Dissolution Behaviors of Trace Muscovite during Pressure Leaching of Hydrothermal Vein Quartz Using H$_2$SO$_4$ and NH$_4$Cl as Leaching Agents
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Abstract: Dissolution behaviors of trace muscovite during pressure leaching of hydrothermal vein quartz using H$_2$SO$_4$ and NH$_4$Cl as leaching agents have been studied by means of optical and electronic microscopes. Phase transformations of pure muscovite during calcination and the pressure leaching were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) and thermal analysis (TG-DSC), which are used for indirectly discussing dissolution mechanisms of the trace muscovite. Structure damages of trace muscovite are caused by calcination, and further developed during pressure leaching of the quartz sand using H$_2$SO$_4$ and NH$_4$Cl as leaching agents. The trace muscovite is dissolved, and then efficiently separated from quartz sand by coupling effects of calcination and fluorine-free pressure leaching.
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1. Introduction

Vein quartz, as an industrial substitute of crystal quartz, usually contains some mica minerals, such as muscovite and biotite [1]. These mica minerals usually contain impurity elements of Al, K, Fe, and Ti, etc., which could evidently reduce quality of quartz products [2,3]. High-efficiency separation of the muscovite from quartz ore has been focused on by researchers for a long time [4,5]. In recent years, fluorine-free flotation has been developed to separate muscovite with quartz [6,7], but the flotation technique is only suitable for separating liberated ores, although separation efficiency of muscovite and quartz is not high enough [8,9]. Moreover, fluoric acid leaching shows great effects on removing muscovite (less than 0.2 wt %) within quartz [10,11]. However, fluorides used in the leaching process commonly lead to severe environmental pollution [12].

In the conventional process of oxygen pressure acid leaching of quartz ore, purification of quartz sand depends on acid attack to mica minerals, resulting in high acid consumption and a long leaching time [13]. The two problems lead to significant decreases in equipment life and production efficiency, and an obvious increase in production costs. In addition, pressure acid leaching with mixed agents consisting of acids and inorganic salts is deemed to be an effective method to dissolve and remove muscovite without using any fluorides [13,14]. Metallic ions in the inorganic salts are unacceptable in quartz purification because they would unavoidably drag in metallic impurities during processing, but the use of NH$_4$Cl avoids the problem. Mixed leaching agents consisting of H$_2$SO$_4$ and NH$_4$Cl show great effects on removing trace muscovite from hydrothermal vein quartz with high leaching pressure and extremely low acid consumptions [15].

Table 1 presents the impurity contents of ore and concentrate, and detailed process conditions [15]. Separation efficiency of the trace muscovite and quartz can reach 98.10% when total removal rate of impurity elements is about 84.0%.
Table 1. Contents of main impurity elements in quartz sand (µg/g) [15].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Li</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Ti</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Na</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>Zr</th>
<th>Others</th>
<th>In Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ore</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>8.05</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>35.1</td>
<td>619</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>2.04</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>6.45</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>99.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Leaching conditions: 0.30 mol/L H$_2$SO$_4$, 0.45 mol/L NH$_4$Cl, 5 mL/g of L/S ratio, leaching temperature of 250 °C, leaching time of 6 h.

Although the trace muscovite has been efficiently removed by the fluorine-free pressure leaching process, dissolution behaviors of trace muscovite during the pressure leaching of hydrothermal vein quartz have not been studied in detail. Meanwhile, the removal rate of Al in high-grade quartz is not suitable to be used for the calculation of the separation efficiency of trace muscovite and quartz because substitution of Al and Si is widely found in hydrothermal quartz. Hence, a quantitative calculation method is necessary. When the c(NH$_4$Cl)/c(H$_2$SO$_4$) ratio was 2/1, Al content obviously decreased with H$_2$SO$_4$ concentration (0.025 mol/L to 0.300 mol/L), and remained approximately constant from 0.300 mol/L to 0.500 mol/L [16]. Hence, the quartz samples leached by the NH$_4$Cl-H$_2$SO$_4$ solution with low concentrations can be used for microscopic analysis so as to investigate dissolution behaviors of trace muscovite.

Based on the previous research [15,16], this study is to elaborate the dissolution behaviors of trace muscovite during pressure leaching of hydrothermal vein quartz using H$_2$SO$_4$ and NH$_4$Cl as leaching agents, and investigating occurrences of main lattice elements in leached quartz so as to provide evidence for calculating the separation efficiency of trace muscovite and quartz using the removal rate of trace K. The research is focused on analyzing the removal mechanism of trace muscovite from hydrothermal vein quartz by characterizing the phase transformations and structure modifications of the muscovite.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Materials

Hydrothermal vein quartz used in this study is from Hengche Town in Qichun County, Hubei Province, China. The sampled quartz ore was washed, dried, crushed by a Raymond mill (3R2115), and grain-size separated with standard sieves. Separated samples ranging in size from 106 µm (140 mesh) to 212 µm (70 mesh) was used for experiments. Muscovite is the main Al-K host mineral in the studied quartz ore. The main element impurities in the powdered quartz obtained by [15] are presented in Table 1.

2.2. Methods

Sieved samples were calcinated (at 900 °C for 5 h) and leached (5 g/sample) by H$_2$SO$_4$-NH$_4$Cl solutions (50 cm$^3$) at different agent concentrations and temperatures in an airtight reaction kettle for 6 h.

Microstructures of trace muscovite occurred in quartz sand at different leaching conditions were analyzed by biological microscope (ALPHAPHOT-2 YS-2, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan). Muscovite was then subjected to electron microprobe (JXA-8230/INCA-ACT, JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for morphology observation of the BSE model (backscattered electron image) after selection from the calcined and leached quartz sands by a biological microscope due to the distinctive colors and light transmittances of muscovites. The morphology and structure of the selected muscovites were analyzed by a polarizing microscope (DLMP, Leica Microsystems, Wetzlar, Germany) and an electron microprobe (JXA-8230/INCA-ACT). Electron images of electron probe microanalysis were obtained at an acceleration voltage of 20.0 kV and a working distance of 11.2 mm based on the GBT15617-2002 standard [17]. Leaching conditions and numbers of micro-images are shown in Table 2.
Occurrences of the main metallic elements in leached quartz sand were analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, ESCALAB 250Xi, THERMO FISHER SCIENTIFIC, Waltham, MA, USA). Narrow spectrum analyses (XPS) of Al and Na were respectively measured 11 times. The binding energy scale was corrected based on a C1s peak from contaminations (around 284.79 eV) as the internal binding energy standard [18].

Pure natural muscovite was calcinated (at 900 °C for 5 h) and leached (2 g/sample) by H$_2$SO$_4$-NH$_4$Cl solutions (0.3 mol/L H$_2$SO$_4$, 0.6 mol/L NH$_4$Cl, 10 mL/g of L/S ratio) at 250 °C for 4 h. Mineral phases of pure natural muscovite, calcinated muscovite, and leached muscovite were analyzed by powder X-ray diffraction (RU-200B/D/MAX-RB, Rigaku Corporation, JPN, Karlsruhe, Germany) employing CuKα radiation (λ = 0.154 nm, 40 kV, 50 mA) over scanning range 2θ = 5°–70° with step width 2°·min$^{-1}$.

The results of thermal analyses (TG-DSC) of pure natural muscovite were obtained by simultaneous thermal analysis (STA449F3) (NETZSCH, Selb, Germany). The experiment of thermal analyses of pure muscovite was carried out under air atmosphere from room temperature to 1000 °C at a heating rate of 6 °C/min.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Calcination Process on Muscovite Structure

The calcination process, as a pretreatment technique, is commonly used to destroy crystal structure of muscovite so as to provide more active sites during later pressure leaching [16]. Structural damages around surface, edge, interior, and cleavage plane of muscovite in quartz sand are caused by high-temperature calcination (Figure 1). Surface oxidation, volatilization of interlayer water, and thermal dilation of muscovite during the calcination process led to the structural damage. In leaching processing, leaching agents dissolve the muscovite along its surface, but after calcination, the leaching sites of the muscovite are increased, and could be dissolved along fracture and cleavage planes, additionally.

![Figure 1](image_url)  
Figure 1. Surface topographies of muscovite in calcinated quartz sand: surface damage (SD), cleavage plane damage (CPD), interior damage (ID), quartz (Q), and muscovite (M): (a) flaky muscovite, (b) platelike muscovite.
3.2. Effect of Pressure Leaching on Muscovite

Calcinated quartz sand was leached by mixed agents consisting of $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$ and $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$. During the leaching process, some factors, including the leaching temperature and agent concentration, have great influences on the dissolution and separation of trace muscovite from quartz. The dissolution behaviors of the trace muscovite in the $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$-$\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ leaching system were also investigated.

3.2.1. Effects of Leaching Conditions on Muscovite

The optical morphology of muscovite in calcinated quartz sand (Figure 2) shows its dissolution along the surface and fracture planes. Light transmittances of the muscovite increase with the leaching temperature. This indicates that leaching agents can directly dissolve the surface {001} of the muscovite. Observably different from Figure 2a–c, the muscovites in Figure 2d–f are efficiently dissolved from its edges. This shows that the pressure leaching process tends to dissolve the weakened of calcinated muscovite. The “gulf” in leached muscovite is caused by the dissolution tendency because the “gulf” area shows higher chemical activity than the others. Directional fractures are developed and expended to interlaced fracture when the leaching temperature was raised from 150 $^\circ$C to 250 $^\circ$C. The depth and width of the interlaced fractures are commonly deeper than the directional fractures, so the leaching process tends to further destroy the structure of the muscovite along the fractures caused by calcination.

Figure 2. Optical morphology of muscovite within leached quartz sand: (1) 0.025 mol/L $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$, 0.050 mol/L $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ (a) – 150 $^\circ$C; (b) – 200 $^\circ$C; (c) – 250 $^\circ$C; (2) 0.050 mol/L $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$, 0.100 mol/L $\text{NH}_4\text{Cl}$ (d) – 150 $^\circ$C; (e) – 200 $^\circ$C; and (f) – 250 $^\circ$C; DF—directional fracture, IF—interlaced fracture. G—“gulf”; the scale bars are the same.
Similar results are obtained by electron probe micro-analysis. As shown in Figure 3, surface etching, edge damage, directional and interlaced fractures are the main results of the pressure leaching process. Structural damage is widely developed around muscovite surfaces (Figure 3a). With the rise of the leaching temperature, the structural damage is developed from the surface into the interior of the muscovite. Some directional fractures are produced in the internal layer of the muscovite when the leaching temperature reaches 250 °C (Figure 3c). With higher agent concentrations (Figure 3d–f), directional and interlaced fractures are quickly developed around the surface and the interior of the muscovite by the pressure leaching process. Flaky muscovite is transformed into active fragments (Figure 3d–f) with its structural damage. This indicates that the directional and interlaced fractures not only occur on the surface, but are also extended into the interior of the muscovite. The directional and interlaced fractures further lead to a whole disintegration of muscovite with their further extending. As shown in Figure 3c,f, the muscovite is destroyed into several parts along these fractures. Leaching agents can, therefore, enter into the interior of the muscovite along the fracture. The active fragments have a large specific area so as to promote leaching reactions. This indicates that the H$_2$SO$_4$-NH$_4$Cl leaching system can accelerate the formation of the directional and interlaced fractures, and ultimately lead to the whole disintegration of the muscovite. Chemical dissolution of the edge of the muscovite further leads to a comminuted disintegration (Figure 3f). Leaching agents, therefore, enter into the cleavage planes of the muscovite, and further increases the cleavage plane spacing. The effects of calcination and pressure leaching on the fractures and cleavage planes lead to structural damage of the trace muscovite associated in quartz sand.

Figure 3. Cont.
Integrated effects of the process on optical and surface morphologies are shown in Figure 4. Fractures not only exist on the surface of the muscovite, but also inside, because transmitted, crossed and polarized light can synthetically reflect the influences of this process on muscovite structures. The interference color (sky blue, an inexistent color in natural muscovite) of muscovite is caused during calcination. Polarized microscopic analysis shows that directional and interlaced fractures in muscovite are caused by the calcination process, and further developed during the pressure leaching process. The two processes, including calcination and pressure leaching, lead to significant changes in space structures of muscovite because the refractivity of natural muscovite is absolutely changed. These directional fractures, perpendicular to the longer side of the muscovite, are supposedly caused by heat stress, and transformed to the interlaced form by chemical dissolution during pressure leaching. Created fractures during the calcination process, and developed during the leaching process, provide new channels for internal diffusion of leaching agents and more active sites for dissolving muscovite.

Figure 4. Polarizing luminescence of muscovite at transmitted, crossed, and polarized light: (a) muscovite within calcinated quartz sand (900 °C for 5 h); and (b) muscovite within leached quartz sand (0.100 mol/L H₂SO₄, 0.200 mol/L NH₄Cl, 5 mL/g of L/S ratio, 200 °C).

Structural damage of muscovite is mainly distributed around the surface, edge, interior, and cleavage planes. The structural damage caused by the calcination process could reduce chemical reaction resistances, and provide more chemically active sites. During the pressure leaching process of calcinated quartz sand, the muscovite is further destroyed into several fragments with the formation of micro-fractures around the surface, edge, interior, and cleavage planes. The structural damage not only...
provides more chemically active sites to reduce the chemical reaction resistances, but also increases specific surface areas so as to reduce internal diffusion resistances of leaching agents. In general, the muscovite is dissolved and separated from quartz sand by coupling the effects of calcination and fluorine-free acid leaching.

3.2.2. Mechanism Analysis of Muscovite Dissolution

Natural pure muscovite (99.9 wt %) is used for analyses of TG-DSC and XRD. The DSC curve (Figure 5) shows a wide exothermic peak around 600–800 °C when the TG curve shows obvious mass loss due to dehydroxylation above 700 °C [19]. Results of thermal analyses of pure muscovite (TG-DSC) show that muscovite structure is destroyed by calcination above 600 °C. The DSC curve also shows two obvious exothermic peaks at 895.9 °C and 957.6 °C. The two exothermic peaks are due to recrystallizations of spinel and γ-Al₂O₃ [19,20]. Below 800 °C, distributions of most atomics, especially for Al and Si, are not changed [21]. Around 800–900 °C, AlIV–O tetrahedron in the muscovite layer remains unchanged [20,21]. Thus, calcination at 900 °C triggers a dehydroxylation of muscovite, and further promotes the structural damage of AlVI–O octahedrons close to the interlayer. Since the trace muscovites are distributed uniformly in the quartz sand, the minor phases are difficult to be produced during calcination of actual minerals [15,21,22].

**Figure 5.** Thermal analysis curves of pure muscovite (99.9 wt %): mass loss (ML), exothermic (EXO).

XRD patterns for natural, calcinated (at 900 °C), and leached muscovite (Figure 6) show crystal structural destruction during calcination. Diffraction peaks of crystal faces, including {001} and {003}, are seriously impaired by calcination at 900 °C, and further weakened after fluorine-free pressure leaching. Furthermore, diffraction peaks of crystal faces including {002}, {131}, {005}, and {151} almost disappear after calcination. This shows that the calcination process provides favorable conditions for pressure leaching of trace muscovite by transforming crystal muscovite into active structures. The active structures mainly include Si–O–K, Al–O–K, and Si–O–Al (Equation (1)) [23]. The active structures are disordered in atomic arrangement, but hold a certain shape (Figure 1) based on XRD
analysis. Although effects of the pressure leaching process on the structure of calcinated muscovite are not so obvious in Figure 6, small differences in diffraction peaks of (001) and (003) may indicate great effects on dissolution of trace muscovite in hydrothermal vein quartz.

\[
\text{K}_2\text{O}\cdot3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot6\text{SiO}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{K} + \text{Al}-\text{O}-\text{K} + \text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Al} + \text{H}_2\text{O}↑
\]  

(1)

Figure 6. XRD patterns of natural, calcinated and leached muscovites: a pure muscovite (>99.9 wt%) is marked as “natural muscovite”, the muscovite calcinated at 900 °C for 5 h is marked as “calcinated muscovite”, and the calcinated muscovite (2 g) is leached by H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}-NH\textsubscript{4}Cl solutions (0.3 mol/L H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, 0.6 mol/L NH\textsubscript{4}Cl, 10 mL/g of L/S ratio) at 250 °C for 4 h. The leaching residue is marked as “leached muscovite”.

Analyses of XRD and TG-DSC shows that a small amount of calcinated muscovite only loses planar water so as to hold a metastable state (Equation (2)). The space between muscovite cleavage planes increases due to the evaporation of planar water. The expended cleavage planes provide an important channel for leaching of interlayer cations, especially for K\textsuperscript{+} (Equation (3)):

\[
\text{K}_2\text{O}\cdot3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot6\text{SiO}_2\cdot2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{K}_2\text{O}\cdot3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot6\text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}↑
\]  

(2)

\[
\text{K}_2\text{O}\cdot3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot6\text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot6\text{SiO}_2 + 2\text{K}^+
\]  

(3)

Furthermore, active Al-O-Si skeleton is also damaged during calcination. The compounds can easily be dissolved by H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} without HF (Equation (4)). Meanwhile, the active structures are preferentially dissolved (Equation (5)):

\[
\text{H}_2\text{O}\cdot3\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3\cdot6\text{SiO}_2 + 18\text{H}^+ \rightarrow 6\text{SiO}_2 + 6\text{Al}^{3+} + 10\text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(4)

\[
\text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{K} + \text{Al}-\text{O}-\text{K} + \text{Si}-\text{O}-\text{Al} + \text{H}^+ \rightarrow \text{K}^+ + \text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Si} - \text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O}
\]  

(5)

Since H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} is completely ionized in dilute leaching solution (Equation (6)), the concentration of H\textsuperscript{+} decreases with leaching time in leaching system of H\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4} solution. However, NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} could provide a more stable leaching environment by its hydrolysis [24,25]. With the consumption of H\textsuperscript{+}, the hydrolysis balance of NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} moved to the right for maintaining the concentration of H\textsuperscript{+} so as to
reduce the chemical reaction resistances caused by the decreasing concentration of leaching agents (Equation (7)):

\[
\begin{align*}
H_2SO_4 & \rightarrow HSO_4^- + H^+ \rightarrow SO_4^{2-} + 2H^+ \\
NH_4^+ + H_2O & \rightleftharpoons NH_3H_2O + H^+ 
\end{align*}
\]  

(6) 

(7)

In addition, the NH_4Cl is seen as an inhibitor and catalyzer by inhibiting a hydrolysis of Al^{3+}, which is caused by the triggering of elevated temperatures and the Si−O− structure, and further promotes the dissolution of Al by introducing more H^+ [16].

Surface morphologies of different layers of the muscovite in leached quartz sand are shown in Figure 7. The directional fracture not only exists in the muscovite surface, but also occurs in the internal layers. Some elements, including Si and K, in certain areas of the muscovite are preferentially leached, but leaching of Al is hysteretic. This indicates that chemical dissolution of muscovite edges are achieved by damaging Si–O–Al bonds. The possible reaction equation is shown in below:

\[
K_2O·3Al_2O_3·6SiO_2 + 5H_2O + 2H^+ \rightarrow 3Al_2O_3 + 6H_2SiO_3 + 2K^+
\]  

(8)

Figure 7. Cont.
Sulfur, a representational element of the main leaching agent (H$_2$SO$_4$), was just distributed around the edge of muscovite. This indicates that muscovite dissolution is a chemical process achieved by destroying the Si–O–Al bonds along the edge of muscovite. Active Al$_2$O$_3$ could be produced during the hydrolysis of leached Al$^{3+}$, which is caused by the triggering of elevated temperatures and the Si–O$^-$ structure:

$$\text{Al}^{3+} + \text{Si}^– + \text{O}^2– \rightarrow \text{Al(OH)}_3 + \text{Si–OH} \rightarrow \text{Al}_2\text{O}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Si–OH}$$

(9)

Dissolving Al$^{3+}$ in strong acid is most likely to be hydrated in the muscovite hydrated layer. The shearing of S shows that S–OH in H$_2$SO$_4$ could react with muscovite Si–O–Al so as to realize H$^+$ and Al$^{3+}$ cation exchange. The Al would be dissolved again once the S–O–Al (Al$_2$(SO$_4$)$_3$) enters into diffusion layer:

$$\text{S–OH(S–O}^-\) + \text{Si–O–Al} \rightarrow \text{Si–OH(S–O}^-\) + \text{S–O–Al}$$

(10)

$$\text{S–O–Al} \rightarrow \text{S–O}^- + \text{Al}^{3+}$$

(11)

3.3. Removal Efficiency of Trace Muscovite from Vein Quartz

In conventional processing of low-grade silicate minerals, Al$_2$O$_3$ content is used for ascertaining the separation efficiency of aluminosilicate minerals and quartz [26]. However, the method error cannot be accepted in processing of high-grade quartz as Al is a major trace element in the quartz lattice [27–29]. The Al and K contents are reduced from 353 μg/g and 118 μg/g to 44.1 μg/g and 2.24 μg/g, respectively, when the calcinated quartz sand was leached by H$_2$SO$_4$-NH$_4$Cl solution (0.30 mol/L H$_2$SO$_4$ and 0.45 mol/L NH$_4$Cl) at 250 °C for 6 h (liquid/solid ratio = 5 mL/g) [15]. As shown in Figure 8, contents of Al and K cannot be further reduced even using excess leaching agents containing HF. This indicates that muscovite has been efficiently dissolved and separated. Moreover, Al (about 44 μg/g) remained in quartz concentrate could not exist in gangue mineral. As shown in Figure 9, quartz concentrate shows some Al and Na by XPS analysis. The K removal rate is 98.1% when that of Al and other elements are 87.5% and 84.0%, respectively. Obvious differences of K and Al removal rates shows that it is inadvisable to only use Al$_2$O$_3$ content to ascertain the separation efficiency of trace muscovite (Al–K host mineral) and quartz.
Figure 8. Contents of Al and K at different conditions: S-F (pressure leaching: 2.00 mol/L H₂SO₄, 1.00 mol/L HF, 5 mL/g of L/S ratio, leaching temperature of 250 °C, leaching time of 6 h), C-S-F (calcination: 900 °C for 5 h; pressure leaching: 2.00 mol/L H₂SO₄, 1.00 mol/L HF, 5 mL/g of L/S ratio, leaching temperature of 250 °C, leaching time of 6 h), C-S-N (calcination: 900 °C for 5 h; pressure leaching: 0.30 mol/L H₂SO₄, 0.45 mol/L NH₄Cl, 5 mL/g of L/S ratio, leaching temperature of 250 °C, leaching time of 6 h).

Figure 9. X-ray photoelectric spectroscopy and structure simulation of quartz concentrate: (a) broad spectrum of quartz concentrate; (b) narrow spectrum of Al; (c) narrow spectrum of Na; and (d) structural simulation of lattice substitution.
Narrow Al and Na spectra are shown in Figure 9b,c, and compared with those in the XPS handbook and native oxides, the two peaks are those of $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (74.7 eV) and Na (OX-1072.5 eV) [18,30]. Combined with replacement theory of Al and Si, the peak (74.69 eV) binding energy is the characteristic peak of the Al–O bond. As shown in Figure 9d, Al and Na replace Si in the tetrahedron [30–35]. Narrow patterns of possible lattice elements, including Fe, Li, Mg, Ti, and Ca, are not obtained. The phenomenon might be due to asymmetry distribution and low concentration of lattice impurities. XPS data show that Al is the main lattice trace element substitute in quartz and K in muscovite. Thus, the removal rate of K is more suitable for calculating the separation efficiency of trace muscovite and quartz:

\[
\text{Separation efficiency (muscovite)} \geq \text{Removal rate (K)} = \frac{(118 - 2.24)}{118} = 98.1\% 
\]

where 118 $\mu$g/g is the content of element K in the calcinated quartz, 2.24 $\mu$g/g is the content of element K in the leached quartz sand.

4. Conclusions

(1) Calcination leads to structural damage of the trace muscovite around surface, edge, interior, and cleavage planes. Destroyed sites provide larger specific area and higher chemical activity so as to reduce internal diffusion resistances of leaching agents and chemical reaction resistances. Structural damage of trace muscovite are caused by high-temperature calcination, and further developed during pressure leaching of the quartz sand using H$_2$SO$_4$ and NH$_4$Cl as leaching agents. The trace muscovite is dissolved and separated from quartz sand by coupling effects of calcination and fluorine-free pressure leaching.

(2) Si and K within muscovite are preferentially leached before Al during fluorine-free pressure leaching of the hydrothermal vein quartz. S–OH in H$_2$SO$_4$ react with the Si–O–Al structure of calcinated muscovite so as to realize a cation exchange of H$^+$ and Al$^{3+}$. The remaining active $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ is finally dissolved when $\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3$ enters into diffusion layer. The reason why the removal rate of Al is limited as 87.5% is that the remaining trace elements Al and Na replace Si in the quartz lattice.
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