Roles of MgO and Al₂O₃ on the Viscous and Structural Behavior of Blast Furnace Primary Slag, Part 1: C/S = 1.3 Containing TiO₂
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Abstract: This research provides fundamental insight into the roles of MgO and Al₂O₃ on the viscous and structural behaviors of CaO–SiO₂–MgO–Al₂O₃–10 mass% TiO₂–5 mass% FeO (CaO/SiO₂ = 1.3) system primary blast furnace slag. The slag viscosity is measured by the rotating cylinder method, which is essential to the efficient and stable operation of a blast furnace. The network structure characterization of the quenched vitreous samples was conducted using Fourier Transformation Infrared (FTIR) and Raman spectroscopy. Usual viscous behaviors (that the slag viscosity and the activation energy decrease or increase with increasing MgO or Al₂O₃ content) were observed, corresponding to changes in the network structure certified by FTIR and Raman analyses. It seems that the addition of MgO and Al₂O₃ prefers to modify the Si–O and Ti–O network in the present slag. When the slag composition reaches 10% MgO and 12% Al₂O₃, unexpected viscous behaviors (that MgO increases viscosity and Al₂O₃ decreases viscosity) are discovered. The roles of MgO and Al₂O₃ could be interpreted by changes in the arrangement structure of ions in liquid, corresponding to changes in the primary equilibrium phase region determined in phase diagrams and variation in the difference between the experimental and liquidus temperature, respectively.
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1. Introduction

Iron ore containing TiO₂ is widely used in many blast furnaces (BFs) to produce hot metal in China because of the country’s big reserves of titanium magnetite ore. In the last few years, the steel industry has faced significant challenges from iron resources and environment-energy saving restrictions [1]. High alumina iron ore has been considered due to its low cost [2]. The reasonable combination of high aluminum ore and titanium magnetite ore is an economical choice for Ti-bearing iron ore smelting. Meanwhile, high smelting efficiency and low emissions need to be ensured. Under such a background, the Al₂O₃ content in BF Ti-bearing slag is inevitably increased. MgO is one of the main components of BF slag and is suggested to be able to effectively adjust metallurgical properties.

Slag viscosity is one of the most important physicochemical properties in determining solid–liquid reactions, gas distribution (permeability), and smooth running, which all affect high quality smelting. In the BF smelting process with a Ti-bearing burden, the primary slag forms in the softening-melting zone (in terms of slag formation behavior). Through a series of transitions, the primary slag turns into the final slag, which stably exists in the BF hearth. The final slag is usually described by a CaO–MgO–Al₂O₃–SiO₂–TiO₂ quinary system, which has been researched in many experimental
studies [3–9] about the effects of MgO and Al₂O₃ on slag viscosity. The effect of Al₂O₃ content on the viscosity of quinary slag with different TiO₂ concentrations was studied by Feng et al. [3], Yan et al. [4], and Bian and Gao [5]. All the results showed that Al₂O₃ increased viscosity, which was attributed to the role of Al₂O₃ in the polymerization of silicate melts [10,11]. Zhou et al. [6] held another viewpoint—that the effect of Al₂O₃ was dependent on the composition of slag. As for the effect of MgO, several researchers have reported that slag viscosity exhibited a decreasing trend with increasing MgO content [4,7–9], which was partially different to the change of the activation energy. It was found by Feng et al. [7] that the activation energy first increased and then decreased with an increase of MgO, while it was reported by Liang et al. [8] that MgO contributed an opposite tendency to the activation energy. However, plenty of the work focusing on the final slag may be not suitable for expounding the issues in a high temperature BF process with a Ti-bearing burden, such as the poor slag/iron separation and unstable permeability [12]. Although these issues are closely related to the primary slag, the experimental viscosity data are scarce because the primary slag cannot be visible and directly removed. This is disadvantageous for understanding and controlling the actual production. Therefore, the viscous behaviors of the Ti-bearing primary slag are arousing interest.

Combined with the current development of raw iron ore materials, the CaO−SiO₂−MgO−Al₂O₃−TiO₂−FeO multi-component system slag with varying MgO and Al₂O₃ content is designed to simulate Ti-bearing primary slag for viscosity measurement. Meanwhile, Fourier Transformation Infrared (FTIR) and Raman spectroscopy are used to illustrate changes in the network structure corresponding to the variations in viscosity. This study will develop a better understanding of Ti-bearing slag from a broader perspective.

2. Experimental Samples and Procedure

2.1. Starting Materials

The chemical compositions of slag samples are given in Table 1. The variables represent the MgO and Al₂O₃ content, which change from 8 to 12 mass% and 10 to 18 mass% at a fixed CaO/SiO₂ of 1.3 (binary basicity, abbreviated as C/S), with a FeO content of 5 mass% and a TiO₂ content of 10 mass%, respectively. The starting materials for the viscosity experiments consist of FeC₂O₄·2H₂O, CaCO₃, SiO₂, MgO, Al₂O₃, and TiO₂ powder with analytical purity. FeC₂O₄·2H₂O and CaCO₃ are used for producing CaO and FeO, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slags</th>
<th>CaO (mass%)</th>
<th>SiO₂ (mass%)</th>
<th>MgO (mass%)</th>
<th>Al₂O₃ (mass%)</th>
<th>TiO₂ (mass%)</th>
<th>FeO (mass%)</th>
<th>Analyzed FeO (mass%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>37.87</td>
<td>29.13</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>36.74</td>
<td>28.26</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>35.61</td>
<td>27.39</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>36.74</td>
<td>28.26</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>35.61</td>
<td>27.39</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>34.48</td>
<td>26.52</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>35.04</td>
<td>26.96</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>33.91</td>
<td>26.09</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>32.78</td>
<td>25.22</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>15.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>33.35</td>
<td>25.65</td>
<td>8.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>32.22</td>
<td>24.78</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>31.09</td>
<td>23.91</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data from our previous work [13] are provided here for comparison.
2.2. Experimental Methods

The experimental apparatus (RTW-10, Northeastern University, Shenyang, China) for viscosity measurement, as shown in Figure 1, mainly consists of a viscometer, heating units, water cooling, and a gas system. The Mo crucible with an inner diameter of 40 mm and depth of 80 mm was selected to be the slag container. To avoid oxidation of FeO, the Mo crucible was put into a graphite crucible. High purity Ar gas (0.5 L/min) was blown into the Al2O3 tube at the beginning of the rising temperature. Before the experiment, the Mo crucible and spindle with the same sizes for slag viscosity measurement were employed to calibrate the viscometer using castor oil with known viscosities (0.986, 0.651, and 0.451 Pa-s) at different room temperatures. A certain amount of castor oil filled the Mo crucible with a depth of 40 mm, which is approximately equal to the depth of the slag during the viscosity measurement.

The chemical powders comprising CaO−SiO2−MgO−Al2O3−10 mass% TiO2−5 mass% FeO slag of 140 g were weighed separately after being dried. The five chemicals, CaCO3, SiO2, MgO, Al2O3, and TiO2, were mixed in a ball mill. The mixtures were made into pellets and dried for later use. When the experiment started, the FeC2O4·2H2O powder was first put into the Mo crucible. As the temperature increased, the FeC2O4·2H2O gradually decomposed, softened, and melted. When the FeC2O4·2H2O was observed to be semi-melting, the pellets made above were carefully introduced into the Mo crucible. Similarly, the CaCO3 was also decomposed during the heating process. A high temperature of 1823 K was finally obtained, which melted the FeO together with other oxides to form the designed slag. The temperature stayed at 1823 K for 3 h, to ensure slag homogeneity, and then decreased to 1773 K, at which the Mo spindle was immersed into the molten slag (~40 mm) and positioned 10 mm away from the bottom of the slag layer. The slag was stirred by the spindle for 30 min, after which the viscosity measurement began. The viscosity measurement was carried out on a cooling style at 25 K intervals with equilibrium periods of 25 min for every temperature point. After completing the viscosity measurement, the slag sample was reheated to 1773 K and kept for 60 min. The slag was subsequently poured into the water quickly. The water quenched slag was collected for chemical composition analyses, and the next sample was prepared for structural investigation. The FeO content in each slag was analyzed by the titration method. The contents of CaO, SiO2, MgO, Al2O3, and TiO2 in A1 and B1 were determined using X-Ray Fluorescence (XRF, S8 Tiger, Bruker, Karlsruhe, Germany). The C/S were 1.28 and 1.30 for A1 and B1, respectively. The MgO, Al2O3, and TiO2 contents varied insignificantly compared to the designed compositions. Table 1 shows the FeO contents after the viscosity experiment. Accordingly, all the FeO contents changed little and stabilized at comparable levels.

Figure 1. The experimental apparatus for viscosity measurement.
2.3. Characterization of the Slag’s Network Structure

The small Mo crucible (formed by punching (29 mm inner diameter, 4.5 mm depth, and 0.1 mm thickness)) was selected to re-melt a part of the water quenched slag sample (~5 g) at 1773 K for 60 min under Ar gas protection. Each slag sample was rapidly quenched in water for network structure analysis using XRD (SmartLab SE, Rigaku, Tokyo, Japan), FTIR (Thermo Scientific Nicolet IS5, Nicolet, Madison, WI, USA), and Raman spectroscopy (XploRA PLUS, Horiba Scientific, Edison, NJ, USA).

XRD data collection of the sample was performed with a scanning range of 10–90° and a time of 10 min and step size of 0.02°. The operating conditions of the X-ray tube were U = 40 kV and I = 100 mA. The XRD results of the rapidly quenched slag samples are shown in Figure 2, which is evidence that the slag is completely liquid at 1773 K and maintains an amorphous, high temperature state at room temperature. The FTIR spectrum of the sample was determined by using the potassium bromide (KBr) tablet method [14,15]. The ratio of the sample to KBr was 1:150. Thirty-two scans per spectrum were performed over a range of 4000–400 cm–1. Raman spectroscopy analysis was performed on the samples by using a laser confocal micro-Raman spectrometer. The excitation wavelength of the Ar+ laser was 532 nm, and the spectral resolution was 4 cm–1. The recorded spectral range is 100–2000 cm–1.

Figure 2. XRD patterns of the rapidly quenched slag samples.

3. Results

3.1. Viscous Behavior with Varying MgO and Al2O3

Figure 3 shows the effect of MgO content on the viscosity of the slag with different Al2O3 contents at high temperatures above 1698 K. When the Al2O3 concentration is 10% or 18%, the effect of MgO on viscosity variation is slight in the experimental MgO range. On the other hand, the viscosity significantly rises once MgO content is lower than 10% for the slag with 12% or 15% Al2O3. The trend in the slag viscosity as a function of MgO content could be regarded as a common pattern of decreasing viscosity with increasing MgO for slag composed with 10%, 15%, and 18% Al2O3, whereas the viscosity first decreases and then increases with a higher MgO for composition with 12% Al2O3. According to the viscosity results by Kim et al. [16], the viscosity of the CaO–MgO–10%Al2O3–SiO2–5%FeO (C/S = 1.35) slag slightly decreased with increasing MgO. Liang et al. [8] reported that the CaO–MgO–Al2O3–SiO2–TiO2 slag viscosity decreased as MgO content increased. These results are depicted in Figure 3a,b for comparison. It is generally suggested that the slag viscosity decreased as a result of the slag’s network structure depolymerization, facilitated by the basic behavior of MgO. However, for the composition with 12% Al2O3, the slag viscosity does
not obey this well-recognized trend. The unusual viscous behavior with changing MgO (Figure 3b) will be discussed later.

The effect of Al₂O₃ content on the viscosity of slag with MgO contents of 10% and 12% are shown in Figure 4. The trend of the viscosity as a function of Al₂O₃ content exhibited different patterns with increasing Al₂O₃ at the studied MgO concentrations. As noted in our previous work [13], for slag with 8 mass% MgO, the addition of Al₂O₃ with 10 to 15 mass% increased the viscosity, reaching a maximum, followed by a decrease at higher Al₂O₃ content. From Figure 4a, when the slag contains 10% MgO, by increasing Al₂O₃ content from 10% to 12%, the slag viscosity is reduced. Further increasing Al₂O₃ content to 18% tends to increase the viscosity. On the other hand, the viscosity of the slag with 12% MgO monotonically increases with increasing Al₂O₃ content, as shown in Figure 4b. In Feng’s study on CaO−MgO−11.32%Al₂O₃−SiO₂−6.93%TiO₂ slag, the viscosity increased with the addition of Al₂O₃ due to the polymerization of the slag’s network structure [3]. The present slag is highly basic because the amount of basic oxides, including FeO, CaO, and MgO, is higher than 50 mass%. In such a basic environment, Al₂O₃ is likely to demonstrate acid characteristic and polymerize the network structure, such that the effects of Al₂O₃ increase viscosity, which is also proposed by Kim et al. [17] and Song et al. [18]. In other words, the increase of viscosity after the addition of Al₂O₃ (shown in Figure 4) could be explained by the polymerization of the network structure caused by the acidic behavior of Al₂O₃. Nevertheless, the appearance of the minimum viscosity at 10% MgO and at 12% Al₂O₃ in Figure 4a is unusual and will be discussed later.

Figure 3. Effects of MgO content on the viscosity of slag at various temperatures with (a) 10 mass% Al₂O₃, (b) 12 mass% Al₂O₃, (c) 15 mass% Al₂O₃, and (d) 18 mass% Al₂O₃.
In the present slag, the network-forming ions include Si\(^4+\), Al\(^3+\), and Ti\(^4+\). The specific change of the network structure consisting of Si–O, Al–O, and Ti–O network should be clarified using FTIR and Raman spectroscopy to understand the roles of MgO and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\). The Ln\(\eta\) (natural logarithm of the viscosity) should be linear with 1/T (the reciprocal of absolute temperature) at high temperatures, according to the well-known Arrhenius-type relationship \([19,20]\). The dependence of Ln\(\eta\) on 1/T for the slags is depicted in Figure 5. The \(E_\eta\) (viscosity activation energy) of slag can be calculated from the linear slope, which is an indication of the frictional resistance of the viscous flow, as listed in Table 2. \(E_\eta\) is between 63.3 and 140.4 kJ/mol and exhibits a common decreasing trend by increasing MgO content, except for the 12% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) slag. When the slag contains 12% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\), a minimum \(E_\eta\) value of 63.3 kJ·mol\(^{-1}\) is observed at 10% MgO. In the case of a fixed MgO concentration and changing Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content, \(E_\eta\) first decreases and then increases, with a minimum value of 12% Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) for 10% MgO slag. On the other hand, \(E_\eta\) continuously increases by increasing Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content for 12% MgO slag. The variation of \(E_\eta\) is in agreement with the viscosity results for basically changing MgO and Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) content.

The variation of viscosity and \(E_\eta\) is certainly related to the change of the slag’s network structure. In the present slag, the network-forming ions include Si\(^4+\), Al\(^3+\), and Ti\(^4+\).
3.2. The Network Structure

The slag samples are first characterized qualitatively using FTIR to identify their network structures. The different types of Si–O bonding are correlated with silicate polymerization, as initiated by the work of Stevels [21,22]. At present, the Si–O network is well known to include four kinds of [SiO$_4$]$^{4-}$ tetrahedral units, which have bridging oxygen numbers of 0/1/2/3 with monomer/dimer/chain-sheet structures; these units are named Q$^0$, Q$^1$, Q$^2$, and Q$^3$, respectively. Al exists in four and six coordinate states [23], corresponding to the [AlO$_4$]$^{5-}$ tetrahedral (network former) and [AlO$_6$]$^{9-}$ octahedral (network breaker) units. According to former studies on the FTIR of metallurgical slag [14,16,24], the FTIR curve in a wavenumber region of 1200–750 cm$^{-1}$ represented the convolution of Q$^0$, Q$^1$, Q$^2$, and Q$^3$ structural units, which exhibited characteristic peaks at ~850, ~940, ~980, and ~1030 cm$^{-1}$, respectively. The trough between 750 and 630 cm$^{-1}$ was the asymmetric characteristic stretching vibration band for the [AlO$_4$]$^{5-}$ tetrahedral structure. The peak at ~500 cm$^{-1}$ was assigned to the Si–O–Al rocking, representing the linkage between the [SiO$_4$]$^{4-}$ and [AlO$_4$]$^{5-}$ tetrahedral units. The appearance of a peak around 570–520 cm$^{-1}$ suggested that some Al$_2$O$_3$ existed as an [AlO$_6$]$^{9-}$ octahedral units.

Figure 6 shows the FTIR transmittance of the slag with a constant Al$_2$O$_3$ concentration of 12% and 18% at different MgO contents. As can be noted, the center of the band for the [SiO$_4$]$^{4-}$ tetrahedral structure shifts successively to a lower wave number region, with increasing MgO content at each Al$_2$O$_3$ concentration, thereby indicating that the relative amount of Q$^0$ + Q$^1$ to Q$^2$ + Q$^3$ increases. The change of the [AlO$_4$]$^{5-}$ tetrahedral trough is almost negligible, since MgO content increases, but the Si–O–Al rocking peak seems to become weaker due to the lower absolute amount of SiO$_2$. Figure 7 presents the FTIR transmittance of the slag with a constant MgO concentration of 10 and 12 mass% at different Al$_2$O$_3$ contents. Obviously, as the Al$_2$O$_3$ content increases, the center of the band for Si–O stretching vibrations in the 1200–750 cm$^{-1}$ range continuously shifts to a region with higher wavenumbers, indicating that the relative amount of Q$^2$ + Q$^3$ to Q$^0$ + Q$^1$ increases. The asymmetric stretching vibration bands for the [AlO$_4$]$^{5-}$ tetrahedral units in the 750–630 cm$^{-1}$ range is not noticeably changed by increasing Al$_2$O$_3$ content. There are no peaks between 570–520 cm$^{-1}$, which suggests that no [AlO$_6$]$^{9-}$ octahedral units are present in the slag system.

The Raman and FTIR are complementary to each other because T–O (T represents Si, Al and Ti atoms) bondings may be FTIR active, Raman active, or both. For instance, the Ti–O network is unidentifiable from the FTIR results [25–27]. Hence, the slag network structure is further analyzed by Raman spectroscopy, and the results of the original Raman spectra are shown in Figure 8a. As can be observed, the strong Raman bands are located at the 600–1100 cm$^{-1}$ ranges in the entire Raman spectra for every slag. The relative intensity between the shoulder at ~730 cm$^{-1}$, the strongest peak at ~800 cm$^{-1}$, the peak at ~860 cm$^{-1}$, the shoulder at ~910 cm$^{-1}$, and the shoulder at ~1000 cm$^{-1}$ varies with changing slag compositions, where the former two are assigned to O–(Ti, Si)–O deformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Slag</th>
<th>MgO (mass%)</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$ (mass%)</th>
<th>$E_\eta$ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>92.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>86.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>85.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>63.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>140.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>110.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>91.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>116.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>113.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>109.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. The viscosity activation energy of the slag.
vibrations in sheet units and Ti–O stretching vibrations in [TiO$_4$]$^{4-}$ monomers, and the latter three are identified as silicate structural units $Q^0$, $Q^1$, and $Q^2$, respectively, according to previous work [28–34]. The Raman bands that correspond to Al–O stretching vibrations [24,35,36] at 530–610 cm$^{-1}$ do not change significantly, similar to FTIR results.

In order to illustrate the detailed change of the Si–O and Ti–O networks, the Gaussian-Deconvolution method was employed by assuming contributions from the structural units of O–(Ti, Si)–O, [TiO$_4$]$^{4-}$, $Q^0$, $Q^1$, and $Q^2$ to deconvolute the Raman spectra bands between 600 and 1100 cm$^{-1}$ with a minimum correlation coefficient of 0.99, similar to the method used by other researchers [17]. The assignments of the Raman shift for various structural units are listed in Table 3. A typical deconvolution of the Raman spectra is shown in Figure 8b through this approach. In this way, the area ratios of O–(Ti, Si)–O, [TiO$_4$]$^{4-}$, $Q^0$, $Q^1$, and $Q^2$ can be ascertained. Based on the obtained peak area ratios and the Raman scattering coefficient of $Q^n$, the mole fractions of $Q^n$ could be calculated by the specified equation [13,37]. Generally, the average amount of non-bridging oxygen (NBO/Si) is used to explain the Si–O network by referring to previous studies [38,39]. NBO/Si is calculated by the mole fractions of $Q^n$ multiplied by the amount of its non-bridging oxygen, where lower NBO/Si implies a more polymerized Si–O network structure. The effects of MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ on O–(Ti, Si)–O, [TiO$_4$]$^{4-}$

---

**Figure 6.** FTIR results of the slag with varying MgO content, with Al$_2$O$_3$ content of (a) 12 mass% and (b) 18 mass%.

**Figure 7.** FTIR results of the slag with varying Al$_2$O$_3$ content, with MgO content of (a) 10 mass% and (b) 12 mass%.
and NBO/Si can be observed in Figures 9 and 10. When MgO content increases at a fixed Al2O3 concentration, the amount of O–(Ti, Si)–O decreases and the amount of [TiO4]4– increases. Meanwhile, NBO/Si trends upward by increasing MgO. On the other hand, by increasing Al2O3 content, an increased amount of O–(Ti, Si)–O and a decreased amount of [TiO4]4– and lower NBO are observed with higher Al2O3 content.

**Figure 8.** (a) Original Raman spectra of the rapidly quenched slag samples from 1773 K; (b) the typical deconvolution of the Raman spectra for B2.

**Table 3.** Assignment of Raman peaks in the spectra of the present slag system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raman Shift (cm⁻¹)</th>
<th>Raman Assignments</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>710–730</td>
<td>O–(Ti, Si)–O deformation vibrations in sheet units</td>
<td>[28,29]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>900–920</td>
<td>Q⁰</td>
<td>[32,33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>840–860</td>
<td>Q¹</td>
<td>[32,33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980–1000</td>
<td>Q²</td>
<td>[32–34]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 9.** Abundance of the structural units with varying MgO content at fixed Al2O3 concentrations of (a) 12 mass% and (b) 18 mass%.
The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al on the depolymerization of slag can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag.

On the one hand, the Si O network is dominant and the first to be affected due to the large absolute Si O bonding is weaker than that of Al O and Si O, which allows the Ti O network to be modified relatively easy. Additionally, the FTIR trough at ~500 cm becomes shallower, corresponding to the decreased viscosity from 15% to 18% Al O for the 8% MgO slags [13]. For the present slags with 10% and 12% MgO in Figure 6, the trough is also dampened while the corresponding viscosity increases from 15% to 18% Al O. The reasons for these results can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al O on slag polymerization is likely to be more dominant than the weaker linkage between the [SiO ] tetrahedral units for the slags with higher MgO content. Summing

4. Discussion

4.1. Correlation Between Viscosity and Network Structure

For the CaO–MgO–Al O–SiO –10%TiO –5%FeO slag, it was noted that the center of the [SiO ] tetrahedral stretching bands is positioned between the characteristic peaks of Q and Q based on the FTIR results. This is in accordance with the Raman results, which show that (1) no Q units are found, (2) the mole fraction of Q is more than 50%, and (3) the amount of Q , Q, and Q is in the order of Q > Q > Q. A reasonable structural interpretation should be considered. The total amount of basic oxides is perhaps too high to make an Si O network form large and complex polymers, such as Q . Therefore, Q, Q, and Q constitute the Si O network in the present slag.

As MgO content increases at a fixed Al O concentration, more free O , originating from MgO dissociation, is introduced to the slag so that Q is modified to Q and Q. Both the negative center shift of the [SiO ] tetrahedral stretching bands in Figure 6 and the increase of NBO in Figure 9 prove that the Si O network is depolymerized. As for the Ti O network, O–(Ti, Si)–O deformation in the sheet unit is considered to be a complex structural unit [28,39], whereas [TiO ] is a short range ordered simple unit [25,40]. The Ti–O network is believed to be simplified with higher MgO content due to its less complex and simpler Ti–O structures, as proven in Figure 9. From the FTIR spectra in Figures 6 and 7, the absence of [AlO ] tetrahedral unit is modified to Q and Q. The addition of Al O consumes free O so that the Si O and Ti–O network’s structural units show opposite variation tendencies compared to the effects of MgO. The Si–O and Ti–O networks are more complex, as expected with increasing Al O content. The [AlO ] tetrahedral vibration is found to be seldom changed in FTIR, which can also be observed in the Raman results depicted in Figure 8a. It seems that both MgO and Al O affect the Al–O network little and instead prefer to modify the Si–O and Ti–O networks. This preference could be explained in two ways. On the one hand, the Si–O network is dominant and the first to be affected due to the large absolute amount of SiO in the slag. On the other hand, Ti–O bonding is weaker than that of Al–O and Si–O, which allows the Ti–O network to be modified relatively easy. Additionally, the FTIR trough at ~500 cm becomes shallower, corresponding to the decreased viscosity from 15% to 18% Al O for the 8% MgO slags [13]. For the present slags with 10% and 12% MgO in Figure 6, the trough is also dampened while the corresponding viscosity increases from 15% to 18% Al O. The reasons for these results can be explained as follows. A higher MgO content leads to a higher depolymerization of slag. The role of Al O on slag polymerization is likely to be more dominant than the weaker linkage between the [SiO ] tetrahedral units for the slags with higher MgO content. Summing
up the above discussion, when MgO or Al$_2$O$_3$ content increases, the Si–O and Ti–O networks are both depolymerized or polymerized, which explains the general decrease or increase of the viscosity and $E_\eta$ in the current study.

4.2. Interpretation of the Unusual Viscosity

The slag with 10% MgO and 12% Al$_2$O$_3$ is unusual as it has the lowest viscosity and $E_\eta$, contributing to the fact that the viscosity goes up by increasing MgO from 10% to 12% (Figure 3b) and the viscosity decreases by increasing Al$_2$O$_3$ from 10% to 12% (Figure 4a). These phenomena cannot be explained only in terms of a change in network structure, depolymerization, or polymerization as a result of the basic or acid behavior of MgO or Al$_2$O$_3$. There must be other factors that affect this viscous behavior.

A similar phenomenon was observed by Mudersbach et al. [41], Kim et al. [42], and Sun et al. [43], which showed that the slag viscosity exhibited a minimum value by increasing MgO content with a fixed C/S and Al$_2$O$_3$ content, even though the slag was fully liquid. In Zhang's review [44], MgO was proposed to have a relatively high stability and be more effective in increasing viscosity due to its high melting point. There is a common viewpoint that the viscosity was occasionally influenced by the change in the structure of the ion arrangements in liquids corresponding to the changes in the primary phases from the melts [42,45] led by varying chemical composition.

Because the experimental phase diagram of the CaO–SiO$_2$–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–TiO$_2$–FeO system has yet to be reported, the phase diagrams of the CaO–SiO$_2$–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–10mass%TiO$_2$–5mass%FeO slag system are calculated by Factsage (Version 7.2, CRCT ThermFact Inc., Montreal, QC, Canada) [46] and shown in Figure 11. The slag composition is marked with solid black circles. The values presented in the vicinity of the solid circle are the liquidus temperatures of some slag samples. As can be seen, the slag composed of 10% MgO and 12% Al$_2$O$_3$ exists near the eutectic line of the perovskite and spinel. The melting points of perovskite and spinel are 2243 and 2523 K, respectively. At a fixed 12% Al$_2$O$_3$, and by increasing MgO content from 8% to 12% (B1→B2→B3), the slag composition in the crystalline region of the perovskite moves toward the eutectic line and then crosses the eutectic line, reaching the thermostable spinel region. For the slag samples with a fixed 10% MgO, and with additions of Al$_2$O$_3$ from 10% to 15% (A2→B2→C2→D2), similar trends are noted. When the slag is located in a higher-temperature stable spinel phase region, the arrangement of ions in the liquid approaches and resembles the structure of the solid spinel crystal, and ionic interactions tends to be stronger, resulting in difficulties running the flow units and a higher viscosity. This phenomenon, then, would be a reason for the increased viscosity in Figure 3b. For the effect of Al$_2$O$_3$, Park et al. reported that the viscosity of FeO–Al$_2$O$_3$–SiO$_2$ slag initially decreased and subsequently increased with increasing Al$_2$O$_3$ content at a fixed Fe/SiO$_2$ [47]. Superheat has been suggested to be the difference in the experimental and liquidus temperatures and can exert an impact on viscosity. By increasing the Al$_2$O$_3$ content of the slag composed of 10% MgO and 12% Al$_2$O$_3$ (A2→B2), the liquidus temperature decreases, and the superheat temperature increases correspondingly. Both the minimum viscosity and the maximum superheat temperature appear at the 10% MgO and 12% Al$_2$O$_3$ composition point, which is close to the eutectic line. This could be the reason for the decreased slag viscosity in Figure 4a. Additionally, the viscosity variation pattern from 10% to 12% MgO for 15% Al$_2$O$_3$ slag is a bit odd. The MgO content in excess of 10% increases the liquidus temperature, likely causing liquid contraction and leading to the aforementioned phenomenon.
5. Conclusions

The CaO–SiO$_2$–MgO–Al$_2$O$_3$–10 mass% TiO$_2$–5 mass% FeO (C/S = 1.3) slag was investigated through viscosity measurements combined with FTIR and Raman spectroscopic analyses to provide insight into the roles of MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ on the viscous and structural behavior of Ti-bearing primary slag. The main findings are summarized as follows.

1. In this study, the viscosity trend correlates well with the variation of activation energy for slags with changing MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ content. The usual viscous behavior (MgO decreases and Al$_2$O$_3$ increases viscosity) can be explained by a change of the network structure.

2. FTIR and Raman analyses show that Si–O and Ti–O networks are depolymerized as MgO increases, presenting as a modification of Q$^2$ to Q$^0$ and Q$^1$ and less complex Ti–O structural units, respectively. Increased Al$_2$O$_3$ contributes opposite variation tendencies. The addition of MgO and Al$_2$O$_3$ prefers to modify Si–O and Ti–O networks, likely due to the predominance of SiO$_2$ and weak Ti–O bonding.

3. The unexpected viscous behaviors (MgO increases and Al$_2$O$_3$ decreases viscosity) could be interpreted by changes in ions’ arrangement structures in liquid, corresponding to the changes of the primary equilibrium phase region and the variation of the difference between the experimental and liquidus temperatures, respectively.
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