ is convergent (using d’Alembert’s ratio test). Now, we assert that $\{h_n(\cdot)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^1_k(I)$. Indeed, using (30), for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $m > n$, we have the estimate

$$\|h_m - h_n\|_{L^1_k(I)} \leq \|h_{n+1} - h_n\|_{L^1_k(I)} + \|h_{n+2} - h_{n+1}\|_{L^1_k(I)} + \cdots + \|h_m - h_{m-1}\|_{L^1_k(I)}$$

$$\leq \left[(n + 1)\rho^{n-1} + (n + 2)\rho^{n-2} + \cdots + m\rho^{m-2}\right] \|l\|_{L^1_k(I)} \epsilon\Lambda^{-1}$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (k + 1)\rho^{k-1}\right) \|l\|_{L^1_k(I)} \epsilon\Lambda^{-1}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ in the above inequality, we see that $\|h_m - h_n\|_{L^1_k(I)}$ goes to 0 when $m, n$ goes to $\infty$. Since the normed space $L^1_k(I)$ is complete, $(h_n)$ norm converges to an element $f \in L^1_k(I)$. By the properties of our Green function and the definition of $u_{h_n}$, we conclude that $u_{h_n}$ pointwise converges with respect to the norm topology to $u_f$ where

$$u_f(t) = \int_0^1 G(t,s)f(s)ds.$$
Moreover, from (29), we deduce that
\[
\left\| u_{h_n}(t) - u_{f_0}(t) \right\| \leq \left\| u_{h_1}(t) - u_{f_0}(t) \right\| + \left\| u_{h_2}(t) - u_{h_1}(t) \right\| + \ldots + \left\| u_{h_n}(t) - u_{h_{n-1}}(t) \right\|
\]
\[
\leq \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} j\rho^{j-1} \right) \varepsilon \Lambda^{-1}
\]
for all \( t \in I \). Recall that \( \Lambda = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n\rho^{n-1} \). Thus, by letting \( n \to \infty \) in the last inequality, we get
\[
\left\| u_f - u_{f_0} \right\|_{C(I)} = \max_{t \in I} \left\| u_f(t) - u_{f_0}(t) \right\| \leq \varepsilon.
\]

Now, we claim that \( f(t) \in F(t,u_f(t)) \), a.e. \( t \in I \). Let us write
\[
d(f(t),F(t,u_f(t))) \leq \left| d(f(t),F(t,u_f(t))) - d(h_n(t),F(t,u_f(t))) \right| + d(h_n(t),F(t,u_f(t))).
\]
On the other hand,
\[
\left| d(f(t),F(t,u_f(t))) - d(h_n(t),F(t,u_f(t))) \right| \leq \left\| f(t) - h_n(t) \right\|
\]
and, by \( h_n(t) \in F(t,u_{h_{n-1}}(t)), \ t \in I \), we have
\[
d(h_n(t),F(t,u_f(t))) \leq d_H \left( F(t,u_{h_{n-1}}(t)),F(t,u_f(t)) \right) \\
\leq l(t) \left\| u_{h_{n-1}}(t) - u_f(t) \right\|
\]
(33)

Since \((h_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}\) norm converges to \( f \in L^1_c(I) \) we may, by extracting subsequences, assume that \( \left\| h_n(t) - f(t) \right\|_E \to 0 \) a.e. Now, passing to the limit when \( n \to \infty \) in (31)–(33), we get
\[
d(f(t),F(t,u_f(t))) = 0 \quad \text{a.e.} \ t \in I
\]
This implies that \( f(t) \in F(t,u_f(t)) \), a.e. \( t \in I \) because \( F \) is closed valued. Hence, \( u_f \) is a solution of the problem \((P_f)\), satisfying the required density property. The proof of theorem is complete. \( \square \)

6. Conclusions

In the context of separable Hilbert space, our algorithm and tools are fairly general and they allow for treating several variants of system of fractional differential inclusion coupled with a time and state dependent maximal monotone operators with Lipschitz perturbation, in particular the second order solution of evolution inclusion governed time and state dependent maximal monotone operators with Lipschitz perturbation. Our results contain novelties. Nevertheless, there are several issues—for instance, the existence of solutions for the case of closed unbounded Lipschitz perturbation that is needed in the optimal control.
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